

## CHAPTER 5

### CONCLUSION

#### 5.1. Conclusion

The main objective of this paper is to examine the transmission of information (first and second moment interdependencies) and correlation between Jakarta Islamic Index and Dow Jones International Market Index World. Using the bivariate VAR GJR-GARCH model to the daily return of these two indices, findings suggest that unidirectional transmission of information at both return and volatility levels propagate from the DJIMID to the JII. This shows that the DJIMID has an effect to the JII market. Therefore, market participants such as market analysts and investors should look at the Dow Jones International Market Index World in forecasting the market price movement and volatility of the Indonesian Islamic stock Market. In addition, the Indonesia Stock Exchange should also consider the U.S. stock market in setting its policy to control the volatility of Indonesian Islamic stock market as the source of volatility in the Indonesian market, not only from the market itself but also from the U.S. market. On the other hand, in controlling the volatility of Islamic U.S. market, Dow Jones should only implement a policy related to the U.S market since the source of volatility only comes from the local market. Author can conclude that VAR GJR-GARCH result supported both of the hypotheses that there are an information transmission at first and second moment interdependences from DJIMID to JII.

The findings highlight also that there are no asymmetric effects in the volatility transmission from the DJIMID to the JII. This indicates that neither good news nor bad news effect influence the volatility of both the U.S. and Indonesian Islamic stock markets. High volatility persistence is documented in both markets. However, the volatility of the DJIMID is more persistent than the volatility of the JII. This implies that the volatility of the DJIMID will take a longer time to revert to their normal volatility level as compared to the volatility of JII. The half-life of an information shock indicates that the U.S. Islamic stock markets take a longer time to revert to their normal level as opposed to the Indonesian Islamic stock markets. It takes 27.5 days for the DJIMID while the JII only takes 3.2 days. This would have important implications to policy makers since they do not need to implement long-range measures to reduce the impact of volatility persistence in these markets (Theodossious et al., 1997).

Last but not least, the paper also documented low correlation between the two Islamic Indices investigated. Therefore, this implies that the two markets offer potential diversification to investors who wish to create an Islamic portfolio investment. In addition, the conditional correlation is higher than the unconditional correlation. This finding presents evidence that the potential for diversification is overestimated if the international market interdependence between both U.S. and Indonesian market is not included in the modeling process. Results in this study advocate further research in this area. Further research may be conducted using different time period, data frequency and other

Islamic Indices. In addition, future research may be analyzed to compare the market interdependence of Islamic stock market in different economic conditions.



## REFERENCES

### Literatures:

- Ahmad, I. and Rahim, F.A. (2006), "International Price Relationships and Volatility Transmissions Between Stock Index and Stock Index Futures in Malaysia, Hongkong, and Japan", *Journal of Economics and Finance*, Vol. 20, p. 15 - 26.
- Alexander, C. (1999) "Optimal Hedging Using Cointegration", *Philosophical Transactions: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, Vol 357, p. 22 - 25.
- Alexander, C. (2001) "Market Models: A Guide to Financial Data Analysis", *John Wiley & Sons Ltd*, Vol. 12, p. 114.
- Alexander, C. and Dimitriu, A. (2005) "Indexing, Cointegration and Equity Market Re-gimes", *International Journal of Finance and Economics*, Vol. 10, p. 32.
- Antoniou, A., Pescetto, G. Violaris, A. (2003), "Modelling International Price Relationships and Interdependencies Between the Stok Index and Stock Index Futures of Three EU Countries: A Multivariate Analysis", *Journal of Business and Accounting*, Vol. 30, p. 64 - 67.
- Baur D. and Jung, R.C. (2006), "Return and Volatility Linkages Between the US and the German Stock Market.", *Journal of International Money and Finance*, Vol. 30, p. 65 - 67
- Bollerslev, T. (1986), "Generalised Autoregressive Conditional Heteroskedasticity", *Journal of Econometric*, Vol. 31, p. 27.

