

PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

## A Joint Replenishment Inventory Model with Lost Sales

To cite this article: N L Devy *et al* 2018 *IOP Conf. Ser.: Mater. Sci. Eng.* **337** 012018

View the [article online](#) for updates and enhancements.

### Related content

- [A multi item probabilistic inventory model](#)  
Dharma Lesmono and Taufik Limansyah
- [An optimal EOQ inventory model for non-instantaneous deteriorating items with various time dependent demand rates and time dependent holding cost](#)  
K Rangarajan and K Karthikeyan
- [5S program to reduce change-over time on forming department \(case study on CV Piranti Works temanggung\)](#)  
Septika Rosiana Dewi, Budi Setiawan and Susatyo Nugroho W P



**IOP | ebooks™**

Bringing you innovative digital publishing with leading voices to create your essential collection of books in STEM research.

Start exploring the collection - download the first chapter of every title for free.

PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

## International Conference on Industrial and System Engineering (IConISE) 2017

To cite this article: 2018 *IOP Conf. Ser.: Mater. Sci. Eng.* **337** 011001

View the [article online](#) for updates and enhancements.

### Related content


- [Commitment to innovation in publishing](#)
- [2017 International Conference on Advanced Environmental Engineering \(ICAEE2017\)](#)
- [China establishes five innovation centres](#)  
Jiao Li



**IOP | ebooks™**

Bringing you innovative digital publishing with leading voices to create your essential collection of books in STEM research.

Start exploring the collection - download the first chapter of every title for free.



## **Proceeding of International Conference on Industrial and System Engineering (IConISE) 2017**

### Preface

**The 1<sup>st</sup> International Conference on Industrial and System Engineering** was hosted by Department of Industrial Engineering ITS, Surabaya, Indonesia. The conference was held in Sanur Paradise Plaza Hotel & Suites, Denpasar, Bali, Indonesia, on 29-30<sup>th</sup> August 2017. The conference's theme was "*Enhancing Industrial Resilience through Disruptive Innovation*". Under this theme, we explored various theories and methods related to value creation and innovation in product and service design, business process, industrial technology, information, and management concerning disruptive innovation. We also discussed approaches to collect, process, manage, and use any information efficiently and effectively, thus the result will be able to improve industrial competitiveness and value in facing the global challenges in industrial environment.

Total abstracts submissions is approximately 180 from about 15 countries. The review is conducted in two stages: abstract submission and full paper submission. The number of papers scheduled for presentation is 94 which represent authors from 7 countries.

I would like to thank all parties that have contributed to this conference. First of all, I would like to thank the four keynote speakers: Professor Shuo-Yan Chou, Director of the Center for Internet of Things Innovation, National Taiwan University of Science and Technology, Taiwan; Professor Keith Goffin, Director of The Centre for Innovative Products and Services- Cranfield School of Management, United Kingdom; Dr.Ir.Patdono Suwignjo, M.Eng.Sc, Directorate General of Institutional Affairs for Science, Technology and Higher Education - Ministry of Research, Technology and Higher Education, Indonesia ; Fadjar Hutomo, S.T., M.MT., CFP Deputy Chairman of Financial Access – BEKRAF.

I would also like to thank for the great support from our institution, Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember (ITS), Surabaya, and also Universitas Internasional Semen Indonesia (UISI), Gresik; Institut Teknologi Del, North Sumatera; and Badan Kerjasama Teknik Industri (BKSTI) East Java region. Moreover, we are also indebted to Semen Indonesia, Jakarta Industrial Estate Pulogadung (JIEP), and Unilever. In addition, we would also like to thank the advisory committee for the support and review committee who have played fundamental roles in making sure the accepted papers, are of high quality. Last but not least, I would like to thank all the committee members from Industrial Engineering Department and Business Management Departement of ITS, for the hard works to the organisation of this conference.

Surabaya, August 2017

Dr. Putu Dana Karningsih  
IConISE 2017 Chair

**International Conference on Industrial and System Engineering (IConISE) 2017**▪ ***Conference Chair :***

Putu Dana Karningsih, ITS, Indonesia.

▪ ***Secretary :***

Dewanti Anggrahini, ITS, Indonesia.

Mar'atus Sholihah, ITS, Indonesia.

▪ ***Paper Chair :***

Nani Kurniati, ITS, Indonesia.

