

## **CHAPTER V**

### **CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION**

In this chapter, the author will pull some conclusions based on the research that has been done. There will be included conclusion in general, suggestion for further research, managerial implication and limitation of the research.

#### **5.1 Conclusion**

Based on the data result that has been discussed in previous chapter, these are the following conclusion that can be pulled where;

##### **5.1.1 Based on The Analysis of Respondent's Profile**

- a. Based on characteristic of the gender, majority 118 out of 150 respondents who filled the questioners are female.
- b. Based on characteristic of the age, majority 127 out of 150 respondents who filled the questioner are people with age range between 20 – 25 years old.
- c. Based on the characteristic of the income or pocket money per month, majority 50 out of 150 respondents who filled the questioners are people with monthly income or monthly pocket money  $\leq$  Rp. 1.000.000.
- d. Based on the characteristic of education background, majority 81 out of 150 respondents who filled the questioners are people who had Bachelor education background.

### **5.1.2 Based on The Result of Multiple Regression Analysis.**

- a. The Internet user's proficiency or Aptitude (APT) will positively influence Perceived Ease of Use (PEOU). **(H1 Accepted)**
- b. Network externalities (NE) will positively influence Perceived Ease of Use (PEOU). **(H2 Rejected)**
- c. Network Externalities (NE) will positively influence Perceived Usefulness (PU). **(H3 Accepted)**
- d. Perceived Privacy Protection (PPP) will positively influence Perceived Usefulness (PU). **(H4 Rejected)**
- e. Social Influence (SI) will positively influence Perceived Usefulness (PU). **(H5 Accepted)**
- f. Social Influence (SI) will positively influence Behavioral Intention (BI). **(H6 Rejected)**
- g. Perceived Usefulness (PU) will positively influence user's Attitude (ATT). **(H7 Accepted).**
- h. Perceived Usefulness (PU) will positively influence Behavioral Intention (BI). **(H8 Accepted)**
  - i. The Perceived Ease of Use (PEOU) will positively influence Perceived Usefulness (PU). **(H9 Rejected)**
  - j. The Perceived Ease of Use (PEOU) will positively influence Attitude (ATT). **(H10 Accepted)**
  - k. The Perceived Ease of Use (PEOU) will positively influence Behavioral Intention (BI). **(H11 Rejected)**

1. The Attitude (ATT) will positively influence Behavioral Intention (BI). **(H12 Accepted)**

## **5.2 Managerial Implication**

From the result above, it clearly shows that the result of this research will help the management of Twitter. To help customers getting information about certain product and evaluating their choice, social media managers should gather some threads Twitter related to their product on their Twitter account by retweeting or liking them. Hereby, with those threads, companies can help their customers' purchase decision better and stimulate the customer to buy their product.

Also, Twitter's managers ought to direct their efforts not solely to increase the quantity of followers of their Twitter account, but also, conjointly to create interactive, informative and fun thread Twitter of some introduction products or services. So there will be interaction between followers and company through replies and retweet. This may contribute to increase the Twitter user's perceived usefulness of the company's tweets to help customer get advice from other users before deciding on their purchase and to make them feel that make direct contact with various brands through Twitter is easier.

Actions within the framework of content marketing are significantly similar temperament to enhance consumer's attitudes towards Twitter. Company can get to progressively reorient their communication budgets and

focus removed from the normal offline media towards online media. Specifically, they will have to consider making interactive, informative and fun thread that will help company to lead their consumers' opinions that life will be easier and faster thanks to social networking also they will have positive opinion about using Twitter and participating in social networks. Especially following them on their social media.

All the suggestions that mentioned above will positively affect customer behavioral intention where they will have intention to use Twitter in the buying decision process and in the process of searching some information about certain brands in Twitter. All of this happen because consumer will consider the opinions that others have indicated on Twitter on existing brands. Also information that offered by certain companies on Twitter can determine customer buying decision.

### **5.3 Research Limitation**

- a. The questioners were distributed and filled through online media.

So, in here the author cannot control the process of the questioner fulfillment.

- b. The author felt difficulty in distributing questionnaire links to some Twitter users because the links that are shared with many people with the same format in a short time are considered spam by the Twitter system.

- c. The Adjusted R-Square of this result was not 100%. It means there are still other variables that would make a case for outside the chosen variables.

#### **5.4 Suggestion for Future Research**

For future research, it would be fascinating to look at the potential variations between the various social networks to ascertain whether customers behave differently counting on the platform they are interacting with and find another variable that will support the recent variable so it will resulting 100% for Adjusted R-Square. Also for future research, it would be better if the fulfilment of the questioners itself can be done directly face to face. So the author can control the process of the questioner fulfillment that lead them to meet the expected result also to minimize the difficulty of spreading question trough online where sometimes it can be considered as spam.