- Bossaerts, P. (1988) "Common Nonstationary Components of Asset Prices", *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control*, Vol 12. p. 23.
- Braun, P. A. and S. Mittnik. (1993) "Misspecifications in Vector Autoregressions and Their Effects on Impulse Responses and Variance Decompositions." *Journal of Econometrics*, p. 319-41.
- Brooks, C. (2004), "Introductory Econometrics for Finance", *Cambridge University Press, Cambridge*, p. 2.
- Broyden, C.G. (1965), "A Class of Methods for Solving Nonlinear Simultaneous Equations", *Mathematics of Computation*, Vol. 19, p. 93.
- Caporale, G.M., Pittis, N. and Spagnolo, N. (2006), "Volatility Transmission and Financial Crises.", *Journal of Economics and Finance*, Vol. 30, p. 90.
- Cavanagh, C., G. Elliot, and J. Stock, (1995), "The Long-Run Relationship between Nominal Interest Rates and Inflation: The Fisher Equation Revisited," *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking*, Vol 28, No. 1, p. 102-118
- Cerchi, M. and Havenner, A. (1988) "Cointegration and Stock Prices: The Random Walk on Wall Street Revisited", *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control*, Vol. 12, p. 11- 28.
- Daly, K.J. (2003), "Southeast Asian Stock Market Linkages Evidence from Pre- and Post- October 1997.", *Asian Economics Bulletin*, Vol. 20, p. 73 - 85.

- Dwyer, G. and Wallace, M. (1992) "Cointegration and Market Efficiency", *Journal of International Money and Finance*, Vol. 11, p. 11.
- Elliott, G., (1998), "On the Robustness of Cointegration Methods When Regressors Almost Have Unit Roots," *Econometrica*, Vol. 66, p. 149–158.
- Engle, R.T. and Granger, C.W.J. (1987), "Cointegration and Error Correction: Representation, Estimation, and Testing.", *Econometrica*, Vol 55. p. 251-276.
- Engle, R.F. and Ng, V.K. (1993), "Measuring and Testing the Impact of News and Volatility", *Journal of Finance*, Vol. 48, p. 78.
- Fletcher, R. and Powel, M. J. D. (1963), "A Rapidly Convergent Descent Method for Minimisation", *Computer Journal*, Vol. 6, p. 163 - 8.
- Foster, K., Havenner, A. and Walburger, A. (1995), "System Theoretic Time-Series Forecasts of Weekly Live Cattle Prices", *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, Vol. 77, p. 4.
- Glosten, L.R., Jagannathan, R. and Runkle, D.E. (1993), "On the Relation Between the Expected Value and the Volatility of Nominal Excess Return on Stocks", *The Journal of Finance*, Vol. 48, p. 1779 - 801.
- Granger, C. W. J. (1969), "Investigating Causal Relations by Econometric Models and Cross-Spectral Methods.", *Econometrica*, p. 424 - 438
- Granger, C.W.J. (1988), "Some Recent Development in the Concept of Causality.", *Journal of Econometrics*, Vol. 16, p. 199-211.

- Harris, R.D.F. and Pisedtasalai, A. (2006), "Return and Volatility Spillovers Between Large and Small Stocks in the UK.", *Journal of Business Finance and Accounting*, Vol. 33, p. 1556 - 71.
- Hassan, M.K., Haque, M. and Lawrence, S.B. (2006), "An Empirical Analysis of Emerging Stock Markets of Europe.", *Quarterly Journal of Business and Economics*, Vol. 42, p. 31 - 52.
- Hjalmarsson, E. and P. Osterholm, (2007), "Residual-Based Tests of Cointegration for Near-Unit-Root Variables.", *Manuscript, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System*, p. 2-5.
- Hsiao, Cheng, (1981), "Autoregressive Modelling and Monetary-Income Causality Detection.", *Journal of Monetary Economics*, p. 85-106
- Johansen, S. and Juselius K. (1990), "Maximum Likelihood Estimation and Inference on Cointegration with Application to the Demand for Money.", *Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics*, Vol. 52, p. 169-210.
- Kasibathla, K.M., Stewart, D., Sen, S., and Malindretos, J. (2006), "Are Daily Stock Price Indices in the Major European Markets Cointegrated? Test and Evidence.", *American Economist*, Vol. 50, p. 47 - 57.
- Keating, J. W. (1993), "Asymmetric Vector Autoregression." *American Statistical Association, Proceedings of the Business and Economic Statistics Section*, p. 68-73.
- Keating, J. W. "Vector Autoregressive Models with Asymmetric Lag Structure." *Working Paper, Washington University*, p. 49 - 53.

