ISAHP 2018 HONG KONG, HK

JULY 12 - JULY 15, 2018 / HONG KONG, HK
International Symposium on the Analytic Hierarchy Process

Follow @isahp2018

Thomas L. Saaty (1926 - 2017) »

*AHP/ANP in Technology, Entrepreneurship
and Corporate Social Responsibility*

ISAHP2013

Copyright

Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia
June 23 - 26, 2013

Online Proceedings
ISSN 1556-'8296

CD/Flashdrive Version Proceedings
ISSN 1556-830X

Library of Congress Publication of Data
Proceedings of the 12th International Symposium on the Analytic
Hierarchy Process for Multicriteria Decision Making
Date : 23-36 June 2013
Hotel Istana, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

Copyright © 2013 by Creative Decision Foundation on behalf of the 12th
International Symposium on the Analytic Hierarchy Process

4922 Ellsworth Avenue
Pittsburgh, PA 15213
Phone : 412-621-6546
Contact : Rozann Saaty
rozann@creativedecisions.net

ISAHP.ORG
SiteLock
MALWARE-FREE
Passed 11-Jun-2019

ISAHP 2018
HONG KONG, HK

JULY 12 - JULY 15, 2018 / HONG KONG, HK
International Symposium on the Analytic Hierarchy Process

Follow @isahp2018

Thomas L. Saaty (1926 - 2017) »

*AHP/ANP in Technology, Entrepreneurship
and Corporate Social Responsibility*

ISAHP2013

Organizing Committee

Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia
June 23 - 26, 2013

PATRON

Prof. Dato' Dr. Zaleha Kamaruddin
Rector, IIUM

ADVISOR

Prof. Dr. Khaliq Ahmad
Dean, Kenms, IIUM

HONORARY CHAIRMAN

Prof. Dr. Thomas L. Saaty
University of Pittsburgh, USA

CHAIRMAN

Prof. Dr. Rafikul Islam
IIUM

DEPUTY CHAIRMAN

Mr. Razali Husain
bSure Solutions Sdn Bhd.

SECRETARY

Selim Ahmed
IIUM

TREASURER

Mdm. Sharifah Hazam
bSure Solutions Sdn Bhd.

Prof. Dr. Moussa Larbani IIUM
Dr. Suhaimi Mhd Sarif IIUM
Dr. Anisah Abdullah IIUM
Dr. Nurita Juhdi IIUM
Dr. Naail Mohd. Kamil IIUM
Mr. Asnyat Asmat IIUM
Dr. Mohamad Rizza Othman UMP
Dr. Mohd. Shukri Abdul Hamid UUM
Dr. Latifah Abd. Manaf UPM
Dr. Muhammad Tahir Jan IIUM
Dr. Wan Rohaida Wan Husain IIUM
Dr. Abdul Jalil IIUM
Mr. Armi Abu Samah UPM
Mr. Philip Kang Wee Siang UPM
Ms. Nuruljanah Kharuddin UPM
Mdm. Azilah Anis UITM

IN APPRECIATION

Students

Mabruk Billah
Mohamed Khendek
Abdul Highe Khan
Abdifatah Ahmed Haji
Khondakar Sazzadul Karim
Muhammad Mehedi Masud

JULY 12 - JULY 15, 2018 / HONG KONG, HK

International Symposium on the Analytic Hierarchy Process

Follow @isahp2018

Thomas L. Saaty (1926 - 2017) »

*AHP/ANP in Technology, Entrepreneurship
and Corporate Social Responsibility*

ISAHP2013

Papers

**Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia
June 23 - 26, 2013**

A Conceptual Design of a Mobile Healthcare Device – An Application of Three-Stage QFD with ANP and TRIZ

Hsu-Shih Shih*, Szu-Hua Chen

DOI:

A Correct Approach on Adding Criteria in the Analytic Hierarchy Process

Min-Suk YOON, Young-Woo SOHN

DOI:

A Feedback-Aware Valuation of Construction Project Execution Time

Mirosław Dytczak*, Grzegorz Ginda, Barbara Jastrząbek, Tomasz Wojtkiewicz

DOI:

A Hybrid AHP/FST Model for Regional Aircraft Evaluation

Giuseppe Bruno, Emilio Esposito*, Andrea Genovese

DOI:

A New Approach to Eyewitness Police Identification

Enrique Mu, Rachel Chung*

DOI:

A Novel Approach for Implementing of a Log-Sigmoid Function on a FPGA Device Using the Sfloat24 Math Library – An Modelling –

M. C. Miglionico, F. Parillo*

DOI:

A Novel Model Integrating the Processes of Selection and Behavioral Evaluation of Suppliers in a Supply Chain through Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP)

Mohamed Khendek, Moussa Larbani*

DOI:

A Statistical Hypothesis Testing Method for the Rank Ordering of the Priorities of the Alternatives in the Analytic Hierarchy Process

Indrani Basak

DOI:

A Validation of the Effectiveness of Inner Dependence in an ANP Model

Rozann Saaty

DOI:

About Some Features Of AHP/ANP Applications

Olga Andreichicova*, Alexander Andreichicov

DOI:

