# **CHAPTER V**

#### CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

#### 5.1. <u>Conclusion</u>

This research was obtained from the result of respondent's questionnaire. The questionnaire was distributed to seven construction projects in Yogyakarta. The questionnaire consists of three sections. The first section contained the demographic information about the respondent. The second section contained statements that represented the variables of conflict factors. While the third section contained statements that represented the variables that measure project performance. In accordance with the data obtained, the conclusions obtained are as follows:

- Based on the respondent data, the majority of company type is contractor.
   Most of the respondents work as the site engineer with the last education of
   S1 (bachelor degree) and have 5 10 years of work experience. Most of the
   project type handled is infrastructure.
- 2. The first research objectives is to identify the dominant conflict factors of construction project in Yogyakarta. From the data analysis and discussion, it can be concluded that different interpretation in contract and specification is obtained as the dominant conflict factor different interpretation in contract and specification category, delay in making the decision and responding to problems is obtained as the dominant conflict factor in owner category, errors and omissions in design and specifications is obtained as the dominant conflict factor in the consultant category, work is not according to the time schedule is obtained as the dominant conflict factor in the contractor category, low productivity is obtained as the dominant conflict factor in the human resources category, and equipment and material delay is obtained as the dominant conflict factor in project condition category. It also can be concluded that the dominant conflict factor of construction projects in Yogyakarta is mostly caused by human resources factor.
- 3. The second research objectives is to identify the relationship between conflict and project performance. From the data analysis and discussion, it can be

concluded that the correlation value of conflict factors and project performance is very high with the correlation value of -0.976. It also can be concluded that project condition factor and project performance has very high correlation with the correlation value of -0.900. All the correlation results show the negative direction of the relationship, it means that if the value of conflict factors is high, then the performance of project is low and vice versa. Conflict should be avoided as much as possible. Therefore, the practitioners involved in construction industry should be mitigating the conflict in the initial stage so the performance of the project will be increased.

# 5.2. Recommendation ATMA JAKA

According to the result of the research and the conclusion above, several recommendations can be obtained:

- 1. A better understanding of conflict management are important for every practitioners involved in the construction project hence the practitioners involved in construction industry will be able to attain better performance by mitigating the conflict in the initial stage.
- Future study can try to examine the relationship between conflict and project performance more specifically and try to examine the solution to solve the conflict.
- 3. Respondents should be more open in providing information for the research so that the data obtained by future researchers are more accurate which can helps to solve the problem in the construction industry.

#### REFERENCES

- Acharya, N.K., Dai Lee, Y., and Man Im, H. 2006. "Conflicting factors in construction projects: Korean perspective". Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management Vol. 13, No. 6, pp. 543-566.
- Al-Sibaie, E.Z., Alashwal, A.M., Abdul-Rahman, H., and Zolkafli, U.K. 2014. "Determining the relationship between conflict factors and performance of international construction projects". Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management Vol. 21, No. 4, pp. 369-382.
- Atkinson, R. 1999. "Project Management: Cost, Time and Quality, Two Best Guesses and a Phenomenon, Its Time to Accept Other Success Criteria". International Journal of Project Management Vol. 17, No.6, pp. 337-342.
- Awakul, P. and Ogunlana, S.O. 2002. "The effect of attitudinal differences on interface conflicts in large scale construction projects: a case study".

  Construction Management and Economics Vol. 20, No. 4, pp. 365-377.
- Cakmak, E. and Cakmak, P.I. 2014. "An analysis of causes of disputes in the construction industry using analytical network process". Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences Vol. 109, pp. 183–187.
- Fenn, P., Lowe, D., and Speck C. 1997. "Conflict and dispute in construction". Construction Management and Economic Vol. 15, No. 6, pp. 313-528.
- Levy, S. M. 2012. "Project Management in Construction Sixth Edition". Mc. Graw Hill.
- Manson, K. 1993. "Law For Civil Engineers". Longman Group.
- Market Research Future. 2016. "Investment Analysis of Construction Industry in Indonesia 2016-2021".
  - https://www.marketresearchfuture.com/reports/construction-industry-investment-analysis-1011
- Market Research Report. 2018. "Construction in Indonesia Key Trends and Opportunities to 2022".
  - https://www.giiresearch.com/report/time270545-construction-indonesiakey-trends-opportunities.html

- Susila, H. and Handoyo, S. 2015. "Analisis Pengaruh Konflik dalam Pelaksanaan Konstruksi terhadap Kesuksesan Proyek". Teknik Sipil dan Arsitektur Vol. 16, No. 20.
- Wu, G., Zhao, X., Zuo, J., and Zillante, G. 2018. "Effects of contractual flexibility on conflict and project success in megaprojects". International Journal of Conflict Management Vol. 29, No. 2, pp. 253-278.





