STRUCTURAL DESIGN OF TANGCITY MALL TANGERANG USING SPECIAL MOMENT RESISTING FRAME

Final Project

By:

DONI PUTRA PRATAMA

Student Number: 05 13 12213



ATMA JAYA YOGYAKARTA UNIVERSITY
Faculty of Engineering
Department of Civil Engineering
International S1 Program
2011

APPROVAL

Final Project

STRUCTURAL DESIGN OF TANGCITY MALL TANGERANG USING SPECIAL MOMENT RESISTING FRAME

By:

DONI PUTRA PRATAMA

Student Number: 05 13 12213

has been approved

Yogyakarta,

Advisor

(Prof. Ir. Yoyong Arfiadi, M.Eng., Ph.D.)

Department of Civil Engineering

Head

March 24, 20/,

(Ir. Junaedi Utomo, M.Eng.)

APPROVAL

Final Project

STRUCTURAL DESIGN OF TANGCITY MALL TANGERANG USING SPECIAL MOMENT RESISTING FRAME

By:

DONI PUTRA PRATAMA

Student Number: 05 13 12213

has been examined and approved by the examination committee

Signature Date

Chairperson: Prof. Yoyong Arfiadi, M.Eng., Ph.D.

22/03/2011

Member: DR. Ir. Ade Lisantono., M.Eng.

23/63

Member: Ir. Pranawa Widagdo., MT.

For each new morning with its light,

For rest and shelter of the night,

For health and food, for love and friends,

For everything Thy goodness sends.

This Final Project Has Been Dedicated To:

My Savior and Redeemer, Jesus Christ

My Beloved Father and Mother

My Little Brothers, Davin and William

Who Always Be Here To Pray and Support Me

PREFACE

First and foremost, I would like to thank God for His blessing, so that I could prepare and finish this final project report. This report was made to finish the S1 degree at Faculty of Engineering, Department of Civil Engineering, Atma Jaya University Yogyakarta.

In this final project, I redesign "Tangcity Mall Tangerang" building by using software ETABS non linier version 9.70 and SAP2000 V14. It is also designed based on SNI 2002.

I would like to express my gratitude for:

- DR. Ir. Ade Lisantono, M.Eng, as the Dean of Engineering Faculty, University of Atma Jaya Yogyakarta.
- 2. **Ir. Y. Lulie, MT.,** as the Coordinator of International Program, University of Atma Jaya Yogyakarta.
- 3. **Prof. Yoyong Arfiadi, M. Eng, PhD** as my final project's advisor who has given me guidance and knowledge in civil engineering and also given me a will and motivation to complete this final project.
- 4. **Ir. Junaedi Utomo, M.Eng.,** as the head of Civil Engineering Department, University of Atma Jaya Yogyakarta.
- 5. **Mas Wiko,** as the administration staff of International Civil Engineering Program, University of Atma Jaya Yogyakarta who has given me information and help for the administration requirements and stuffs.
- 6. **My Father**, **Mother**, **My Little Brothers**, **Davin and William** who always give me support and motivation.
- 7. My International Civil Engineering friends of year 2005, Adit Paijo, Anita, Ayu; Other International class friends, Yohan Medisa and Lukas; Other friends, Adit Elphi and Hansen; and the last, My ex-gf, Debby Liem who have supported and given motivation, information and additional knowledge to the author in the past.

I realized that, this report has many mistakes. I openly accept all arguments and critiques from all of the readers so I can make my final project better. Finally, I hope that this final project can give benefit to the readers. Thank you and please enjoy reading my final project.