Accuracy Improvement of Object Oriented Software Design Measurement Using Analytic Network Process

Petrus Mursanto

DOI:

Adaptive Credit Scoring with Analytic Hierarchy Process

Kwang Yong Koh*, Murphy Choy, Michelle L.F. Cheong

DOI:

AHP Application in Contemporary Nepalese Issues

Shashi Bhattarai*, Prabal Sapkota

DOI:

AHP Based Academic Performance Scoresheet (APS) for Holistic Assessment of Academician Achievements

Mohamad Rizza bin Othman*, Syamsul Bahari bin Abdullah

DOI:

AHP Model for Primary School Teaching and Learning ICT Appraisal: User Perception

Astrid Oddershede*, Francia Farías, Jorge Donoso, Patricia Jarufe

DOI:

An AHP Based-Model for Sustainable Manufacturing Performance Evaluation in Automotive Industry

Elita Amrina*, Sha'ri Mohd Yusof

DOI:

An Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) Model to Anticipate Logistics Organization's Preference for Operations Research (OR) Tools Adoption

Wan Fei Lai*, Miang Hong Ngerng, Min Yoong Ho

DOI:

An Induction Based on a Hybrid of DRSA And DEMATEL for Analyzing Competitiveness 2012

Yu-Chien Ko, Chao Hsien-Wen, Gwo-Hshiung Tzeng

DOI:

An Integrated Approach for Prioritizing Projects for Implementation Using AHP

Christian Tabi Amponsah

DOI:

An Integrated Mental Workload Assessment Method by Using AHP

Ergün Eraslan

DOI:

An Overview Use of Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) in Design for Remanufacturing Activities

Tajul Ariffin Abdullah*, Dzuraidah Abd. Wahab, A.A.Lashlem

DOI:

Analytic Hierarchy Process and Agent-Based Simulation for Traffic Modeling

Richard Cimler

DOI:

Application of AHP to Determine Consumers' Perceptions of CSR Strategy for Organizations in the Nigerian GMS Telecommunication Industry

Bolajoko Nkemdinim Dixon-Ogbechi*, Sikuade Oladimeji Jagun

DOI:

Application of Analytic Network Process in the Performance Evaluation of Local Black-Soybean Supply to Unilever Indonesia's Soy-Sauce Product

Anggi Gayatri Setiawan*, Didit Herawan, Bambang Purwoko Kusumo Bintoro

DOI:

Assessing Systems of Systems' Performance Using a Hierarchical Evaluation Process

Rahim Jassemi-Zargani*, Nathan Kashyap

DOI:

Assessing the Corporate Social Responsibility Reports Based on Communication Indicators: an ANP Approach

Tomás Gómez-Navarro*, Amparo Baviera-Puig, Gabriel García-Martínez

DOI:

Assessing the Sustainability of Grazing in Protected Natural Areas by Means of the ANP: A Case Study In the Cotopaxi National Park (Ecuador)

Wilson Jácome-Enríquez*, Tomás Gómez-Navarro, Ricardo Pachamama-Méndez

DOI:

Better World through Better Decision Making

Thomas L. Saaty

DOI:

Building a Evaluation of Performance Model for the Cloud E-Learning Service Using Hybrid MCDM

*Chiu-Hung Su, Hao-Lin Tzeng, Gwo-Hshiung Tzeng**

DOI:

Car's Dashboard Improvement Design Concepts through Integration of AHP and TRIZ

Mohd Uzair Mohd Rosli

DOI:

Change Management Strategy Development in Information Systems / Information Technology Using Analytic Hierarchy Process

Riri Satria*

DOI:

Characterization of the AHP with Adjustments of Weights of Alternatives as an Optimal Solution

Youichi Iida

DOI:

Coevaluation and Grading of Engineering Students in Venezuela Using AHP

Aidaelena Smith-Perera*, Carmen Lucía Rojas-Lima

DOI:

Deriving Priorities from Partially-Filled Reciprocal Comparison

Matrices Ami Arbel

DOI:

Design of Performance Evaluation Tools for Drainage of Roads System in Developing Country (Case Study: Drainage System for City Roads in Padang Indonesia)

Insannul Kamil*, Buang Alias, Abdul Hakim Mohammed, Nilda Tri Putri, Cresti Kalani

DOI:

Development of a Two-Stage AMT Option Selection Model to Use in Turkish Manufacturing Companies

Yusuf Tansel İç*, Mustafa Yurdakul

DOI:

Enhancing Malaysian Graduate Employability Skills: Quality Function Deployment Approach

Mohamad Shukri Abdul Hamid*, Rafikul Islam, Noor Hazilah Abd Manaf

DOI:

Enterprise Evolution Strategy Analysis by Unearthing Superiority in ANP Supermatrix

Xing Yin, Xiaomeng Yin*

DOI:

Estimating the Prominence of AHP in a Selected Internet Search Engine

Yusof Ismail

DOI:

Estimating the Prominence of AHP in Subscribed Online Databases

Yusof Ismail*, Mohd Hasbullah B Mohamad Faudzi, Muhammad Hamidi Bin Zamri

DOI:

