Paper 11 JSMS Two Imperfect

by The Jin Ai

Submission date: 22-May-2019 08:59AM (UTC+0700) Submission ID: 1134153934 File name: Paper_11_JSMS_Two_Imperfect.pdf (270.86K) Word count: 6272 Character count: 29009 1 ISSN 1816-6075 (Print), 1818-0523 (Online) Journal of System and Management Sciences Vol. 4 (2014) No. 4, pp. 1-18

Effect of two imperfect key production subsystems on the optimal number of production cycles

The Jin Ai¹, Ririn Diar Astanti¹, Agustinus Gatot Bintoro¹,

Slamet Setio Wigati¹, Dah-Chuan Gong², Gary C. Lin³

¹ Department of Industrial Engineering, Universitas Atma Jaya Yogyakarta, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

² Department of Industrial and System Engineering, Chung Yuan Christian University, Chung-Li, Taiwan, R.O.C.

³ Department of Industrial & Manufacturing Engineering & Technology, Bradley University, Peoria, U.S.A.

jinai@mail.uajy.ac.id

(Received Aug 2014, accepted Nov 2014)

49

44

Abstract. This paper studies the effect of two imperfect key production4 subsystems (KPS) on the optimal number of production cycles where a product is to be manufact 23 in batches on a production system over a finite plann 12 horizon. During a production run of the product, the two imperfect KPS may shift from an in-control state due to three independent sources of shocks. A shock from source 1, 2, and 3 causes the shift on the first KPS, the second KPS, and both KPS's, respectively. Each shocks occur at random time U1, U2, and U3 following exponential distribution with mean $1/\lambda_1$, $1/\lambda_2$, and $1/\lambda_3$, respectively. After each individual shocks, consequently, the production system will produced some defective items with different rates. Three different schemes c 58 efectives rates are considered here which are constant, linear increasing function of time, and exponential increasing function of time. For each scheme, a mathematical model describing the stuation is developed in order to determine a number of production cycles that minimizes the expected total cost per unit time including setup, inventory carrying, and defective costs. Solution approach of finding optimal solution of the models is provided, together with some numerical examples.

Keywords: Production lot sizing, Economic production quantity, Finite planning horizon, Imperfect production system, Shock model

1. Introduction

The problem considered here 14 a variant of classical Economic Producti 26 Quantity (EPQ) problem where a product is to be manufactured in batches on an imperfect production system over a finite planning horizon, in which the production system consists of two imperfect key production subsystems (KPS). This work has twofo 64 notivations that are practical and theoretical aspects. In the practical aspect, it is common that the production system is imperfect and has two key production systems in it. In addition, the product life cycle tends to be shorter. Therefore, it is important to address the EPQ on imperfect production system over finite planning horizon problem.

In the theoretical aspect, although some EPQ on imperfect production system models have been proposed before, this problem never been discussed before. Most of the motor like considered production system with single KPS only. The initial work of Rosenblatt and the equation of the production process may shift at a random point in time from an in-control state to an out-of-control state during a production rung which the time-to-shift is an exponentially distributed random variable and a fixed portion of items produced become defective after the process has shifted. After this work, several models dealt with various additional system setting, although still subject to single KPS only. Since the model proposed in this paper can be viewed as an extension of their model, a review of results related to Rosenblatt and Lee's model is provided below.

⁷²Inspection schedule is one of the additional system setting that was included in the model. Lee and Rosenhart (1987) started to consider it by including a periodic inspection schedules into the model proposed in Rosenblatt and Lee (1986b). Furthermore, Rosenblatt and Lee (1986a) compared a continuous inspection schedule and a periodic inspection schedule. After that, Lee and Rosenblatt (1988) investigated different policies of providing process inspection and restoration capabilities in reducing the defective cost. Later, Lee and Rosenbizett (1989) studied a model with an equal-spaced inspection schedule and a restoration cost as a function of detection delay. Additional system settings related to inspection that had been considered are the reworking cost before sale and the warranty cost after sale (Lee & Park, 1991), increasing failure rate function (Lin, Tseng & Liou, 1991), impact of inspection errors (Liou, Tseng & Lin, 1994; Ben-Daya, 1999), inspection times that is discrete or continoous (Makis, 1998), partial inspection policy (Wang & Sheu, 2001), and the joint effects of maintenance policy by inspection and the productioninventory system, including raw materials on the cost of operating a single facility (Lin, Chen & Kroll, 2003).

Various EPQ models of imperfect production system proposed in the past also included a range of time-to-shift distribution. While Rosenblatt and Lee (1986b) used exponential tin⁷¹o-shift distribution, many other research used different distribution such as a function of production rate (Khouja & Mehrez, 1994), general distribution (Hariga & Ben-Daya, 1998; Giri & Dohi, 2007), and arbritrary distribution (Kim & Hong, 1999).

The others additional additional system setting that was included in the EPQ models of imperfect production system are backorders and variable leadtime (Ouyang & Chang, 2000), allowable shortage (Chung & Hou, 2003), and standby key modules (Hsieh & Lee, 2005).

Recent research in this area are filled with more complex problem settings and to be integrated with other area of production system. Hou α_{15} Lin (2005) studied a model where defective items are also generated even the production process is in 25 in control state. Ben-Daya, Noman, and Hariga (2006) investigated integrated inventory inspection models with and without replacement of nonconforming items with a deterministic demand. Lin and Lin (2007) developed models for an imperfect production system in order to deal with situations regarding whether and when a screening process is implemented with scraps and no shortages. Huang, Lo, and Ho (2008₂₉ lesigned an effective inspection schema for imperfect production systems. Ben-Daya and Noman (2008) developed integrated inventory inspection models with and without replacement of nonconforming iten 19 for stochastic demand. Chakraborty, Giri, and Chaudhuri (2009) investigated the joint effect of a process shift, a machine breakdown and inspections on lot sizing decisions for an imperfect production system under two inspection policies. Wang and Tsai (2012) proposed a heuristic inspection policy for materials and products. They first obtained the inspection range for the input material without considering product inspection, and then determined the product inspection range based on the obtained range of the input material inspection.

In contrast with previous models which is considered production system with single KPS only, Lin and Gong (2011) recently studied an EPQ mode 70 here the production system is imperfect and dictated by two imperfect KPS's ov 80 n infinite planning horizon. They proposed a model that minimizes the total production cost including cost of setup, inventory carrying, and quality. In their model, the two imperfect KPS may shift from an in-control to an out-of-cortage state due to three independent sources of shocks. When at least one KPS on out-of-control state, consequently, the production system will produce some

defective items with fixed but different rates. Their model then can be considered as an extension of Rosenblatt and Lee (1986b) model in terms of number of KPS. Ai et al. (2013) proposed three approaches for solving the fixed defective rates model. In this paper, instead of infinite planning horizon, we consider the finite planning horizon. Furthermore, the model is extended to two cases where defective rates become a linear function and an exponential function of time.