Some of the variable might be extended to incorporate a larger variety of indicators. It would be fascinating to embrace new things within the perspective to construct and to measure attitude toward intention to seek information on Twitter also help us to know the other variables that would make a case for outside the chosen variables.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Ahmadi, A. (2002). *Psikologi Sosial*. Jakarta: Rineka Cipta.
- Akhtar, M. I. (2016). *Research Design*. New Dehli: Jamia Millia Islamia.
- Alcocer, N. H. (2017). A Literature Review of Word of Mouth and Electronic Word of Mouth: Implications for Consumer Behavior. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 1-4.
- Arikunto. (2006). *Prosedur Penelitian Suatu Pendekatan Praktek*. Jakarta: PT Rineka Cipta.
- Arthur, A. M. (2019, November 12). *The Real History of Twitter, in Brief*. Retrieved from Lifewire: <https://www.lifewire.com/history-of-twitter-3288854>
- Azwar, S. (2009). *Tes Prestasi, Fungsi dan Pengembangan Pengukuran Prestasi*. Yogyakarta: Pustaka Pelajar.
- Baishya, K., Samalia, H. V., & Joshi, R. (2017). Factors Influencing E-district Adoption: An Empirical Assessment in Indian Context. *International Review of Management and Marketing*, 514-520.
- Boyd, D. M., & Ellison, N. B. (2008). Social Network Sites: Definition, History, and Scholarship. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, 210-230.
- Chang, L. Y., Lee, H., & Wong, S. F. (2015). Understanding Perceived Privacy: A Privacy Boundary Management Model. *PACIS*, 1-10.
- Com, L. B. (2019, October 22). *La Boite A*. Retrieved from L'ÉVOLUTION DES PLUS CÉLÈBRES LOGOS: <https://www.la-boite-a.com/levolution-des-plus-celebres-logos/>
- Creswell, J. (2009). *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed methods approaches*. California: Sage.
- Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived Usefulness, Perceived Ease Of Use, And User Acceptance of Information Technology. *Computer and Information Systems Graduate School of Business Administration University of Michigan*, 319.
- Dewi, D. A. (2018). Modul Uji Validitas dan Reliabilitas. *Statistika Terapan*, 1-14.
- Dinev, T., Xu, H., Smith , J., & Hart, P. (2013). Information privacy and correlates: an empirical attempt to bridge and distinguish privacy-related concepts. *European Journal of Information Systems*, 295-316.
- Dingli, A., & Seychell, D. (2015). *The New Digital Native*. London: Springer Heidelberg.
- Dwe, D. S., Saputra, H. S., Sudiarno, A., & Dewi, R. S. (2018). The Effect of Emotional Design and Online Customer Review on Customer Repeat Purchase Intention in Online Stores. *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, 1-9.
- Fischer, R., & Vauclair, M. (2011). Social Influence and Power. *Psicologia Social: Principais Temas e Vertentes*, 153-170.

- Flavián, C., Guinalú, M., & Gurrea, R. (2006). The Role Played by Perceived Usability, Satisfaction and Consumer Trust on Website Loyalty. *Information & Management*, 1-14.
- Ha, S., & Stoel, L. (2009). Customer e-shopping Acceptance: Antecedences in A Technology Acceptance Model. *Journal of Business Research*, 565-571.
- Hamida, A. A., Razak, F. Z., Bakar, A. A., Salihin, W., & Abdullah, W. (2015). The Effects Of Perceived Usefulness And Perceived Ease Of Use. *7th International Economics & Business Management Conference*, 644.
- Hive, L. V. (2000). Electronic Money and the Network Externalities Theory: Lessons for Real Life. *Netnomics*, 137-171.
- Kanchanatanee, K., Jarernvongrayab, A., & Suwanno, N. (2014). Effects of Attitude toward Using, Perceived Usefulness, Perceived Ease of Use and Perceived Compatibility on Intention to Use E-Marketing. *Jurnal of Management Research* , 1-13.
- Kanuk, L. L. (2003). *Consumer Behavior*, 8th ed. New Jearsey: Person Publisher.
- Kuncoro, M. (2013). *Metode Riset untuk Bisnis dan Ekonomi*. Jakarta: Erlangga.
- Lackermair, G., Kailer, D., & Kanmaz, K. (2015). Importance of Online Product Reviews from a Consumer's Perspective. *Advances in Economics and Business* 1, 1-5.
- Lallmahamood, M. (2007). An Examination of Individual's Perceived Security and Privacy of the Internet in Malaysia and the Influence of This on Their Intention to Use E-Commerce: Using An Extension of the Technology Acceptance Model. *Journal of Internet Banking and Commerce*, 1-26.
- Leiner, B. M., Cerf, V. G., & Clark, D. D. (2009). A Brief History of the Internet. *ACM SIGCOMM Computer Communication Review*, 22.
- Leiner, B. M., Cerf, V. G., Clark, D. D., Kahn, R. E., Kleinrock, L., Lynch, D. C., . . . Wolff, S. (1997). Brief History of the Internet. *Internet Society*, 1-19.
- Mansor, M. F. (2013). *A STUDY ON GENERATION Y BEHAVIOR AT WORKPLACE*. Penang: Universiti Malaysia Perlis.
- McArthur, A. (2019, July 1). *Do More : Social Media*. (Lifewire) Retrieved October 1, 2019, from The Real History of Twitter, In Brief: <https://www.lifewire.com/history-of-twitter-3288854>
- McGee, J., & Bonnici, T. S. (2015). Network Externalities. *Encyclopaedia of Strategic Management 3rd edition*, 1-7.
- Moslehpoour, M., Wong, W.-K., Pham, V. K., & Bilgiçli, İ. (2018). e-Purchase Intention of Taiwanese Consumers: Sustainable Mediation of Perceived Usefulness and Perceived Ease of Use. *Sustainability*, 1-17.
- Mowen, J., & Minor, M. (2002). *Consumer Behaviour*. Upper Saddle River: Prentice Hall. inc.