Evaluating Business-to-Business M-Commerce in SMEs By Using MCDM Approach

Ming-Tsang Lu*, Gwo-Hshiung Tzeng, Shu-Kung Hu, Yung-Chang-Lin

DOI:

Evaluation of Alternative Construction Sites with Analytic Network Process Method

Li-Chung Chao

DOI:

Fuzzy-ANP Based Research on the Risk Assessment of Biogas Production from Agriculture Biomass

Sandija Zeverte-Rivza*, Peteris Rivza, Jelgava

DOI:

Group Decision Making Approach in Karate Agility Test Selection

Mohammad Ebrahim Marjani*, Soh Kim.Geok, Majid Mojahed, Nur Surayyah M. Abdullah, Soheil Marjani

DOI:

Halal Global Analytic Hierarchy Parameters: A Conceptual Assessment

Rohana Kamaruddin*, Hadijah Iberahim, Norlida Abdul Hamid, Rahana Abdul Rahman, Nurol Ain Mustapha

DOI:

How to Optimize the Specification of Built-to-Order Technology System: A Case of Wastewater Treatment System

Yuji Sato

DOI:

Implementing the Analytic Hierarchy Process as a Standard Method for Multi-Criteria Decision Making in Corporate Enterprises – a New AHP Excel Template with Multiple Inputs

Klaus D. Goepel

DOI:

Insurance Tender Selection Using Multiple Criteria Decision Making

Poshitha Ratnayake*, Eranjan Padumadasa

DOI:

## Integrating AHP, SWOT and QSPM in Strategic Planning- an Application to College of Business Administration in Saudi Arabia

Syed Abdul Malik*, Nasser Saad Al Khatani, Mohammad Naushad

DOI:

Integrating Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) and Geographical Information Systems (GIS) for Prioritising and Planning Conservation Choices in Wales

Marcello Di Bonito, John Clarkson*, Michelle L. Wienhold, Leandro Pecchia*

DOI:

ISR Exploitation Cells Readiness Assessment

Rahim Jassemi-Zargani

DOI:

Market Risk Management for Public Utilities Through AHP

Massimo Fuccaro, Patrizia Simeoni *, Fabio De Felice

DOI:

Material Selection of Thermoplastic Matrix for Hybrid Natural Fiber/Glass Fiber Polymer Composites Using Analytic Hierarchy Process Method

Mansor M.R.*, Hambali A., Azaman M.D, Sapuan S.M., Zainudin E.S., Nuraini A.A.

DOI:

Methodology for Assessment Activity of the Technology Transfer Office Based on ANP

Rocío Poveda-Bautista*, Juan Pascual Pastor-Ferrando, Pablo Aragonés-Beltrán, Fernando Jiménez Saéz

DOI:

Multi-Criteria Assessment to Automate Water Treatment Plants Using the Analytical Hierarchy Process

Claudio Macuada*, Rubén Alarcon, Astrid Oddershede

DOI:

Multicriteria Decision Making for Project Scheduling under Resource Constraints

Elena Rokou*, Konstantinos Kirytopoulos

DOI:

Objectives of Islamic Banks in the Management of Asset and Liability: A Decision Process of Deriving Priority

Karmila Hanim Kamil*, Abdul Ghafar Ismail, Shahida Shahimi

DOI:

On the Aggregation of Individual Priorities in Incomplete Hierarchies

Daniel Kunzler de Souza Carmo, Fernando Augusto Silva Marins, Valerio A. P. Salomon*, Carlos Henrique Pereira Mello

DOI:

Operator Allocation Selection in Labor-Intensive Manufacturing System Using AHP/DEA and DEA

Ruzanita Mat Rani*, Wan Rosmanira Ismail

DOI:

Optimization Strategy of Cloud Computing Service Composition Research Based on ANP

Xing Xu, Hexi Guo*

DOI:

Path Algebraic AHP Eigenvector Based on Max and Min Operators

Masaaki Shinohara

DOI:

Post Evaluation of Medical Decision Using AHP

Shashi Bhattarai*, Kirshna Sharma

DOI:

Preference Matrices in Tropical Algebra

Hana Tom□a□skov□a, Martin Gavalec

DOI:

Prioritization of Playability Heuristic Evaluation for Educational Computer Games (PHEG) Technique Using Analytic Hierarchy Process

Hasiah Mohamed*, Azizah Jaafar

DOI:

Prioritization of Strategic Guidelines as Part of the Strategic Plan 2010-2014 for a Venezuelan University Using AHP

Aidaelena Smith-Perera*, Xavier Figarella

DOI:

Prioritizing Suitable Locations of Bike Sharing Station by Using the Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP)

Tharathorn Kanjanakorn, Mongkut Piantanakulchai*

DOI:

Purchase Order Selection Model At CV. Rooesman Indonesia Using Analytic Network Process

Ririn Diar Astanti*, Elizabeth Lucia Febriyanti, The Jin Ai

DOI:

QoS Evaluation of Cloud Service Architecture Based on ANP

Mingzhe Wang, Yu Liu*

DOI:

Quantifying Task Output and Project Value in Knowledge-Work Contexts

Sam Sharp

DOI:

Ranking Non-Dominated Solutions in Automated Highway Design Using the Analytic Network Process (ANP)

Soknath Mil*, Mongkut Piantanakulchai

DOI:

Rating BBA and MMP in their Stages of Product Development Based on Maqasid Framework

Syahidawati Shahwan*, Mustafa Omar Muhammad

DOI:

Reference Objects-Based Real Estate Valuation with MDAHP

Mirosław Dytczak*, Grzegorz Ginda

DOI:

Risk Management Decision Making

Rabihah Md.Sum

DOI:

Selecting a Profound Technical Service Provider to Perform a Technical Field Development Study from Given Multiple Criteria

Slamet Riyadi*, Lokman Effendi, Rafikul Islam

DOI:

Social Network Analysis in Participatory Environmental Decision Making. The Case of Spanish Wetland La Albufera.