# UNIVERSITAS ATMA JAYA YOGYAKARTA

#### Fakultas Teknik

Nomor: 1357/XI/U/2019

: Ijin Penyebaran Kuesioner

Yogyakarta, 2 Mei 2019

Kepada Yth.

Dengan hormat,

Dalam rangka menyelesaikan pendidikan Tingkat Sarjana pada Program Studi Teknik Sipil, Fakultas Teknik, Universitas Atma Jaya Yogyakarta setiap mahasiswa yang menempuh mata Kuliah Final Project, sangat membutuhkan data pendukung secara nyata dan lengkap.

Untuk itu kami mohon Bapak/Ibu berkenan memberikan ijin penyebaran kuesioner di Instansi yang Bapak/Ibu pimpin, dengan judul "The Relationship Between Conflict And Project Performance" kepada mahasiswa:

Nama

: Charista Alverina Julian

NPM

: 151315762

Program Studi

: Teknik Sipil Kelas Internasional

Semester

: Genap

Tahun Akademik : 2018/2019

Atas perhatian dan kerjasamanya, kami ucapkan terima kasih.

── Wakil Dekan I

Dr. Eng. Luky Handoko, S.T., M.Eng.



# THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CONFLICT AND PROJECT PERFORMANCE

By:

Charista Alverina Julian

Student ID: 15 13 15762

Program Studi Teknik Sipil Kelas Internasional

UNIVERSITAS ATMA JAYA YOGYAKARTA

Kuesioner Penelitian Tugas Akhir

Kuesioner ini bertujuan untuk mengetahui faktor-faktor dominan penyebab konflik dan hubungannya dengan kinerja proyek. Atas jawaban yang diberikan, saya ucapkan terima kasih.

# DATA RESPONDEN

Berikan tanda (✔) atau (ϰ) pada kotak yang tersedia

Tipe perusahaan	:			Pengalaman bekerja	:	
		Owner				< 5 tahun
		Konsultan				5 – 10 tahun
		Kontraktor		Tipe proyek		> 10 tahun
Jabatan	:			Tipe proyer	:	
		Project Manager				Low rise building
		Site Manager				High rise building
		Site Engineer				Industri
		Quality Control				Infrastruktur (jalan, jembatar
Pendidikan terakhir	:		<b>V</b>			bendungan, dll)
		≤ D3				
		<b>S</b> 1				
		≥ S2				

#### FAKTOR-FAKTOR DOMINAN PENYEBAB KONFLIK

Berikut adalah faktor-faktor penyebab konflik yang terjadi dalam proyek konstruksi. Berikan penilaian Anda dengan memberikan tanda (🗸) atau (🗷) pada faktor penyebab konflik yang menurut Anda paling berpengaruh sebagai penyebab terjadinya konflik dan penilaian Anda terhadap realita yang terjadi sesuai dengan keadaan proyek yang sedang Anda tangani saat ini.

# Keterangan:

Untuk tingkat pengaruh:

1 = Tidak Berpengaruh (TB)

2 = Kurang Berpengaruh (KB)

3 = Cukup Berpengaruh (CB)

4 = Berpengaruh (B)

5 = Sangat Berpengaruh (SB)

Untuk realita:

1 = Tidak Pernah Terjadi (TP)

2 = Jarang Terjadi (JR)

3 = Kadang Terjadi (KD)

4 = Sering Terjadi (SR)

5 = Selalu Terjadi (SL)

No	Deskripsi		Tingk	at Pen	garuh		Realita				l	
110	Безигры		KB	CB	В	SB		TP	JR	KD	SR	SL
Faktor Kontrak dan Spesifikasi (X1)												
1	Ruang lingkup kurang jelas	1	2	3	4	5		1	2	3	4	5
2	Change order	1	2	3	4	5		1	2	3	4	5

No	Deskripsi		Tingk	at Pen	garuh						
110	Deskripsi	TB	KB	СВ	В	SB	TP	JR	KD	SR	SL
3	Dokumen kontrak dan spesifikasi kurang lengkap	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
4	Perbedaan penafsiran dalam dokumen kontrak dan spesifikasi	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
5	Adanya perbedaan metode kerja	TMA JA	K12	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
Fakt	or Owner (X <sub>2</sub> )			复							
1	Persyaratan dari pihak owner membingungkan	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
2	Buruknya manajemen, pengawasan, dan koordinasi dari pihak owner	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
3	Owner enggan untuk memeriksa keadaan proyek	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
4	Lambat dalam mengambil keputusan dan menanggapi permasalahan	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
5	Harapan dari owner yang tidak realistis	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
6	Harapan proyek selesai lebih awal	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
7	Mekanisme yang kurang jelas dalam memberikan permintaan informasi	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
8	Keterlambatan serah-terima	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5