In the next section, we propose a basic mathematical model where the defective rates are con23 nt after the production system has shifted into various out-of-control states. A solution approach is develop 10 to obtain a optimal solution of the number of production cycles. Then, the basic model is extended to two cases whe 41 defective rates become a linear function or an exponential function of time. Numerical examples and results are also provided to illustrate the effectiveness of the proposed solution approach. Finally, concluding remarks are given along with some future research directions.

2. Problem Definition and Mathematical Model

The basic problem considered here is quarant of classical Economic Production **26** antity (EPQ) problem where a product is to be manufactured in batches on an imperfect production system over a finit 23 anning horizon (H). The demand rate of the product (d) is constant. During a production run of the product at the constant rate p, the machina is dictated by two unreliable key production subsystems (KPS's). We assume that at the beginning of a production run, the production system is in an in-control state. We will name it state 0. Furthermore, the production system is subject to three independent sources of shocks. A shock from source 1 causes the first KPS to shift into an out-of-control states We will name it state 1. In this state, only the first KPS has shifted into the out-of-control state. As a result, a fixed α percentage of defecting items are produced. The cost incurred by producing a defective item when the production system is in state 1 is π_1 which could represent the cost or rework, 122air, replacement, or loss of goodwill. The shock occurs at a random time U_3 following an exponential distribution with a mean $1/\lambda_1$. On the other hand, a shock from source 2 causes the second KPS to shift into an out-ofcontrol state. It is named state 2. In this state, only the second KPS has shifted into the out-of-control state. Consequently, a fixed β percentage of defective items are produced, and the rest incurred by producing such a unit is π_2 . It occurs at a random time U_2 following an exponential distribution with a mean

63 $1/\lambda_2$. Finally, a shock from source 3 will result in both KPS's to shift into a out-of-control state. It is named state 3. This will cause a fixed δ percentage of defective items to be produced. The cost incurred by producing a defective it 56 in this state is π_3 . This shock occurs at a random time U₃ following an exponential distribution with a mean $1/\lambda_a$.

The optimization problem is to determining optimal number of production cycles n, that minimizes the expected to $\frac{1}{22}$ cost per unit time including setup, inventory carrying, and defective costs. The expected total cost, Z(n), can be expressed as follow:

$$Z(n) = n \Big[A + h \overline{I}(n) + \pi_1 E[N_1(n)] + \pi_2 E[N_2(n)] + \pi_3 E[N_3(n)] \Big]$$
(1)

where A is the setup cost, h is the holding cost, I(n) is the total inventory per production cycle, $E[N_i(n)]$ is the expected number of defective items during a production cycle when the production system is state i.

As developed by Lin and Gong (2011), the expected number of defective items during a production cycle when the production system in state 1, 2, 3 can be expressed as Equations (2), (3), (4), respectively:

$$E[N_1(\tau)] = p\alpha \left(\frac{1 - \exp[-(\lambda_2 + \lambda_3)\tau]}{\lambda_2 + \lambda_3} - \frac{1 - \exp[-(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3)\tau]}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3} \right)$$
(2)

$$E[N_2(\tau)] = p\beta\left(\frac{1 - \exp[-(\lambda_1 + \lambda_3)\tau]}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_3} - \frac{1 - \exp[-(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3)\tau]}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3}\right)$$
(3)

$$E[N_{3}(\tau)] = p\delta\left(\frac{\exp[-(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{3})\tau]+(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{3})\tau-1}{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{3}}+\frac{\exp[-(\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3})\tau]+(\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3})\tau-1}{\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}}-\frac{\exp[-(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3})\tau]+(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3})\tau-1}{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}}\right)$$
(4)

J

where τ is the production uptime.



Since the inventory level in a production cycle can be $\frac{35}{35}$ istrated as Figure 1, with a finite $\frac{35}{35}$ anning horizon *H* and pre-determined number of production cycles *n*, the length of a production cycle can be written as

$$T = H/n \tag{5}$$

(6)

Therefore, the production uptime can be expressed as

$$= dH / pn$$

Substituting equation (6) to equations (2) - (4), we obtained

$$E[N_1(n)] = p\alpha \left(\frac{1 - \exp\left[-\left(\lambda_2 + \lambda_3\right)\frac{dH}{pn}\right]}{\lambda_2 + \lambda_3} - \frac{1 - \exp\left[-\left(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3\right)\frac{dH}{pn}\right]}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3} \right)$$
(7)

$$E[N_2(n)] = p\beta\left(\frac{1 - \exp\left[-\left(\lambda_1 + \lambda_3\right)\frac{dH}{pn}\right]}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_3} - \frac{1 - \exp\left[-\left(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3\right)\frac{dH}{pn}\right]}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3}\right)$$
(8)

$$E[N_{3}(n)] = p\delta\left(\frac{\exp\left[-\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{3}\right)\frac{dH}{pn}\right]+\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{3}\right)\frac{dH}{pn}-1}{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{3}}+\frac{\exp\left[-\left(\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}\right)\frac{dH}{pn}\right]}{\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}}+\frac{\left(\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}\right)\frac{dH}{pn}-1}{\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}}-\frac{\exp\left[-\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}\right)\frac{dH}{pn}\right]+\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}\right)\frac{dH}{pn}-1}{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}}\right)$$
(9)

The total inventory per production cycle can be expressed as

$$\overline{I}(n) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{H^2}{n^2} (p-d) \frac{d}{p}$$
(10)

Substituting equation (10) to (1), therefore, the objective function can be expressed as

$$Z(n) = n \left\{ A + h \frac{1}{2} \frac{H^2}{n^2} (p - d) \frac{d}{p} + \pi_1 E \left[N_1(n) \right] + \pi_2 E \left[N_2(n) \right] + \pi_3 E \left[N_3(n) \right] \right\}$$
(11)

3. Solution Methodology for the Basic Model

The basic mathematical problem formulated in Section 2, as seen in equation (11), is presented in the form of single objective optimization with positive integer decision variable, which is n. Although complete enur₅₃ ation of the decision variable can be used for finding the optimal solution, we develop an algorithm for finding the optimal solution with smaller computational effort.