Mónica García Melón*, Vicent Estruch Guitart, Pablo Aragonés Beltrán, Beatriz Monterde Roca

DOI:

Solid Waste Management: Development of AHP Model for Application of Landfill Sites Selection in Kuantan, Pahang, Malaysia

Noor Suraya Binti Romali*, Nadiah Binti Mokhtar, Wan Faizal Wan Ishak, Mohd Armi Abu Samah

DOI:

Solutions for a Disjoint Supermatrix in ANP Decision Models

Orrin Cooper, Guoqing Liu*

DOI:

[Solutions to Prevent Financial Crisis in Islamic Economic Perspective: ANP Approach](#)

Ascarya

DOI:

[Strategic Foresight Using an Analytic Hierarchy Process: Environmental Impact Assessment of the Electric Grid in 2025](#)

Ronald Mac-Ginty, Raúl Carrasco, Astrid Oddershede, Manuel Vargas*

DOI:

[Sustainable Decision-Making Model Based on Analytical Hierarchy Process and SWOT Analysis: "S-AHP" Model](#)

Fabio De Felice, Antonella Petrillo, Claudio Autorino, Armando Carlomusto*

DOI:

[The Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP) and the User Need Elicitation in the Health Technology Assessment (HTA)](#)

Leandro Pecchia, Stephen Morgan*

DOI:

[The Analytic Hierarchy Process without the Theory of Ooskar Perron](#)

Thomas L. Saaty

DOI:

[The Analytic Hierarchy Process: Application to the Election of the Chief Minister of Perak, Malaysia 2013](#)

Datin Margarita Sergeevna Peredaryenko, Dato' Hj Rais Hussin Hj Mohamed Ariff*

DOI:

The Application of GIS-APH to Develop a Strategic Planning For an Urban Farming: Fishery and Aquaculture

Bagiyo Suwasono*, Nurul Rosana

DOI:

The Fiction of a Factual Approach to Decision-Making

Sibs von Solms

DOI:

The Root Causes of Financial Crisis in Islamic Economic Perspective

Ascarya*, Dudy Iskandar

DOI:

The Use of Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) in Product Development Process

Mohd Azroy Mohd Razikin*, Hambali Arep @ Ariff, Ab Rahman Mahmood, Isa Halim

DOI:

Usage of Group AHP Approach in Sport Shoes Selection

Mohammad Ebrahim Marjani*, Majid Mojahed, Soheil Marjani

DOI:

Using AHP for the Evaluation of the Development of Career Education in Latvia

Veronika Bikse*, Una Libkovska, Peteris Rivza, Baiba Rivza

DOI:

Using Electre-AHP as a Mixed Method for Personnel Selection

Majid Mojahed*, Mohammad Ebrahim Marjani, Alireza Afshari, Soheil Marjani

DOI:

Valuating Patents Generated by Public Research Centers with the AHP Technique

Mónica García Melón*, Rafael López, Pablo Aragonés Beltrán, Enrique San Ambrosio

DOI:

Voting with Intensity of Preferences

Luis G. Vargas

DOI:

PURCHASE ORDER SELECTION MODEL AT CV. ROOESMAN INDONESIA USING ANALYTIC NETWORK PROCESS

Ririn Diar Astanti[*], Elizabeth Lucia Febriyanti, The Jin Ai
Department of Industrial Engineering
Universitas Atma Jaya Yogyakarta
Yogyakarta, Indonesia
E-mail: ririn@staff.uajy.ac.id

ABSTRACT

The research in this paper was done in CV. Rooesman, a small scale shoes and bag manufacturer company located in Yogyakarta Indonesia. Their strategy to response the demand is *make-to-order*. This company receives many purchase orders from several countries such as Japan, South Korea, and USA. Each purchase order is unique for example in term of design, complexity of the production process and raw material needed. Currently CV. Rooesman has difficulty to select which purchase order should be accepted. Ideally, all purchase orders from the customers have to be accepted. However due to the some factors such as limitation of resources that the company have i.e. human resources (number of workers and skill of workers needed) and other factor such as characteristic of the purchase order the company have to select which purchase order should be accepted. It happened in the past that the company accepted the purchase order without considering those factors then the order was not be able to deliver on time and the quality of the products did not meet the expectation of the customer. As a result, the company paid the penalty and the products were rejected by the customer. The research in this paper therefore tries to model purchase order selection problem using Analytic Network Process. There are four clusters considered in the model which are: Characteristic of the Purchase Order (Design of the Product, Quantity Order, Characteristic of the Customer, and Expected Quality), Complexity of the Production Process (Number of Workers Needed, Ability to Make the Prototype, Manufacturing Lead Time, Skill of the Worker), Economical Value (Production Cost, Selling Price and Price of Raw Material), and Alternative Purchase Order (Purchase Order 1, Purchase Order 2, Purchase Order 3).