Ratna Sari Dewi, ITS, Indonesia.

Dyah Santhi Dewi, ITS, Indonesia.

Dody Hartanto, ITS, Indonesia.

Niniet Indah Arvitrida, ITS, Indonesia.

▪ ***Program Chair :***

Effi Latiffianti, ITS, Indonesia.

Erwin Widodo, ITS, Indonesia.

Mokh. Suef, ITS, Indonesia.

Budi Santosa, ITS, Indonesia.

M. Saiful Hakim, ITS, Indonesia.

▪ ***Treasury :***

Anny Maryani, ITS, Indonesia.

Naning Aranti Wessiani, ITS, Indonesia.

▪ ***Publication and Publicity :***

Yudha Prasetyawan, ITS, Indonesia.

Arief Rahman, ITS, Indonesia.

▪ ***Sponsorship :***

Adithya Sudiarno, ITS, Indonesia.

Berto Mulia Wibawa, ITS, Indonesia.

Aang Kunaifi, ITS, Indonesia.

Diesta Iva Maftuhah, ITS, Indonesia.

▪ ***Advisory Committee :***

**Prof. Ir. Budi Santosa, M.S., Ph.D., ITS, Indonesia.**

Prof. Ir. I Nyoman Pujawan, M.Eng., Ph.D., ITS, Indonesia.

Prof. Dr. Ir. Udisubakti Ciptomulyono, M.Eng.Sc., ITS, Indonesia.

Prof. Ir. Moses L. Singgih, M.Eng.Sc., ITS, Indonesia.

Prof Iwan Vanany, S.T., M.T., Ph.D., ITS, Indonesia.

Dr. Ir. Patdono Suwignjo, M.Eng.Sc., ITS, Indonesia.

Dr. Ir. Sri Gunani Partiwani, M.T., ITS, Indonesia.

Ir. Sritomo Wignjosebroto, M.Sc., ITS, Indonesia.

Dr. Ir. I Ketut Gunarta, M.T., ITS, Indonesia.

Maria Anityasari, S.T., M.E., Ph.D., ITS, Indonesia.

▪ **Reviewer :**

Ahmad Syamil, Bina Nusantara University, Indonesia.

Benny Tjahjono, Cranfield University, UK.

Chia Fen Chi, NTUST, Taiwan.

Hui Ming Wee, Chung Yuan Christian University, Taiwan.

Huynh Trung Luong, AIT, Thailand.

Jatinder N.D. Gupta, University of Alabama, USA.

Kung-Jeng Wang, NTUST, Taiwan.

Morikawa Katsumi, Hiroshima University, Japan.

Sha'ri Mohd Yusof, University of Technology, Malaysia.

Shuo Yan Chou, NTUST, Taiwan.

Thunyarat Amornpetchkul, NIDA Business School, Thailand.

Togar Simatupang, ITB, Indonesia and Del Institute of Technology.

Yudi Fernando, Universitas Sains Malaysia, Malaysia.

Yosef Manik, Del Institute of Technology.

PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

## Peer review statement

To cite this article: 2018 *IOP Conf. Ser.: Mater. Sci. Eng.* **337** 011002

View the [article online](#) for updates and enhancements.

### Related content

- [Peer review statement](#)
- [Peer review statement](#)
- [Peer review statement](#)



**IOP | ebooks™**

Bringing you innovative digital publishing with leading voices to create your essential collection of books in STEM research.

Start exploring the collection - download the first chapter of every title for free.



## Peer review statement

All papers published in this volume of *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering* have been peer reviewed through processes administered by the proceedings Editors. Reviews were conducted by expert referees to the professional and scientific standards expected of a proceedings journal published by IOP Publishing.





# A Joint Replenishment Inventory Model with Lost Sales

N L Devy<sup>1</sup>, T J Ai<sup>1\*</sup> and R D Astanti<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Industrial Engineering, Universitas Atma Jaya Yogyakarta, Indonesia

\*jinai@staff.uajy.ac.id

**Abstract.** This paper deals with two items joint replenishment inventory problem, in which the demand of each items are constant and deterministic. Inventory replenishment of items is conducted periodically every  $T$  time intervals. Among of these replenishments, joint replenishment of both items is possible. It is defined that item  $i$  is replenished every  $Z_i T$  time intervals. Replenishment of items are instantaneous. All of shortages are considered as lost sales. The maximum allowance for lost sales of item  $i$  is  $S_i$ . Mathematical model is formulated in order to determining the basic time cycle  $T$ , replenishment multiplier  $Z_i$ , and maximum lost sales  $S_i$  in order to minimize the total cost per unit time. A solution methodology is proposed for solve the model and a numerical example is provided for demonstrating the effectiveness of the proposed methodology.