- Mowen, M. M., Hansen, D. R., & Heitger, D. L. (2012). *Managerial Accounting: The Cornerstone of Business Decision-Making*. Boston: Cengage Learning.
- Ng, S. H. (2001). Influencing through the power of language. In J. P. Forgas & K. D. Williams (Eds.). *The Sydney Symposium of Social Psychology*, 185-197.
- Nguyen, D. (2015). *Understanding Perceived Enjoyment and Continuance Intention in Mobile Games*. Finland: Aalto University.
- Norazah, S. M. (2011). Exploring The Relationship Between Perceived Usefulness, Perceived Ease Of Use, Perceived Enjoyment, Attitude And Subscribers' Intention Towards Using 3G Mobile Services. *Journal of Information Technology Management*, 1-7.
- Nugroho, Y. A. (2009). The Effect of Perceived Ease of Use, Perceive of Usefulness, Perceive Risk and Trust Towards Behavior Intention In Transaction By Internet. *Business and Entrepreneurial Review*, 79-90.
- Park, N., Rhoads, M., Hou, J., & Lee, K. (2014). Understanding the acceptance of teleconferencing systems among employees: An extension of the. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 118-127.
- Price, R. (2015). *Do You Know Any Sample Size Calculation for Nonprobability (Purposive) Sampling Technique?* Michigan: Macomb Community College.
- Purwanto. (2014). *Evaluasi Hasil Belajar*. Yogyakarta: Pustaka Pelajar.
- Qin, L., Hsu, J., Kim, Y., & Tan, X. (2011). The Effects of Social Influence on User Acceptance of Online Social Networks. *International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction*, 885-899.
- Sekaran, U. (2003). *Research Methods For Business: A Skill Building*. New York: John Wiley and Sons, Inc.
- Sekaran, U. (2006). *Metode Penelitian Bisnis*. Jakarta: Salemba Empat.
- Sekaran, U., & Bougie, R. (2007). *Metode Penelitian untuk Bisnis Pendekatan Pengembangan-Keahlian*. Jakarta: Salemba Empat.
- Senthilkumar, S., Ramachandra, T., & Anand, S. (2013). The Influence of Electronic Word of Mouth Over Facebook on Consumer Purchase Decision. *International Journal of Management*, 199-200.
- Showkat, N. (2017). *Non-Probability and Probability Sampling*. Delhi: Aligarh Muslim University.
- Simamora, B. (2003). *Memenangkan Pasar dengan Pemasaran Efektif &*. Jakarta: Gramedia Pustaka Utama,.
- Smith, H., Dinev, T., & Xu, H. (2011). Information Privacy Research: An Interdisciplinary Review. *MIS Quarterly* (35:4), 989-1016.

- Smith, J. R. (2011). Introduction: Social Influence In Action. *Group Processes & Intergroup Relations*, 1-5.
- Snow, R. E. (1992). Aptitude Theory : Yesterday, Today, Tomorrow. *Educational Psychologist*, 5-32.
- Social, W. A. (2019, October 20). *The Global State of Digital in 2019*. Retrieved from Hootsuite: <https://datareportal.com/reports/digital-2019-indonesia>
- Sridhar, V., & Saha, D. (2012). *Web-Based Multimedia Advancements in Data Communications and Networking Technologies*. Hershey: Infromation Science Reference .
- Supranoto, J. (2008). *Statistik Teori dan Aplikasi (Edisi ke-7 - Jilid 1)*. Jakarta: Erlangga.
- Tan, M., & Teo, T. (2000). Factors Influencing the Adoption of Internet Banking. *Journal of the Association for Information Systems: Vol. 1*, 5.
- Technopedia. (2019, September 11). *Twitter*. Retrieved from Technopedia: <https://www.techopedia.com/definition/4957/twitter>
- Tjiptono, F. (2011). *Service Quality & Satisfaction 3rd edition*. Yogyakarta: C.V Andi.
- Tranmer, M., & Elliot, M. (2008). *Multiple Linear Regression*. Menchester: University of Mencherter.
- Twitter. (2019, 10 23). *Help Twitter*. Retrieved 10 23, 2019, from Twitter: (<https://help.twitter.com/en/using-twitter/create-a-thread>)
- Twitter. (2019, September 6). *Twitter : Create A Thread*. Retrieved from Twitter Help Center: <https://help.twitter.com/en/using-twitter/create-a-thread>
- Uyanik, G. K., & Guler, N. (2013). A Study on Multiple Linier Regression Analysis. *4th International Conference on New Horizon in Education*, 234-240.
- WIdarjono, A. (2010). *Analisis Statistika Multivariat Terapan*. Yogyakarta: UPP STIM YKPN.
- Zhang, Y., & Wan, G. (2015). Study on the Impact of Perceived Network Externalities on Consumers' New Product Purchase Intention. *Journal of Service Science and Management* , 99-106.

