THE APPLICATION OF ANALYTIC NETWORK PROCESS TO REVIEW THE CAUSES OF CONSTRUCTION DISPUTES

Final Project Report

in fulfillment of the requirement for the degree

Bachelor of Civil Engineering

by:

Elsya Patresia Student ID Number: 141315560



INTERNATIONAL CIVIL ENGINEERING PROGRAM
DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING
UNIVERSITAS ATMA JAYA YOGYAKARTA
YOGYAKARTA
2018

STATEMENT

I sign below stating that the final project with the title:

"THE APPLICATION OF ANALYTIC NETWORK PROCESS TO REVIEW THE CAUSES OF CONSTRUCTION DISPUTES"

It is the result of my own work and not a result of plagiarism of other people's work. Ideas, research data, and quotes directly or non-directly derived from the writings or ideas of others expressly provided in this Final Project report. If it is proven later that this Final Project is the result of plagiarism, the graduation certificate that I receive will be canceled and returned to Universitas Atma Jaya Yogyakarta.

Yogyakarta,

Who made the remarks,

Elsya Patresia

APPROVAL

Final Project Report

THE APPLICATION OF ANALYTIC NETWORK PROCESS TO REVIEW THE CAUSES OF CONSTRUCTION DISPUTES

by:

ELSYA PATRESIA

Student ID Number: 141315560

has been approved by,

Yogyakarta, 2617/19

Supervisor,

A. Koesmargono, Ir., MCM, Ph.D.

Department of Civil Engineering Chairman,

AY. Harijanto Setiawan, Ir., M.Eng., Ph.D.

APPROVAL OF EXAMINERS

Final Project Report

THE APPLICATION OF ANALYTIC NETWORK PROCESS TO **REVIEW THE CAUSES OF CONSTRUCTION DISPUTES**



By:

Elsya Patresia

Student ID Number: 14 13 15560

Has been examined and approved by:

Name

Signature

Date

Chairperson: A. Koesmargono, Ir., MCM, Ph.D.

Member

: Ir. Peter F. Kaming, M.Eng., Ph.D.

Member : AY. Harijanto Setiawan, Ir., M.Eng., Ph.D.

iv

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I dedicate this page to express my sincere gratitude to all people who have helped throughout the process of completing this final project report. The purpose of this final project with the title "The Application of Analytic Network Process (ANP) to Review the Causes of Construction Disputes" is to complete the requirement of undergraduate program (S-1) in International Civil Engineering Program Universitas Atma Jaya Yogyakarta. I would like to say thanks especially to:

- 1. A. Koesmargono, Ir., MCM, Ph.D. as my final project supervisor for the valuable advice and thanks for being such a supportive person throughout the discussions we had during the counseling time.
- All the lectures and staffs in the International Civil Engineering Program.
 Mr. Drajat who has helped so much throughout my 4 year study in the University.
- 3. My parents and brothers for their unconditional love and support.
- 4. Agus, Bagoes, Anju, Thea, Dede, Vareskyu, Patrick, Vivi, Aris, Santi, Evi and domi who have been the best companions during my study. I will miss these people so much.
- 5. Elvina, Lena and Nindita for always supporting me. Thanks for always giving me the best advice and thanks for always staying by my side.

- 6. Stefanny Sandy who is just like a sister from a different mother to me, thank you for always being kind to all people around you. You have been a very supportive sister.
- 7. Last but not least, I Putu Dede Sugiartha who has accompanied me day and night to complete this report. Thanks for your endless support and love.

Finally, as the writer of this report, I realized that this report has weaknesses. For that, any suggestion and critics are welcomed. I hope anyone who reads, finds this report helpful. Thank you.

Yogyakarta, July 2018

The Author

Elsya Patresia

TABLE OF CONTENT

Titlei
Statementii
Approvaliii
Approval of Examineriv Acknowledgementv
Acknowledgementv
Table of Contentvi
List of Tablesx
List of Figuresxi
List of Appendicesxi
Abstractxi
CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION
1.1. Background1
1.2. Problem Statement
1.3. Research Objectives2
1.4. Research Benefits
1.5. Research limitations
1.6. Final project originality4
CHAPTER II: LITERATURE REVIEW
2.1. Disputes in Construction5
2.2. Causes of Disputes in Construction Projects6

2.3. Analytic Network Process	9
2.3.1. ANP Fundamental Scale	11
2.3.2. ANP Consistency Ratio	14
2.3.3. ANP Application in Decision Making	14
\IImis	
CHAPTER III: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	
3.1. Introduction	17
3.2. Problem Identification	17
3.3. Developing Questionnaires	18
3.3.1 Questionnaire Phase I	18
3.3.1 ANP Modelling	19
3.3.1 Questionnaire Phase II	20
3.4. Data Collection	21
3.5. Data Analysis	//
3.5.1. Analysis Phase I	
3.5.2. Analysis Phase II	
3.5.3. Combining Judgements	
3.6. Manual Calculation of Priorities	
CHAPTER IV: ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION	
4.1. Introduction	31
4.2. Respondent Profile	31
4.3 Analysis Phase I	32

4.4. Analysis Phase II	35
CHAPTER V: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	
5.1. Conclusion	45
5.2. Recommendations	46
5.2. Recommendations	
References	48
	17%
5	8
	2
·' / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /	0.

