#### 2.2.2 Brand Familiarity

Familiarity reflects the knowledge that people have about a brand. Brand familiarity captures consumers' brand knowledge structures, the brand associations that exist within a consumer's memory (Campbell and Keller, 2003). People more familiar with a brand seem to engage in more confirmation-based processing of information compared with non-familiar people (Keller, 1991). Familiar brands include many positive associations that lead consumers to judge that the product or firm is trustworthy (Aaker 1991; Keller 1993)

#### 2.2.3 Surprise of Change

According to Teixeira *et al* (2012) Surprise is a sudden event that arises when "outcomes are unexpected". Valenzuela *et al* (2010) stated that "surprise is the astonishment, wonder, or amazement that grows with the unexpectedness and importance of an event." Surprise seems to represent a complex emotion, sometimes good and sometimes bad, depending on whether the cause of the surprise itself is positive or negative (Grobert *et al.*, 2016). However, surprise is only happen for a short amount of time, the experiences of surprise may be confused with the emotions that follow it. As such, people feel first surprised, and then this emotion is transformed into another one that can be positive (e.g. after an unexpected gift) or negative (e.g. after an unexpected tax), depending on the outcomes of the event (Grobert *et al.*, 2016).

#### 2.2.4 Perceived Logo Congruence

Hagtvedt (2011) shows that logos have the potential to convey meaning on their own such that an incomplete (complete) logo leads to lower (higher) perceptions of trustworthiness and higher (lower) perceptions of innovativeness. Thus, the importance of a logo is much more than a mere visual signature because it serves as a vehicle for capturing attention and conveying meaning (Janiszewski and Meyvis, 2001). As the main goal of a logo is to enhance awareness and to build a beneficial image (Hem and Iversen, 2004)

Weeks, Cornwell and Drennan (2008) recently defined congruence as a "logical" relationship between a sponsor and the sponsored entity. Congruence is a symmetrical relationship between two elements that may in fact be different in terms of category. Typicality allows a product and a brand to be linked according to their representative characteristics, or a brand and product categories according to the brand territory. (Maille, 2011). In English, these terms have given congruence, congruence, congruity, congruent and congruous which, in everyday language, refer to the fact, for two objects, of matching, agreeing, being appropriate to and being consistent with each other (Robert and Collins Senior, 2000; The Concise Oxford Dictionary, 1985; Harrap's Chambers, 1997).

### 2.3 Previous Studies

### Table 2.1

### **Previous Study**

No.	Title	Variable	Method	Findings
1.	Surprise! We Changed The Logo (Julien Grobert Caroline Cuny Marianela Fornerino,2016)	Logo Congruence, Brand Familiarity, Brand Attachment, Surprise	This research use questionnaire to collect the data. Anova Analysis is conducted to see the diferrence	The result of the research is surprise has an impact on the perception of congruence between the brand and the new logo in the case of a major
2.	The Influence of Brand Trust, Brand Familiarity and Brand Experience on Brand Attachment: A Case of Consumers in the Gauteng Province of South Africa (Chonoman <i>et al</i> , 2017)	Brand Trust, Brand Familiarity, Brand Experience, Brand Attachment	Questionnaire was designed to collect this study data then SEM technique using SPSS and PLS was used to run the statistical analysis.	logo change. This research found that brand trust is affecting brand attachment, brand familiarity will affect brand attachment, brand experience significantly affect brand attachment.
3.	The Effect of Incoungruity, Surprise, and Positive Moderators on Perceived Humor. (Alden <i>et al.</i> ,2013)	Degree of Incongrutity, Schema Familiarity, Surprise, Playfulness, Warmth, Ease of Resolution, Perceived Humor.	A two-step process was used to collect the random data. Three-point scales were used for coder measurement (low-medium- high). An ANOVA analysis is used to analyze one of the hypothesis. Then regression analysis was used to test 3 of the hypothesis, and the last hypothesis is mediation, the researcher used	When viewer familiarity is high, incongruity is significantly producing a stronger level of surprise than when familiarity was low. Also, level of playfulness and threat affect surprise and perceived humor/fear.