Keywords: Make-To-Order Manufacturer, Purchase Order Selection Model, Analytic Network Process

1. Introduction

CV. Rooesman is one of the small scale shoes and bag manufacturer made from leather located in Manding Village, Yogyakarta. Recently, the company employs 25 workers. The products are exported overseas and the strategy to response their customer is *make-to-order*. Usually, CV. Rooesman receives purchase orders from several countries such as Japan, South Korea and USA. In the past, they tended to accept all of the consumer orders without considering their ability to fulfill the order such as the number of workers needed. When the company accepted the orders without considering the output capacity of the workers, it happened that the capacity was not sufficient for fulfilling the consumer orders. Then, in order to do so, they hired temporarily workers which tend to produce lower quality products than the products of regular workers. As a result, the products were rejected as the quality did not meet the specification. In addition, usually the rate of output of the temporarily worker is slower than that of the regular workers. Therefore, it happened that the company had to pay the penalty as they were not able to meet the order on

---

[*] Corresponding author

time. To prevent all those things will happen in the future, as a small scale industry CV. Rooesman that has some limitation in term of such as human resources and financial resources, therefore they need to decide which order has the highest possibility of having successfully fulfilled, in the sense that consumers orders can be delivered on time with the quality that meet the specification so that it can give the maximum profit to the company. The purchase order selection model is then needed by the company.

According to the result from the interview and discussion process that was done with the owner of CV. Rooesman, it was known that in order to decide which purchase orders should be selected they consider several criteria. Those criteria are Characteristic of the Order (Design of the Product, Quantity Order, Characteristic of the Customer, and Expected Quality), Complexity of the Production Process (Number of Workers Needed, Ability to Make the Prototype, Manufacturing Lead Time, Required Skill of the Worker), Economical Value (Production Cost, Selling Price and Price of Raw Material). In addition, there are dependencies among criteria for example: the skill of worker affects the ability to make prototype.

Problem on determining which purchase orders should be accepted by industry have been investigated by many researchers in the past. The common criterias used for determining which order should be accepted are maximizing profit (Rom and Slotnick, 2009; Martinez and Arredondo, 2010; Huang et al., 2011; Mestry et al., 2011), maximizing revenue (Arredondo and Martinez, 2010; Oğuz et al., 2010; Cesaret et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2013), and minimizing late delivery (Wester et al., 1992). The techniques proposed for solving the order acceptance problem in past including integer programming (Huang et al., 2011), mixed integer linear programming solved by various approach such as branch and price algorithm (Mestry et al., 2011) and heuristic algorithm (Oğuz et al., 2010), intelligent decision rule (Martinez and Arredondo, 2010; Arredondo and Martinez, 2010), and modern meta heuristics such as genetic algorithm (Rom and Slotnick, 2009), tabu search (Cesaret et al., 2012), and modified artificial bee colony algorithm (Wang et al., 2013). It is noted that majority of the proposed order acceptance approach in the past used only single criteria for the optimization problem.

In this paper, a purchase order selection model is constructed especially for CV. Rooesman using Analytic Network Process in order for the company to decide the order they have to accept.

2. ANP Methodology

As there are many decision problem that cannot be structured hierarchically i.e., there exist dependencies among criteria (Saaty, 1996), therefore the AHP no longer can be used. A method called Analytic Network Process (ANP) is then used for the decision problem that involves dependencies among criteria. There are five steps of ANP as it is explained below:

Step 1: Formulating decision network.
In this step the problem is formulated in to the network structure. The structure can be constructed either by formal method or informal method such as discussion group with the decision maker. The purpose of this step is that to understand the nature of the problem so that the researchers and the decision maker might able to identify the criteria that influence the decision problem and the dependencies among criteria. The more understand the researcher and the decision maker about the problem, the more valid the decision network formulation will be.

Step 2: Building the structure of supermatrix.
Supermatrix is a stochastic matrix in which its elements are also matrices (Saaty, 1996). The value of the matrix represents the priorities from the paired comparisons appear in the appropriate column of the supermatrix. Each matrix represents the dependency between clusters (outer dependency) and or

dependency among element within one cluster (inner dependency). The matrix will be valued 0 if there are no dependencies among clusters and elements within one cluster. The 0 value means that there is no need to do the pairwise comparison in the associated matrix (Saaty, 2009). The structure of the supermatrix is presented in the following Figure 1.

$$W = \begin{bmatrix} W_{11} & W_{12} & \cdots & W_{1N} \\ W_{21} & W_{22} & \cdots & W_{2N} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ W_{N1} & W_{N2} & \cdots & W_{NN} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad W_{ij} = \begin{bmatrix} w_{i1}^{(j1)} & w_{i1}^{(j2)} & \cdots & w_{i1}^{(jn_j)} \\ w_{i2}^{(j1)} & w_{i2}^{(j2)} & \cdots & w_{i2}^{(jn_j)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ w_{in_i}^{(j1)} & w_{in_i}^{(j2)} & \cdots & w_{in_i}^{(jn_j)} \end{bmatrix}$$

