



Cookies Notification

This site uses cookies. By continuing to browse the site you are agreeing to our use of cookies. Find out more.

Accept

Home > Editorial board

Browse journal

Submit

Subscribe

About this journal

Aims & scope

Journal information

Editorial board

Abstracting & indexing

News & offers



- Taylor & Francis
- Sample this title
- Alert me
 - New content email alert
 - New content RSS feed

International Journal of Sustainable Building Technology and Urban Development



ISSN
 2093-761X (Print), 2093-7628 (Online)
Publication Frequency
 4 issues per year

- Add to shortlist
- Recommend to:

- A friend
- A librarian

Editorial board

Editor-in-Chief :

Sungwoo Shin, *Sustainable Building Research Center (ERC), Hanyang University, Korea*

Associate Editors :

Mir M. Ali, *University of Illinois-UC, USA*

Vahan Agopyan, *University of São Paulo, Brazil*

Luís Bragança, *University of Minho, Portugal*

Raymond J. Cole, *University of British Columbia, Canada*

Mahjoub Elnimeiri, *University of Minho, Portugal*

S.K. Ghosh, *Ghosh Associates Inc., USA*

Jay S. Golden, *Duke University, USA*

Petr Hájek, *Czech Technical University in Prague, Czech Republic*

Gamal M. Hamid, *University of Khartoum, Sudan*

Dieter Holm, *University of Pretoria, South Africa*

Jongho Hong, *Seoul National University, Korea*

Toshiharu Ikaga, *Keio University, Japan*

Haeseong Je, *Ajou University, Korea*

Heng Chye Kiang, *National University of Singapore, Singapore*

Nils Larsson, *iiSBE, Canada*

Hanseung Lee, *Hanyang University, Korea*

Seungbok Leigh, *Yonsei University, Korea*

Andrea Moro, *iiSBE, Italy*

Shuzo Murakami, *Building Research Institute, Japan*

Edward Ng, *Hong Kong Chinese University, Hong Kong*

Takafumi Noguchi, *University of Tokyo, Japan*

Roger Riewe, *TU Graz, Austria*

Serge Salat, *CSTB Urban Morphology Laboratory, France*

Dongwoo Shin, *Ajou University, Korea*

Werner Sobek, *Stuttgart University, Germany*

Ken Yeang, *Llewlyn Davis Yeang, UK*

Yingxin Zhu, *Tsinghua University, China*

Secretary:

Hyeong-ill Kim, *Hanyang University, Korea*

[Home](#) > [List of Issues](#) > [Table of Contents](#)

Browse journal

View all volumes and issues

Current issue

Latest articles

Most read articles

Most cited articles

Authors and submissions

Subscribe

About this journal

News & offers

Volume 4, Issue 1, 2013

[< Prev](#) | [Next >](#)



- [Taylor & Francis](#)
- [Sample copy](#)
- [Alert me](#)
 - [TOC email alert](#)
 - [TOC RSS feed](#)

International Journal of Sustainable Building Technology and Urban Development



ISSN

2093-761X (Print), 2093-7628 (Online)

Purchase issue

Publication Frequency

4 issues per year

- [Add to shortlist](#)
- [Recommend to:](#)
 - [A friend](#)
 - [A librarian](#)

[To select/unselect all items click here](#)
Choose an action

- [Add to shortlist](#)
- [Download citation](#)

Editorials



Editor's Note

Dr. Sungwoo Shin

page 1

[View full text](#)[Download full text](#)

[Access options](#)

- **DOI:**10.1080/2093761X.2013.773485
- **Published online:** 14 Mar 2013
- **Citations:** 0
- **Article Views:** 43

Further Information

- [First Page Preview](#)
- [Related articles](#)
-



Editorial Board

pages 2-9

[View full text](#)[Download full text](#)

[Access options](#)

- **DOI:**10.1080/2093761X.2013.773490
- **Published online:** 14 Mar 2013
- **Citations:** 0
- **Article Views:** 26

Further Information

- [First Page Preview](#)
- [Related articles](#)
-

Original Articles



The new challenge of urban and architectural design for sustainable development

Haeseong Je

pages 10-16

[View full text](#)[Download full text](#)

[Access options](#)

- **DOI:**10.1080/2093761X.2012.745802
- **Published online:** 14 Mar 2013
- **Citations:** 0
- **Article Views:** 92

Further Information

- [Abstract](#)
- [References](#)
- [Related articles](#)
-



The role of construction IT in the paradigm of sustainable architecture

In Han Kim, Jong Cheol Seo & In Sung Kim

pages 17-21

[View full text](#)[Download full text](#)

[Access options](#)

- **DOI:**10.1080/2093761X.2012.759893
- **Published online:** 14 Mar 2013
- **Citations:** 0
- **Article Views:** 56