The algorithm is developed based on MacLaurin approximation on the objective function. We apply MacLaurin series up to third order to approximate any exponential function in the objective function, i.e. by following equation:

$$\exp(-\lambda\tau) \approx 1 - \lambda\tau + \frac{1!}{2}(\lambda\tau)^2 - \frac{1}{3!}(\lambda\tau)^3$$
(12)

Substituting equation (12) to equations (7) - (9) and after some algebra, we

obtain:

$$E[N_{1}(n)] \approx p\alpha \left[\frac{1}{2}\lambda_{1}\frac{d^{2}H^{2}}{p^{2}}\frac{1}{n^{2}} - \frac{1}{6}\lambda_{1}(\lambda_{1} + 2\lambda_{2} + 2\lambda_{3})\frac{d^{3}H^{3}}{p^{3}}\frac{1}{n^{3}}\right]$$
(13)

$$E[N_2(n)] \approx p\beta \left[\frac{1}{2}\lambda_2 \frac{d^2 H^2}{p^2} \frac{1}{n^2} - \frac{1}{6}\lambda_2 (2\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + 2\lambda_3) \frac{d^3 H^3}{p^3} \frac{1}{n^3}\right]$$
(14)

$$E[N_3(n)] \approx p\delta\left[\frac{1}{2}\lambda_3 \frac{d^2 H^2}{p^2} \frac{1}{n^2} - \frac{1}{6}(\lambda_3^2 - 2\lambda_1\lambda_2)\frac{d^3 H^3}{p^3} \frac{1}{n^3}\right]$$
(15)

Therefore, the objective function can be approximated as

$$\tilde{Z}(\mathbf{n}) = n \left\{ A + \frac{hH^{2}(p-d)}{2n^{2}} \frac{d}{p} + \pi_{1} p \alpha \left[\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{1} \frac{d^{2}H^{2}}{p^{2}} \frac{1}{n^{2}} - \frac{1}{6} \lambda_{1} (\lambda_{1} + 2\lambda_{2} + 2\lambda_{3}) \frac{d^{3}H^{3}}{p^{3}} \frac{1}{n^{3}} \right] + \pi_{2} p \beta \left[\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{2} \frac{d^{2}H^{2}}{p^{2}} \frac{1}{n^{2}} - \frac{1}{6} \lambda_{2} (2\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2} + 2\lambda_{3}) \frac{d^{3}H^{3}}{p^{3}} \frac{1}{n^{3}} \right] + \pi_{3} p \delta \left[\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{3} \frac{d^{2}H^{2}}{p^{2}} \frac{1}{n^{2}} - \frac{1}{6} (\lambda_{3}^{2} - 2\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2}) \frac{d^{3}H^{3}}{p^{3}} \frac{1}{n^{3}} \right]$$
(16)

or can be stated as

78

$$\tilde{Z}(n) = nA + \frac{1}{n}B - \frac{1}{n^2}C$$
(17)

where

$$B = \frac{H^2}{2} \left(p - d \right) \frac{d}{p} h + \frac{d^2 H^2}{p} \left[\pi_1 \alpha \frac{1}{2} \lambda_1 + \pi_2 \beta \frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 + \pi_3 \delta \frac{1}{2} \lambda_3 \right]$$
(18)

$$C = \frac{d^{3}H^{3}}{6p^{2}} \Big[\pi_{1}\alpha\lambda_{1}(\lambda_{1}+2\lambda_{2}+2\lambda_{3}) + \pi_{2}\beta\lambda_{2}(2\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+2\lambda_{3}) + \pi_{3}\delta(\lambda_{3}^{2}-2\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2}) \Big]$$
(19)

In order to develop proposed algorithm, we derive two properties of equat 17 (17), the approximation function of the objective. Property 3.1 shows that $\tilde{Z}(n)$ is a convex function 33 certain conditions and Property 3.2 show the conditions for obtaining n^* , the optimal number of production cycles.

Property 3.1. $\tilde{Z}(n)$ is a convex function of n when $C \neq 0$ and $n \geq 3C/B$ Proof. 2

A function is a convex function whenever the second derivative of that function is greater or equal to zero. Applying this condition for $\tilde{Z}(n)$, we found that

$$\tilde{Z}'(n) = A - \frac{B}{n^2} + \frac{2C}{n^3}$$

$$\tilde{Z}''(n) = \frac{2B}{n^3} - \frac{6C}{n^4} \ge 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \quad n \ge \frac{3C}{B} \quad \Box$$

16

Property 3.2. The minimum value of $\tilde{Z}(n)$ is obtained at $n^* > 1$ that satisfied the following conditions:

$$A > \frac{B}{n^{*}(n^{*}+1)} - \frac{(2n^{*}+1)}{n^{*2}(n^{*}+1)^{2}}C \text{ and } A < \frac{B}{n^{*}(n^{*}-1)} - \frac{(2n^{*}-1)}{n^{*2}(n^{*}-1)^{2}}C$$

Proof.

At the minimum point, the obj 16 ive function value $\tilde{Z}(n)$ must be smaller than its adjacent points. Therefore, $\tilde{Z}(n^*) < \tilde{Z}(n^*+1)$ and $\tilde{Z}(n^*) < \tilde{Z}(n^*-1)$. The first condition can be simplified into

$$\tilde{Z}(n^*) < \tilde{Z}(n^*+1)$$

$$\tilde{Z}(n^*) < \tilde{Z}(n^*+1)$$

$$\frac{52}{n^*A} + \frac{1}{n^*}B - \frac{1}{n^{*2}}C < (n^*+1)A + \frac{21}{(n^*+1)}B - \frac{1}{(n^*+1)^2}C$$

$$(n^*+1-n^*)A > \left[\frac{1}{n^*} - \frac{1}{(n^*+1)}\right]B - \left[\frac{1}{n^{*2}} - \frac{1}{(n^*+1)^2}\right]C$$

$$A > \frac{B}{n^*(n^*+1)} - \frac{2n^*+1}{n^{*2}(n^*+1)^2}C$$

The second condition can be simp 47 ed into

$$Z(n^{*}) < Z(n^{*}-1)$$

$$n^{*}A + \frac{1}{n^{*}}B - \frac{4}{n^{*}}C < (n^{*}-1)A + \frac{1}{(n^{*}-1)}B - \frac{1}{(n^{*}-1)^{2}}C$$

$$(n^{*}-n+1)A < \left[\frac{1}{(n^{*}-1)} - \frac{1}{n^{*}}\right]B - \left[\frac{1}{(n^{*}-1)^{2}} - \frac{1}{n^{*2}}\right]C$$

$$A < \frac{B}{n^{*}(n^{*}-1)} - \frac{2n^{*}-1}{n^{*2}(n^{*}-1)^{2}}C$$

ã.

Based on the two properties above, we can propose algorithm 1 for finding optimal number of production cycles (n^*) . It is noted that this optimal value is derived from the approximation of the objective function $(\tilde{Z}(n))$, but we are expecting that the result is also apply for the actual objective function (Z(n)).

Algorithm 1: Algorithm for Obtaining the Optimal Value of n*

Step 0. Calculate B and 24° from equations (18) and (19), respectively. Set $n = \lceil 3C/B \rceil$. If n > 1, go to Step 1. $\lfloor 24n = 1 \rceil$ and $\tilde{Z}(n) < \tilde{Z}(n+1)$, set the optimal value of $n^* = 1$ and Stop, otherwise set n = n+1 and go to Step 1.