Figure 1. The Supermatrix of a Network (*W*) and Detail of Matrix in it (W_{ij})

Step 3: Obtaining cluster weight matrix.
This step is done to measure relative importance of one cluster to another cluster. The pairwise comparison is done in this step. Once the pairwise comparison is finished then the value is normalized and synthesized to find relative priority for each cluster. The approach to find the relative priority is the same as AHP approach and can be found detail in Saaty (2009). This relative priority is the basis to form the Cluster Weight Matrix.

Step 4: Obtaining Unweighted Matrix
In this step pairwise comparison is conducted to measure relative importance among node and its controlling clusters or relative importance of nodes to another within a cluster. With similar step as it was mentioned in Step 3 above, the relative priority are found then those values will be the basis to form the Unweighted Matrix.

Step 5: Obtaining Weight Matrix and Limit Matrix
In this step the unweighted matrix is multiply by cluster weight matrix to get weight matrix. Then Limit matrix is obtained by raising this matrix to powers until the value in the weight matrix has converged.

Step 6: Synthesis
In this step, the limit matrix is converted into raw values, which are represented the priority of each alternative order, then, the raw values are normalized to get the normal values. After that, the normal values are idealized to get the ideal values.

3. Purchase Order Selection Model

As it was mentioned in the previous section, the research in this paper was done in CV. Rooesman, a small scale shoes and bag manufacturer company located in Yogyakarta that are facing a problem in deciding whether a purchase order from consumer should be accepted or not. As according to the result from the discussion between the researchers and the owner of CV. Rooesman it is known that there are several criteria that affect the decision of the company whether they have to accept the purchase order or not and there are dependencies between clusters and also between elements within a cluster, therefore in the research in this paper purchase order selection problem in CV. Rooesman is modeled using ANP methodology then is solved by using Super Decisions Software with the following steps.

Step 1: Formulating decision network
In this research, network formulation was done by using an informal method where the researchers and the owner of CV. Rooesman discussed about the criteria that affect the decision of selecting purchase order. In addition, during the discussion the dependencies among criteria were investigated. Based on the

result from interview and discussion process with the owner of CV. Rooesman, it was found that there are several criteria that affect the decision whether the company should accept or reject purchase order from consumer. As it was in the previous section, those criteria are Characteristic of the Order (Design of the Product, Quantity Order, Characteristic of the Customer, and Expected Quality), Complexity of the Production Process (Number of Workers Needed, Ability to Make the Prototype, Manufacturing Lead Time, Required skill of the Worker), Economical Value (Production Cost, Selling Price and Price of Raw Material). And in addition, there are dependencies among criteria for example: the skill of worker affects the ability to make prototype. The criteria are then classified into clusters and elements as it is shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Cluster and Element

Clusters	Elements
Characteristics of the Order (CO)	Design of the Product (DP)
	Quantity Order (QO)
	Characteristic of the Consumer (CC)
	Expected Quality (EQ)
Complexity of the Process (CP)	Number of workers needed (NW)
	The ability to make prototype (AP)
	Manufacturing Lead Time (MLT)
	Required Skill of the Worker (SW)
Economical Consideration (EC)	Production Cost (PC)
	Selling Price (SP)
	Raw Material Price (RWP)
Alternative Order (AO)	Purchase Order 1
	Purchase Order 2
	Purchase Order 3

It is noted that Purchase Order 1 is the purchase order from the consumer from Japan, Purchase Order 2 is the purchase order from South Korea and the Purchase Order 3 is the purchase order from USA. Each purchase order has its own characteristics from example: Purchase Order 1 require the simple design with high quality standard and intolerable to nonconforming product. They will reject the whole lot, if they find that the product that does not meet specification. However, this consumer is also willing to pay the product with the highest price, so the margin profit of CV. Rooesman if this purchase order is accepted is the highest among that of two other purchase orders. Purchase Order 3 usually require more complicated design, however they more tolerable to nonconforming product, in the sense that if this consumer find a nonconforming product, then they will only return back that nonconforming product and ask for replacement. In addition, usually the quantity order for each Purchase Order is also different. There exists consumer whose quantity order is usually larger than that of other consumer.

Once the criteria are observed then the structure of the decision problem is constructed by observing the inner dependencies and outer dependencies, and the decision network is presented in Figure 2. Based on Figure 2 it can be seen that there are inner and outer dependencies such as Design of the Product (DP) affects the Skill of Worker Needed (SW) and Quantity Order (QO) affects the Number of Worker Needed (NW).