			Danan Vanut farm	
			Baron Kennt four	
4	Englading (h.	Durand	step procedure.	Duran 1 formalling sites
4.	1 0	Brand	A face-to-face	Brand familiarity
	attractiveness of	Credibility,	personal	influence brand
	manufacturer brands	Brand Image,	interviewing	attitude. Brand
	and retailer own-	Brand	method in low-,	credibility
	brands in	Familiarity,	medium- and	influence brand
	supermarket	Brand	high-peak	attachment, brand
	context. (Sandra		shopping days is	image influence
	Maria Correia	Brand Attitude	conducted in this	brand attitude,
	Loureiro, 2017)		research. PLS is	brand image
			used to test the	influence brand
		1	hypothesis of this	attachment, brand
		lumi	study.	familiarity
			16	influence brand
	5			attachment. Also,
			0	product category
				and type of brand
				moderate the effect
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	of brand credibility,
	as L			brand image, brand
				familiarity on brand
				attitude and brand
				attachment.
5.	Cognitive and	Familiarity	This research use	Changing a brand's
1.1	Emotional Brand	with old logo,	questionnaire to	logo is a primary
	Logo Changes	Perceived	respondents whom	way to signal to
	(Mark Peterson,	degree of logo	had not actually	consumers that the
	Saleh AlShebil,	change, Brand	seen a new logo of	core offering of a
	Melissa Bishop,	Involvement,	the company.	brand has been
	2015)	Interest	Structural	changed. However,
		Curiosity,	equation modeling	as this study
		Deprivation	is used (using	highlights, both
		Curiosity,	AMOS ver 19)	interest and doubt
		Attitude toward	due to the muti-	will be responses of
		new logo,	step nature of the	consumers about
		Anxiety about	model the	any such change.
		core offering,	construct.	-
		Difference in		
		Ab after logo		
		change.		
6.	Pleasantly	Antecendants	Face-to-face	The study
	Surprising Clients:	of Surprise,	interview is	identified that, the
	A Tactic in	Level of	conducted to four	antecendants of
	Relationship	Surprise,	clients / financial	surprise are
	Marketing for	Consequences	advisor. After the	customer
	Building	of Surprise	data was gathered,	orientation,
	Competitive		the researcher	knowledge of the
	Advantage in the		conduct SEM	client, ability to
	Financial Services		analysis to test the	secure client's
	i munerur berviees		analysis to test the	secure chefit s

	Sector (Jasmin		hypothesis.	participation,
	Bergeron, Roy		hypothesis.	expertise, and sense
	Jasmin, Jean Mathieu Fallu,			of humor. Moreover, advisors
	2008)			whom pleasantly
	2008)			surprised clients
				positively predict
				trust, satisfaction,
				purchase intentions,
				and favorable word
				of mouth of the
				client.
7.	Do Logo Redesigns	Brand	An ANCOVA was	The study shows
	Help or Hurt Your Brand?	Commitment,	conducted with brand attitude	that brand commitment and
	(Michael F. Walsh,	Logo Evaluation,	toward the post-	commitment and brand attitude,
	Karen Page	Logo Shape	redesigned logo as	while closely
	Winterich, Vikas	Redesign,	the dependent	related, are separate
	Mittal, 2010)	Brand	variable. The	constructs
	2	Commitment,	independent	measuring different
	of L	Brand Attitude.	variables included	phenomena.
	S A		brand	
			commitment,	
			degree of change,	
			and their interaction. The	
1			covariates were	1
- 11			brand, prior brand	
			ownership,	
			gender, age, and	
			pre-exposure	
			brand attitude.	~
8.	Novelty or Surprise?	Novelty,	The method used	Surprise features
	(Andrew Barto, Marco Mirolli,	Surprise	is by dividing the	are expectations
	Marco Mirolli, Gianluca		typical features that distinguish	and prediction, novelty features are
	Baldassarre, 2013)		novelty or surprise	memory recall and
	Daioussuite, 2015)		behavior.	formation of new
				representation and
		τ.		links.
9.	Birds of a Feather	-	Questionnaire	Congruence is
	Flock Together,	Expected,	were spreaded, a	derived from two
	Definition, Role,	Relevant.	total	distinct sources,
	and Measure of		representative sample of 780	expectancy and
	Congruence: An Application to		sample of 780 customers aged	relevancy.
	Sponsorship (		between 18 to 70	
	Nathalie D. Fleck,		years old, the data	
	Pascale Quester,		was checked for	
	2007)		normality and	

some evidence of	
skewness and	
Kurtosis, then	
justifying the use	
of the	
asymptotically	
distribution free	
(ADF) function in	
AMOS 5.	