Further Information

- Abstract
- References
- Related articles
-

Report and News I



Sustainability institution inquiry

pages 22-25

[View full text](#)[Download full text](#)
Access options

- DOI:10.1080/2093761X.2013.773486
- **Published online:** 14 Mar 2013
- **Citations:** 0
- **Article Views:** 26

Further Information

- [First Page Preview](#)
- [Related articles](#)
-

Report and News II



Upcoming events in sustainable development and related fields

pages 26-29

[View full text](#)[Download full text](#)
Access options

- DOI:10.1080/2093761X.2013.773488
- **Published online:** 14 Mar 2013
- **Citations:** 0
- **Article Views:** 27

Further Information

- [First Page Preview](#)
- [Related articles](#)
-

Report and News III



Books in sustainable development and related fields

pages 30-31

[View full text](#)[Download full text](#)
Access options

- DOI:10.1080/2093761X.2013.773489
- **Published online:** 14 Mar 2013
- **Citations:** 0
- **Article Views:** 22

Further Information

- [First Page Preview](#)
- [Related articles](#)
-

Technical papers



Drivers and barriers of sustainable design and construction: The perception of green building experience

Yong Han Ahn, Annie R. Pearce, Yuhong Wang & George Wang
pages 35-45

[View full text](#)[Download full text](#)
[Access options](#)

- **DOI:**10.1080/2093761X.2012.759887
- **Published online:** 14 Mar 2013
- **Citations:** 0
- **Article Views:** 149

Further Information

- [Abstract](#)
- [References](#)
- [Related articles](#)
- [...](#)



SBToolCZ: Sustainability rating system in the Czech Republic

Martin Vonka, Petr Hajek & Antonin Lupisek
pages 46-52

[View full text](#)[Download full text](#)
[Access options](#)

- **DOI:**10.1080/2093761X.2012.759888
- **Published online:** 14 Mar 2013
- **Citations:** 0
- **Article Views:** 38

Further Information

- [Abstract](#)
- [References](#)
- [Related articles](#)
- [...](#)



Design and assessment of concrete surface protection systems

Mario Ille, Jure Radić & Jelena Bleiziffer
pages 53-67

[View full text](#)[Download full text](#)
[Access options](#)

- **DOI:**10.1080/2093761X.2012.759889
- **Published online:** 14 Mar 2013
- **Citations:** 0
- **Article Views:** 46

Further Information

- [Abstract](#)
- [References](#)
- [Related articles](#)
- [...](#)



Simplified LCA in skin design: The FB720 case

Gerardo Wadel, Pol Alonso, Joan-Lluis Zamora & Pablo Garrido
pages 68-81

[View full text](#)[Download full text](#)
[Access options](#)

- **DOI:**10.1080/2093761X.2012.759890
- **Published online:** 14 Mar 2013
- **Citations:** 0
- **Article Views:** 25

Further Information

- [Abstract](#)
- [References](#)
- [Related articles](#)

•

**Interlayer and cavity contribution to creating high light-to-solar-gain-ratio glass block from waste glasses****Floriberta Binarti, Agustinus Djoko Istiadji, Prasasto Satwiko & Priyo Tri Iswanto**
pages 82-88[View full text](#)[Download full text](#)
Access options

- **DOI:**10.1080/2093761X.2012.759891
- **Published online:** 14 Mar 2013
- **Citations:** 0
- **Article Views:** 35

Further Information

- [Abstract](#)
- [References](#)
- [Related articles](#)
-

**Adaptation of SBTool^{PT} to office buildings****José Amarílio Barbosa, Ricardo Mateus & Luís Bragança**
pages 89-97[View full text](#)[Download full text](#)
Access options

- **DOI:**10.1080/2093761X.2012.759892
- **Published online:** 14 Mar 2013
- **Citations:** 0
- **Article Views:** 37

Further Information

- [Abstract](#)
- [References](#)
- [Related articles](#)
-

[To select/unselect all items click here](#)
Choose an action

- [Add to shortlist](#)
- [Download citation](#)

This article was downloaded by: [Floriberta Binarti]

On: 24 March 2013, At: 07:05

Publisher: Taylor & Francis

Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



International Journal of Sustainable Building Technology and Urban Development

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information:

<http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/tsub20>

Interlayer and cavity contribution to creating high light-to-solar-gain-ratio glass block from waste glasses

Floriberta Binarti ^a, Agustinus Djoko Istiadji ^a, Prasasto Satwiko ^a & Priyo Tri Iswanto ^b

^a Department of Architecture, University of Atma Jaya Yogyakarta, Jl. Babarsari 44, Yogyakarta, 55281, Indonesia

^b Department of Mechanical Engineering, Gadjah Mada University, Jl. Grafika 2, Yogyakarta, 55281, Indonesia