Figure 2. Structure of the decision problem

Step 2: Formulation of Supermatrix
Based on structure of the problem presented in Figure 2, then the structure of supermatrix can be formulated as it is shown in Figure 3.

$$W = \begin{bmatrix} w_{11} & w_{12} & 0 & w_{14} \\ w_{21} & w_{22} & 0 & w_{24} \\ w_{31} & w_{32} & w_{33} & w_{34} \\ 0 & w_{42} & w_{43} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Figure 3. Structure of the Supermatrix

Step 3: Obtaining Cluster Weight Matrix
Cluster Weight Matrix can be obtained by doing cluster comparisons then its value is normalized and synthesized to get relative priority of each cluster. For example with respect to Cluster Characteristics of Order (CO), then the pairwise comparison is done as it is shown in Figure 4. The question was asked to the decision maker is for example "how strong is the importance of this Characteristic of Order (CO) compare to the criteria Complexity of the Process (CP)".

CO	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	**2**	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	CP
CO	9	8	7	6	5	**4**	3	2	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	EV
CP	9	8	7	6	**5**	4	3	2	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	EV

Figure 4. Cluster Pairwise Comparison with Respect to Cluster Characteristic of Order (CO)

Once the pairwise comparison is done then the value is normalized and synthesized to get relative priority of each cluster and the value is put in Cluster Weight Matrix as it is shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Cluster Weight Matrix

Cluster Node Labels	CO	CP	EV	AO
CO	0.3331	0.3637	0.0000	0.3196
CP	0.5695	0.4281	0.0000	0.5584
EV	0.0974	0.1019	0.5000	0.1220
AO	0.0000	0.1063	0.5000	0.0000

Step 4: Obtaining Unweighted Matrix
Unweighted matrix can be obtained by put the relative priority based on the pairwise comparison between elements that have dependencies each other. The result of the Unweighted Matrix is shown in Table 3.

Table 3. Unweighted Matrix

Cluster Node Labels		CO				CP				EV			AO		
		DP	QO	CC	EQ	NW	AP	MLT	SW	PC	RWP	SP	PO1	PO2	PO3
CO	DP	0	0	0.6250	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0.3125	0.4948	0.2776
	QO	0	0	0.1365	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0625	0.3333	0.1776
	CC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.3125	0.0890	0.3916
	EQ	0	0	0.2385	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0.3125	0.0829	0.1532
CP	NW	0	0.8333	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.1305	0.1276	0.1516
	AP	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.8333	0	0	0	0.3011	0.3828	0.3598
	MLT	0	0.1667	0	0.1667	1	0	0	0.1667	0	0	0	0.2002	0.1421	0.0577
	SW	0	0	0	0.8333	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.3682	0.3475	0.4309
EV	PC	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0.8333	0	0.1571	0.3643	0.4664
	RWP	0	0	0.8333	0.8333	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.5936	0.5368	0.4331
	SP	0	0	0.1667	0.1667	0	0	0	0	1	0.1667	0	0.2493	0.0989	0.1005
AO	PO1	0	0	0	0	0	0.6666	0	0	0	0	0.7500	0	0	0
	PO2	0	0	0	0	0	0.1667	0	0	0	0	0.1250	0	0	0
	Po3	0	0	0	0	0	0.1667	0	0	0	0	0.1250	0	0	0

Step 5: Obtaining Weight Matrix and Limit Matrix
In this step the unweighted matrix is multiply by cluster weight matrix and normalized for each column to get weight matrix. Then, Limit Matrix is obtained by raising the matrix to powers until the value in the weight matrix has converged. The Limit Matrix is shown at Table 4.

Step 6: Synthesis
There are 3 steps in the Synthesis part which are:
Step a. Take the all of values from Limit Matrix (see Table 4) in the Alternative Order (AO) part, in which those values represent the priority of each alternative order. In this case there are 3 values for each purchase order which are PO1 (0.0946), PO2 (0.0196), and PO3 (0.0196). These values are then put in the Raw column as it is shown in Table 5. Raw column is a column matrix with its size is *mx1*, where *m* is the number of alternatives.