No	Deskripsi	Tingkat Pengaruh						Realita				
110	Deskripsi	TB	KB	СВ	В	SB		TP	JR	KD	SR	SL
9	Kesalahan dalam estimasi anggaran	1	2	3	4	5		1	2	3	4	5
10	Keterlambatan pembayaran dari owner	1	2	3	4	5		1	2	3	4	5
Fakt	or Konsultan (X3)			l		l			l	l		
1	Konsultan kurang kompeten	TM1	1/42 <sub>0</sub>	3	4	5		1	2	3	4	5
2	Adanya kesalahan atau kelalaian dalam desain dan spesifikasi	1	2	A SARTA	4	5		1	2	3	4	5
3	Desain dan spesifikasi yang kurang lengkap	1	2	3	4	5		1	2	3	4	5
4	Desain cacat	1/	2	3	4	5		1	2	3	4	5
5	Perhitungan progress pekerjaan yang kurang tepat	1/	2	3	4	5		1	2	3	4	5
Fakt	or Kontraktor (X4)		•	•						•		
1	Kontraktor kurang kompeten	1	2	3	4	5		1	2	3	4	5
2	Buruknya manajemen, pengawasan, dan koordinasi dari pihak kontraktor	1	2	3	4	5		1	2	3	4	5
3	Kurang memahami kesepakatan yang ada dalam dokumen kontrak	1	2	3	4	5		1	2	3	4	5
4	Penjadwalan (scheduling) yang kurang baik	1	2	3	4	5		1	2	3	4	5

No	Deskripsi	Tingkat Pengaruh								Realita	ì	
110	Deskripsi	TB	KB	CB	В	SB		TP	JR	KD	SR	SL
5	Pelaksanaan pekerjaan tidak sesuai dengan time schedule	1	2	3	4	5		1	2	3	4	5
6	Keterlambatan pekerjaan	1	2	3	4	5		1	2	3	4	5
7	Kesalahan dalam penggunaan material, tenaga kerja, dan metode kerja	TMA JA	200	3	4	5		1	2	3	4	5
8	Cacat pekerjaan	1	2	3	4	5		1	2	3	4	5
9	Kualitas pekerjaan tidak terpenuhi	1	2	3	4	5		1	2	3	4	5
10	Kesalahan dalam estimasi biaya pekerjaan	1/	2	3	4	5		1	2	3	4	5
Fakt	or Sumber Daya Manusia (X5)	V										•
1	Penempatan karyawan tidak sesuai dengan bidangnya	1	2	3	4	5		1	2	3	4	5
2	Jumlah karyawan yang ada tidak sesuai dengan kebutuhan	1	2	3	4	5		1	2	3	4	5
3	Kurangnya tenaga kerja yang berpengalaman	1	2	3	4	5		1	2	3	4	5
4	Kurangnya komunikasi antar anggota tim	1	2	3	4	5		1	2	3	4	5
5	Produktifitas rendah	1	2	3	4	5		1	2	3	4	5

No	Deskripsi		Tingk	at Pen	garuh		Realita						
110	Deskripsi	TB	KB	CB	В	SB	TP	JR	KD	SR	SL		
Fakt	tor Kondisi Proyek (X <sub>6</sub> )				1				l	1			
1	Tingkat ketidakpastian proyek yang tinggi	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5		
2	Keterlambatan peralatan dan material	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5		
3	Pekerjaan konstruksi yang cukup kompleks	TM1 JA	V42,	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5		
4	Idle time peralatan yang tidak efektif	1	2	23	4	5	1	2	3	4	5		
5	Perubahan kondisi proyek	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5		

# HUBUNGAN KONFLIK DENGAN KINERJA PROYEK

Berikut adalah variabel-variabel untuk mengukur kinerja proyek. Berikan penilaian anda dengan memberikan tanda (✓) atau (✗) pada kotak yang tersedia sesuai dengan keadaan proyek pada saat pengisian kuesioner ini.

