# INFORMATION SYSTEM SUCCESS MODEL EVALUATION ON SMALL SCALE MEDIUM ENTERPRISES AT YOGYAKARTA USING DEMATEL AND ANP

A THESIS
Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirement for the Bachelor Degree
Of Engineering in Industrial Engineering



VERONIKA PUJIASTUTI 121407088

INTERNATIONAL INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING PROGRAM
DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING
FACULTY OF INDUSTRIAL TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITAS ATMA JAYA YOGYAKARTA
YOGYAKARTA
2016

# INFORMATION SYSTEM SUCCESS MODEL EVALUATION ON SMALL SCALE MEDIUM ENTERPRISES AT YOGYAKARTA USING DEMATEL AND ANP

A THESIS
Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirement for the Bachelor Degree
of Engineering in Industrial Engineering



VERONIKA PUJIASTUTI 121407088

INTERNATIONAL INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING PROGRAM

DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING

FACULTY OF INDUSTRIAL TECHNOLOGY

UNIVERSITAS ATMA JAYA YOGYAKARTA

YOGYAKARTA

2016

#### **IDENTIFICATION PAGE**

#### A THESIS ON

# INFORMATION SYSTEM SUCCESS MODEL EVALUATION ON SMALL SCALE MEDIUM ENTERPRISES AT YOGYAKARTA USING DEMATEL AND ANP

submitted by Veronika Pujiastuti 12 14 07088

has been stated complete and fulfill the applicable requirements on May 3, 2016

Faculty Supervisor,

Co-Faculty Supervisor,

Ign. Luddy Indra Purnama, M.Sc.

Ririn Diar Astanti, D.Eng.

Board of Examiners,

Chair,

Ign. Luddy Indra Purnama, M.Sc.

Member,

The Jin Ai, D.Eng.

Member,

Luciana Triani Dewi, S.T., M.T.

Yogyakarta, May 3, 2016

Universitas Atma Jaya Yogyakarta

Faculty of Industrial Technology

Dean,

Dr. A. Teguh Siswantoro

#### DECLARATION OF ORIGINALITY OF RESEARCH

I certify that the research entitled "Information System Success Model Evaluation on Small Scale Medium Enterprises at Yogyakarta using DEMATEL and ANP" in this research has not already been submitted for any other degree.

I certify that to the best of my knowledge and belief, this research which I wrote does not contain the works of parts of other people work, except cited in the quotations and bibliography as a scientific paper should.

In addition, I certify that I understand and abide the rule stated by the Ministry of Education and Culture the Republic of Indonesia, subject to the provisions of Peraturan Menteri Pendidikan Nasional Republik Indonesia Nomor 17 Tahun 2010 tentang Pencegahan dan Penanggulangan Plagiat di Perguruan Tinggi.

Signature

8AC04ADF903722314

Student Name

: Veronika Pujiastuti

Student ID

: 12 14 07088

Date

: Mei 3, 2016

#### **DEDICATION**

The deepest gratitude for generously sharing their wisdom, love, and divinity goes to the author's parents and family, Mr. Jualinus Djemingin and Mrs. Maria Magdalena Wati Rochima. Their love and dedication for the author have been mainly power to support, conduct, and finally finish this research.

Dear my beloved brothers and sisters which are Mrs. Cicilia Retno W., Mr. F.X. Prayitno, Mr. Antonius Triwibowo, and Mrs. Agnes Hermiyani. Thank you so much for every support that has been mainly power to conduct, and finally finish this research.

Yosep Piedro Marditya, someone who really kind to pray, support, care, and love me all the time. Thank you so much.

All other appreciation goes to author's relatives and friends such all of the lecturers of Industrial Engineering program; International Industrial Engineering batch 2012 (Clara, Cecil, Eci, Vivi, Yunita, Tyo, Wibi, Steven, Luigie, Fajar); KosBid roommates (Shela, Santa, Desma, Fitri); Industrial Engineering batch 2012; Vanlith XIX; Student Senate of Faculty of Industrial Technology batch 2011, 2012, and 2013; Computer Programming and Production System Laboratory assistances, and other relatives that cannot be described one by one; for all the kindly love, time, supports, attention, and help given to the author to finish this thesis.