Tabel 4. Limit Matrix

Cluster		CO				CP				EV			AO		
Node Labels		DP	QO	CC	EQ	NW	AP	MLT	SW	PC	RWP	SP	PO1	PO2	PO3
CO	DP	0.2579	0.2579	0.2579	0.2579	0.2579	0.2579	0.2579	0.2579	0.2579	0.2579	0.2579	0.2579	0.2579	0.2579
	QO	0.0064	0.0064	0.0064	0.0064	0.0064	0.0064	0.0064	0.0064	0.0064	0.0064	0.0064	0.0064	0.0064	0.0064
	CC	0.1250	0.1250	0.1250	0.1250	0.1250	0.1250	0.1250	0.1250	0.1250	0.1250	0.1250	0.1250	0.1250	0.1250
	EQ	0.0388	0.0388	0.0388	0.0388	0.0388	0.0388	0.0388	0.0388	0.0388	0.0388	0.0388	0.0388	0.0388	0.0388
CP	NW	0.0145	0.0145	0.0145	0.0145	0.0145	0.0145	0.0145	0.0145	0.0145	0.0145	0.0145	0.0145	0.0145	0.0145
	AP	0.3069	0.3069	0.3069	0.3069	0.3069	0.3069	0.3069	0.3069	0.3069	0.3069	0.3069	0.3069	0.3069	0.3069
	MLT	0.0359	0.0359	0.0359	0.0359	0.0359	0.0359	0.0359	0.0359	0.0359	0.0359	0.0359	0.0359	0.0359	0.0359
	SW	0.0556	0.0556	0.0556	0.0556	0.0556	0.0556	0.0556	0.0556	0.0556	0.0556	0.0556	0.0556	0.0556	0.0556
EV	PC	0.0570	0.0570	0.0570	0.0570	0.0570	0.0570	0.0570	0.0570	0.0570	0.0570	0.0570	0.0570	0.0570	0.0570
	RWP	0.0162	0.0162	0.0162	0.0162	0.0162	0.0162	0.0162	0.0162	0.0162	0.0162	0.0162	0.0162	0.0162	0.0162
	SP	0.0645	0.0645	0.0645	0.0645	0.0645	0.0645	0.0645	0.0645	0.0645	0.0645	0.0645	0.0645	0.0645	0.0645
AO	PO1	0.0946	0.0946	0.0946	0.0946	0.0946	0.0946	0.0946	0.0946	0.0946	0.0946	0.0946	0.0946	0.0946	0.0946
	PO2	0.0196	0.0196	0.0196	0.0196	0.0196	0.0196	0.0196	0.0196	0.0196	0.0196	0.0196	0.0196	0.0196	0.0196
	PO3	0.0196	0.0196	0.0196	0.0196	0.0196	0.0196	0.0196	0.0196	0.0196	0.0196	0.0196	0.0196	0.0196	0.0196

Table 5. Synthesis

Alternative Order	Ideals	Normalized	Raw
PO1	1.0000	0.7070	0.0946
PO2	0.2072	0.1465	0.0196
PO3	0.2072	0.1465	0.0196
∑		1.0000	0.1338

Step b. Normalization
In this step all of values in the Raw column (see table 5) are summed up, then each value in each row is divided by the sum of the Raw column, for example: the normalized value of PO1 is came from 0.0946 (the Raw value for PO1) divided by 0.1338 (sum of the Raw column).

Step c. Idealization
In this step, each normalized value is divided by the biggest normalized value. In table 5, the ideal value for PO2 is 0.1465/0.70707 = 0.2072 since the biggest normalized value is 0.7070. Based on the synthesis, it is found that the ideals values for order from PO1, PO2, and PO3 are 1.0000, 0.2072, and 0.2072, respectively. This result is visualized in Figure 5.


Figure 5. Purchase Order Acceptance Preference

4. Conclusion

The purchase order selection model using ANP for helping CV. Rooesman to select which Purchase Order should be accepted or not is proposed in this paper. It is consists of four clusters which are Characteristics of the Order (CO), Complexity of the Process (CP), Economical Value (EV), and Alternative Order (AO). The structure of the decision problem is presented in the Figure 2, and its corresponding structure of the supermatrix is presented in the Figure 3. Based on the synthesis, it is found that the ideals values for order from PO1, PO2, and PO3 are 1.0000, 0.2072, and 0.2072, respectively. This result shows that the preference to accept Purchase Order 1 from Japan is stronger than to accept Purchase Order 2 and 3.

REFERENCES

Arredondo, F., & Martinez, E. (2010). Learning and adaptation of a policy for dynamic order acceptance in make-to-order manufacturing. Computers & Industrial Engineering, 58(1), 70–83.

Cesaret, B., Oğuz, C., & Salman, F.S. (2012). A tabu search algorithm for order acceptance and scheduling. Computers & Operations Research, 39(6), 1197–1205.

Huang, S., Lu, M., & Wan, G. (2011). Integrated order selection and production scheduling under MTO strategy. International Journal of Production Research, 49(13), 4085–4101.

Martínez, E., & Arredondo, F. (2010). Order acceptance for revenue management and capacity allocation in make-to-order batch plants. Computer Aided Chemical Engineering, 28, 1189–1194.

Mestry, S., Damodaran, P., & Chen, C.S. (2011). A branch and price solution approach for order acceptance and capacity planning in make-to-order operations. European Journal of Operational Research, 211(3), 480–495.

Oğuz, C., Salman, F.S., & Yalçın, Z.B. (2010). Order acceptance and scheduling decisions in make-to-order systems. International Journal of Production Economics, 125(1), 200–211.

Rom, W.O., & Slotnick, S.A. (2009). Order acceptance using genetic algorithms. Computers & Operations Research, 36(6), 1758–1767.

Saaty, T.L. (1996), *Decision Making with Dependence and Feedback: The Analytic Network Process*, Pittsburgh, PA: RWS Publication.

Saaty, T.L. (2009), *Theory and Applications of the Analytic Network Process: Decision Making with Benefits, Opportunities, Costs, and Risks*, Pittsburgh, PA: RWS Publication.

Wang, X., Xie, X., & Cheng, T.C.E. (2013). A modified artificial bee colony algorithm for order acceptance in two-machine flow shops. International Journal of Production Economics, 141(1), 14–23.

Wester, F.A.W., Wijngaard, J., & Zijm, W.RM. (1992). Order acceptance strategies in a production-to-order environment with setup times and due-dates. International Journal of Production Research, 30(6), 1313–1326.