## 1. Introduction

In the research on multi-item inventory policy decision problems, there are many possible ways to be conducted in order to obtain cost efficiency. One of the possible way is conducting joint replenishment of items. Some researchers in the past have been proposed a problem called the joint replenishment problem (JRP) in order to address multi item inventory system, in which several items may be jointly replenished from the same supplier [1][2][3][4].

After the basic joint replenishment problem proposed [5], some researchers have been working on the methodology to solve this problem [6][7][8]. While, other researchers have been working on the variants of this problem, such as stochastic demand [9][10], quantity discount [11], discrete time replenishment [12], and continuous unit cost decrease [13].

The condition of shortage, i.e. whenever demand is exist while the inventory level is zero, is common in the inventory system. Especially in the discussion on stochastic demand, shortage is common to be included in the model. However, to the best of authors' knowledge in the JRP model, all shortage is usually treated as backorder. It is well known in the practice that some customers are not willing to wait whenever no stock in the inventory. In other words, the shortage is not backorder but lost sales. Therefore, it is necessary to develop JRP model that treated shortage as lost sales.


The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: Problem and mathematical formulation is defined in section 2. After that, solution methodology of the proposed mathematical model is proposed in section 3. Section 4 presents some numerical examples. Finally, some the conclusion of this study is presented with some suggestions for further research in this research area.



## 2. Problem and Mathematical Formulation

The problem can be formulated as follow. An  $n$  items inventory problem is considered here, where the demand of each items are constant and deterministic. The demand rate of item  $i$  is  $D_i$  units per unit time. Replenishment of item  $i$  is instantaneous with size of  $Q_i$  units. A joint replenishment is conducted periodically every  $T$  time intervals. However, all items may not be included in each replenishment. Item  $i$  is only included every  $Z_i T$  time intervals. In other word,  $Z_i T$  is the cycle time of item  $i$ . A replenishment incurs of major ordering cost  $\$K$  and minor ordering cost  $\$k_i$  for every item  $i$  included in the replenishment. The inventory holding cost of item  $i$  is  $\$h_i$  per unit per unit time. All of shortages are considered as lost sales. The maximum allowance for lost sales of item  $i$  is  $S_i$  and the lost sales cost of item  $i$  is  $\$p_i$  per unit. The decision to be taken in this situation is determining the basic time cycle  $T$ , replenishment multiplier  $Z_i$ , and lost sales quantity  $S_i$  in order to minimize total cost per unit time.

Inventory level of this problem situation with 2 items,  $Z_1=1$ , and  $Z_2=2$  is illustrated in Figure 1. The total lost sales of item  $i$  is  $S_i$  can be calculated as  $t_i D_i$ . Therefore, the optimization problem can be converted into minimizing total cost  $C$  as the function of  $T$ ,  $Z_i$ , and  $t_i$ .



**Figure 1.** Illustration of inventory level with 2 items,  $Z_1=1$ ,  $Z_2=2$ .

The total setup cost per unit time can be formulated as follow

$$C_1 = \frac{1}{T} \left( K + \sum_i \frac{k_i}{Z_i} \right) \quad (1)$$

The total holding cost per unit time can be formulated as follow

$$C_2 = \sum_i \frac{D_i (Z_i T - t_i)^2 h_i}{2Z_i T} \quad (2)$$

The total lost sales cost per unit time can be formulated as follow

$$C_3 = \sum_i \frac{D_i t_i p_i}{Z_i T} \quad (3)$$

Therefore, the total cost can be summarized as follow

$$\begin{aligned} C &= C_1 + C_2 + C_3 \\ &= \frac{1}{T} \left( K + \sum_i \frac{k_i}{Z_i} \right) + \sum_i \frac{D_i (Z_i T - t_i)^2 h_i}{2Z_i T} + \sum_i \frac{D_i t_i p_i}{Z_i T} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