To cite this article: Floriberta Binarti, Agustinus Djoko Istiadji, Prasasto Satwiko & Priyo Tri Iswanto (2013): Interlayer and cavity contribution to creating high light-to-solar-gain-ratio glass block from waste glasses, International Journal of Sustainable Building Technology and Urban Development, 4:1, 82-88

To link to this article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/2093761X.2012.759891>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: <http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions>

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae, and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand, or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

Interlayer and cavity contribution to creating high light-to-solar-gain-ratio glass block from waste glasses

Floriberta Binarti^{a*}, Agustinus Djoko Istiadji^a, Prasasto Satwiko^a and Priyo Tri Iswanto^b

^aDepartment of Architecture, University of Atma Jaya Yogyakarta, Jl. Babarsari 44, Yogyakarta 55281, Indonesia; ^bDepartment of Mechanical Engineering, Gadjah Mada University, Jl. Grafika 2, Yogyakarta 55281, Indonesia

(Received 30 September 2012; final version received 9 December 2012)

In order to save building energy consumption, glazing in warm humid climates is recommended to have high light-to-solar-gain ratio (LSG). LSG denotes the ratio of the visible light transmittance (VT) to its solar heat gain coefficient (SHGC). In laminated glazing LSG depends on the design of the cavity and (inter) layers. This study explores the contribution of the interlayers and cavity in creating high LSG glass block from laminated waste glasses. Analytical methods and computational simulations using the comparative method and heat balance model were employed to obtain a glass block model with the optimum combination of VT, SHGC and thermal transmittance (U). The cavity effect on the increasing VT is shown by simulation and laboratory test results. Based on SHGC laboratory test results, the presence of an interlayer decreases the simulated SHGC by 69–89%. The LSG for a laminated glass block with a certain number of closed cavities and interlayers can reach 4.35 times the calibrated laboratory LSG.

Keywords: cavity; glass block; interlayer; light-to-solar-gain ratio

1. Introduction

Nowadays many building envelopes are dominated by window glazing. The extensive use of glass produces large volume of waste glasses. Most waste glasses are dumped into landfill sites that burden the environment because they are not biodegradable [1]. Since glass is an ideal material to recycle, reusing or recycling of waste glasses can save significant energy.

The characteristic of ordinary glass, in trapping long-wave solar radiation, makes air-conditioned buildings consume a lot of energy at the operational stage. Adopting high energy performance glazing is a strategy to reduce the solar heat gains. Light-to-solar-gain ratio (LSG) is the prime measure of glazing energy performance in warm humid climates. It represents the ratio of the visible light transmittance (VT) to its solar heat gain coefficient (SHGC). High LSG describes the ability of a glazing to transmit visible light more than the solar radiation. Low thermal transmittance (U) glazing is required by air-conditioned buildings. In warm humid climates fenestration with the lowest rise in surface temperature tends to give the best comfort condition [2]. According to Energy Conservation Code 2006 vertical fenestration in warm humid climates should have 0.25 for the maximum SHGC and $3.177 \text{ W/m}^2\cdot\text{K}$ for the maximum U with 0.27 for the minimum VT for small fenestration areas [3].

Lamination was selected as a low-technology method to produce new glass block from waste glasses. It potentially creates low U and low SHGC material due to the additional thickness and thermal resistance among

the layers. The SHGC, the VT, the U and the mechanical strength of the layer bonding depend on the layer number, the interlayer and the lamination technique. This study explores the contribution of the interlayer and cavity to creating high LSG glass block by obtaining and testing the optimum combination of the layer number, and the cavity type, number, width and position. Analytical and computational simulation approaches were employed to obtain new glass blocks with proper cavities. The contribution of the interlayer was observed in laboratory tests.

2. Energy transfer across interlayer and cavity

Limited studies report interlayer contribution to the glazing energy performance. Graphical calculation results of laminated glazing conducted by Powles depict a sharp decline of solar absorption rate and temperature (0.5–0.7 °C) in the Polyvinyl Butyral (PVB) interlayer [4]. However, the low SHGC is created by the presence of low-e coating on the glazing layer surface.

A comparison study on several glazing products [5] shows that increasing the number of glazing layers (with interlayers) can increase the LSG, since the reduction of the SHGC is greater than the VT reduction (Figure 1). Therefore, adding to the number of glazing layers increases the LSG more than adding glazing thickness.

Chen and Meng [6] examined the effect of 7 mm and 11 mm PVB laminated glass application at room base temperature on the building cooling load. Glazing with

*Corresponding author. Email: flo.binarti@gmail.com




Figure 1. Glazing interlayer effect on the VT and the SHGC.