- Kim, S.J. (2005), "International Leadership in the Advanced Asia-Pacific Stock Markets: Return, Volatility, and Volume Information Spillovers from the US and Japan." *Journal of the Japanese and International Economies*, Vol. 19, p. 338 - 65.
- Koutmos, G. (1996), "Modelling the Dynamic Interdependence of Major European Stock Markets.", *Journal of Business Finance and Accounting*, Vol. 19, p. 975 - 88.
- Kurihara, Y. and Nezu, E. (2006), "Recent Stock Price Relationships Between Japanese and US Stock Markets.", *Studies in Economics and Finance*, Vol. 23, p. 211-26.
- Lamba, A.S. and Otchere, I. (2001), "An Analysis of the Dynamic Relationships Between the South African Equity Market and Major World Equity Markets.", *Multinational Finance Journal*, Vol. 5, p. 201-24.
- Lucas, A. (1997b), "Strategic and Tactical Asset Allocation and the Effect of Long-Run Equilibrium Relations", *Free University Amsterdam: Serie Research Memoranda*, Vol. 42, p. 60.
- Lütkepohl, H. (1993), "Introduction to Multiple Time Series Analysis." *Second Edition. Berlin: Springer-Verlag*, Chapter 4, p. 58 - 65.
- Metwally, M.M. (1995), "Teori dan Model Ekonomi Islam" terj. M. Husein Sawit, *Jakarta: PT. Bangkit Daya Insana*, p. 25 - 29.



- Rahim, F. A. (2009), "International Transmission between Islamic Stock Indices in South East Asia.", *International Journal of Islamic and Middle Eastern Finance and Management*, Vol. 2, p. 7 - 19.
- Reyes, M.G. (2001), "Asymmetric Volatility Spillover in the Tokyo Stock Exchange.", *Journal of Economics and Finance*, Vol. 25, p. 206 - 13.
- Said E. and David A. Dickey (1984), "Testing for Unit Roots in Autoregressive Moving Average Models of Unknown Order", *Biometrika*, p. 599–607.
- Schwarz, G. (1978), "Estimating the Dimension of a Model", *Annals of Statistics*, Vol. 6., p. 461 - 4.
- Shachmurove, T. (2006), "Dynamic Linkages Among the Stock Exchanges of the Emerging Tigers of the Twenty First Century.", *International Journal of Business*, Vol. 11, p. 320-44.
- Sims, C.A. (1980), "Macroeconomics and Reality", *Econometrica*, Vol. 48, p. 1 - 48.
- Soydemir, G. (2000), "International Transmission Mechanism of Stock Market Movements: Evidence from Emerging Equity Markets.", *Journal of Forecasting*, Vol. 19, p. 149 - 72.
- Stock, J.H., (1991), "Confidence Intervals for the Largest Autoregressive Root in U.S. Economic Time-Series," *Journal of Monetary Economics*, Vol. 28, p. 435–460.
- Taylor, S.J. (1986), "Forecasting the Volatility of Currency Exchange Rates", *International Journal of Forecasting*, Vol. 3, p. 150 - 70.

- Theodossius, P., Kahya, E., Koutmos, G. and Christofi, A. (1997), "Volatility Reversion and Correlation Structure of Returns in Major International Markets.", *Financial Review*, Vol. 32, p. 205-24.
- Verbeek, M. (2004). "A Guide to Modern Econometrics." *Rotterdam, Johan Wiley & Sons*, Vol. 2, p. 14-15.
- Wallace, M. and J. Warner, (1993), "The Fisher Effect and the Term Structure of Interest Rates: Test of Cointegration," *Review of Economics and Statistics*, Vol. 75, p. 320–324.
- Watsham, T.J. and Parramore, K. (1998), "Quantitative Methods in Finance", *International Thomson Business Press, London, UK*, p. 17.
- Wong, W.K., Penm, J., Terrel, R.D. and Ching, K.Y. (2004), "The Relationship Between Stock Markets of Major Developed countries and Asian Emerging Markets.", *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Decision Sciences*, Vol. 8, p. 201-18.
- Xu, Ya. (2010), "Demographic Changes, Household Savings and economic Growth in All China: A Time Series Approach.", *Master Thesis School of Economics and Management Lund University*, p. 20 - 21.