## **APPENDIXES**

### **QUESTIONER DESIGN IN ENGLISH**

My name is Bisma Kresna Dewa. I am a student of International Business Management Program Faculty of Business and Economics Atma Jaya Yogyakarta University. Right now, I am conducting research to complete my final project (thesis) regarding **THE INFLUENCE OF PERCEIVE EASE OF USE, PERCEIVED USEFULNESS, SOCIAL INFLUENCES AND ATTITUDE TOWARD BEHAVIORAL INTENTION (STUDY OF TWITTER SOCIAL MEDIA)**.

This questionnaire is addressed to respondent who have a Twitter account that has been actively used for the past 6 months and this year the respondent is 20 - 40 years old (Generation Y). I ask for your cooperation to take a moment and fill out this questionnaire. For your participation, I say thank you.

**DO YOU HAVE A TWITTER ACCOUNT THAT ACTIVELY USED WITHIN 6 MONTHS? AND THIS YEAR YOU WERE AGED 20 - 40 YEARS?**

- YES (Continue to the Next Question!)**
- NO (Stop Here, Thank You)**

### **RESPONDENT IDENTITY**

- **Gender**
  - Male
  - Female
- **Age ...**
- **Average Income / Pocket Money per Month**
  - ≤ Rp.1.000.000
  - Rp. 1.000.001 - Rp. 2.000.000
  - Rp. 2.000.001 - Rp. 3.000.000
  - Rp. 3.000.001 - Rp. 4.000.000
  - Rp. 4.000.001 - Rp. 5.000.000
  - > Rp. 5.000.000

- **Education Background**

- High School / Vocational High School
- Diploma
- Bachelor
- Master
- Doctoral

## HOW TO FILL

In this section are some statements that are given to be answered in accordance with your opinion. There are no right or wrong answers. Therefore, your help to fill in this questionnaire honestly and objectively will be very meaningful for this research. The statement was answered using a 5 Likert scale as a benchmark. There are five answer choices provided, namely:

1 = strongly disagree

2 = disagree

3 = neutral

4 = agree

5 = totally agree

The results of this study will only be used for academic purposes only. The confidentiality of your identity is guaranteed and maintained in accordance with research ethics. Once again, I thank you for those who have been willing to take the time to fill out this questionnaire.

## QUESTION

PERCEIVED EASE OF USE	1	2	3	4	5
Twitter is easy to use. Even for the first time.					
Information on Twitter is easy to find.					
The structure and content in Twitter are easy to understand.					
Navigation in Twitter is easy to use.					

<b>SOCIAL INFLUENCE</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>
My friend will support my decision to use Twitter in my buying decision.					
Important people for me, like my friends or family, think I have to use Twitter.					
Information or news in the media gives me a pleasant feeling about using Twitter in my buying decision process.					

<b>PERCEIVED PRIVACY PROTECTION</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>
I assume the privacy of Twitter users is protected.					
I believe that Twitter users' personal information is stored securely.					
I trust Twitter's ability to maintain the security of its users' information.					

<b>APTITUDE</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>
I think I can find any information online.					
I think I am able to download files from another computer.					
I think I can make a purchase online.					
I think I'm able to interact on a social network.					

<b>NETWORK EXTERNALITIES</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>
The more people give opinions about a brand on Twitter, the more and better information we have in making a purchase decision.					
As the number of users who use Twitter in purchasing decisions increases, there will be more, and better applications developed to facilitate the buying process.					
In my opinion, a large number of Twitter users currently use Twitter in their purchasing decisions.					

<b>PERCEIVED USEFULNESS</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>
Twitter helps me get information about certain products before deciding to buy.					
Twitter helped me make my purchase decision better.					
Twitter allows me to get advice from other users before deciding on my purchase.					
Twitter makes direct contact with various brands easier.					

<b>ATTITUDE</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>
I assume that using Twitter is good for me.					
I have a positive opinion about participation in social networks.					
Social networks contribute to many good things that we enjoy today.					
Life will be easier and faster thanks to social networking.					

<b>BEHAVIOURAL INTENTION</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>
I have intention to use Twitter in the buying decision process for certain products.					
Before buying some products, I looked for information about certain brands that might be on Twitter.					
I will consider the opinions that others have indicated on Twitter on existing brands.					
Information offered by certain companies on Twitter can determine my buying decision.					

*Appendix 1: Questioner in English*

## **QUESTIONER DESIGN IN INDONESIAN**

Perkenalkan, nama saya Bisma Kresna Dewa. Saya adalah mahasiswa program studi Manajemen Kelas Internasional Fakultas Bisnis dan Ekonomi Universitas Atma Jaya Yogyakarta. Sekarang ini saya sedang melakukan penelitian untuk menyelesaikan tugas akhir (skripsi) saya mengenai **PENGARUH PERCEIVE EASE OF USE, PERCEIVED USEFULNESS, SOCIAL INFLUENCES DAN ATTITUDE TERHADAP BEHAVIORAL INTENTION (STUDY OF TWITTER SOCIAL MEDIA)**.

