CHAPTER VI

CONCLUSION

6.1 Conclusion

Based from design code analysis, numerical analysis and from previous experiment result, it is concluded that when both design codes were compared with previous test its result were conservative, where the closest value is the EC4 result with 9.7% lower than previous test result, and SNI 1729-2015 result is more conservative than the EC4 with 12% lower than the previous test.

1. 0

1	able 6.1	Result Co	omparison		
Column	PSNI	P_{EC4}	P_{FE}	Pexp	
No.	(KN)	(KN)	(KN)	(KN)	
C4	938	957	1108	1050	

T 11 (1D

When the numerical analysis and (Soliman, Arafa, & Elrakib, 2013) experiment result compared, the numerical analysis result gave close result where the axial load capacity based on the numerical analysis only 5% more than the experiment result.

The numerical analysis was verified with previous experiment, and the result was the numerical analysis gave a good simulation of concrete encased steel composite column subjected to concentric loading.

6.2 Suggestion

Suggestions can be given by the author for further research is:

- Further research about confinement effect in concrete when modelling using Finite Element Model.
- 2. Further research comparing SNI 1729-2015 with different codes.



REFRENCES

- Abaqus, 2014. Abaqus Analysis User's Guide. In Abaqus 6.14 Online Documentation. USA: Dassault Systèmes Simulia.
- EC4. Eurocode 4: Design of composite steel and concrete structures Part1-1: General rules and rules for buildings. London, UK: British StandardsInstitution. BS EN 1994-1-1; 2004.
- Ellobody, E., & Young, B. (2011). Numerical simulation of concrete encased steel composite columns. *Journal of Constructional Steel Research*, 67(2), 211–222. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcsr.2010.08.003</u>
- Shah, D., Vakil, M. D., & Patel, M. N. (2014). Parametric Study of Concrete Filled Steel Tube Column. 2(2), 1678–1682.
- SNI-1729. (2015). Spesifikasi untuk bangunan gedung baja struktural Badan Standardisasi Nasional. Retrieved from www.bsn.go.id
- Soliman, K. Z., Arafa, A. I., & Elrakib, T. M. (2013). Review of design codes of concrete encased steel short columns under axial compression. *HBRC Journal*, 9(2), 134–143. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hbrcj.2013.02.002
- Tong, Q., Ren, Q., Shen, L., Zhang, L., & Yang, Y. (2018). Safety evaluation of concrete structures based on a novel energy criterion. *CMES Computer Modeling in Engineering and Sciences*, 114(1), 33–58. https://doi.org/10.3970/cmes.2018.114.033

Yuvaraj, S., & Jamal, A. (2018). Design Comprasion of Encased Composite Column under Bi Axial Loading as Per Eurocode-4 and Aisc. Lrfd Code. 03(02), 31–42.