PVB interlayer has lower SHGC (i.e. 0.510 and 0.430) compared to 12 mm clear glass (i.e. 0.770) and 6 mm low-e coated glass (i.e. 0.610). Although glazing with PVB interlayer tends to have lower VT (i.e. 0.641 and 0.579) compared to clear and low-e glazing (i.e. 0.86 and 0.812), the LSG can be higher, i.e. 1.257 and 1.374. Twelve mm clear glass has 1.12 for the LSG, while the LSG of 6 mm low-e coated glass is 1.33. The simulation results show that PVB laminated glass saves 28% of the building cooling load by preventing 44% of the solar heat gain and reducing the shading coefficient by 40%.

Many studies on glazing cavity were conducted. Evoy and Southall [7] studied the effects of cavity width on the indoor-outdoor temperature differential and the ventilation rate of a supply-air-ventilated window. The effect simulated by computational fluid dynamics (CFD) reaches a very good agreement with experimental data. Simulation and experimental results show that a wider cavity (from 1 cm to 5 cm) reduces the air exit temperature significantly. Meanwhile, a 2 cm-wide cavity achieves the highest convection air flow rate. This effect creates significant reduction of the U value when the cavity width increases from 1 cm to 2 cm. The U value becomes steady for cavity widths greater than 2 cm.

Gosselin and Chen [8] investigated the contribution of cavity in an airflow window to the thermal performance using a CFD program. The window consisted of a triple glazed unit with forced airflow among each glass layer and three varying widths of cavity (9, 12 and 15 mm). Simulation results show that a smaller cavity width creates the best window performance. The influence of cavity width on the vertical temperature difference was also observed in the CFD simulations of an airflow window [9]. An airflow window with a 300 mm cavity width creates an optimum effect on the temperature profile.

The effect of optical and thermal properties of the cavity on the heat transfer rate was examined in a study on conjugate turbulent heat transfer in a square cavity with a solar control coating [10]. It concludes that the total amount of energy transferred to the air in a cavity with a

selective coating on the inner side is 41.98% lower than that transferred in a cavity without a selective coating.

A cavity avoids significant reduction of the VT due to its transparency. Material with a higher refractive index (RI) is less transparent [11]. Air has 1 for the RI, whereas clear float glass with a thickness between 2–6 mm has an RI of 1.5189–1.5213. Reduction of the RI depends more on the glazing colour than the thickness [12].

3. Methods

A series of methods was employed to create high LSG glass block from waste glasses. During the process the contribution of the interlayer and cavity can be observed.

3.1 Cavity type

The cavity inside glass blocks can be designed as an open cavity or a closed cavity. The CFD-ACE program was used to examine which cavity type creates the lowest indoor temperature. In this study 25 glass blocks with a certain cavity type were arranged as a 1 m² vertical fenestration in a 3 m × 3 m × 3 m adiabatic building system. Glass block models were constructed from 285,345 unstructured cell numbers. Unstructured grid generation was selected since it offers maximum flexibility and refines some meshes more easily than structured grid generation. Simulations were conducted with low air velocity (0.1 m/s). The airflow temperature was set to the lowest average temperature (26.85 °C). Heat flux was set to the peak local condition (540 W/m²), which heated the exterior surface to 50 °C. The low air velocity, the lowest average temperature and the steady state laminar condition were used to describe the significant effect of the convective heat transfer across each model. Convergence was resolved when the residual error reached 0.0001.

Several validation studies support the accuracy of CFD-ACE simulation. Cheng *et al.* [13] investigated the effects of thermal diffusion in laminar jet diffusion flames using CFD-ACE with Reynolds number (Re) = 30 and 330. Comparisons of computed temperature and species concentration profiles of the flames with experimental measurements are in good agreement. Fluid flow and heat transfer of an air-cooled metal foam heat exchanger under a high speed laminar jet confined by two parallel walls were investigated using CFD-ACE with $Re = 600$ – 1000 by Ejlali *et al.* [14]. Two numerical solvers had been cross-validated using a Fortran code and CFD-ACE one. The results from the two independent numerical solvers are almost indistinguishable.

3.2 Analytical approach to estimate U

Equation (1) was employed to estimate U for each model. This formula was developed from the thermal

transmittance formula and overall thermal resistance formula [2].

$$U = 1/[(1/f_0) + (b_1/k_1) + (b_2/k_2) + (b_1/k_1) + R + (b_1/k_1) + (b_2/k_2) + (b_1/k_1) + (1/f_i)] \quad (1)$$

The accuracy of the formula depends on the determination of external surface conductance (f_0), internal surface conductance (f_i), thermal resistance of cavity (R), glass conductivity (k_1), interlayer conductivity (k_2), and the layer thickness (b). The first calculation ignored the presence of the interlayer (k_2 and b_2 are 0). Only interlayers among the layers were calculated at the second calculation.