Step 1. Calculate
$$\phi_U = \frac{B}{n(n+1)} = \frac{(2n+1)}{n^2(n+1)^2} C$$
 and $\phi_L = \frac{B}{n(n-1)} - \frac{(2n-1)}{n^2(n-1)^2} C$

Step 2. If $A > \phi_U$ and $A < \phi_L$ set the optimal value of $n^* = n$ and Stop, otherwise set n = n + 1 and return to Step 1.

4. Numerical Examples

Three cases with different problem parameters are presented below in order to show the generality of the proposed algorithm.

4.1. Example Case 1

Consider the problem with following parameters: p = 300, d = 200, A = 30, h = 0.08, $\pi_1 = 10$, $\pi_2 = 10$, $\pi_3 = 12$, $\alpha = 0.1$, $\beta = 0.1$, $\delta = 0.16$, $\lambda_1 = 0.05$, $\lambda_2 = 0.1$, $\lambda_3 = 0.2$, H = 2.

Following the Algorithm 1:

Step 0. After calculation, the value of B = 60.9067 and C = 2.3784. Therefore, n = 38 Since $\tilde{Z}(n) = \tilde{Z}(1) = 88.5282$ and $\tilde{Z}(n+1) = \tilde{Z}(2) = 89.8587$, $\tilde{Z}(n) < \tilde{Z}(n+1)$, therefore the optimal value of $n^* = 1$. Stop

In order to verify the result from Algorithm 1, following table shows the objective function value of Z(n) which are calculated using equation (11). This table confirms that the minimum cost is found at n = 1, showing that this algorithm is able to find the optimum value of this case.

Table 1: The Objective Function Value of for Example Case 1

[n	Z(n)
	1	88.6162
	2	89.8699
	3	110.0412
	4	135.0794
	5	162.0869

4.2. Example Case 2

Consider the problem with following parameters: p = 300, d = 200, A = 100, h = 0.08, $\pi_1 = 10$, $\pi_2 = 10$, $\pi_3 = 12$, $\alpha = 0.1$, $\beta = 0.1$, $\delta = 0.16$, $\lambda_1 = 0.05$, $\lambda_2 = 0.1$, $\lambda_3 = 0.2$, H = 10.

Following the Algorithm 1: Step 0. After calculation, the value of B = 77522.6667 and C = 297.3037. Therefore, n = 1. Since $\tilde{Z}(n) = \tilde{Z}(1) = 1325.3630$ and $\tilde{Z}(n+1) = \tilde{Z}(2) = 887.0074$, $\tilde{Z}(n) > \tilde{Z}(n+1)$, n = n+1 = 2 and go to Step 1. Step 1 and Step 2. Iteration of Step 1 and Step 2 are presented in Table 2, and finally found the optimal value of $n^* = 4$. Stop.

Table 2: Iteration of Step 1 and Step 2 for Example Case 2

n	ϕ_U	ϕ_L	$A < \phi_L$ and $A > \phi_U$
2	212.4856	538.3556	No
3	112.4366	212.4856	No
4	69.4440	112.4366	Yes

In order to verify the result from Algorithm 1 for this case, Table 3 shows the objective function value of Z(n) which are calculated using equation (11). This table confirms that the minimum cost is found at n = 4, showing that this algorithm is also able to find the optimum value of this case.

Table 3: The Objective Function Value of for Example Case 2

n	Z(n)
1	1374.0653
2	893.5641
3	776.5151
4	762.9372
5	793.0809
6	845.7751

4.3. Example Case 3

Consider the problem with following parameters: p = 300, d = 200, A = 100, h = 0.08, $\pi_1 = 10$, $\pi_2 = 10$, $\pi_3 = 12$, $\alpha = 0.1$, $\beta = 0.1$, $\delta = 0.16$, $\lambda_1 = 0.25$, $\lambda_2 = 0.5$, $\lambda_3 = 0.1$, H = 10.

Following the Algorithm 1:

Step 0. After calculation, <u>60</u> e value of B = 6546.6667 and C = 7432.5926. Therefore, n = 4 and go to Step 1.

Step 1 and Step 2. Iteration of Step 1 and Step 2 are presented in Table 4, and finally found the optimal value of $n^* = 7$. Stop.

n	ϕ_U	ϕ_L	$A < \phi_L$ and $A > \phi_U$
4	160.1000	184.2490	No
5	127.3794	160.1000	No
6	101.0977	127.3794	No
7	81.3535	101.0977	Yes

Table 4: Iteration of Step 1 and Step 2 for Example Case 3

In order to verify the result from Algorithm 1 for this case, Table 5 shows the objective function value of Z(n) which are calculated using equation (11). This table confirms that the minimum cost is found at n = 7, showing that this algorithm is consistently able to find the optimum value of this case.

Table 5: The Objective Function Value of for Example Case 3

n	Z(n)
4	1663.931
5	1560.732
6	1513.526
7	1502.060
8	1514.765
9	1544.565

5. Linear and Exponential Deteriorating Rates

In this section, we consider two cases where once a KPS has shifted to the outof-control state, the percentage of defective items increases as the production system deteriorates over time. The first case is the problem with linear deteriorating rates, and the second case is the problem with exponential deteriorating rates.

5.1. Linear Deteriorating Rates

In the first case, at the beginning of a production run, only defect-free products are not approximately and the second KPS or the second KPS or both may shift from an incontrol state to an out-of-control state. If the first KPS has shifted at time the production run time τ is reached while the second KPS is still in the in-control state, then the production system is in state 1 and will begin to deteriorate linearly. As a result, defective **3** oducts are generated at a rate of $\alpha_0 + \alpha_1(t-x)$, $x < t < \tau$, $\alpha_0 > 0$ and $\alpha_1 > 0$, at any time t after the shift. Furthermore, if the second KPS has also shifted at time y before

 τ is reached, then the production system is in state 3 and defective products are produced at a rate of $\delta_0 + \delta_1(t-y)$, $x < y < t < \tau$, $\delta_0 > 0$ and $\delta_1 > 0$, at any time *t* after the shift. By the rame token, if the second KPS has shifted at time *y* while the first KPS is s₆₇ in the in-control state, then the production system is in state 76 and will begin to produce 36 effective products at a rate of $\beta_0 + \beta_1(t-y)$, $y < t < \tau$, $\beta_0 > 0$ and $\beta_1 > 0$, at any time *t* after the shift.

