ANALYSIS UNDERSTANDING OF TRAFFIC SIGNS BY ROAD USERS - CASE STUDY COLLEGE STUDENTS IN YOGYAKARTA

Final Project Report
in fulfillment of the requirement for the degree
Bachelor of Civil Engineering

by:

NINSI SUSANTI SIMANGE Student ID Number: 141315593



INTERNATIONAL CIVIL ENGINEERING PROGRAM DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING FACULTY OF ENGINEERING UNIVERSITAS ATMA JAYA YOGYAKARTA YOGYAKARTA

2018

STATEMENT

I signed below stating that the final project with the title:

"ANALYSIS UNDERSTANDING OF TRAFFIC SIGNS BY ROAD USERS -CASE STUDY COLLEGE STUDENTS IN YOGYAKARTA"

It is the result of my own work and not a result of plagiarism of other people's work. Ideas, research data, and quotes directly or non-directly derived from the writings or ideas of others expressly provided in this Final Project. If it is proven later that this Final Project is the result of plagiarism, the graduation certificate that I received will be canceled and returned to Universitas Atma Jaya Yogyakarta.

Yogyakarta, October 21st, 2018

Who made the remarks,

PEL

Ninsi Susanti Simange

APPROVAL

Final Project Report

ANALYSIS UNDERSTANDING OF TRAFFIC SIGNS BY ROAD USERS - CASE STUDY COLLEGE STUDENTS IN YOGYAKARTA

by:

NINSI SUSANTI SIMANGE

Student ID Number: 141315593

has been approved by,

Yogyakarta, Nov 12, 2018

Supervisor,

Ir. P. Eliza Purnamasari, M.Eng.

Department of Civil Engineering Chairman,

Ir. AY. Harijanto Set awan, M. Eng., Ph.D.

Final Project Report

ANALYSIS UNDERSTANDING OF TRAFFIC SIGNS BY ROAD USERS - CASE STUDY COLLEGE STUDENTS IN YOGYAKARTA



By:

Ninsi Susanti Simange

Student ID Number: 14 13 15593

Has been examined and approved by:

Name

Chairman : Ir. P. Eliza Purnamasari, M.Eng.

Member : Dr. Ir. J. Dwijoko Ansusanto, M.T.

Member : Ir. Yohanes Lulie, M.T.

nature Date

NOV 12, 2018

Nov 12 2018

MOY 12 , 2018

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First of all, I would like to thanks to Jesus Christ for the blessing that given to me, so I can prepare and finish my final project report. The purpose of the final project with the title "Analysis Understanding of Traffic Signs by Road Users - Case Study College Students in Yogyakarta" is to complete the requirement of undergraduate program (S-1) in International Civil Engineering Program, Department of Civil Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Universitas Atma Jaya Yogyakarta. For the completion of this final project, I also would like to express my gratitude towards:

- 1. Ir. P. Eliza Purnamasari, M.Eng., as my supervisor for her advice, patient, and kindness that given to me during the counseling. Her support and advice have been invaluable.
- 2. All the lectures and staffs in the International Civil Engineering Program. Mr. Drajat who has helped so much throughout my 4 year study in the University.
- 3. My parents, brothers, and sister for the endless love, support, and advices that given to me to finish this final project.
- 4. Zanda Duan who always support me from first semester and also who has accompanied me day and night to complete this final project. Thanks for your endless love and always staying by my side.
- Aunty Lomi, uncle Jhon and brother Alterson as my family in Jogja. Thanks for the love, best advice and support for me to finish final project.
- 6. My classmates ICEP batch 2014: Anju, Agus, Kevin, Aris, Evi, Elsya, Patrick, Bagoes, Thea, V, Dede, Domi, Vivi for the constant companionship and

encouragement. I am extremely blessed to be part of the class. I will miss these

people so much.

7. My cousins Cicilia and Nadia. Thanks for always supporting me with the crazy

time during the final project.

8. To my brother from different mother Jodhy Ismail who always take my time to

cooking tofu, amo, banana fried, and another kinds of food as the support for

me to finish this final project.

9. My best friends Dea and devi, thanks for always love and support me to finish

my final project.

10. My friends KKN 72 UAJY "Menguri". Thank you for the togetherness and

spirit has given.

11. All my friends, juniors, and seniors in Civil Engineering Program especially in

the International Civil Engineering Program for their support and cooperation

which help me to complete this report.