## APPENDICES

### Appendix 1: Descriptive Statistics of DJIMID and JII

	JII	DJIMID
Mean	0.000107	8.69E-06
Median	0.000000	0.000815
Maximum	0.091013	0.088408
Minimum	-0.138577	-0.084828
Std. Dev.	0.018885	0.015616
Skewness	-0.580948	-0.456652
Kurtosis	9.612777	7.408184
Jarque-Bera Probability	2184.445 0.000000	982.0666 0.000000
Sum	0.124875	0.010111
Sum Sq. Dev.	0.414408	0.283365
Observations	1163	1163

### Appendix 2: Correlation Matrix

	JII	DJIMID
JII	1.000000	0.329853
DJIMID	0.329853	1.000000

### Appendix 3: Unit Root Test for DJIMID

Null Hypothesis: DJIMID has a unit root  
 Exogenous: Constant  
 Lag Length: 0 (Automatic based on SIC, MAXLAG=10)

	t-Statistic	Prob.*
Augmented Dickey-Fuller test statistic	-29.77737	0.0000
Test critical values: 1% level	-3.435763	

5% level	-2.863818
10% level	-2.568033

\*MacKinnon (1996) one-sided p-values.

Augmented Dickey-Fuller Test Equation  
 Dependent Variable: D(DJIMID)  
 Method: Least Squares  
 Date: 03/11/13 Time: 12:48  
 Sample (adjusted): 12/03/2007 5/15/2012  
 Included observations: 1162 after adjustments

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
DJIMID(-1)	-0.866439	0.029097	-29.77737	0.0000
C	1.07E-05	0.000454	0.023607	0.9812
R-squared	0.433232	Mean dependent var		3.19E-06
Adjusted R-squared	0.432743	S.D. dependent var		0.020565
S.E. of regression	0.015489	Akaike info criterion		-5.495646
Sum squared resid	0.278297	Schwarz criterion		-5.486940
Log likelihood	3194.970	F-statistic		886.6919
Durbin-Watson stat	1.985285	Prob(F-statistic)		0.000000

#### Appendix 4: Unit Root Test for JII

Null Hypothesis: JII has a unit root  
 Exogenous: Constant  
 Lag Length: 0 (Automatic based on SIC, MAXLAG=10)

	t-Statistic	Prob.*
Augmented Dickey-Fuller test statistic	-31.66188	0.0000
Test critical values:		
1% level	-3.435763	
5% level	-2.863818	
10% level	-2.568033	

\*MacKinnon (1996) one-sided p-values.

**Augmented Dickey-Fuller Test Equation**

Dependent Variable: D(JII)

Method: Least Squares

Date: 03/11/13 Time: 12:51

Sample (adjusted): 12/03/2007 5/15/2012

Included observations: 1162 after adjustments

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
JII(-1)	-0.927110	0.029282	-31.66188	0.0000
C	8.61E-05	0.000553	0.155758	0.8763
R-squared	0.463578	Mean dependent var		-2.40E-05
Adjusted R-squared	0.463115	S.D. dependent var		0.025720
S.E. of regression	0.018846	Akaike info criterion		-5.103328
Sum squared resid	0.411993	Schwarz criterion		-5.094623
Log likelihood	2967.034	F-statistic		1002.475
Durbin-Watson stat	2.007052	Prob(F-statistic)		0.000000

**Appendix 5: Johansen Cointegration Test**

Date: 07/02/12 Time: 22:31

Sample (adjusted): 12/04/2007 5/16/2012

Included observations: 1162 after adjustments

Trend assumption: Linear deterministic trend

Series: DJIMID JII

Lags interval (in first differences): 1 to 1

**Unrestricted Cointegration Rank Test (Trace)**

Hypothesized No. of CE(s)	Eigenvalue	Trace Statistic	0.05 Critical Value	Prob.**
None *	0.411281	1009.887	15.49471	0.0001
At most 1 *	0.287723	394.2526	3.841466	0.0000