⁷⁵ In this case, the number of defective items produced during a production run can be expressed as

$$E[N_{1}(\tau)] = p \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{y} [\alpha_{0}(y-x)] f_{X,Y}(x,y) dx dy + p \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{y-x} \alpha_{1} t dt \int_{X,Y}^{0} (x,y) dx dy + p \int_{\tau}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\tau} [\alpha_{0}(\tau-x)] f_{X,Y}(x,y) dx dy + p \int_{\tau}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{\tau-x} \alpha_{1} t dt \int_{X,Y}^{0} (x,y) dx dy$$

$$(20)$$

$$E[N_{2}(\tau)] = p \iint_{0} \left[\beta_{0}(x-y) \right] f_{X,Y}(x,y) dy dx + p \iint_{0} \left[\int_{0}^{\tau} \beta_{1} t dt \right] f_{X,Y}(x,y) dy dx + p \iint_{0}^{\tau} \left[\beta_{0}(\tau-y) \right] f_{X,Y}(x,y) dy dx + p \iint_{0}^{\tau} \left[\int_{0}^{\tau-y} \beta_{1} t dt \right] f_{X,Y}(x,y) dy dx$$
(21)

$$E[N_{3}(\tau)] = p \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{x} [\delta_{0}(\tau - x)] f_{X,Y}(x,y) dy dx + p \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{x} \left[\int_{0}^{\tau - x} \delta_{1} t dt \right] f_{X,Y}(x,y) dy dx + p \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{y} \left[\delta_{0}(\tau - y) \right] f_{X,Y}(x,y) dx dy + p \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{y} \left[\int_{0}^{\tau - y} \delta_{1} t dt \right] f_{X,Y}(x,y) dx dy$$
(22)

where $f_{X,Y}(x,y)$ is the joint probability density function that is expressed as following: 5

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{5} & \{ \lambda_{2}(\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{3}) \exp[-\lambda_{2}y - (\lambda_{5} + \lambda_{3})x], if(X, Y) \in \{0 \le Y < X \le \tau\} \\ \lambda_{1}(\lambda_{2} + \lambda_{3}) \exp[-\lambda_{1}x - (\lambda_{2} + \lambda_{3})y], if(X, Y) \in \{0 \le X < Y \le \tau\} \\ \lambda_{2}(\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{3}) \exp[-\lambda_{2}y - (\lambda_{5} + \lambda_{3})x], if(X, Y) \in \{0 \le Y \le \tau \le X\} \\ \lambda_{1}(\lambda_{2} + \lambda_{3}) \exp[-\lambda_{2}y - (\lambda_{5} + \lambda_{3})y], if(X, Y) \in \{0 \le X \le \tau \le Y\} \\ \lambda_{3} \exp[-(\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2} + \lambda_{3})x] & if(X, Y) \in \{0 \le X = Y \le \tau\} \end{aligned}$$
(23)

After doing the integrations and substituting equation 6, we obtain

46

Therefore the objective function of linea⁵¹ leteriorating rates model is the equation (11) with updated expression on $E[N_1(n)]$, $E[N_2(n)]$, and $E[N_3(n)]$, which are following equations (24), (25), (26), respectively.

Similar to the basic model, after applying Maclaurin series in the equation (12) to approximate every exponential function in the expression, and doing some algebra, we can obtain the approximation of the objective function as follow

$$\tilde{Z}(n) = nA + \frac{1}{n}B_L - \frac{1}{n^2}C_L$$
(27)

where

$$B_{L} = \frac{H^{2}}{2} \frac{d^{2}}{p} \left[\frac{h}{d} (p-d) + \pi_{1} \alpha_{0} \lambda_{1} + \pi_{2} \beta_{0} \lambda_{2} + \pi_{3} \delta_{0} \lambda_{3} \right]$$
(28)

$$C_{L} = \frac{d^{3}H^{3}}{6p^{2}} \left(\pi_{1}\lambda_{1} \left[(\lambda_{1} + 2\lambda_{2} + 2\lambda_{3})\alpha_{0} - \alpha_{1} \right] + \pi_{2}\lambda_{2} \left[(2\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2} + 2\lambda_{3})\beta_{0} - \beta_{1} \right] + \pi_{3}\lambda_{3} \left[(\lambda_{3}^{2} - 2\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2})\delta_{0} - \delta_{1} \right] \right)$$
(29)

Since the approximation of the objective function of the linear deteriorating 2 tes model is in the same form as its of the basic model, the solution approach developed in Section 3 can be utilized to find the optimal solution with B_L and C_L replacing B and C appearing in the Algorithm 1.

5.2. Exponential Deteriorating Rates

In this second case, we consider a case where, if the first KPS, or the second KPS, or both KPSs are shifted from an in-control state to 59 out-of-control state, defective items produced will be generated at a rate of $\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 \left[1 - \exp(-\alpha_2 t)\right]$, $\beta_0 + \beta_1 \left[1 - \exp(-\beta_2 t)\right]$, and $\delta_0 + \delta_1 \left[1 - \exp(-\delta_2 t)\right]$, respectively. The expected number of defective items produced during a production run can be expressed as follows:

$$E[N_{1}(\tau)] = p \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{y} [\alpha_{0}(y-x)] f_{X,Y}(x,y) dxdy + p \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{y} \int_{0}^{y-x} \alpha_{1} (1 - \exp(-\alpha_{2}t)) dt \bigg] f_{X,Y}(x,y) dxdy + p \int_{\tau}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\tau} [\alpha_{0}(\tau-x)] f_{X,Y}(x,y) dxdy + p \int_{\tau}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{\tau-x} \alpha_{1} (1 - \exp(-\alpha_{2}t)) dt \bigg] f_{X,Y}(x,y) dxdy$$
(30)

$$E[N_{2}(\tau)] = p \iint_{0}^{\tau} [\beta_{0}(x-y)] f_{X,Y}(x,y) dy dx + p \iint_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{x-y} \beta_{1} (1 - \exp(-\beta_{2}t)) dt \Big|_{f_{X,Y}}^{\theta}(x,y) dy dx + p \iint_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{\tau-y} \beta_{1} (1 - \exp(-\beta_{2}t)) dt \Big|_{f_{X,Y}}^{\theta}(x,y) dy dx$$
(31)

$$E[N_{3}(\tau)] = p \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{3}} [\delta_{0}(\tau - x)] f_{X,Y}(x, y) dy dx + p \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{3}} \left[\int_{0}^{\tau - x} \delta_{1}(1 - \exp(-\delta_{2}t)) dt \right] f_{X,Y}(x, y) dy dx + p \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{3}} [\delta_{0}(\tau - y)] f_{X,Y}(x, y) dx dy + p \int_{0}^{\tau} \int_{0}^{\frac{\pi}{3}} \left[\int_{0}^{\tau - x} \delta_{1}(1 - \exp(-\delta_{2}t)) dt \right] f_{X,Y}(x, y) dx dy + p \int_{0}^{\tau} \delta_{0}(\tau - x) f_{X,Y}(x, y) dx dy + p \int_{0}^{\tau} \left[\int_{0}^{\tau - x} \delta_{1}(1 - \exp(-\delta_{2}t)) dt \right] f_{X,Y}(x, y) dx dy$$
(32)