Yogyakarta, February 2011

Doni Putra Pratama

CONTENTS

| COVER | . i |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| APPROVAL | . ii |
| DEDICATION SHEET | . iv |
| PREFACE | . v |
| CONTENTS | . vii |
| TABLE CONTENTS | . xii |
| FIGURE CONTENTS | . xiii |
| APPENDIX CONTENTS | . XV |
| ABSTRACT | . xvi |
| CHAPTER I. INTRODUCTION | . 1 |
| 1.1. General Background | . 1 |
| 1.2. Problem Statement | . 2 |
| 1.3. Problem Limitations | |
| 1.4. Objective | . 3 |
| 1.5. Function | . 4 |
| CHAPTER II. LITERATURE REVIEW | . 5 |
| 2.1. Concrete Slab | . 6 |
| 2.2. Beam | . 6 |
| 2.3. Column | . 7 |
| 2.4. Foundation | . 8 |
| CHAPTER III RASIC THEORY | 10 |

| 3.1. | Loading Analysis | 10 |
|------|---|----|
| 3.2. | Earthquake Design | 11 |
| 3.3. | Slab Design | 12 |
| 3.4. | Stair Design | 14 |
| 3.5. | Beam Design | 14 |
| | 3.5.1. Longitudinal Reinforcement | 14 |
| | 3.5.2. Shear Reinforcement | 16 |
| | 3.5.3. Torsion Reinforcement | 17 |
| 3.6. | Column Design | 18 |
| | 3.6.1. Longitudinal Reinforcement | |
| | 3.6.2. Shear Reinforcement | 19 |
| 3.7. | Pile Foundation Design | 20 |
| СН | APTER IV. STRUCTURAL ELEMENT DIMENSION | |
| EST | ΓΙΜΑΤΙΟΝ | 24 |
| 4.1. | Estimation | 24 |
| 4.2. | Beam Estimation | 24 |
| 4.3. | Slab Thickness Estimation | 27 |
| 4.4. | Column Estimation | 34 |
| | 4.4.1. Calculation of Dead Load and Live Load | 34 |
| | 4.4.2. Estimation of Column I-3 | 35 |
| | 4.4.3. Estimation of Column J-1 | 47 |
| СН | APTER V. SEISMIC DESIGN | 60 |
| 5.1. | Earthquake Zone | 60 |

| 5.2. Importance Factor I | 60 |
|--|----|
| 5.3. Natural Period | 60 |
| 5.4. Seismic Response Factor C ₁ | 60 |
| 5.5. Seismic Response Factor R | 61 |
| 5.6. Distribution of F _i | 62 |
| 5.7. T Reyleigh Analysis | 63 |
| 5.8. Service Story Drift | 65 |
| 5.9. Ultimate Story Drift | 67 |
| CHAPTER VI. STRUCTURAL ANALYSIS | 70 |
| 6.1. Stairs Design | 70 |
| 6.1.1. Dimension of Stairs | |
| 6.1.2. Stairs Loading | 72 |
| 6.1.3. Reinforcement in Stairs Slab and Landing Slab | 73 |
| 6.1.3.1. Midspan Reinforcement | 74 |
| 6.1.3.2. Edge Reinforcement | 75 |
| 6.1.3.3. Shrinkage Reinforcement | 76 |
| 6.1.3.4. Control Against Shear | 77 |
| 6.1.4. Reinforcement in Landing Beam | 77 |
| 6.1.4.1. Edge Reinforcement | 78 |
| 6.1.4.2. Midspan Reinforcement | 80 |
| 6.2. Slab Design | |
| 6.2.1. Reinforcement in X direction | 84 |
| 6.2.2. Reinforcement in Y direction | 85 |