Trace test indicates 2 cointegrating eqn(s) at the 0.05 level

\* denotes rejection of the hypothesis at the 0.05 level

\*\*MacKinnon-Haug-Michelis (1999) p-values

**Unrestricted Cointegration Rank Test (Maximum Eigenvalue)**

Hypothesized No. of CE(s)	Eigenvalue	Max-Eigen Statistic	0.05 Critical Value	Prob.**
None *	0.411281	615.6348	14.26460	0.0001

At most 1 \*      0.287723      394.2526      3.841466      0.0000

Max-eigenvalue test indicates 2 cointegrating eqn(s) at the 0.05 level

\* denotes rejection of the hypothesis at the 0.05 level

\*\*MacKinnon-Haug-Michelis (1999) p-values

Unrestricted Cointegrating Coefficients (normalized by b\*S11\*b=I):

DJIMID	JII
-90.63629	66.25591
38.97035	51.97991

Unrestricted Adjustment Coefficients (alpha):

D(DJIMID)	0.006343	-0.008519
D(JII)	-0.009829	-0.008168

1 Cointegrating Equation(s):      Log likelihood      6119.226

Normalized cointegrating coefficients (standard error in parentheses)

DJIMID	JII
1.000000	-0.731009
	(0.02868)

Adjustment coefficients (standard error in parentheses)

D(DJIMID)	-0.574897
	(0.04688)
D(JII)	0.890850
	(0.05125)

## Appendix 6: Lag Length Criteria

VAR Lag Order Selection Criteria

Endogenous variables: DJIMID

JII

Exogenous variables: C

Date: 03/11/13 Time: 12:53

Sample: 11/30/2007 5/16/2012

Included observations: 1153

Lag	LogL	LR	FPE	AIC	SC	HQ
0	6161.386	NA	7.85e-08	-10.68410	-10.67534	-10.68080
1	6243.071	162.9448	6.86e-08	-10.81886	-10.79258*	-10.80894
2	6254.728	23.21152	6.77e-08	-10.83214	-10.78834	-10.81561

3	6263.305	17.05159	6.72e-08	-10.84008	-10.77876	-10.81693*
4	6265.420	4.195587	6.74e-08	-10.83681	-10.75797	-10.80705
5	6275.576	20.11912	6.67e-08*	-10.84749*	-10.75113	-10.81112
6	6278.505	5.791120	6.68e-08	-10.84563	-10.73175	-10.80265
7	6280.560	4.056873	6.70e-08	-10.84225	-10.71086	-10.79266
8	6285.714	10.15563*	6.69e-08	-10.84426	-10.69534	-10.78805
9	6288.902	6.271428	6.70e-08	-10.84285	-10.67641	-10.78003
10	6290.616	3.365509	6.73e-08	-10.83888	-10.65492	-10.76945

\* indicates lag order selected by the criterion  
 LR: sequential modified LR test statistic (each test at 5% level)  
 FPE: Final prediction error  
 AIC: Akaike information criterion  
 SC: Schwarz information criterion  
 HQ: Hannan-Quinn information criterion

### Appendix 7: Granger Causality Test

Pairwise Granger Causality Tests  
 Date: 03/11/13 Time: 12:59  
 Sample: 11/30/2007 5/16/2012  
 Lags: 8

Null Hypothesis:	Obs	F-Statistic	Probability
JII does not Granger Cause DJIMID	1158	1.86988	0.0968
DJIMID does not Granger Cause JII		41.8811	1.1E-39

### Appendix 8: Vector Error Correction Model

Vector Autoregression Estimates  
 Date: 07/02/12 Time: 22:31  
 Sample (adjusted): 12/04/2007 5/16/2012  
 Included observations: 1162 after adjustments  
 Standard errors in ( ) & t-statistics in [ ]

	DJIMID	JII
DJIMID(-1)	0.141366 (0.03079)	0.452604 (0.03482)