Following the similar procedure with the basic and the linear deteriorating rates model, we obtain the approximation of the objective function as follow

$$\tilde{Z}(n) = nA + \frac{1}{n}B_E - \frac{1}{n^2}C_E$$
(33)

where

$$B_{E} = \frac{H^{2}}{2} \frac{d^{2}}{p} \left[\frac{h}{d} (p-d) + \pi_{1} \alpha_{0} \lambda_{1} + \pi_{2} \beta_{0} \lambda_{2} + \pi_{3} \delta_{0} \lambda_{3} \right]$$
(34)

$$C_{E} = \frac{d^{3}H^{3}}{6p^{2}} \Big(\pi_{1}\lambda_{1} \Big[(\lambda_{1} + 2\lambda_{2} + 2\lambda_{3})\alpha_{0} - \alpha_{1}\alpha_{2} \Big] + \pi_{2}\lambda_{2} \Big[(2\lambda_{1} + \lambda_{2} + 2\lambda_{3})\beta_{0} - \beta_{1}\beta_{2} \Big] + \pi_{3}\lambda_{3} \Big[\Big(\lambda_{3}^{2} - 2\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2} \Big) \delta_{0} - \delta_{1}\delta_{2}\lambda_{3} \Big] \Big)$$
(35)

Again, we easily see that, in this case, the solution approach developed in Section 3 can be used find the optimal solution with B_E and C_E replacing B and C, respectively.

5.3. Numerical Illustration

Example case 1 from Section 4.1 is selected here for the first illustration. Suppose the deteriorating rates are linear with $\alpha_0 = 0.1$, $\beta_0 = 0.1$, $\delta_0 = 0.16$, $\alpha_1 = 0.01$, $\beta_1 = 0.01$, and $\delta_1 = 0.016$, instead of fixed. After calculating B_L and C_L and following Algorithm 1, it is found that $n^* = 2$. When the deteriorating rates are exponential with $\alpha_0 = 0.1$, $\beta_0 = 0.1$, $\delta_0 = 0.16$, $\alpha_1 = 0.01$, $\beta_1 = 0.01$, $\beta_1 = 0.01$, $\beta_1 = 0.01$, $\beta_1 = 0.016$, $\alpha_2 = 2$, $\beta_2 = 2$, $\delta_2 = 2$, it is found that $n^* = 3$ as the result of Algorithm 1 using B_E and C_E .

Similar situation is occurred when the same parameters are used for example case 2 from Section 4.2, in which the deteriorating rates are linear with $\alpha_0 = 0.1$, $\beta_0 = 0.1$, $\delta_0 = 0.16$, $\alpha_1 = 0.01$, $\beta_1 = 0.01$, $\delta_1 = 0.016$ and exponential with $\alpha_0 = 0.1$, $\beta_0 = 0.1$, $\delta_0 = 0.16$, $\alpha_1 = 0.01$, $\beta_1 = 0.01$, $\delta_1 = 0.016$, $\alpha_2 = 2$, $\beta_2 = 2$, $\delta_2 = 2$. The *n** value is changed from 4 for fixed deteriorating rates, to 6 for linear deteriorating rates, and 7 for exponential deteriorating rates. While this situation is not taken place for every problem parameters, however, it is raplied that linear and exponential deteriorating rates tend to have bigger optimal number of production cycles than the fixed one.

6. Conclusion

25

50

In this paper, an economic production quantity (EPQ) model over a finite planning horizon is considered where the production system is imperfect and dictated by two bit production subsystems. Each key production subsystem (KPS) will shift from an in-control state to an out-of-control state during a production run, where the time-to-shift of two 34 PS's are two random variables following a bivariate exponential distribution. When the system is in the out-ofcontrol state, a percentage of items produced become defective and incur talitional costs. The talective is to determine a number of production cycles that minimizes the expected total cost per unit time including setup, inventory carrying, and defective costs. Three different defective rate scheme has been investigated, which are fixed, linear, and exponential. It is shown that linear and exponential deteriorating rates tend to have bigger optimal number of

production cycles than the fixed one. The fu₇₃ re research directions of this model include allowing shortages, considering time value of money, adopting a periodic inspection policy, and investing in setup to improve the quality of the KPS's.

7. Acknowledgements

27 is work is partially supported by Directorate General of Higher Education, Ministry of Education and Culture, Republic Indonesia under International Research Collaboration and Scientific Publication Research Grant No. 086/SP2H/PL/DIT.LITABMAS/V/2013.

References

Ai, T.J., Astanti, R.D., Bintoro, A.G., & Wibowo, T.I. (2013). Three Approaches to Find Optimal Production Run Time of an Imperfect Production System. *Proceedings of the Institute of Industrial Engineers Asian Conference* 2013, 1065-1070.

Ben-Daya, M. (1999). Multi-stage lot sizing models with imperfect processes and inspection errors. *Production Planning & Control*, 10(2), 118-126.

Ben-Daya, M., & Noman, S. M. (2008). Integrated inventory and inspection policies for stochastic demand. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 185(1), 159-169.

Ben-Daya, M., Noman, S. M., & Hariga, M. (2006). Integrated inventory control and inspection policies with deterministic demand. *Computers & Operations Research*, 33(6), 1625-1638.

Chakraborty, T., Giri, B. C., & Chaudhuri, K. S. (2009). Production lot sizing with process deterioration and machine breakdown under inspection schedule. *Omega*, 37(2), 257-271.

Chung, K. J., & Hou, K. L. (2003). An optimal production run time with imperfect production processes and allowable shortages. *Computers & Operations Research*, 30(4), 483-490.

Giri, B. C., & Dohi, T. (2007). Inspection scheduling for imperfect production processes under free repair warranty contract. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 183(1), 238-252.

Hariga, M., & Ben - Daya, M. (1998). Note: The economic manufacturing lot - sizing problem with imperfect production processes: Bounds and optimal solutions. *Naval Research Logistics*, 45(4), 423-433.

Hou, K. L., & Lin, L. C. (2005). EMQ model with maintenance actions for deteriorating production system. *Information and Management Sciences*, 16, 53-65.

Hsieh, C. C., & Lee, Z. Z. (2005). Joint determination of production run length and number of standbys in a deteriorating production process. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 162(2), 359-371.

Huang, Y. S., Lo, H. C., & Ho, J. W. (2008). Design of effective inspection schema for imperfect production systems. International Journal of Production Research, 46(16), 4537-4551. doi:10.1080/00207540701261634

Khouja, M., & Mehrez, A. (1994). Economic production lot size model with variable production rate and imperfect quality. *Journal of the Operational Research Society*, 1405-1417.

Kim, C. H., & Hong, Y. (1999). An optimal production run length in deteriorating production processes. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 58(2), 183-189.

Lee, H. L., & Rosenblatt, M. J. (1987). Simultaneous determination of production cycle and inspection schedules in a production system. *Management Science*, 33(9), 1125-1136.