Finally, I as the writer of this report realized that this report has many

mistakes and may have space for further improvement. For that, any suggestion

and critics will be accepted. Thank you.

Yogyakarta, October 21st, 2018

The Author

Ninsi Susanti Simange

vi

TABLE OF CONTENT

Title	i
Statement	ii
Approval	iii
Approval of Examiner	iv
Acknowledgements	V
Table of Content	vii
List of Tables	xi
List of Figures	xii
List of Pictures	xiii
List of Appendices	xiv
Abstract	XV
CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION	
1.1. Background	1
1.2. Problem Statements	3
1.3. Problem Limitations	3
1.4Research Objectives	4
1.5. Expected Benefits	4
1.6. Originality	5
1.7. Outline of the Final Project	5

CHAPTER II: LITERATURE REVIEW AND BASIC THEORY

2.1. Literature Review	7
2.2. Traffic Signs	9
2.2.1. Traffic Signs Classification	10
2.3. Understanding of Traffic Signs	22
2.3.1. Understanding	22
2.3.2. Understanding of Traffic Signs	23
2.4. Traffic Accident	23
2.5. Human (Road User) Behaviour	24
2.6. Basic Theory	26
2.6.1. Questionnaire	26
2.6.2. Method of Analysis	26
CHAPTER III: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	
3.1. Method of Field Data Collection	32
3.1.1.Research Location	32
3.1.2. Population and Sample	34
3.1.3. Questionnaire Composition	3
3.2. Data Processing and Analysis	35
3.3. Flow Chart	36
CHAPTER IV: DATA ANALYSIS	
4.1. General Information	37

4.2. General Ir	nformation of Respondent Data	37
4.3. Validity a	nd Reliability	42
4.3.1. Valid	ity Test	42
4.3.2. Relial	bility Test	50
4.4. Understan	nding of Traffic Signs	53
4.4.1. Respo	ondent's Understanding of Total Traffic Signs Types	54
4.4.2. Under	rstanding of Traffic Signs in Observation Location	55
4.4.3. Respo	ondent Analysis based on Gender Classification of Total Percenta	ige
Traffi	ic Signs Correct Answers	60
4.4.4. Respo	ondent Analysis based College Classification of Total Percentage	
Traffi	ic Signs Correct Answers	60
4.4.5. Respo	ondent Analysis based on Semester Classification of Total	
Perce	entage of Traffic Signs Correct Answers	61
4.4.6. Respo	ondent Analysis based on Origin of Total Percentage Traffic Sign	ıS
Corre	ect Answers	62
4.4.7. Respo	ondent Analysis based on Driver Lisence Ownership of Total	
Perce	entage Traffic Signs Correct Answers	63
4.4.8. Respo	ondent analysis based on Traffic Signs Study Experience (Studied	l or
Never	r Studied) of Total Percentage Traffic Signs Correct Answers	.64
4.5. Responde	nt Behaviour in Obeying Traffic Signs	65
4.5.1. Total	Respondents who ever Violate the Traffic Signs	65
4.5.2. Time	Violation Traffic Signs of Respondent	66
4.5.3. Respo	ondent's Reason in Violating Traffic Signs	66

4.6. Correlation between Traffic Accident and Level Understanding of Traffic
Signs
CHAPTER V: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION
5.1. Conclusion
5.2. Recommendation
5.2. Recommendation
References
Appendices

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1. Three Factors of Traffic Accident
Table 2.1 Picture and Meaning of Warning Signs
Table 2.2 Picture and Meaning of Prohibitation Signs
Table 2.3 Picture and Meaning of Command Signs
Table 2.4 Picture and Meaning of Guidance Signs
Table 2.5 Example calculation of r-table and t-table
Table 4.1 College Classification
Table 4.2 Semester Classification
Table 4.3 Origin (Province) Classification
Table 4.4 Calculation of Validity Test for Item of Questions number 2 (Q2) 43
Table 4.5. Result of Validity Test
Table 4.6. Cronbach's Alpha Coefficient
Table 4.7. Percentage Understanding of Warning Signs
Table 4.8. Percentange Understanding of Command Signs
Table 4.9 Percentage of Understanding Prohibition Signs
Table 4.10. Percentage of Understanding Guidance Signs
Table 4.11. Percentage Traffic Signs Correct Answers based on Origin (Island) 62
Table 4.12. Correlation between Traffic Accident and Level Understanding of
Traffic Signs68
Table 4.13. Correlation between Level of Understanding based on Traffic Signs in
Observation Location and Traffic Accident because Respondents do
not Understand Traffic Signs69