My command is this: Love each other as I have loved you. Greater love has no one than this: to lay down one's life for one's friends.

(John 15:12-13)

Come to Me, all you who are weary and burdened, and I will give you rest.

(Matthew 11:28)

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

The author conducted the research on Information System Success Model Evaluation on Small Scale Medium Enterprises at Yogyakarta using DEMATEL and ANP to fulfill partial requirement to earn bachelor degree of Industrial Engineering of Universitas Atma Jaya Yogyakarta.

Gratitude and thankfulness for Lord Christ Jesus for such a good grace and opportunities in this life. The author would like to deliver highest appreciation to

- Mr. Dr. A. Teguh Siswantoro as the Dean of Faculty of Industrial Technology Universitas Atma Jaya Yogyakarta
- 2. Mr. Ign. Luddy Indra Purnama, M.Sc and Mrs. Ririn Diar Astanti, D.Eng. as the faculty supervisor and co-supervisor for lots of great inspiration, ideas, evaluations, and comments to the author during conducting this research.
- All of the lecturers in Faculty of Industrial Technology of Universitas Atma Jaya Yogyakarta for all of your dedications to teach the author from first to eight semester.
- 4. The owner of Yoni Arts, Budenx Art Stone, Warung Perak, and Kartika who allowed the author to conduct research by observing their enterprises.
- 5. I humbly extend my thanks to all concerned people who co-operated with the author in this research.

Finally, the author hopes that this research may give contributions and benefits to the readers.

> Yogyakarta, April 26, 2016 Regards,

> > Veronika Pujiastuti

# TABLE OF CONTENT

| CHAPTER | TITLE PA                                    | GE   |
|---------|---|------|
|         | Cover Page                                  | i    |
|         | Identification Page                         | ii   |
|         | Declaration of Originality                  | iii  |
|         | Dedication                                  | iv   |
|         | Acknowledgement                             | V    |
|         | Table of Content                            | vi   |
|         | List of Figure                              | ix   |
|         | List of Table                               | Х    |
|         | List of Appendix                            | xii  |
|         | Abstract                                    | xiii |
|         |   |      |
| 0 1/    | INTRODUCTION                                |      |
|         | 1.1. Research Background                    | 1    |
|         | 1.2. Problem Statement                      | 3    |
|         | 1.3. Research Objective                     | 4    |
|         | 1.4. Research Limitation                    | 4    |
|         |   |      |
| 2       | LITERATURE REVIEW AND BASIC THEORY          |      |
|         | 2.1. Literature Review                      | 5    |
|         | 2.2. Basic Theory                           | 9    |
|         | 2.2.1. Information System                   | 9    |
|         | 2.2.2. Information Success Model            | 10   |
|         | 2.2.3. Decision Making Trial and Evaluation |      |
|         | (DEMATEL)                                   | 18   |
|         | 2.2.4. Analytical Network Process (ANP)     | 20   |
|         |   |      |
| 3       | RESEARCH METHODOLOGY                        |      |
|         | 3.1. Current Research                       | 23   |
|         | 3.2. Research Methodology                   | 23   |
|         | 3.2.1. Preparation Phase                    | 23   |
|         | 3.2.2. Exploratory Survey Phase             | 24   |