Lee, H. L., & Rosenblatt, M. J. (1988). Economic design and control of monitoring mechanisms in automated production systems. *IIE Transactions*, 20(2), 201-209.

Lee, H. L., & Rosenblatt, M. J. (1989). A production and maintenance planning model with restoration cost dependent on detection delay. *IIE Transactions*, 21(4), 368-375.

Lee, J. S., & Park, K. S. (1991). Joint determination of production cycle and inspection intervals in a deteriorating production system. *Journal of the Operational Research Society*, 42(9), 775-783.

Lin, C. S., Chen, C. H., & Kroll, D. E. (2003). Integrated production-inventory models for imperfect production processes under inspection schedules. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, 44(4), 633-650.

Lin, G. C., & Gong, D. C. (2011). On an Economic lot sizing model subject to two imperfect key production subsystems. *Proceedings of IIE Asian Conference 2011*, Shanghai, China.

Lin, G. C., & Lin, H. (2007). Determining a production run time for an imperfect production-inventory system with scrap. *Journal of Scientific and Industrial Research*, 66(9), 724–735.

Lin, T. M., Tseng, S. T., & Liou, M. J. (1991). Optimal inspection schedule in the imperfect production system under general shift distribution. *Journal of the Chinese Institute of Industrial Engineers*, 8(2), 73-81.

Liou, M. J., Tseng, S. T., & Lin, T. M. (1994). The effects of inspection errors to the imperfect EMQ model. *IIE Transactions*, 26(2), 42-51.

Makis, V. (1998). Optimal lot sizing and inspection policy for an EMQ model with imperfect inspections. *Naval Research Logistics*, 45(2), 165-186.

Ouyang, L. Y., & Chang, H. C. (2000). EMQ model with variable lead time and Imperfect production process. *International Journal of Information and Management Sciences*, 11(1), 1-10.

Rosenblatt, M. J., & Lee, H. L. (1986a). A comparative study of continuous and periodic inspection policies in deteriorating production systems. *IIE Transactions*, 18(1), 2-9.

Rosenblatt, M. J., & Lee, H. L. (1986b). Economic production cycles with imperfect production processes. *IIE Transactions*, 18(1), 48-55.

Wang, C. H., & Sheu, S. H. (2001). Simultaneous determination of the optimal production-inventory and product inspection policies for a deteriorating production system. *Computers & Operations Research*, 28(11), 1093-1110.

Wang, C. H., & Tsai, W. C. (2012). Determining the production lot size with a heuristic inspection policy for controlling the quality of input materials and products. *International Journal of Systems Science*, 43(11), 2030-2039.

Paper 11 JSMS Two Imperfect

ORIGINALITY REPORT

2 SIMILA	9% 18% RITY INDEX INTERNET SOURCES	27% PUBLICATIONS	17% STUDENT PAPERS
PRIMAR	Y SOURCES		
1	163.13.192.111 Internet Source		2%
2	www.tandfonline.com		2%
3	Gary C. Lin, Dennis E. Kro sizing for an imperfect pro subject to random breakdo Optimization, 2006 Publication	oll. "Economic oduction system owns", Enginee	lot 1% n ering
4	www.coursehero.com		1%
5	Saralees Nadarajah. "Exa for some bivariate expone Statistics A Journal of The Statistics, 8/1/2006 Publication	act distributions ential distributio eoretical and A	of XY 1 % ns", pplied
6	Submitted to University of Student Paper	f New England	1%
7	link.springer.com		1%

Submitted to University of Technology,

8	Sydney Student Paper	1%
9	Wang, C.H "Utilizing an approximative solution to obtain the real optimal solution for a production and inspection model", Applied Mathematical Modelling, 200910 Publication	1%
10	Chen-Sin Lin, Chih-Hua Chen, Dennis E. Kroll. "Integrated production-inventory models for imperfect production processes under inspection schedules 太", Computers & Industrial Engineering, 2003 Publication	1%
11	ir.nctu.edu.tw Internet Source	1%
12	Lin, Gary C., Andy Wu, Dah-Chuang Gong,	1%

 Boray Huang, and Wen-Na Ma. "On a multiproduct lot scheduling problem subject to an imperfect process with standby modules", International Journal of Production Research, 2014.
 Publication

13	theses.ulaval.ca	1%
14	www.inderscience.com	1%
15	www.inderscienceonline.com	1%

16	Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 2006. Publication	1%
17	Bing-Chang Ou Yang. "A SIMPLE ALGORITHM FOR PRODUCTION POLICIES WITH A LINEAR TREND IN DEMAND", Journal of the Chinese Institute of Industrial Engineers, 2008 Publication	<1%
18	Ma, W.N "An optimal common production cycle time for imperfect production processes with scrap", Mathematical and Computer Modelling, 201009 Publication	<1%
19	Tulika Chakraborty, B.C. Giri, K.S. Chaudhuri. "Production lot sizing with process deterioration and machine breakdown under inspection schedule", Omega, 2009 Publication	<1%
20	Submitted to University of Sheffield Student Paper	<1%
21	es.scribd.com Internet Source	<1%
22	Gary Lin. "ON A PRODUCTION-INVENTORY MODEL FOR DETERIORATING ITEMS SUBJECT TO AN IMPERFECT PROCESS", Journal of the Chinese Institute of Industrial Engineers, 2007 Publication	<1%

23	CS. Lin. "Integrated production-inventory models with imperfect production processes and a limited capacity for raw materials", Mathematical and Computer Modelling, 1999 Publication	< 1 %
24	static.tti.tamu.edu Internet Source	<1%
25	growingscience.com Internet Source	<1%
26	Sana, S.S "A production-inventory model in an imperfect production process", European Journal of Operational Research, 20100116 Publication	<1%
27	Suwartono, Christiany, Magdalena S. Halim, Lidia L. Hidajat, Marc P. H. Hendriks, and Roy P. C. Kessels. "Development and Reliability of the Indonesian Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale—Fourth Edition (WAIS-IV)", Psychology, 2014. Publication	<1%
28	www.telecom.tuc.gr Internet Source	<1%
29	www.ukessays.com	<1%
30	Submitted to Hellenic Open University Student Paper	<1%
31	bradscholars.brad.ac.uk	<1%

32	HF. Yu, WC. Yu. "The optimal production and quality policy for the vendor in a trade", International Journal of Production Research, 2008 Publication	<1%
33	Integrated Models in Production Planning Inventory Quality and Maintenance, 2001. Publication	<1%
34	Sheng-Tsaing Tseng, Ruey Huei Yeh, Wen- Tsung Ho. "Imperfect maintenance policies for deteriorating production systems", International Journal of Production Economics, 1998 Publication	<1%
35	Xuejuan Liu, Wenbin Wang, Rui Peng. "An integrated production, inventory and preventive maintenance model for a multi- product production system", Reliability Engineering & System Safety, 2015 Publication	<1%
36	Chang Hyun Kim, Yushin Hong. "An optimal production run length in deteriorating production processes", International Journal of Production Economics, 1999 Publication	< 1 %
37	eprints.kfupm.edu.sa Internet Source	<1%

