

**DESIGN OF ORGANIZATIONAL STRUCTURE AND JOB DESCRIPTIONS
USING MACRO ERGONOMICS APPROACH FOR PT. ABC**

A THESIS

**Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirement for the Degree of
Bachelor of Engineering in Industrial Engineering**



AURELIA JESSICA IVANALI

13 14 07273

**INTERNATIONAL INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING PROGRAM
FACULTY OF INDUSTRIAL TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITAS ATMA JAYA YOGYAKARTA
YOGYAKARTA**

2017

IDENTIFICATION PAGE
A THESIS ON
DESIGN OF JOB DESCRIPTIONS USING MACRO ERGONOMIC APPROACH
FOR PT. ABC

Submitted by
Aurelia Jessica Ivanali

13 14 07273

was examined and approved on July 26, 2017

Faculty Supervisor,



Luciana Triani Dewi, S.T., M.T.

Board of Examiners,

Chair,




Luciana Triani Dewi, S.T., M.T.

Member,



Dr. Parama Kartika D. SP., S.T., M.T.

Member,



Ririn Diar A., M.MT., D.Eng.

Yogyakarta, July 26, 2017

Universitas Atma Jaya Yogyakarta

Faculty of Industrial Technology,

Dean,



Dr. A. Teguh Siswanto, M.Sc

DECLARATION OF ORIGINALITY OF RESEARCH

I certify that the research entitled "Design of Organizational Structure and Job Descriptions Using Macro Ergonomics Approach for PT. ABC" in this thesis has not already been submitted for any other degree.

I certify that to the best of my knowledge and belief, this thesis which I wrote does not contain the works of parts of other people, except those cited in the quotations and bibliography, as a scientific paper should.

In addition, I certify that I understand and abide the rule stated by the Ministry of Education and Culture of The Republic Indonesia, subject to the provisions of Peraturan Menteri Pendidikan Nasional Republik Indonesia Nomor 17 Tahun 2010 tentang Pencegahan dan Penanggulangan Plagiat di Perguruan Tinggi.

Signature



Student Name : Aurelia Jessica Ivanali
Student ID : 13 14 07273
Date : July 26, 2017

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author expresses her sincere gratitude to her supervisor, Luciana Triani Dewi, S.T., M.T., for providing many precious advices, comments and suggestions during her study and the preparation of this thesis.

Her appreciation is given to Ririn Diar Astanti, M.MT., D.Eng. who serves as Coordinator of International Industrial Engineering Program for patiently conducting and educating the author how to be a good student. Her prespective on many different aspects of life, not particularly on the academic and research life, was broaden the knowledge of the author.

Many thanks are dedicated to Mr. Andy as the owner of the company, Mrs. Shinta as the company supervisor, and all staff of PT. ABC for supporting the author during the research.


The author also gives her thanks to all faculty members of Department of Industrial Engineering UAJY for helping her in various ways. The same appreciation is extended to her TIKI 2013 friends.

Big appreciation is given to her father and mother for unstoppable supports and prayers. Many thanks are given to her one and only days and nights supporter, Aditya David Orlando.

The author is nothing without The Almighty God, highest appreciation to the one that gives her chances and ways to finish this thesis.

TABLE OF CONTENT

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE
	Cover page	i
	Approval page	ii
	Declaration of originality of research	iii
	Acknowledgement	iv
	Table of content	v
1	Introduction	1
	1.1. Background	1
	1.2. Problem statement	3
	1.3. Scope and limitation	3
	1.4. Objectives	4
2	Literature Review and Theoretical Background	5
	2.1. Literature review	5
	2.2. Theoretical background	8
3	Research Methodology	26
	3.1. Pre-data	26
	3.2. Data collection	27
	3.3. Post-data	30
4	Company Profile and Data	34
	4.1. Company profile	34
	4.2. Data of preliminary study	35
	4.3. Complexity dimension of work system	41



4.4.	Formalization dimension of work system	49
4.5.	Centralization dimension of work system	56
4.6.	Technological subsystem	63
4.7.	Personnel subsystem	70
4.8.	External environment	74
5	Analysis and Discussion	76
5.1.	Analysis of complexity dimension	76
5.2.	Analysis of formalization dimension	83
5.3.	Analysis of centralization dimension	93
5.4.	Analysis of technological subsystem	100
5.5.	Analysis of personnel subsystem	108
5.6.	Analysis of external environmental	115
6	Suggestion Design	117
6.1.	Variance matrix	117
6.2.	Preparation department	119
6.3.	Weaving department	124
6.4.	Inspecting department	129
6.5.	Finishing department	134
7	Conclusion and Recommendation	140
7.1.	Conclusion	140
7.2.	Recommendation	140
	References	142
	Appendices	146

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	TITLE	PAGE
Table 2.1.	Comparison of several researches	7
Table 4.1.	Data from preliminary study	40
Table 4.2.	Summary of data of employees	41
Table 4.3.	Education level in preparation department	50
Table 4.4.	Education level in weaving department	52
Table 4.5.	Education level in inspecting department	53
Table 4.6.	Education level in finishing department	55
Table 4.7.	Participation of employees	57
Table 4.8.	Information flow in preparation department	58
Table 4.9.	Information flow in weaving department	59
Table 4.10.	Information flow in inspection department	61
Table 4.11.	Information flow in finishing department	62
Table 4.12.	Machines and technologies facility in preparation department	64
Table 4.13.	Machines and technologies facility in weaving department	66
Table 4.14.	Machines and technologies facility in inspection department	68
Table 4.15.	Machines and technologies facility in finishing department	69
Table 5.1.	Current complexity dimension of all departments	77
Table 5.2.	Current formalization dimension of all departments	83
Table 5.3.	Current centralization dimension of all departments	93
Table 5.4.	Current technological subsystem in all departments	101
Table 5.5.	Current personnel subsystem in all departments	109
Table 6.1.	Variance matrix	118

Table 6.2.	Evaluation vertical differentiation for preparation department	119
Table 6.3.	Evaluation horizontal differentiation for preparation department	120
Table 6.4.	Evaluation job descriptions for preparation department	121
Table 6.5.	Comparison between current and suggested organizational structure for preparation department	123
Table 6.6.	Evaluation vertical differentiation for weaving department	124
Table 6.7.	Evaluation horizontal differentiation for weaving department	125
Table 6.8.	Evaluation job descriptions for weaving department	126
Table 6.9.	Comparison between current and suggested organizational	128
Table 6.10.	Evaluation vertical differentiation for inspection department	129
Table 6.11.	Evaluation horizontal differentiation for inspection department	130
Table 6.12.	Evaluation job descriptions for inspection department	132
Table 6.13.	Comparison between current and suggested organizational structure for inspection department	133
Table 6.14.	Evaluation vertical differentiation for finishing department	134
Table 6.15.	Evaluation horizontal differentiation for finishing department	135
Table 6.16.	Evaluation job descriptions for finishing department	137
Table 6.17.	Comparison between current and suggested organizational structure for finishing department	138

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	TITLE	PAGE
Figure 3.1.	Flowchart of research methodology	31
Figure 3.2.	Data collection methodology	32
Figure 3.3.	Analysis and discussion methodology	33
Figure 4.1.	Production process in PT. ABC	35
Figure 4.2.	Organizational structure of PT. ABC	43
Figure 4.3.	Structure of preparation department	45
Figure 4.4.	Structure of weaving department	47
Figure 4.5.	Structure of inspecting department	48
Figure 4.6.	Structure of finishing department	49