| | 6.2.3 | . Control Against Shear | 87 |
|------|-------|--|-----|
| | 6.2.4 | . Shrinkage Reinforcement | 87 |
| 6.3. | Bean | n Design | 88 |
| | 6.3.1 | . Flexural Reinforcement | 88 |
| | | 6.3.1.1. Condition I:Left Support (Negative Moment) | 89 |
| | | 6.3.1.2. Condition II:Left Support (Positive Moment) | 90 |
| | | 6.3.1.3. Condition III:Right Support (Negative Moment) | 92 |
| | | 6.3.1.4. Condition IV:Right Support (Positive Moment) | 93 |
| | | 6.3.1.5. Condition V:Middle Bottom (Positive Moment) | 94 |
| | | 6.3.1.6. Condition VI:Middle Top (Negative Moment) | 96 |
| | 6.3.2 | . Moment Capacity | 98 |
| | | 6.3.2.1. Positive Moment Capacity | 99 |
| | | 6.3.2.2. Negative Moment Capacity | 103 |
| | 6.3.3 | . Shear Reinforcement | 107 |
| | | 6.3.3.1. Shear Reinforcement at Plastic Hinge | 108 |
| | | 6.3.3.2. Shear Reinforcement at Outside Plastic Hinge | 110 |
| | 6.3.4 | . Torsion Reinforcement | 111 |
| | | 6.3.4.1. In Plastic Hinge | 113 |
| | | 6.3.4.2. Outside Plastic Hinge | 115 |
| | | 6.3.4.3. Additional Longitudinal Reinforcement | 117 |
| 6.4. | Colu | mn Design | 120 |
| | 6.4.1 | . Longitudinal Reinforcement | 120 |
| | | 6 4 1 1 Analysis Using Biaxial Bending Moment | 122 |

| | 6.4.1.2. Searching for Moment Caused by Earthquake | 124 |
|------|---|------|
| | 6.4.1.3. Searching for Moment Capacity | 128 |
| | 6.4.2. Shear Reinforcement | 129 |
| | 6.4.3. Beam-Column Connection | 135 |
| 6.5. | Retaining Wall Design | 137 |
| | 6.5.1. Dimension of Retaining Wall | 137 |
| | 6.5.2. Soil Data | 138 |
| | 6.5.3. Retaining Wall Stability | 139 |
| | 6.5.4. Retaining Wall Reinforcement | 144 |
| 6.6. | Bored Pile Foundation Design | 148 |
| | 6.6.1. Foundation Loading | 148 |
| | 6.6.2. Amount of Pile Needed | 151 |
| | 6.6.3. Controlling The Reaction of Each Pile | 153 |
| | 6.6.4. Shear Analysis of Foundation | 154 |
| | 6.6.5. Control Against Two-way Shear | 156 |
| | 6.6.6. Control of Transferring Column Loading To Foundation | 157 |
| | 6.6.7. Pile Cap Reinforcement | 158 |
| | 6.6.8. Bored Pile Reinforcement | 159 |
| CH | APTER VII. CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS | 161 |
| 7.1. | Conclusions | 161 |
| 7.2. | Suggestions | 162 |
| RE | FERENCES | 164 |
| A DI | DENINE | 1.65 |

TABLE CONTENTS

| Number | Table Number | Table Name | Page Number |
|--------|-----------------|--|-------------|
| 1 | 4.1 | Estimated Column Dimension Based on Column I-3 | 47 |
| 2 | 4.2 | Estimated Column Dimension Based on Column J-1 | 59 |
| 3 | 5.1 | Building Weight | 62 |
| 4 | 5.2 | Horizontal distribution of story shear | 63 |
| 5 | 5.3 | T Rayleigh analysis due to earthquake in X direction | 63 |
| 6 | 5.4 | T Rayleigh analysis due to earthquake in Y direction | 64 |
| 7 | 5.5 | Service Story Drift X-axis | 65 |
| 8 | 5.6 | Service Story Drift Y-axis | 66 |
| 9 | 5.7 | Ultimate Story Drift X-axis | 68 |
| 10 | 5.8 | Ultimate Story Drift Y-axis | 69 |
| 11 | 6.1 | Moment Envelope at B34 Upper Ground Floor | 88 |
| 12 | 6.2 | Moment Ultimate Used for Designing B34 | 88 |
| 13 | 6.3 | Torsion Forces that work in Retaining Wall | 140 |
| 14 | 6.4 | Support Forces that work in Retaining Wall | 141 |
| 15 | 6.5 | Value of Soil Support Coefficient by Terzaghi | 143 |