38

	Nonlinear, Adaptive, and PDE Systems", Springer Nature, 2009 Publication	<1%
39	Hai Yan, William H.K Lam. "Optimal road tolls under conditions of queueing and congestion", Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice, 1996 Publication	< 1 %
40	Roy, M. D., S. S. Sana, and K. Chaudhuri. "An economic production lot size model for defective items with stochastic demand, backlogging and rework", IMA Journal of Management Mathematics, 2013. Publication	<1%
41	Babak Mohammadi, Ata Allah Taleizadeh, Rasoul Noorossana, Hadi Samimi. "Optimizing integrated manufacturing and products inspection policy for deteriorating manufacturing system with imperfect inspection", Journal of Manufacturing Systems, 2015 Publication	<1%
42	Patrick J. Wolfe. "Perceptually Motivated Approaches to Music Restoration", Journal of New Music Research, 3/1/2001 Publication	<1%

43 Mohamed Ben-Daya. "Economic production quantity model with a shifting production rate", International Transactions in Operational

	Publication	
44	Pranowo Pranowo, Adhika Widyaparaga. "Numerical Simulation of Thermoacoustic Wave Induced by Thermal Effects by Using Discontinuous Galerkin Method", Modelling and Simulation in Engineering, 2018 Publication	<1%
45	Hartono, R "Prediction of solubility of biomolecules in supercritical solvents", Chemical Engineering Science, 200112 Publication	<1%
46	epdf.tips Internet Source	<1%
47	zapdoc.tips Internet Source	<1%
48	Submitted to King Fahd University for Petroleum and Minerals Student Paper	< 1 %
49	KL. Hou. "Optimal production run length and capital investment in quality improvement with an imperfect production process", International Journal of Systems Science, 2/1/2004 Publication	<1%

Research, 01/02/2008

50

Hu, J.S.. "Optimal production run length with imperfect production processes and backorder in fuzzy random environment", Computers &

<1%

Industrial Engineering, 201008

Publication

51	Xu Wang. "Statistical inference for the new INAR(2) models with random coefficient", Journal of Inequalities and Applications, 2019 Publication	<1%
52	www.bolyaifarkas.org.rs	< 1 %
53	Lin, Hsien-Jen, and Yu-Jen Lin. "Supply chain coordination with defective items and quantity discount", International Journal of Systems Science, 2014. Publication	<1%
54	digital.library.ryerson.ca	<1%
55	Huang, Hongfu, Yong He, and Dong Li. "EPQ for an unreliable production system with endogenous reliability and product deterioration", International Transactions in Operational Research, 2016. Publication	<1%
56	Abdur Rahim. "Optimal production run length for products sold with warranty in a deteriorating production system with a time varying defective rate under allowable shortages", International Journal of Industrial and Systems Engineering, 2011 Publication	<1%

57	Shey-Huei Sheu, Jih-An Chen. "Optimal lot- sizing problem with imperfect maintenance and imperfect production", International Journal of Systems Science, 2004 Publication	<1%
58	Li, Shoude. "Optimal control of production- maintenance system with deteriorating items, emission tax and pollution R&D investment", International Journal of Production Research, 2014. Publication	<1%
59	Mao Weihua, Deng Feiqi, Wan Anhua. "Delay- dependent robust H∞ filtering for uncertain neutral stochastic time-varying delay systems", 2015 34th Chinese Control Conference (CCC), 2015 Publication	<1%
60	www.ebmpapst.ua Internet Source	<1%
61	Mandyam Srinivasan. "Optimal work-in- process inventory levels for high-variety, low- volume manufacturing systems", IIE Transactions, 06/2010 Publication	<1%
62	Cheng-Kang Chen, Chih-Chung Lo. "Optimal	<1%

production run length for products sold with warranty in an imperfect production system with allowable shortages", Mathematical and Computer Modelling, 2006

Publication

69

63	Gwo Dong Lin, Chin-Diew Lai, K. Govindaraju. "Correlation structure of the Marshall–Olkin bivariate exponential distribution", Statistical Methodology, 2016 Publication	<1%
64	Submitted to Universiti Putra Malaysia Student Paper	<1%
65	jiei.azad.ac.ir Internet Source	<1%
66	John Gilbert, Camilla Jordan. "Guide to Mathematical Methods", Springer Nature, 2002 Publication	<1%
67	Jae-Dong Hong. "Optimal production cycles, procurement schedules, and joint investment in an imperfect production system", European Journal of Operational Research, 1997 Publication	<1%
68	Muhammad Al-Salamah. "Economic production quantity with the presence of imperfect quality and random machine breakdown and repair based on the artificial bee colony heuristic", Applied Mathematical Modelling, 2018 Publication	<1%

failure of systems with dependent components", Applied Mathematics and Computation, 2014 Publication

<1%

<1%

<1%

Xuejuan Liu, Binrong Wang. "Joint optimization of economic production quantity and preventive maintenance with considering multi-products and reserve time", Journal of Industrial Engineering and Management, 2017 Publication

Debasis Das, Arindam Roy, Samarjit Kar. "A volume flexible economic production lot-sizing problem with imperfect quality and random machine failure in fuzzy-stochastic environment", Computers & Mathematics with Applications, 2011

- Chang Hyun Kim. "Optimal production run length and inspection schedules in a deteriorating production process", IIE Transactions, 5/1/2001 Publication
- M. A. DARWISH. Asia-Pacific Journal of Operational Research, 2006 Publication
 - 74 Submitted to National Kaohsiung First University of Science and Technology Student Paper

Singh, . "Entropy Theory", Entropy Theory and

75	its Application in Environmental and Water Engineering Singh/Entropy Theory and its Application in Environmental and Water Engineering, 2013. Publication	<1%
76	"Complex System Maintenance Handbook", Springer Nature, 2008 Publication	<1%
77	Submitted to City University of Hong Kong Student Paper	<1%
78	Sivashankari, C.K., and S. Panayappan. "Production inventory model for two levels production with defective items and incorporating multi-delivery policy", International Journal of Operational Research, 2014. Publication	<1%
79	Biswajit Sarkar. "Optimal reliability, production lot size and safety stock in an imperfect production system", International Journal of Mathematics in Operational Research, 2010 Publication	<1%
80	Submitted to University of Malaya Student Paper	<1%
81	Shu, Hui, and Xideng Zhou. "An optimal policy for a single-vendor and a single-buyer integrated system with setup cost reduction and process-quality improvement",	<1%

International Journal of Systems Science, 2014.

Publication

Exclude quotes	Off	Exclude matches	Off
Exclude bibliography	On		