| 4 | SMALI | L SCALE I  | MEDIUM ENTERPRISES PROFILE                  |    |
|---|-------|------------|---|----|
|   | 4.1.  | Profile ar | nd Business Process of Small Scale Medium   |    |
|   |       | Enterpris  | es (SMEs)                                   | 29 |
|   |       | 4.1.1.     | Yoni Arts                                   | 29 |
|   |       | 4.1.2.     | Budenx Art Stone                            | 31 |
|   |       | 4.1.3.     | Warung Perak                                | 33 |
|   |       | 4.1.4.     | Kartika                                     | 35 |
|   | 4.2.  | Question   | naire                                       | 37 |
|   |       | 4.2.1.     | Questionnaire for DEMATEL                   | 37 |
|   |       | 4.2.2.     | Questionnaire for ANP                       | 37 |
|   | IDENT |            | DMATION OVOTEM ODITEDIA                     |    |
| 5 |       |            | RMATION SYSTEM CRITERIA                     |    |
|   |       | ELATION    |   |    |
|   | 5.1.  |            | mpact Matrix                                | 38 |
|   | 5.2.  | Original I | Mean Impact Matrix (A)                      |    |
|   | 5.3.  | Direct Im  | pact Matrix (M)                             | 38 |
|   | 5.4.  | Total Imp  | pact Matrix T)                              | 43 |
|   | 5.5.  | Threshol   | d Value                                     | 49 |
|   | 5.6.  | Structura  | l Correlation Analysis                      | 54 |
|   |       | 5.6.1.     | Structural Correlation Impact Diagram of    |    |
|   |       |            | System Quality                              | 54 |
|   |       | 5.6.2.     | Structural Correlation Impact Diagram of    |    |
|   |       |            | Information Quality                         | 56 |
|   |       | 5.6.3.     | Structural Correlation Impact Diagram of    |    |
|   |       |            | Individual Impact                           | 57 |
|   |       | 5.6.4.     | Structural Correlation Impact Diagram of    |    |
|   |       |            | Organizational Impact                       | 58 |
|   |       | 5.6.5.     | Structural Correlation Impact Diagram among | J  |
|   |       |            | Dimensions                                  | 59 |
|   | 5.7.  | Discussion | on  | 64 |

| 6          | ALTERNATIVE DETERMINATION |   |     |  |  |
|------------|---------------------------|---|-----|--|--|
|            | 6.1.                      | Information System Problem in SMEs      | 67  |  |  |
|            | 6.2.                      | Determine The Criteria                  | 68  |  |  |
|            | 6.3.                      | Determine The Alternatives              | 69  |  |  |
| 7          | DETE                      | RMINE ANALYTICAL NETWORK PROCESS MODEL  |     |  |  |
|            | 7.1.                      | Construct ANP Model                     | 70  |  |  |
|            | 7.2.                      | Node Connections                        | 70  |  |  |
| 8          | DECIE                     | DE PERCENTAGE OF INFORMATION TEHCNOLOGY |     |  |  |
|            | NEEDS                     |   |     |  |  |
|            | 8.1.                      | Pairwise Comparison                     | 82  |  |  |
|            | 8.2.                      | The ANP Supermatrices                   |     |  |  |
|            |                           | 8.2.1. The Un-weighted Supermatrix      | 95  |  |  |
|            |                           | 8.2.2. The Weighted Supermatrix         |     |  |  |
|            |                           | 8.2.3. The Limit Supermatrix            | 95  |  |  |
|            | 8.3.                      | Synthesis                               | 103 |  |  |
|            |                           |   |     |  |  |
| 9          | CONCLUSION                |   |     |  |  |
|            | 9.1.                      | Conclusion                              | 105 |  |  |
|            | 9.2.                      | Suggestion                              | 105 |  |  |
|            |                           |   |     |  |  |
| References |                           |   | 106 |  |  |
| Appendix   |                           |   |     |  |  |