#### 2.3.1 Hypothesis Development

Individuals with high brand familiarity reflects that they already have knowledge of the brand really well, which means that they already spend some time to learn about the brand and engage a long-term relationship, Thus brand familiarity might affect customer perception when the brand change their logo (Grobert *et al.*, 2016). Campbell and Keller (2003) explain that when a brand wants to use a new advertising campaign, familiar (vs. unfamiliar) consumers will react more negatively. It goes the same with logo changes, highly familiar individuals will process this new information less easily (Henderson and Cote, 1998), the more familiar they are with the brand, the less congruent they will evaluate the new logo with the brand. Based on that discussion, the hypothesis is:

# H1: Brand Familiarity will significantly affect the perceived congruence of new logo with brand.

However, brand attachment represents, for an individual, the fact whether he or she likes the brand (Van Riel and Fombrun, 2007). It's the result from the relationships between the brand and its customers (Lacœuilhe and Belaïd, 2007). Thus, when a customer gather the information and build brand familiarity with the brand they will gradually build an attachment. So, the hypothesis is:

#### H2 : Brand familiarity will significantly affect the brand attachment.

Brand attachment may also play a part when a brand change its logo. It can effect whether or not customers accept this change (Abdulaziz Alshebil, 2007). Higher brand attachment leads to higher perceived congruence between the brand and its current logo, as a result of repeated interactions with the brand. (Grobert *et al.*, 2016). Based on the elaboration, the hypothesis is:

# H3 : Brand attachment will significantly affect the perceived congruence of new logo with brand.

Grobert *et al.*, (2016) has proved that brand attachment partly mediate the relationship between brand familiarity and customers' perceived congruence between the logo and the brand in term of major logo changes in his research. The following hypothesis is formulated based on the discussion above:

## H4 : Brand attachment will mediate the relationship between brand familiarity and perceived congruence with the new logo with brand.

More familiar individuals may encounter more intense surprise when a brand change its logo (Alden et al., 2000), and whether the surprise is negative or positive may also be crucial. Also, strong committed consumers presented a more negative attitude than weak committed consumers, because they expected to be explicitly warned about the change beforehand (Walsh et al., 2010). Based on the discussion, the hypotheses are:

#### H5: Brand attachment will significantly affect surprise of change.

#### H6: Brand familiarity will significantly affect surprise of change.

Surprise is felt in a short amount of time, the outcome of surprise is the emotion that is followed after it. According to Grobert *et al.*, (2016) the effect can be positive (e.g. after an unexpected gift) or negative (e.g. after an unexpected tax). In term of radical logo changes, the experience of surprise emerge because they need to breakdown the previous coherent representation, resulting in an urgent representational updating process (Maguire,2011, p. 177). In another word the emotion (outcome of surprise) will determine how the customer perceived the logo changes. So, the hypothesis is:

## H7: Surprise of change will significantly affect the perceived congruence of new logo with brand.

More or less familiar customers could evaluate the new representation less or more congruently with the brand, mediated by their experience of surprise created by the radical logo change. Below is the hypothesis that is built based on the discussion above:

H8: Surprise of change will mediate the relationship between brand familiarity and perceived congruence with the new logo with brand.

#### **2.4 Conceptual Framework**

The research framework below consist of four variables, which are brand familiarity as the independent variable, brand attachment & surprise as the mediating variables, and congruence of new logo as the dependent variable. This framework is adopted from Grobert *et al.*, (2016) research which show the relationship between brand familiarity, brand attachment, surprise, and congruence of new logo.

