CHAPTER VI

CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION

6.1 Conclusion

Based on the result of research and discussion that have been explained in the previous chapter, several conclusions can be concluded as follows:

- The results of this study with limited sample and data on Selokan Mataram Road (Maguwoharjo - Babarsari) can be concluded that the correlation value of CBR and the DCP obtained from this study using the equation: Log CBR = 1.175494 - 0.011362 log (DCP). This equation is obtained from the graph of correlation values CBR and DCP at 10 study sites and sampling using a linear regression method.
- CBR and DCP correlation values in this study at location 1 close to the correlation of previous studies by NCDOT (1998) with the equation log (CBR) = 2.60 1.07 log (DCP), and at location 2 to 10 close to the correlation of previous studies by Livneh et . al. (1992) with the equation log (CBR) = 2.45 1.12 log (DCP).
- 3. From the correlation obtained, laboratory CBR value can be correlated with value of DCP in the field.
- Factors affecting differences in the value of CBR / DCP are the first blow DCP tests, different soil layers, vertical confinement effect, side friction effect, and big rock under the soil.

6.2 <u>Suggestion</u>

Based on the result of research that has been done, several suggestions can be made for the next researcher, as follows:

- In CBR and DCP testing in Selokan Mataram Road (Maguwoharjo -Babarsari) using modified Proctor, need to be tested for water content on the condition of 100%, 120%, 140% or according to want conditions. This is done to see the trend of value of CBR and the DCP is happening whether it will drop or higher at a determined water content.
- 2. More data are needed laboratory CBR and DCP in the field to strengthen the relationship of CBR and DCP.
- 3. In order correlation of this study can be used in general, it is necessary to further research of the correlation laboratory CBR and DCP.
- 4. In order to obtain more accurate data about the correlation of CBR and the DCP, it is necessary to research on soil types tested.

REFERENCES

- A. Tatang Dachlan, 2005. "DCP Sebagai Standar Dalam Penentuan CBR untuk Evaluasi Perkerasan Jalan". Vol.6 No.2 Desember 2005:163 – 176
- Garber, N.J, et al., 2002, Traffic and Highway Engineering, Brooks/Cole Thomson Learning.
- Jones, C, 2004, Dynamic Cone Penetrameter Test and Analysis, Project Report PR/INT/277/04, DFID
- Saskatchewan Highways and Transportation (SHT), 1992, Standart Test Procedures Manual for Dynamic Cone Penetrameter
- Sukirman, Silvia, 1999. "Perkerasan Lentur Jalan Raya",Penerbit Nova, Bandung.
- Illinois Department of TransportationBureau of Materials and Physical Research, 2005, Testing and Data Collection
- Croney, David, 1998. "The Design and Performance of Road Pavement" / David Croney and Paul Croney – 3rd ed. Mc Graw-Hill
- Al-Refeai, T., and Al-Suhaibani, A., 1996, Prediction of CBR Using Dynamic Cone Penetrometer, Department of Civil Engineering, King Saud University, Saudi Arabia
- Nur, S,H., 1994, Aplikasi Dynamic Cone Penetrometer (DCP) Pada Subgrade Jalan, Laporan Penelitian Universitas Unidayan, Sulawesi Tenggara.
- Farshad Amini, 2003. Potential Applications of Dynamic and Static Penetrometer In MDOT Pavement Design and Construction. Department of Civil Engineering, Jakson State University, September 2003.