In this study the value of f_0 is $15 \text{ W/m}^2 \cdot \text{K}$ representing external surface conductance in warm humid climates with 3 m/s of air speed, whereas $8.12 \text{ W/m}^2 \cdot \text{K}$ is the internal surface conductance in warm humid climates. The variation in R value depends on the cavity width. The value of k is $1.05 \text{ W/m} \cdot \text{K}$ for clear glass and $0.1 \text{ W/m} \cdot \text{K}$ (maximum value) for the interlayer. Clear epoxy resin was chosen as the interlayer material based on its transparency, emissivity, thermal conductivity, compressive and tensile strength, durability, curing time and price. Generally epoxy resin has $0.02\text{--}0.1 \text{ W/m} \cdot \text{K}$ for the thermal conductivity and 0.8 for the emissivity.

3.3 Simulation of the temperature profile

The effects of heat transfer through each model on the airflow rate inside the cavity, and the external and the internal surface temperature were simulated individually by CFD using the same modelling techniques as the previous CFD simulations. Simulations were also conducted to produce temperature profiles of a solid glass block and multilayer glass block with the same thickness and closed cavity design to observe how much the multilayer affects the energy performance. Temperature profiles of 12 multilayer glass block models were also simulated to examine the best energy performance. To lighten the central processing unit (CPU) burden, the interlayer in each model was neglected. This is also valid for other simulations.

3.4 Comparative method of computational simulations

The VT of glass block models was estimated using the comparative method of illumination levels simulated by Radiance (plug in Ecotect). Accuracy of the simulation results relies on the models (the precision degree of the geometry and the reflectivity), ray tracing method [15,16] and simulation setting. Models were constructed to

simulate VT field measurement referring to the simulation procedures developed by Laouadi and Arsenault [17]. Each glass block in the VT simulation was installed as a top lighting of a black box with zero reflectance.

The glass block's VT was determined by the ratio of the illumination levels transmitted through each glass block to the illumination level captured by a light sensor in the centre of the black box without glazing. Sky illuminance set up for all simulations is 9897 lux . This condition (normal incidence angle) will create the maximum value of the VT. Validation was conducted using VT field measurement results of single glass and multiple-glasses with and without air gap. The correction factor is 12% higher than simulation results. It was obtained from the deviation value between the simulation results and the field measurement results.

By dividing the simulated direct solar gain of each glass block model ($Q_{g\text{-glassblock}}$) by that of 3 mm standard glass ($Q_{g\text{-}3\text{mm}}$) the SHGC can be obtained (Equation (2)).

$$SHGC_{\text{glassblock}} = (Q_{g\text{-glassblock}}/Q_{g\text{-}3\text{mm}}) \times 0.87 \quad (2)$$

Ecotect (Losses and Gains) was used to calculate the direct solar gain. This facility can analyse heat transfer with the admittance method, which works based on the cyclic variation concept and is valid under steady state conditions. The simulation models were constructed as a horizontal fenestration on a roof plane of a zero U and painted black zone. The simulation date was set on the hottest day.

3.5 Heat balance model

Simulation of heat balance analyses the quantity of the conductive heat gain (Q_c) and Q_g transferred through each glass block model and the internal heat gain produced by a lamp to substitute the lack of daylighting levels (Q_{lamp}). Models were built as a 1 m^2 fenestration on a $3 \text{ m} \times 3 \text{ m} \times 3 \text{ m}$ adiabatic room. The internal heat gain was the heat released by the lamp (Q_{lamp}), which was supplemented to reach the same illuminance level as the illuminance level created by 3 mm standard glass application. One watt fluorescent lamp power was assumed to produce an illumination level of 11 lux and 20% of the energy was released as heat. The total heat gain was compared to that of the 3 mm glass. In an adiabatic and air-tight room the indirect solar gain, the interzonal gain, and the ventilation and infiltration gain are zero.

Q_c refers to conductive heat gain (in W) through a surface area in m^2 (A) due to the air temperature differential (in K) between inside (T_i in $^\circ\text{C}$) and outside (T_o in $^\circ\text{C}$) the space and the thermal transmittance (U) of the surface (Equation (3)).

$$Q_c = U \times (T_o - T_i) \times A \quad (3)$$

Whereas, Q_g is the direct solar radiation (in W) transmitted through a transparent/translucent surface. It depends on the SHGC of the transparent/translucent surface, the total incident solar radiation on the transparent/translucent surface (E in W/m^2) and the glazing area in m^2 (Equation (4)).

$$Q_g = SHGC \times E \times A \quad (4)$$

3.6 Laboratory tests

VT and SHGC laboratory tests were carried out to measure the real LSG. Measurements of the VT referred to the experimental method developed by Wasley and Utzinger [18] with the average relative error less than 5% compared to the manufacturer's data drawn from the Window 4.1 data base. An artificial lighting (Spotone PAR 80 W) replaced the sun to provide weather-independent measurement, and less shading and reflection effects from the surrounding environment. Luxmeter Lutron LX-101 with 5% accuracy of deviation was used to measure the illuminance level (Figure 2). Laboratory VT was obtained from the ratio of the glass block illuminance level to the illuminance level when no glass was installed on the black-doff box. Validation was conducted by comparing the VT measurement of 5 mm clear glass to the standard VT of 5 mm clear glass.