FIGURE CONTENTS

| Number | Figure Number | Figure Name | Page Number |
|--------|------------------|--|-------------|
| 1 | 3.1 | Distribution of Beam Stress and Strain | 15 |
| 2 | 4.1 | Floor Slab Dimension | 27 |
| 3 | 4.2 | Beam 2 (300/600) | 28 |
| 4 | 4.3 | Beam 4 (300/500) | 29 |
| 5 | 4.4 | Beam 1 (300/600) | 31 |
| 6 | 4.5 | Beam 3 (300/600) | 32 |
| 7 | 4.6 | Tributary Area of Column I-3 (Top Floor – Basement 2) | 35 |
| 8 | 4.7 | Tributary Area of Column J-1 (Top Floor – Basement 2) | 47 |
| 9 | 5.1 | Service Story Drift X-axis | 66 |
| 10 | 5.2 | Service Story Drift Y-axis | 67 |
| 11 | 5.3 | Ultimate Story Drift X-axis | 68 |
| 12 | 5.4 | Ultimate Story Drift Y-axis | 69 |
| 13 | 6.1 | Stairs Plain View | 71 |
| 14 | 6.2 | Stairs Section | 71 |
| 15 | 6.3 | Load Distribution in Stairs | 73 |
| 16 | 6.4 | Edge Reinforcement in Landing Beam | 80 |
| 17 | 6.5 | Midspan Reinforcement in Landing Beam | 83 |
| 18 | 6.6 | Slab Type 4 | 83 |
| 19 | 6.7 | Right and Left Support Flexural Reinforcement | 97 |
| 20 | 6.8 | Midspan Flexural Reinforcement | 97 |
| 21 | 6.9 | T-Beam Section | 98 |
| 22 | 6.10 | Shear Diagram due to Gravity Loading | 107 |
| 23 | 6.11 | Shear Diagram due to Earthquake Loading | 108 |
| 24 | 6.12 | Shear Diagram due to Gravity Loading and Eartquake Loading | 108 |
| 25 | 6.13 | Perimeter of T-Beam | 112 |
| 26 | 6.14 | Area of A _{oh} | 113 |
| 27 | 6.15 | Left and Right Support Reinforcement With Additional Torsion Reinforcement | 119 |
| 28 | 6.16 | Midspan Reinforcement With Additional Torsion Reinforcement | 120 |
| 29 | 6.17 | Earthquake Sway in Beam and Column Joint | 124 |
| 30 | 6.18 | Force Acting in the Beam-Column Joint | 136 |
| 31 | 6.19 | Shear and Longitudinal Column Reinforcement | 137 |
| 32 | 6.20 | Dimension of Retaining Wall | 137 |
| 33 | 6.21 | Soil Pressure Diagram | 139 |
| 34 | 6.22 | Retaining Wall Slab | 146 |

| 35 | 6.23 | Plan of Bore Pile Arrangement | 152 |
|----|------|----------------------------------|-----|
| 36 | 6.24 | Section of Bore Pile Arrangement | 152 |
| 37 | 6.25 | Loading Area for Two-way Shear | 156 |
| 38 | 6.26 | Plan of Pile Cap | 158 |