After some algebra, Equation (4) can be written as

$$C = \frac{1}{T} \left( K + \sum_i \frac{k_i + D_i p_i t_i}{Z_i} \right) + \sum_i \left( \frac{1}{2} D_i h_i Z_i T - D_i h_i t_i + \frac{D_i h_i t_i^2}{2Z_i T} \right) \quad (5)$$

It is also seen from Figure (1) that following constraints are necessary:

$$T \geq 0 \quad (6)$$

$$0 \leq t_i \leq T Z_i, \quad \forall i \quad (7)$$

$$Z_i \in \text{integer}, \quad \forall i \quad (8)$$

### 3. Solution Procedure

It is noted that the objective function in Equation (5) consists of two types of variables, which are real variables, i.e.  $T$  and  $t_i$ , and integer variables, i.e.  $Z_i$ . Therefore, multi variables classical optimization technique cannot be directly applied here. However, some of the classical optimization technique can be partially applied.

Let first consider the situation of fixed value of  $t_i$  and  $Z_i$ . In this situation, the objective function can be considered as function of single variable  $T$ . Therefore, necessary condition to obtain minimum value of  $C$  is the first derivative of  $C$  with respect to  $T$  is equal to zero.

$$\frac{d}{dT} C = -\frac{1}{T^2} \left( K + \sum_i \frac{k_i + D_i p_i t_i}{Z_i} \right) + \sum_i \left( \frac{1}{2} D_i h_i Z_i - \frac{D_i h_i t_i^2}{2Z_i T^2} \right) = 0 \quad (9)$$

After some algebra, the expression of optimal  $T$  can be obtained as follow

$$(T^*)^2 = \frac{2 \left( K + \sum_i \frac{k_i}{Z_i} + \sum_i \frac{D_i h_i t_i^2}{2Z_i} + \sum_i \frac{D_i p_i t_i}{Z_i} \right)}{\sum_i (D_i h_i Z_i)} \quad (10)$$

Therefore,

$$T^* = \sqrt{\frac{2 \left( K + \sum_i \frac{k_i}{Z_i} + \sum_i \frac{D_i h_i t_i^2}{2Z_i} + \sum_i \frac{D_i p_i t_i}{Z_i} \right)}{\sum_i (D_i h_i Z_i)}} \quad (11)$$

Second, let consider the situation of fixed value of  $T$  and  $Z_i$ . In this situation, the objective function can be considered as function of multi variable  $t_i$ . Therefore, necessary condition to obtain minimum value of  $C$  is the first derivative of  $C$  with respect to  $t_i$  is equal to zero.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_i} C = \frac{1}{T} \left( \frac{D_i p_i}{Z_i} \right) - D_i h_i + \frac{D_i h_i t_i}{Z_i T} = 0 \quad (12)$$

After some algebra, the expression of optimal  $T$  can be obtained as follow

$$t_i^* = Z_i T - \frac{p_i}{h_i} \quad (13)$$

It is noted that due to constraint (7), which is  $t_i \geq 0$ , following expression is also required

$$T \geq \frac{p_i}{Z_i h_i} \quad (14)$$

Next, let consider the situation of fixed value of  $T$  and  $t_i$ . In this situation, the objective function can be considered as function of multi variable  $Z_i$ , in which all variable  $Z_i$  are integer. To find an optimal value of  $Z_j$ , let consider the situation whenever the other values of  $Z_i$  ( $i \neq j$ ) are fixed. Since  $Z_j$  is integer, following condition is required to minimize  $C$ .

$$C(Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_j - 1, \dots, Z_n) \leq C(Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_j, \dots, Z_n) \leq C(Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_j + 1, \dots, Z_n) \quad (15)$$

Substituting equation (5) into condition above and after some algebra, following condition is obtained for optimal value of  $Z_i$

$$Z_i^* (Z_i^* - 1) \leq \frac{2}{T^2} \left( \frac{t_i^2}{2} + \frac{1}{h_i} \left( \frac{k_i}{D_i} + t_i p_i \right) \right) \leq Z_i^* (Z_i^* + 1) \quad (16)$$

Based on some optimality conditions describe above, following iterative procedure is proposed in order to find the optimal values of  $T$ ,  $t_i$ , and  $Z_i$ .