SHGC measurements were taken using a digital Solar Transmission and Power Meter model SP2065, which has been factory calibrated to a National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) traceable thermopile and requires no field adjustment. Self-calibration is carried out by pressing the power mode. Once the display reads P100, the power meter is ready to measure the SHGC of the specimen (Figure 3). The accuracy, therefore, depends on the performance of the control microprocessor and the apparatus position consistency. The comparison of the result of the laboratory SHGC of 5 mm clear glass to the standard value was used to validate the results.




Figure 2. Schematic apparatus of VT laboratory test [19].




Figure 3. SHGC measurement using Power Meter SP2065: defining the apparatus position (left) and measurement of model 110_12x2_r1_30 (right) [19].

4. Results and discussions

4.1 Temperature profile created by cavity type

The temperature profile of each model was observed from CFD simulations of glass block models installed as a vertical fenestration of a $9 m^3$ building. The model with the closed cavity reaches the lowest indoor temperature (Figure 4). In this case, the closed cavity inside the glass block functions as a thermal insulator. Hot outdoor air flowing inside the open cavity aggravates the high incident solar radiation transmitted through the external glazing layers heating the internal glazing layers. Regarding the results, the glass block with the open cavity is not recommended to be applied in warm humid climates, especially under normal or high thermal conditions.

4.2 Glazing layer and cavity contribution to the energy performance

The simulation result of the multilayer glass block confirms the role of the multilayer in creating low SHGC and U . Figure 5 shows that the multilayer glass block creates a much lower indoor surface temperature ($25^\circ C$) compared to the results of the solid glass block ($45^\circ C$) with the same thickness and cavity design. The indoor surface temperature difference can reach approximately 20 K.

As a closed cavity creates the best temperature profile, 12 models with this cavity type were developed with various thicknesses, cavity widths, and cavity numbers based on the mechanical strength, the effectiveness of the




Figure 4. From top left clockwise: Temperature profile of application of glass block without cavity (the average indoor temperature is 21.5 °C), with open cavity (the average indoor temperature is 25 °C), with open cavity in cooler environment (the average indoor temperature is 22.5 °C), and with closed cavity (the average indoor temperature is 21.2 °C) [19].

cavity width and production cost. Table 1 summarises the analytical and computational simulation results of the energy performance of the 12 models. All models have lower U compared to the standard U established by the Conservation Energy Code 2006. The presence of the interlayer decreases U insignificantly. Models with a cavity width of more than 30 mm have relative high SHGC. None of the models exceeded an LSG value of 1. Model 110_12x2_r1_30 was chosen for further development, since it has the highest LSG, whereas model 118_13x2_r2_30

was selected due to its combination of the lowest SHGC and a medium VT.

Using the heat balance method, application of the best models (i.e. 110_12x2_r1_30 and 118_13x2_r2_30 with closed cavity) in the 9 m³ building model produced much lower heat gain compared to the application of 3 mm clear glass (Table 2). The model with the lowest SHGC (i.e. 118_13x2_r2_30) produces 16–20% lower Q_g than that of 110_12x2_r1_30. Two best-model prototypes are presented in Figure 6.

4.3 Interlayer contribution to the LSG

The SHGC and VT of each prototype were measured 3–5 times. Table 3 presents laboratory test results of two prototypes with much lower SHGC compared to the simulation results. Low standard deviation of the laboratory SHGC, i.e. 1.2%, proved that the results are valid and reliable. Small reductions occur in the VT with acceptable standard deviation (3.3–4.3%). LSG of real glass blocks increases due to the lower laboratory SHGC than the simulated SHGC. Interlayers in the real glass blocks contribute to decreasing the SHGC more than decreasing the VT.

The big difference between the percentage difference of the simulated SHGC and the laboratory SHGC (Table 4) explains that adding a glazing interlayer reduces the SHGC more than adding a glazing layer. The lower emissivity of the interlayer (0.8) compared to the clear glass emissivity (0.9–0.95) makes the glass block emit less heat to the interior.

Radiance simulation results conform to the VT laboratory test results, which are demonstrated by the small discrepancies between the simulated VT and the VT laboratory test of each model, i.e. 9.6% for model




Figure 5. Cross section of a solid glass block (left) and a multilayer glass block (right) with the same thickness and cavity design describing the temperature profile (in °C) simulated by CFD.

Table 1. Energy performance of glass block models based on analytical and simulation approach.