# LIST OF FIGURE

| Figure 2.1. | Literature Review Summary                                    | 8  |
|-------------|--|----|
| Figure 2.2. | Deloneand McLean I/S Success Model                           | 10 |
| Figure 2.3. | Delone and McLean I/S Success Model Updated                  | 11 |
| Figure 2.4. | Gable's I/S Success Model (2003)                             | 12 |
| Figure 2.5. | Gable's I/S Success Model (2008)                             | 12 |
| Figure 2.6. | Sinaga et al. I/S Success Model of Manually Unorganized      |    |
|             | Cluster (2015)   | 15 |
| Figure 2.7. | Sinaga et al. I/S Success Model of Manually Organized        |    |
|             | Cluster (2015)   | 16 |
| Figure 2.8. | Sinaga et al. I/S Success Model of Semi Computerized         |    |
|             | (2015)   | 16 |
| Figure 2.9. | Sinaga et al. I/S Success Model of Computerized (2015)       | 17 |
| Figure 3.1. | Research Methodology   | 27 |
| Figure 5.1. | Structural Correlation Impact Diagram of System Quality      | 56 |
| Figure 5.2. | Structural Correlation Impact Diagram of Information Quality | 57 |
| Figure 5.3. | Structural Correlation Impact Diagram of Individual Impact.  | 58 |
| Figure 5.4. | Structural Correlation Impact Diagram of Organizational      |    |
|             | Impact   | 59 |
| Figure 5.5. | Structural Correlation Impact Diagram among Dimensions .     | 62 |
| Figure 5.6. | Structural Correlation Impact Diagram among Dimensions       |    |
|             | after Elimination  | 63 |
| Figure 5.7. | Pratama (2016) Model   | 65 |
| Figure 5.8. | Correlation Structure Pattern among Dimensions               | 66 |
| Figure 7.1. | ANP Network Model  | 71 |
| Figure 7.2. | SQ1 (Data Accuracy) Network                                  | 72 |
| Figure 7.3. | SQ2 (Data Currency) Network                                  | 72 |
| Figure 7.4. | SQ3 (Database Content) Network                               | 72 |
| Figure 7.5. | SQ4 (Ease of Use) Network                                    | 73 |
| Figure 7.6. | SQ5 (Ease of Learning) Network                               | 73 |
| Figure 7.7. | SQ6 (Access) Network   | 73 |
| Figure 7.8. | SQ8 (System Feature) Network                                 | 74 |
| Figure 7.9. | SQ11 (Reliability) Network                                   | 74 |
| Figure 7.10 | . SQ13 (Sophistication) Network                              | 74 |

| Figure 7.11. | SQ14 (Integration) Network                 | 75  |
|--------------|--|-----|
| Figure 7.12. | IQ2 (Availability) Network                 | 75  |
| Figure 7.13. | IQ4 (Understandability) Network            | 75  |
| Figure 7.14. | IQ4 (Relevance) Network                    | 76  |
| Figure 7.15. | IQ6 (Format) Network                       | 76  |
| Figure 7.16. | IQ7 (Content Accuracy) Network             | 76  |
| Figure 7.17. | IQ8 (Conciseness) Network                  | 77  |
| Figure 7.18. | IQ9 (Timeliness) Network                   | 77  |
| Figure 7.19. | II1 (Learning) Network                     | 77  |
| Figure 7.20. | II3 (Decision Effectiveness) Network       | 78  |
| Figure 7.21. | II4 (Individual Productivity) Network      | 78  |
| Figure 7.22. | OI1 (Organizational Cost) Network          | 78  |
| Figure 7.23. | OI4 (Overall Productivity) Network         | 79  |
| Figure 7.24. | OI5 (Improved Output/Outcome) Network      | 79  |
| Figure 7.25. | D1 (Marketing) Network                     | 79  |
| Figure 7.26. | D2 (Finance) Network                       |     |
| Figure 7.27. | D3 (Production) Network                    | 80  |
| Figure 7.28. | Need Information Technology Network        | 80  |
| Figure 7.29. | Do not Need Information Technology Network | 81  |
| Figure 8.1.  | Cluster Comparisons                        | 82  |
| Figure 8.2.  | Node Comparisons                           | 83  |
| Figure 8.3.  | Synthesis                                  | 104 |