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1. Common causes of disputes by Categories	9
Table 2.2. Saaty's fundamental scale of absolute numbers	12
Table 2.3. Example matrix of drink consumption in Yogyakarta	13
Table 3.1. Variable causes of disputes used in the study	22
Table 3.2. Pairwise comparison matrix with respect to element "Delays is	n
work progress	26
Table 3.3. Pairwise comparison matrix (normalized) with respect to elements	nent
delays in work progress	26
Table 3.4. Random consistency index	27
Table 4.1. Respondent profile of phase I	31
Table 4.2. Competitive Respondent profile of phase II	32
Table 4.3. Dependencies of disputes variables	33
Table 4.4. Consistency ratio of respondents	
Table 4.5. Cluster matrix of respondents	37
Table 4.6. Unweighted Supermatrix of respondents	38
Table 4.7. Weighted Supermatrix of respondents	39
Table 4.8. Limit Supermatrix of respondents	40
Table 4.9. Final priorities	41

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1. Hierarchy's and network's structure	11
Figure 3.1. Example of pairwise comparison with respect to element "Dela	ays
in work progress" in Super Decision	25
Figure 3.2. Research Flowchart	30
Figure 4.1. ANP Model	34

LIST OF APPENDICES

Questionnaire Permission50
Questionnaire of Phase I51
Questinnaire of Phase II65
Appendix 1. Questionnaire result of respondent 1 phase I78
Appendix 2. Questionnaire result of respondent 2 phase I79
Appendix 3. Questionnaire result of respondent 3 phase I80
Appendix 4. Questionnaire result of phase I combined81
Appendix 5. Respondents' matrices with respect to element <i>Delays in work</i>
progress82
Appendix 6. Respondents' matrices with respect to element <i>Financial failure</i>
of the contractor90
Appendix 7. Respondents' matrices with respect to element <i>Quality of work</i>
97
Appendix 8. Respondents' matrices with respect to element <i>Technical</i>
inadequacy105
Appendix 9. Respondents' matrices with respect to element <i>Tendering</i> 113
Appendix 10. Respondents' matrices with respect to element <i>Time extensions</i>
116
Appendix 11. Respondents' matrices with respect to element <i>Availability of</i>
information124

Appendix 12. Respondents' matrices with respect to element <i>Design errors</i>
127
Appendix 13. Respondents' matrices with respect to element <i>Inadequate</i>
specifications135
Appendix 14. Respondents' matrices with respect to element Quality of design
143
Appendix 15. Respondent matrices with respect to element <i>Acceleration</i> 149
Appendix 16. Respondents' matrices with respect to element Change of scope
156
Appendix 17. Respondents' matrices with respect to element <i>Late giving of</i>
possessions163
Appendix 18. Respondents' matrices with respect to element Payment delays
171
Appendix 19. Respondents' matrices with respect to element <i>Unrealistic</i>
expectations178
Appendix 20. Respondents' matrices with respect to element Variation by
owner186
Appendix 21. Respondents' cluster matrices194
Annendix 22 Respondents' Normalized matrices 202

ABSTRACT

THE APPLICATION OF ANALYTIC NETWORK PROCESS TO REVIEW THE CAUSES OF CONSTRUCTION DISPUTES, Elsya Patresia, Student ID Number 14.13.15560, year of 2018, Construction Management, International Civil Engineering Program, Department of Civil Engineering, Universitas Atma Jaya Yogyakarta.

Disputes in construction are not avoidable. A construction project involves many parties working together. The parties come from various background and culture, working together as a team. The team must deal with a lot of differences. This makes disputes are prevalent in construction projects. Conflict and disputes, if neglected, can cause loss to the project. If disputes are not handled sensibly, it might result project delays, confound team engagement and, furthermore, destroy business relationships.

The objective of this study is to analyze the dominant causes of disputes in construction projects in Yogyakarta. To achieve the study objective, a deep literature review was deeply done to identify the existing dispute causes in construction industry. The analysis of the study is carried out using the Analytic Network Process (ANP) by the means of Super Decision software. There are 16 disputes variables examined in this study. To obtain data, this study uses two kinds of questionnaire. First questionnaire is aimed to determine dependencies among the 16 variables, and the second questionnaire is pairwise questionnaire to obtain variables' priorities.

The analysis found three top variables that have received high priorities. These variables are *variation initiated by owner* (0.1633), *change of scope* (0.1587) and *late giving of possessions* (0.0911). All of these variables are classified as owner related disputes variables. The result of the study also shows that *tendering* is the less preferred dispute causes with priority 0.0022. This study concludes that the main cause of construction disputes in Yogyakarta comes from the owner of the projects.

Keywords: Construction disputes; Analytic Network Process, Super Decision software.