Kuisisioner ini ditujukan kepada Saudara / Saudari yang memiliki akun Twitter yang digunakan secara aktif selama 6 bulan terakhir dan tahun ini berumur 20 - 40 tahun (Generasi Y). Saya memohon kerjasama anda sekalian untuk meluangkan waktu sejenak dan mengisi kuesioner ini. Atas partisipasi anda, saya ucapkan banyak terima kasih.

**APAKAH ANDA MEMILIKI AKUN TWITTER YANG ANDA GUNAKAN SECARA AKTIF SELAMA 6 BULAN TERAHKIR? DAN TAHUNINI ANDA BERUMUR 20 - 40 TAHUN?**

- YA (Lanjut Ke Pertanyaan Berikutnya!)**
- TIDAK (Berhenti Di Sini, Terima Kasih)**

### **IDENTITAS RESPONDEN**

- **Jenis Kelamin**
  - Pria
  - Wanita
- **Umur ....**
- **Pendapatan / Uang Saku per Bulan**
  - ≤ Rp.1.000.000
  - Rp. 1.000.001 - Rp. 2.000.000
  - Rp. 2.000.001 - Rp. 3.000.000
  - Rp. 3.000.001 - Rp. 4.000.000
  - Rp. 4.000.001 - Rp. 5.000.000
  - > Rp. 5.000.000

- **Pendidikan Terakhir**

- SMA / SMK
- Diploma
- Sarjana
- Magister
- Doktoral

### **CARA PENGISIAN**

Pada bagian ini adalah beberapa pernyataan yang diberikan untuk dijawab sesuai dengan pendapat anda. Tidak ada jawaban benar maupun salah. Oleh karena itu bantuan dari anda untuk mengisi kuesioner ini dengan sejujur-jujurnya dan obyektif akan sangat berarti bagi penelitian ini. Pernyataan dijawab dengan menggunakan skala 5 likert sebagai tolak ukur. Terdapat lima pilihan jawaban yang disediakan, yaitu:

- 1 = sangat tidak setuju
- 2 = tidak setuju
- 3 = netral
- 4 = setuju
- 5 = sangat setuju

Hasil dari penelitian ini hanya akan digunakan untuk kepentingan akademis semata. Kerahasiaan identitas anda terjamin dan dijaga sesuai dengan etika penelitian. Sekali lagi saya ucapkan terima kasih kepada anda yang telah bersedia meluangkan waktu untuk mengisi kuesioner ini.

## PERTANYAAN

<b>PERCEIVED EASE OF USE</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>
Twitter mudah digunakan. Bahkan untuk pertama kalinya.					
Informasi dalam Twitter mudah ditemukan.					
Struktur dan konten dalam Twitter mudah dimengerti.					
Navigasi dalam Twitter mudah digunakan.					

<b>SOCIAL INFLUENCE</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>
Teman saya akan mendukung keputusan saya untuk menggunakan Twitter dalam keputusan pembelian saya.					
Orang-orang penting bagi saya, seperti teman atau keluarga saya, beranggapan saya harus menggunakan Twitter.					
Informasi atau berita di media memberi saya perasaan yang menyenangkan terhadap penggunaan Twitter dalam proses keputusan pembelian saya.					

<b>PERCEIVED PRIVACY PROTECTION</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>
Saya beranggapan privasi pengguna Twitter dilindungi.					
Saya percaya bahwa informasi pribadi pengguna Twitter disimpan dengan aman.					
Saya mempercayai kemampuan Twitter untuk menjaga keamanan informasi penggunanya.					

<b>APTITUDE</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>
Saya dapat mencari informasi secara online.					
Saya dapat mengunduh file dari komputer lain.					
Saya bisa melakukan pembelian secara online.					
Saya bisa berinteraksi di media sosial.					

<b>NETWORK EXTERNALITIES</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>
Semakin banyak orang memberikan pendapat tentang suatu merek di Twitter, semakin banyak dan lebih baik informasi yang kita miliki dalam melakukan keputusan pembelian.					
Karena jumlah pengguna yang menggunakan Twitter dalam keputusan pembelian bertambah, maka akan ada lebih banyak dan lebih baik aplikasi yang dikembangkan untuk memfasilitasi proses pembelian tersebut.					
Menurut pendapat saya, sejumlah besar pengguna Twitter saat ini menggunakan Twitter dalam keputusan pembelian mereka.					

<b>PERCEIVED USEFULNESS</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>
Twitter membantu saya mendapatkan informasi tentang produk tertentu sebelum memutuskan untuk membeli.					
Twitter membantu saya memutuskan pembelian saya lebih baik.					
Twitter memungkinkan saya untuk mendapatkan saran dari pengguna lain sebelum memutuskan pembelian saya.					
Twitter membuat saya kontak langsung dengan berbagai merek menjadi lebih mudah.					

<b>ATTITUDE</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>
Saya beranggapan bahwa menggunakan Twitter itu baik untuk saya.					
Saya memiliki pendapat positif tentang partisipasi dalam jejaring sosial.					
Jejaring sosial berkontribusi atas banyak hal baik yang kita nikmati hari ini.					
Hidup akan lebih mudah dan lebih cepat berkat jejaring sosial.					