Model codes ^a	U_1 (W/m ² K)	U_2 (W/m ² K)	T_{so} ^b (°C)	T_{si} ^c (°C)	VT	SHGC	LSG
110_12x2_r1_30	2.60	2.50	77.5	31.5	0.52	0.65	0.80
111_12x3_r1_25	2.54	2.44	65.5	30	0.41	0.65	0.63
112_12x2_r1_40	3.24	3.14	78	30	0.52	0.74	0.70
113_12x3_r1_35	3.17	3.06	67	29	0.40	0.73	0.55
114_14x2_r3_10	2.55	2.46	75	28	0.40	0.71	0.56
114_13x2_r2_20	2.56	2.20	77.5	28	0.40	0.72	0.56
115_13x3_r2_15	2.50	2.17	67	28	0.28	0.70	0.40
115_12x3_r1_45	3.06	2.95	67	28	0.40	0.71	0.56
118_13x2_r2_30	2.60	2.50	77	27	0.31	0.57	0.54
119_13x3_r2_25	2.54	2.44	67	27	0.27	0.59	0.54
120_14x2_r3_20	2.30	2.20	78	27	0.31	0.67	0.46
121_14x3_r3_15	2.28	2.17	65	27	0.19	0.66	0.29

Note: U_1 = thermal transmittance of a glass block model without interlayer.

U_2 = thermal transmittance of a glass block model with interlayer.

^a Models are coded using 1A_1BxC_rD_E formula, which means that A is the total layer number, B is the glass layer number per group, C is the group number, D is the cavity number, and E is the cavity width in mm.

^b T_{so} = outdoor surface temperature

^c T_{si} = indoor surface temperature

Table 2. Heat balance of best models.

Models	Q_c (W)	Q_g (W)	$Q_c + Q_g$ (W)	Q_{lamp} (W)	Q_{total} (W)	Efficiency (%)
Oriented to West						
110_12x2_r1_30	142.0	213.7	355.7	5.8	361.5	60
118_13x2_r2_30	142.0	98.0	239.9	6.2	246.1	70
3 mm clear glass	322.2	655.4	977.5	0.0	977.5	0
Oriented to South						
110_12x2_r1_30	142.0	106.5	248.4	5.8	254.3	60
118_13x2_r2_30	142.0	48.8	190.8	6.2	197.0	70
3 mm clear glass	322.2	326.5	648.7	0.0	648.7	0
Oriented to East						
110_12x2_r1_30	142.0	328.4	470.3	5.8	476.2	60
118_13x2_r2_30	142.0	150.5	292.5	6.2	298.7	80
3 mm clear glass	322.2	1006.8	1328.9	0.0	1328.9	0
Oriented to North						
110_12x2_r1_30	142.0	206.3	348.2	5.8	354.1	60
118_13x2_r2_30	142.0	94.5	236.5	6.2	242.7	70
3 mm clear glass	322.2	954.6	954.6	0.0	954.6	0




Figure 6. Prototype of model 110_12x2_r1_30 (left) and model 118_13x2_r2_30 (right) [19].

110_12x2_r1_30 and 3.2% for 118_13x2_r2_30. These discrepancies appear due to the imprecision of the model geometry more than the accuracy of Radiance in calculating interreflections among glazing layers. Therefore, the presence of interlayers in real glass blocks affects

Table 3. Laboratory test results of the VT and the SHGC.

Properties	110_12x2_r1_30	118_13x2_r2_30
Calibrated lab. VT	0.47	0.30
Standard deviation of lab. VT	4.30%	3.30%
Simulated VT	0.52	0.31
Calibrated SHGC	0.18	0.06
Standard deviation of laboratory SHGC	1.20%	1.20%
Simulated SHGC	0.65	0.57
Laboratory LSG	2.50	4.35

the VT insignificantly. The less transparent (higher RI) interlayer compared to the clear glass creates a small (percentage) difference between the simulated VT and the laboratory VT.

Table 4. Percentage difference between two glass blocks.

Properties	110_12x2_r1_30	118_13x2_r2_30	Percentage Difference
Simulated SHGC	0.65	0.57	12%
Laboratory SHGC	0.18	0.06	67%
Simulated VT	0.52	0.31	40%
Laboratory VT	0.47	0.30	36%
Simulated LSG	0.80	0.54	32%
Laboratory LSG	2.50	4.35	(-) 74%

5. Conclusions

A closed cavity with medium width (25–30 mm) in a laminated glass block admits optimum visible light and low solar radiation. The cavity width should be no more than 30 mm to avoid high SHGC. SHGC and indoor surface temperature also depend on the glass block's thickness. Collaboration between interlayer and multilayer reduces material consumption in creating new material with low SHGC and U . The presence of an interlayer (epoxy resin) in a laminated glass block reduces the SHGC significantly with a small reduction in the VT. Interlayer contribution to decreasing of the SHGC depends on the emissivity. Certain combinations of closed cavity and interlayer number help the glass block reach high LSG. New interlayers with lower emissivity and lower refractive index will create a higher energy performance laminated glass block.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the Directorate General of Higher Education (Dirjen DIKTI), Ministry of National Education in the scheme of "National Research Competitive Grant" (Hibah Bersaing) under the contract no. 055/SP2H/PP/DP2M/IV/2009 on April 6, 2009 and no. 301/SP2H/P/DP2M/IV/2010 on April 12, 2010. Authors are also grateful to Mr. Widijanto (laboratory staff) for preparing the specimens.