APPENDIX CONTENS

| Number | Appendix Name | Page Number |
|--------|---|-------------|
| | Appendix A | |
| 1 | Building Story Weight Comparison | 165 |
| 2 | Stairs Output Data | 166 |
| 3 | Column Output Data | 167 |
| 4 | Beam Output Data | 172 |
| 5 | Beam Moment Release Output Data | 175 |
| 6 | Foundation/Base Output Data | 177 |
| 7 | Mod-Nod Interaction Diagram | 178 |
| 8 | eod-Nod Interaction Diagram | 179 |
| | | |
| . 0 \ | Appendix B | |
| 9 | Beam Reinforcement Detail | 180 |
| 10 | Column Reinforcement Detail | 181 |
| 11 | Floor Slab and Roof Slab Reinforcement Detail | 182 |
| 12 | Stairs Reinforcement Detail | 183 |
| 13 | Retaining Wall Reinforcement Detail | 184 |
| 14 | Bored Pile Foundation Reinforcement Detail | 185 |
| 15 | Building Layout (Basement 2 Floor, Basement | 186 |
| | 1 Floor and Lower Ground Floor) | |
| 16 | Building Layout (Ground Floor) | 187 |
| 17 | Building Layout (Upper Ground Floor and 1st | 188 |
| | Floor) | |
| 18 | Building Layout (2 nd Floor) | 189 |
| 19 | Building Layout (3 rd Floor) | 190 |
| 20 | Building Layout (Top Floor) | 191 |
| 21 | 3D Building Layout 1 | 192 |
| 22 | 3D Building Layout 2 | 193 |
| 23 | Soil Investigation Data | 194 |

ABSTRACT

STRUCTURAL DESIGN OF TANGCITY MALL USING SPECIAL MOMENT RESISTING FRAME, Doni Putra Pratama, Student Number: 12213, International Civil Engineering, Atma Jaya Yogyakarta University.

In earthquake prone area, buildings have to be designed according to the criteria specified based on earthquake loading code. This final project will consider Tangcity Mall building which located in Tangerang. This building will be designed based on SNI 03 2847 - 2002 and SNI 03 1729 -2002. This building will be considered as Special Moment Resisting Frame (SMRF) system and using concrete quality fc' = 30MPa and steel quality fy = 400MPa for steel that has more than 12mm diameter in size and fy = 240MPa for steel that has less or equal 12mm diameter in size.

In analyzing Tangcity Mall building, ETABS non linear and SAP2000 were used to obtain internal forces of the structure and stairs. For columns design interaction diagram of M_{od} - N_{od} and e_{od} - N_{od} were used in order to obtain the longitudinal reinforcement and for designing the shear reinforcement of beams, ETABS was used by setting all of the structure to Frame Moment Release at the start and the end.

For the seismic design, the natural period did not fulfill the requirement but considering the story drift and the T-rayleigh are okay, so the building is considered okay. V static is used because V dynamic is less than 0.8 x V static.

For designing stairs, it consists of two parts, the landing beam and slabs. The calculation of the stairs and landing slab is considered as regular slab, while the calculation of beam is the same as regular beam. SAP2000 is used for analyzing the forces in the stairs. For designing slab, this final project uses twoway slabs method and the results are P10-175 in X direction and P10-200 in Y direction. As for the Beam, The beam is designed using special moment resisting frame and has the dimension of 400mm x 800mm, and using 13D25 top reinforcement 7D25 bottom reinforcement for left and right support and 4D25 top reinforcement and 6D25 bottom reinforcement for midspan. The shear design is assumed as normal beam by using Frame Moment Release in ETABS and it has 2 secondary beams forces that transferred in this main beam. For designing the column, this final project uses biaxial bending method for analyzing the column. The dimension of the column is 900mm x 900mm and using 44D25 as the longitudinal reinforcement. Retaining Wall Designing is calculated based on the soil data which has soft soil characteristic and $\gamma_k = 17 \text{KN/m}^3, \phi = 30.00^\circ, \text{and} \gamma_w =$ 9.81KN/m³, it uses D19-200 for longitudinal reinforcement. The foundation is designed using bored pile. The piles have 80cm diameter and use 12D25 as the longitudinal reinforcement. The pier dimension is 4.4m x 4.4m and it has D25-150 for bottom reinforcement and D19-150 for top reinforcement.

Keywords: capacity design, beams, biaxial columns, two-way slabs, stairs, bored pile foundation, retaining wall, special moment resisting frame.