<b>BEHAVIOURAL INTENTION</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>
Saya berniat untuk menggunakan Twitter dalam proses keputusan pembelian untuk produk tertentu.					
Sebelum membeli beberapa produk, saya mencari informasi tentang merek tertentu yang mungkin ada di Twitter.					
Saya akan mempertimbangkan pendapat yang telah ditunjukkan orang lain di Twitter pada merek yang ada.					
Informasi yang ditawarkan perusahaan tertentu di Twitter dapat menentukan keputusan pembelian saya.					

*Appendix 2: Questioner in Indonesian*













## RELIABILITY TEST

- Reliability Test Output of Perceive Ease of Use (PEOU)

### Case Processing Summary

		N	%
Cases	Valid	30	100.0
	Excluded <sup>a</sup>	0	.0
	Total	30	100.0

a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

### Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
.842	4

### Item Statistics

	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
PEOU1	4.17	.950	30
PEOU2	4.37	.850	30
PEOU3	4.30	.750	30
PEOU4	4.20	.805	30

### Item-Total Statistics

	Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item-Total Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted
PEOU1	12.87	4.189	.668	.808
PEOU2	12.67	4.782	.587	.837
PEOU3	12.73	4.616	.779	.761
PEOU4	12.83	4.626	.697	.791

### Scale Statistics

Mean	Variance	Std. Deviation	N of Items
17.03	7.689	2.773	4

Appendix 9: Reliability Test Output of Perceived Ease of Use (PEOU)

- **Reliability Test Output of Social Influence (SI)**

### Case Processing Summary

		N	%
Cases	Valid	30	100.0
	Excluded <sup>a</sup>	0	.0
	Total	30	100.0

a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

### Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
.866	3

### Item Statistics

	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
SI1	3.70	.988	30
SI2	3.40	1.192	30
SI3	3.80	.997	30

### Item-Total Statistics

	Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item- Total Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted
SI1	7.20	4.028	.762	.801
SI2	7.50	3.431	.718	.852
SI3	7.10	3.955	.776	.788

### Scale Statistics

Mean	Variance	Std. Deviation	N of Items
10.90	8.024	2.833	3

*Appendix 10: Reliability Test Output of Social Influence (SI)*

- **Reliability Test Output of Perceived Privacy Protection (PPP)**

#### **Case Processing Summary**

Cases		N	%
	Valid	30	100.0
	Excluded <sup>a</sup>	0	.0
	Total	30	100.0

a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

#### **Reliability Statistics**

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
.924	3

#### **Item Statistics**

	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
PPP1	3.60	1.192	30
PPP2	3.63	1.189	30
PPP3	3.73	1.202	30

#### **Item-Total Statistics**

	Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item-Total Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted
PPP1	7.37	5.206	.829	.903
PPP2	7.33	5.195	.836	.897
PPP3	7.23	5.013	.870	.870

#### **Scale Statistics**

Mean	Variance	Std. Deviation	N of Items
10.97	11.137	3.337	3

*Appendix 11: Reliability Test Output of Perceived Privacy Protection (PPP)*

- **Reliability Test Output of Aptitude (APT)**

### Case Processing Summary

Cases		N	%
	Valid	30	100.0
	Excluded <sup>a</sup>	0	.0
	Total	30	100.0

a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

### Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
.690	4

### Item Statistics

	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
APT1	4.70	.466	30
APT2	4.03	.809	30
APT3	4.20	.961	30
APT4	4.30	.651	30

### Item-Total Statistics

	Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item-Total Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted
APT1	12.53	3.499	.506	.642
APT2	13.20	2.786	.429	.657
APT3	13.03	2.378	.437	.683
APT4	12.93	2.754	.657	.522

### Scale Statistics

Mean	Variance	Std. Deviation	N of Items
17.23	4.599	2.144	4

*Appendix 12: Reliability Test Output of Aptitude (APT)*

- **Reliability Test Output of Network Externalities (NE)**

### Case Processing Summary

		N	%
Cases	Valid	30	100.0
	Excluded <sup>a</sup>	0	.0
	Total	30	100.0

a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

### Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
.797	3

### Item Statistics

	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
NE1	4.17	.791	30
NE2	4.20	.761	30
NE3	3.83	.986	30

### Item-Total Statistics

	Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item-Total Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted
NE1	8.03	2.516	.600	.767
NE2	8.00	2.483	.661	.713
NE3	8.37	1.826	.695	.680

### Scale Statistics

Mean	Variance	Std. Deviation	N of Items
12.20	4.648	2.156	3

*Appendix 13: Reliability Test Output of Network Externalities (NE)*

- **Reliability Test Output of Perceived Usefulness (PU)**

### Case Processing Summary

		N	%
Cases	Valid	30	100.0
	Excluded <sup>a</sup>	0	.0
	Total	30	100.0

a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

### Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
.845	4

### Item Statistics

	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
PU1	4.00	.910	30
PU2	3.77	.817	30
PU3	4.20	.847	30
PU4	3.67	1.155	30