### LIST OF TABLE

| Table 2.1.  | Research Benchmarking  | 5   |
|-------------|--|-----|
| Table 2.3.  | Information System Success Criteria Definition                 | 13  |
| Table 2.4.  | Manual Information System Criteria                             | 17  |
| Table 2.5.  | DEMATEL Scale Range Respondents' Evaluation                    | 19  |
| Table 2.6.  | ANP Fundamental Scale  | 22  |
| Table 2.7.  | Random Index (RI)  | 22  |
| Table 4.1.  | Business Process of Yoni Arts                                  | 30  |
| Table 4.2.  | Business Process of Budenx Art Stone                           | 32  |
| Table 4.3.  | Business Process of Warung Perak                               | 34  |
| Table 4.4.  | Business Process of Kartika                                    | 36  |
| Table 5.1.  | Original Mean Impact Matrix (A)                                | 40  |
| Table 5.2.  | Direct Impact Matrix (M)                                       | 41  |
| Table 5.3.  | Direct Impact Matrix (M) Comparison                            | 42  |
| Table 5.4.  | Matrix of Identity Matrix (I) and Direct Impact Matrix (M)     |     |
|             | Difference   | 44  |
| Table 5.5.  | Inverse of Matrix of Identity Matrix (I) and Direct Impact Mat | rix |
|             | (M) Difference   | 45  |
| Table 5.6.  | Total Impact Matrix (T)  | 46  |
| Table 5.7.  | Total Impact Matrix (T) Comparison                             | 47  |
| Table 5.8.  | Total Impact Matrix (T) with Threshold 0.023                   | 50  |
| Table 5.9.  | Total Impact Matrix (T) with Threshold 0.061                   | 51  |
| Table 5.10. | Total Impact Matrix (T) with Threshold 0.073                   | 52  |
| Table 5.11. | Total Impact Matrix (T) with Threshold 0.086                   | 53  |
| Table 5.12. | Similarities DEMATEL Correlations and Sinaga et.al (2015)      | 64  |
| Table 6.1.  | Observation and Interview Conversations                        | 67  |
| Table 8.1.  | Un-weighted Supermatrix  | 96  |
| Table 8.2.  | Weighted Supermatrix   | 98  |
| Table 8.3.  | Limit Supermatrix  | 100 |
| Table 8.4.  | Organization Division Priority                                 | 102 |
| Table 8.5.  | Information System Success Criteria Priority                   | 102 |

### LIST OF APPENDIX

Appendix 1. DEMATEL Questionnaire Design

Appendix 2. Photos of SMEs

Appendix 3. Information System in SMEs

Appendix 4. Interview Record

Appendix 5. Questionnaire Answer

Appendix 6. Original Impact Matrices

Appendix 7. ANP Questionnaire Design

#### **ABSTRACT**

Information system is important to be applied in enterprise system by giving contribution to productivity, quality, and organization competitiveness, especially for Small Scale Medium Enterprises (SMEs), somehow SMEs face some inaccurate data process. In fact, information system success is influenced by many criteria, however there is no finding evaluates criteria correlations. Through information system success model, correlations among criteria can be determined. Therefore, evaluation of information system implementation is important, so that SMEs know how to effectively implement good information system. Information system success model of Sinaga et.al is a new information system model adopting Gable, et.al model and Delone and McLean which have been widely known.

This research adopts information success model of Sinaga, et.al, Gable, et.al, and Pratama. It is conducted by interviewing and observing several Small Scale Medium Enterprises (SMEs) which manually organize the information system in Yogyakarta. First observation is proposed to know criteria correlation impacts by using Decision Making Trial and Evaluation (DEMATEL). The second observation is proposed to evaluate whether SMEs which manually organize information system needs information technology (IT) improvement or not to overcome information problems they face by using Analytical Network Process (ANP).

The research results correlations and priority of IT needs. Furthermore, ANP synthesis shows that the weight of IT's need improvement is 60.7% while the remaining 39.3% denotes SMEs do not need IT improvement. The ANP priority also shows the weight of information system implementation on Finance, Marketing, and Production is 39.1%, 37%, and 23.9% respectively. Moreover criteria of Ease of Use becomes the most important criteria to be concerned.

**Keywords:**Information System Success Model, Decision Making Trial Evaluation and Laboratory (DEMATEL), Analytical Network Process (ANP), Small MediumEnterprise (SMEs).

Faculty Supervisor : Ign Luddy Indra Purnama, M.Sc.

Co-Faculty Supervisor : Ririn Diar Astanti, D.Eng