	[ 4.59198]	[ 12.9997]
DJIMID(-2)	-0.048260 (0.03295) [-1.46450]	0.119923 (0.03727) [ 3.21787]
JII(-1)	-0.006509 (0.02711) [-0.24010]	-0.089222 (0.03066) [-2.90992]
JII(-2)	-0.016064 (0.02553) [-0.62925]	0.013413 (0.02887) [ 0.46459]
C	0.000129 (0.00045) [ 0.28413]	0.000219 (0.00051) [ 0.42680]
<hr/>		
R-squared	0.021022	0.141031
Adj. R-squared	0.017638	0.138062
Sum sq. resids	0.275695	0.352619
S.E. equation	0.015436	0.017458
F-statistic	6.211226	47.49108
Log likelihood	3200.427	3057.447
Akaike AIC	-5.499875	-5.253782
Schwarz SC	-5.478111	-5.232018
Mean dependent	0.000134	0.000272
S.D. dependent	0.015574	0.018804
<hr/>		
Determinant resid covariance (dof adj.)		6.57E-08
Determinant resid covariance		6.51E-08
Log likelihood		6316.352
Akaike information criterion		-10.85431
Schwarz criterion		-10.81078
<hr/>		

## Appendix 9: VAR GJR-GARCH

Dependent Variable: DJIMID

Method: ML - ARCH

Date: 02/12/13 Time: 17:15

Sample (adjusted): 12/03/2007 5/15/2012

Included observations: 1162 after adjustments

Convergence achieved after 13 iterations

Variance backcast: ON

GARCH = C(4) + C(5)\*RESID(-1)^2 + C(6)\*RESID(-1)^2\*(RESID(-1)<0)  
+ C(7)\*GARCH(-1)



	Coefficient	Std. Error	z-Statistic	Prob.
C	0.134321	0.000341	-0.074455	0.0000
JII	0.104233	0.018116	11.51447	0.0000
AR(1)	0.002222	0.033979	2.443335	0.0000

Variance Equation

C	0.145924	4.17E-07	4.860472	0.0006
RESID(-1)^2	0.733252	0.009596	-4.441581	0.0000
RESID(-1)^2*(RESID(-1)<0)	0.072250	0.016196	9.834099	0.0000
GARCH(-1)	0.309999	0.008650	109.6923	0.0598

R-squared	0.103756	Mean dependent var	1.19E-05
Adjusted R-squared	0.099100	S.D. dependent var	0.015622
S.E. of regression	0.014828	Akaike info criterion	-5.999135
Sum squared resid	0.253952	Schwarz criterion	-5.968666
Log likelihood	3492.497	F-statistic	22.28514
Durbin-Watson stat	2.060570	Prob(F-statistic)	0.000000

Inverted AR Roots .08

Dependent Variable: JII  
Method: ML - ARCH  
Date: 02/13/13 Time: 09:31  
Sample (adjusted): 12/03/2007 5/15/2012  
Included observations: 1162 after adjustments  
Convergence achieved after 29 iterations  
Variance backcast: ON  
GARCH = C(4) + C(5)\*RESID(-1)^2 + C(6)\*RESID(-1)^2\*(RESID(-1)<0)  
+ C(7)\*GARCH(-1)

	Coefficient	Std. Error	z-Statistic	Prob.
C	0.032753	0.000367	0.459996	0.1154
DJIMID	0.190738	0.024712	16.63568	0.0000
AR(1)	0.004115	0.031628	-2.690663	0.6996

Variance Equation

C	0.007223	1.04E-06	4.850498	0.2010
RESID(-1)^2	0.903852	0.012594	3.747251	0.0000
RESID(-1)^2*(RESID(-1)<0)	0.071341	0.018823	4.104278	0.0290

GARCH(-1)	-0.000423	0.008176	109.9691	0.6525
R-squared	0.109345	Mean dependent var	9.48E-05	
Adjusted R-squared	0.104719	S.D. dependent var	0.018888	
S.E. of regression	0.017872	Akaike info criterion	-5.482517	
Sum squared resid	0.368903	Schwarz criterion	-5.452048	
Log likelihood	3192.342	F-statistic	23.63315	
Durbin-Watson stat	1.919019	Prob(F-statistic)	0.000000	
Inverted AR Roots	-0.09			