### Item-Total Statistics

	Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item-Total Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted
PU1	11.63	5.413	.815	.747
PU2	11.87	5.499	.919	.715
PU3	11.43	6.944	.454	.889
PU4	11.97	5.068	.632	.845

### Scale Statistics

Mean	Variance	Std. Deviation	N of Items
15.63	9.689	3.113	4

*Appendix 14: Reliability Test Output of Perceived Usefulness (PU)*

- **Reliability Test Output of Attitude (ATT)**

### Case Processing Summary

		N	%
Cases	Valid	30	100.0
	Excluded <sup>a</sup>	0	.0
	Total	30	100.0

a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

### Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
.817	4

### Item Statistics

	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
ATT1	4.30	.651	30
ATT2	4.17	.699	30
ATT3	4.20	.805	30
ATT4	4.20	.805	30

### Item-Total Statistics

	Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item-Total Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted
ATT1	12.57	3.978	.502	.827
ATT2	12.70	3.459	.676	.754
ATT3	12.67	2.920	.777	.698
ATT4	12.67	3.264	.616	.783

### Scale Statistics

Mean	Variance	Std. Deviation	N of Items
16.87	5.706	2.389	4

*Appendix 15: Reliability Test Output of Attitude (ATT)*

- **Reliability Test Output of Behavioral Intention (BI)**

### Case Processing Summary

		N	%
Cases	Valid	30	100.0
	Excluded <sup>a</sup>	0	.0
	Total	30	100.0

a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

### Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
.874	4

### Item Statistics

	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
BI1	3.80	1.064	30
BI2	3.87	1.042	30
BI3	4.13	.819	30
BI4	4.00	.910	30

### Item-Total Statistics

	Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item-Total Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted
BI1	12.00	5.793	.754	.831
BI2	11.93	5.926	.744	.834
BI3	11.67	6.989	.722	.847
BI4	11.80	6.579	.724	.842

### Scale Statistics

Mean	Variance	Std. Deviation	N of Items
15.80	10.786	3.284	4

*Appendix 16: Reliability Test Output of Behavioral Intention (BI)*

## T-TABLE

**Titik Persentase Distribusi t (df = 121 – 160)**

Pr df	0.25	0.10	0.05	0.025	0.01	0.005	0.001
	0.50	0.20	0.10	0.050	0.02	0.010	0.002
121	0.67652	1.28859	1.65754	1.97976	2.35756	2.61707	3.15895
122	0.67651	1.28853	1.65744	1.97960	2.35730	2.61673	3.15838
123	0.67649	1.28847	1.65734	1.97944	2.35705	2.61639	3.15781
124	0.67647	1.28842	1.65723	1.97928	2.35680	2.61606	3.15726
125	0.67646	1.28836	1.65714	1.97912	2.35655	2.61573	3.15671
126	0.67644	1.28831	1.65704	1.97897	2.35631	2.61541	3.15617
127	0.67643	1.28825	1.65694	1.97882	2.35607	2.61510	3.15565
128	0.67641	1.28820	1.65685	1.97867	2.35583	2.61478	3.15512
129	0.67640	1.28815	1.65675	1.97852	2.35560	2.61448	3.15461
130	0.67638	1.28810	1.65666	1.97838	2.35537	2.61418	3.15411
131	0.67637	1.28805	1.65657	1.97824	2.35515	2.61388	3.15361
132	0.67635	1.28800	1.65648	1.97810	2.35493	2.61359	3.15312
133	0.67634	1.28795	1.65639	1.97796	2.35471	2.61330	3.15264
134	0.67633	1.28790	1.65630	1.97783	2.35450	2.61302	3.15217
135	0.67631	1.28785	1.65622	1.97769	2.35429	2.61274	3.15170
136	0.67630	1.28781	1.65613	1.97756	2.35408	2.61246	3.15124
137	0.67628	1.28776	1.65605	1.97743	2.35387	2.61219	3.15079
138	0.67627	1.28772	1.65597	1.97730	2.35367	2.61193	3.15034
139	0.67626	1.28767	1.65589	1.97718	2.35347	2.61166	3.14990
140	0.67625	1.28763	1.65581	1.97705	2.35328	2.61140	3.14947
141	0.67623	1.28758	1.65573	1.97693	2.35309	2.61115	3.14904
142	0.67622	1.28754	1.65566	1.97681	2.35289	2.61090	3.14862
143	0.67621	1.28750	1.65558	1.97669	2.35271	2.61065	3.14820
144	0.67620	1.28746	1.65550	1.97658	2.35252	2.61040	3.14779
145	0.67619	1.28742	1.65543	1.97646	2.35234	2.61016	3.14739
146	0.67617	1.28738	1.65536	1.97635	2.35216	2.60992	3.14699
147	0.67616	1.28734	1.65529	1.97623	2.35198	2.60969	3.14660
148	0.67615	1.28730	1.65521	1.97612	2.35181	2.60946	3.14621
149	0.67614	1.28726	1.65514	1.97601	2.35163	2.60923	3.14583
150	0.67613	1.28722	1.65508	1.97591	2.35146	2.60900	3.14545
151	0.67612	1.28718	1.65501	1.97580	2.35130	2.60878	3.14508
152	0.67611	1.28715	1.65494	1.97569	2.35113	2.60856	3.14471
153	0.67610	1.28711	1.65487	1.97559	2.35097	2.60834	3.14435
154	0.67609	1.28707	1.65481	1.97549	2.35081	2.60813	3.14400
155	0.67608	1.28704	1.65474	1.97539	2.35065	2.60792	3.14364
156	0.67607	1.28700	1.65468	1.97529	2.35049	2.60771	3.14330
157	0.67606	1.28697	1.65462	1.97519	2.35033	2.60751	3.14295
158	0.67605	1.28693	1.65455	1.97509	2.35018	2.60730	3.14261
159	0.67604	1.28690	1.65449	1.97500	2.35003	2.60710	3.14228
160	0.67603	1.28687	1.65443	1.97490	2.34988	2.60691	3.14195