References

- [1] P. Turgut and E.S. Yahlizade, *Research into concrete blocks with waste glass*, Environ. Sci. Eng. 1 (2009), pp. 202–208.
- [2] ASHRAE *ASHRAE Handbook: Fundamental, Inch-Pound Edition*, ASHRAE, Atlanta, GA, 2009.
- [3] International Institute for Energy Conservation (IIEC) and United States Agency for International Development (USAID) *Energy Conservation Building Code 2006*, Bureau of Energy Efficiency, New Delhi, 2006.
- [4] R. Powles, D. Curcija, and C. Kohler, *Solar absorption in thick and multilayer glazings*, Proc. the World Renewable Energy Congress VII, Cologne, 2002.
- [5] N.C. Stokes, *G. James is Glass Handbook*, 1st ed. 1998, Available at http://gjames.com/_data/assets/pdf_file/0005/49307/gjames_glass_handbook.pdf
- [6] Z. Chen and Q. Meng, *Analysis and research on the thermal properties of energy-efficient building glass: A case study on PVB laminated glass*, Proc. Sixth International Conference for Enhanced Building Operations, Shenzhen, ESL-IC-06-11-58, 2006.
- [7] M. Evoy and R.G. Southall, *Validation of a Computational Fluid Dynamics Simulation of a Supply Air Ventilated Window*, Proc. 20/20 Vision CIBSE/ASHRAE conference, Dublin, 2000. Available at <http://www.cibse.org/pdfs/Validation%20of%20CFD%20sim.pdf>
- [8] J.R. Gosselin and Q. Chen, *A dual airflow window for indoor air quality improvement and energy conservation in buildings*, Int. J. HVAC & R Res. 14 (2008), pp. 359–372.
- [9] M. Ghadimi, H. Ghadamian, A.A. Hamid, F. Fazelpour, and M.A. Behghadam, *Analysis of free and forced convection in airflow window using numerical simulation of heat transfer*, Int. J. Energy Environ. Eng. (2012), 3(14), doi: 10.1186/2251-6832-3-14. Available at <http://www.journal-ijeee.com/content/3/1/14>
- [10] J. Xaman, G. Alvarez, J. Hinojosa, and J. Flores, *Conjugate turbulent heat transfer in a square cavity with a solar control coating deposited to a vertical semitransparent wall*, Heat Fluid Flow 30 (2009), pp. 237–248.
- [11] E. Hecht, *Optics*, Addison-Wesley, Boston, 2002.
- [12] U.K. Ahmad, N.F. Asmuje, R. Ibrahim, and N.U. Kamaruzaman, *Forensic classification of glass employing refractive index measurement*, Malays. J. Forensic Sci. 3 (2012), pp. 1–4.
- [13] T.S. Cheng, C.-Y. Wu, C.-P. Chen, Y.-H. Li, Y.-C. Chao, T. Yuan, and T.S. Leu, *Detailed measurement and assessment of laminar hydrogen jet diffusion flames*, Combust. Flame 146 (2006), pp. 268–282.
- [14] Az. Ejlali, Ar. Ejlali, K. Hooman, and H. Gurgenci, *Application of high porosity metal foams as air cooled heat exchangers to high heat load removal system*, Int. Commun. Heat Mass Transfer 36 (2009), pp. 674–679.
- [15] J. Mardaljevic, *Verification of program accuracy for illuminance modeling: Assumptions, methodology and an examination of conflicting findings*, Light. Res. Technol. 36 (2004), pp. 218–238.
- [16] C.F. Reinhart and M. Andersen, *Development and validation of a Radiance model for a translucent panel*, Energy Build. 38 (2006), pp. 890–904.
- [17] A. Laouadi and C. Arsenault, *Validation of skylight performance assessment software*, ASHRAE Transactions 112 (2006), pp. 1–13.
- [18] J.H. Wasley and M. Utzinger, *Vital Signs 2*, (1996), Available at <http://arch.ced.berkeley.edu/vitalsigns/res/downloads/rp/glazing/glaz1-bg.pdf>
- [19] Binarti, F., Istiadji, A.D., Satwiko, P., & Iswanto, P.T. *Raising high energy performance glass block from waste glasses with cavity and interlayer*. 4th International Conference on Sustainability in Energy and Buildings, Stockholm, 2012.