- Step 1. Set all  $t_i = 0$  and all  $Z_i = 1$ . Set iteration index  $j = 1$ .
- Step 2. Calculate  $T$  using equation 11, with considering constraints in equation 14. In particular, for any item  $i$ , if current value of  $T$  is smaller than  $p_i / Z_i h_i$  then update  $T = p_i / Z_i h_i$
- Step 3. Update the values of  $t_i$  using equation 13
- Step 4. Find the optimal values of  $Z_i$  using conditions in equation 16
- Step 5. If updated values of  $T$ ,  $t_i$ , and  $Z_i$  are similar with previous iteration, then stop. Otherwise, return to Step 2.

#### 4. Numerical Example

A numerical example is considered here in order to show the application of the proposed solution procedure. Let consider the joint replenishment problem with 2 items. Demand of item 1,  $D_1$ , is 900 unit/year and demand of item 2,  $D_2$ , is 600 unit/year. Holding cost of item 1 and 2 are \$4/unit/year and \$2.5/unit/year, respectively. Major setup cost is \$100/order, while minor setup cost of item 1 and 2 are \$10/order and \$7/order, respectively. The lost sales cost of item 1 and 2 are \$2/unit and \$1/unit, respectively.

Following proposed procedure described in previous section, the iteration steps of this example are presented in the Table 1. It is obtained that the optimal values of decision variables are  $T = 0.5$ ,  $t_1 = 0$ ,  $t_2 = 0.1$ ,  $Z_1 = 1$ ,  $Z_2 = 1$  with total cost  $C = \$1494$ /year.

#### 5. Conclusion

This paper is successfully presented a mathematical model for joint replenishment inventory system with lost sales. The methodology for solving the model is also proposed. For the future work, the extension of this model and solution methodology is expected in the area of stochastic demand. Other possibility is to extend this model to partial backlog case, that is also common in the inventory practice.

**Table 1.** Iteration Steps to Find  $T$ ,  $t_1$ ,  $t_2$ ,  $Z_1$ , and  $Z_2$ .

Iteration	$T$	$t_1$	$t_2$	$Z_1$	$Z_2$	$C$
1	1.4096	1.0000	1.0000	1	1	2089.12
2	1.3451	0.9096	1.0096	1	1	2071.12
3	1.2774	0.8451	0.9451	1	1	2054.53
4	1.2059	0.7774	0.8774	1	1	2035.16
5	1.1298	0.7058	0.8058	1	1	2012.10
6	1.0482	0.6298	0.7298	1	1	1984.03
7	0.9598	0.5482	0.6482	1	1	1948.81
8	0.8623	0.4597	0.5597	1	1	1902.76
9	0.7523	0.3622	0.4622	1	1	1838.85
10	0.6231	0.2522	0.3522	1	1	1741.25
11	0.5000	0.1230	0.2230	1	1	1571.26
12	0.5000	0.0000	0.1000	1	1	1494.00
13	0.5000	0.0000	0.1000	1	1	1494.00

### References

- [1] Khouja M and Goyal S 2008 *European Journal of Operational Research* **186** 1–16
- [2] Moon IK, Goyal SK and Cha BC 2008 *Int. Journal of Systems Science* **39** 629–37
- [3] Tsai CY, Tsai CY and Huang PW 2009 *Int. Journal of Production Economics* **117** 30–41
- [4] Wang L, He J, Wu D and Zeng YR 2012 *International Journal of Production Economics* **135** 190–8
- [5] Shu FT 1971 *Management Science* **17** B-406–10
- [6] Kaspi M and Rosenblatt MJ 1991 *Int. Journal of Production Research* **29** 107–14
- [7] Porras E and Dekker R 2006 *European Journal of Operational Research* **174** 1595–615
- [8] Olsen AL 2005 *Computers and Industrial Engineering* **48** 223–35
- [9] Johansen SG and Melchior P 2003 *Journal of the Operational Research Society* **54** 283–90
- [10] Atkins DR and Iyogun O 1988 *Management Science* **34** 791–6
- [11] Cha BC and Moon IK 2005 *OR Spectrum* **27** 569–81
- [12] Klein CM and Ventura JA 1995 *Journal of the Operational Research Society* **46** 643–57
- [13] Khouja M, Park S and Saydam C 2005 *Int. Journal of Production Research* **43** 311–26

### Acknowledgment

This work is partially supported by Ministry of Research, Technology, and Higher Education, Republic Indonesia under International Research Collaboration and Scientific Publication Research Grant No. 045/HB-LIT/IV/2017.