*Appendix 17: T-Table*



## REGRESSION ANALYSIS OUTPUT

- **The Influence of Aptitude and Network Externalities Toward Perceived Ease of Use SPSS Output**

### **ANOVA<sup>a</sup>**

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	86.529	2	43.265	7.736	.001 <sup>b</sup>
	Residual	822.111	147	5.593		
	Total	908.640	149			

a. Dependent Variable: PEOU

b. Predictors: (Constant), NET, APT

### **Model Summary**

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	R	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.309 <sup>a</sup>	.095	.083	2.365	

a. Predictors: (Constant), NET, APT

### **Coefficients<sup>a</sup>**

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Beta	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error			
1	(Constant)	9.980	1.719		5.805	.000
	APT	.276	.095	.239	2.903	.004
	NET	.155	.095	.135	1.634	.104

a. Dependent Variable: PEOU

*Appendix 19: The influence of Aptitude and Network Externalities Toward Perceived Ease of Use SPSS Output*

- **The Influence of Network Externalities, Perceived Privacy Protection, Social Influence and Perceived Ease of Use Toward Perceived Usefulness SPSS Output**

ANOVA <sup>a</sup>						
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	1041.610	4	260.403	68.907	.000 <sup>b</sup>
	Residual	547.963	145	3.779		
	Total	1589.573	149			

a. Dependent Variable: PU

b. Predictors: (Constant), PEOU, NET, PPP, SI

Model Summary					
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R	Std. Error of the Estimate	
			Square		
1	.809 <sup>a</sup>	.655	.646	1.944	

a. Predictors: (Constant), PEOU, NET, PPP, SI

### Coefficients<sup>a</sup>

Model	B	Std. Error	Unstandardized Coefficients		t	Sig.
			Beta	Standardized Coefficients		
1	(Constant)	.957	1.289		.743	.459
	NET	1.068	.088	.700	12.164	.000
	PPP	.052	.070	.041	.749	.455
	SI	.240	.081	.179	2.978	.003
	PEOU	-.082	.069	-.062	-1.185	.238

a. Dependent Variable: PU

*Appendix 20: The Influence of Network Externalities, Perceived Privacy Protection, Social Influence and Perceived Ease of Use Toward Perceived Usefulness SPSS Output*

- **The Influence of Perceived Usefulness and Perceived Ease of Use**

### Toward Attitude SPSS Output

ANOVA <sup>a</sup>					
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F
1	Regression	312.745	2	156.373	34.622
	Residual	663.928	147	4.517	
	Total	976.673	149		

a. Dependent Variable: ATT

b. Predictors: (Constant), PEOU, PU

Model Summary					
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate	
1	.566 <sup>a</sup>	.320	.311	2.125	

a. Predictors: (Constant), PEOU, PU

Coefficients <sup>a</sup>					
Model	Unstandardized Coefficients			Standardized Coefficients	
	B	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.
1	(Constant)	5.855	1.348		4.344
	PU	.337	.054	.430	6.253
	PEOU	.321	.071	.310	4.507

a. Dependent Variable: ATT

### Appendix 21: The Influence of Perceived Usefulness and Perceived Ease of Use Toward Attitude SPSS Output

- **The Influence of Social Influence, Network Externalities, Perceived Ease of Use, Perceived Usefulness and Attitude Toward Behavioral Intention SPSS Output**

ANOVA <sup>a</sup>						
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	618.523	4	154.631	37.329	.000 <sup>b</sup>
	Residual	600.651	145	4.142		
	Total	1219.173	149			

a. Dependent Variable: BI

b. Predictors: (Constant), ATT, PEOU, PU, SI

Model Summary					
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate	
1	.712 <sup>a</sup>	.507	.494	2.035	

a. Predictors: (Constant), ATT, PEOU, PU, SI

Model	Unstandardized Coefficients			Standardized Coefficients	
	B	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.
1	(Constant)	4.267	1.371		3.111 .002
	SI	.069	.086	.059 .807	.421
	PU	.521	.064	.594 8.151	.000
	PEOU	-.024	.074	-.020 -.319	.750
	ATT	.171	.081	.153 2.119	.036

a. Dependent Variable: BI

*Appendix 22: The Influence of Social Influence, Network Externalities, Perceived Ease of Use, Perceived Usefulness and Attitude Toward Behavioral Intention SPSS Output*