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 3.1 Observation Location
Figure 3.2 Research Flowchart
Figure 4.1. Gender Classification
Figure 4.2. Origin (Islands) Classification
Figure 4.3 Respondent's Knowledge of Total Traffic Signs Types
Figure 4.4 Respondent based Gender Percentage of Understanding TrafficSigns 60
Figure 4.5 Respondent based on College Percentage of Understanding Traffic
Signs
Figure 4.6. Respondent based on Semester Percentage of Understanding Traffic
Signs 61
Figure 4.7. Respondent based on Lisence Ownership (have or non have) 63
Figure 4.8. Respondent based on How to Obtain the Driver Lisence
Figure 4.9. Respondent based on Traffic Signs Study Experience
Figure 4.10. Respondent based on Who Ever Violate the Traffic Signs
Figure 4.11. Time Violation Traffic Signs of Respondents
Figure 4.12. Respondents's Reason in Violating Traffic Signs
Figure 4.13 Causes of Traffic Accident

LIST OF PICTURES

Picture 3.1. Warning Signs along Observation Location	33
Picture 3.2. Prohibition Signs along Observation Location	33
Picture 3.3. Command Signs along Observation Location	33
Picture 4.4. Guidance Signs along Observation Location	33

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendices 1: Research Questionnaire	. 79
Appendices 2: Respondents Profile	. 84
Appendices 3: Respondents Answers of Questionnaire	. 89
Appendices 4: Data Coding Validity and Reability Test	113
Appendices 5: r table and t table Calculations	145
Appendices 6: Cronbach's Alpha Coefficient Value (Reability - SPSS output)	149

ABSTRACT

ANALYSIS UNDERSTANDING OF TRAFFIC SIGNS BY ROAD USERS - CASE STUDY COLLEGE STUDENTS IN YOGYAKARTA, Ninsi Susanti Simange, Student ID Number 14.13.15593, year of 2018, Transportation Engineering, International Civil Engineering Program, Department of Civil Engineering, Universitas Atma Jaya Yogyakarta.

Yogyakarta is well known as a student city. By means, many young people all over Indonesia are studying in Yogyakarta. Thus, the population in Yogyakarta will increase rapidly along with the increase of vehicles. As one of the consequences of this increasement is road users will face a higher risk of traffic accident. It is because road users spend more time in traffic and human error always happens when traffic on the road such as road users often do not have a good knowledge of parts of the traffic such as traffic signs. Therefore, by understanding traffic signs are required toward achieving the traffic safety. The purpose of this study examines to what extent the understanding of traffic signs by road users in Yogyakarta with respect to their personal characteristics such as gender, college, semester, origin, license, and study experience, and correlation with traffic accident. Then identify to what extent the road users are obeying the traffic signs.

The data that used in this study are collected by using a questionnaire. Total 204 questionnaires are distributed and spread to college students in Yogyakarta as the target respondents within \pm 6 weeks for time duration for distributing and collecting the questionnaires. Pearson correlation product moment is used to test the validity of the questionnaire and Cronbach's Alpha is used to test the reliability of the questionnaire. Later, a descriptive analysis performed in percentage is used to examine the understanding of traffic signs by road users in Yogyakarta and correlation with traffic accident, also the road user behavior in obeying the traffic signs.

The result of this study shows that only 12% respondents understanding the correct types of all traffic signs, followed with understanding the traffic signs along observation location, the result indicates that the level understanding of traffic signs is in between good to very good understanding. From the study, there is no significant difference understanding in gender, origin, driver license ownership of respondents. While in respondent's semester, the 1st, 3rd, and 5th semester of respondents is the better understanding than respondents in 7th, 9th, 11th semester. Study experience of traffic signs is influential because the respondents who had studied the traffic signs have better understanding than respondents who never studied traffic signs. From the total of respondents only 4% of respondents are never violate the traffic signs. The result indicates that from the total respondents there are 14 respondents had traffic accident due to do not understand traffic signs.

Key Words: Understanding Traffic Signs, Traffic Safety, Traffic Accident, Road User Characteristic.