# Keterangan:

1 = Sangat Tidak Setuju

2 = Tidak Setuju

3 = Netral

A = Setuju
CIE
5 = Sangat Setuju

No	Deskripsi	STS	TS	N	S	SS
1	Estimasi biaya proyek yang baik dan akurat	1	2	3	4	5
2	Pekerjaan proyek konstruksi selesai tepat waktu sesuai dengan jadwal dokumen kontrak	1	2	3	4	5
3	Hasil pekerjaan sesuai dengan kualitas mutu dan spesifikasi yang telah direncanakan	1	2	3	4	5
4	Tidak ada pekerjaan ulang (rework) selama konstruksi berlangsung	1	2	3	4	5
5	Tidak adanya kecelakaan kerja dalam pelaksanaan proyek	1	2	3	4	5
6	Semua pihak yang berkepentingan dalam proyek merasa puas dengan hasil pekerjaan	1	2	3	4	5

			P	roject	1				
No	Description	STB	ТВ	KB	В	SB	n	Score	Mean
X1	Contract and Specification Factor	SID	1.0	П		БВ			
	Project scope definition not clear	0	0	2	4	3	9	37	4,111
	Change order	0	0	0	6	3	9	39	
	Incomplete contract document and specifications	0	0	4	3	2	9	34	
	Different interpretation in contract document and spec	0	0	0	4	5	9	41	
	The difference in the construction method	0	4	5	0	0	9	23	
					-		Averag	ge Meai	
X2	Owner Factor							,	,
	Confusing requirements of the owner	0	2	4	3	0	9	28	3,111
	Poor system of management, supervision, and coordin	0	0	1	5	3	9	38	4,222
	Owner is reluctant to check the project's condition	0	0	0	4	5	9	41	
	Delay in making the decision and responding to proble	0	0	0	3	6	9	42	
	An unrealistic expectation of the owner	0	0	3	5	1	9	34	
	Acceleration of construction work	0	0	2	4	3	9	37	4,111
X2.7	An unclear mechanism in providing information reques	0	0	0	5	4	9	40	4,444
	Late handover	0	0	5	3	1	9	32	3,556
X2.9	Errors in budget estimation	0	0	1	4	4	9	39	
	Delay in running bill payment by the owner	0	0	1	3	5	9	40	
				4			Averag	ge Meai	
X3	Consultant Factor			Z				,	,
X3.1	Incompetent consultant	0	0	3	5	1	9	34	3,778
X3.2	Errors and omissions in design and specifications	0	0	0	3	6	9	42	
	Incomplete design and specifications	0	0	1	6	2	9	37	4,111
	Defective design	0	0	0	4	5	9	41	4,556
	Errors in the calculation of work progress	0	3	4	2	0	9	26	
							Averag	ge Meai	
X4	Contractor Factor								
X4.1	Incompetent contractor	0	0	2	5	2	9	36	4,000
X4.2	Poor system of management, supervision, and coordin	0	0	2	4	3	9	37	4,111
X4.3	Lack of understanding in the contract document	0	0	4	3	2	9	34	3,778
X4.4	Mismanagement of scheduling	0	0	3	2	4	9	37	4,111
X4.5	Work is not according to the time schedule	0	0	0	5	4	9	40	4,444
X4.6	Delays in work progress	0	0	0	3	6	9	42	4,667
X4.7	Errors in material use, labour, and work methods	0	0	5	4	0	9	31	3,444
X4.8	Defects in construction work	0	0	2	4	3	9	37	4,111
X4.9	The quality is not fulfilled	0	0	1	5	3	9	38	4,222
X4.10	Errors in costs estimation	0	0	3	4	2	9	35	3,889
							Averag	ge Meai	4,078
X5	Human Resources Factor								
X5.1	Employee's placement is not according to the expertise	0	0	0	5	4	9	40	4,444
X5.2	Number of employees are not in accordance with need	0	0	5	4	0	9	31	3,444
X5.3	Unavailable skilled labour	0	0	2	3	4	9	38	4,222
X5.4	Lack of communication between team members	0	0	3	4	2	9	35	3,889
X5.5	Low productivity	0	0	0	4	5	9	41	4,556
							Averag	ge Meai	4,111
X6	Project Condition Factor								
X6.1	High level of project uncertainty	0	2	5	2	0	9	27	3,000
		0	0	2	4	3	9	37	4,111
	Equipment and material delay	Ŭ	Ü						
	Equipment and material delay Complex construction work	0	4	2	3	0	9		2,889
X6.3	,				3 4	0 4	9 9	26	2,889 4,333
X6.3 X6.4	Complex construction work	0	4	2				26 39	

Attachment 3.a

No	Description		P	roject 2	2			Score	Maan
NO	Description	STB	TB	KB	В	SB	n	Score	Mean
X1	Contract and Specification Factor								
X1.1	Project scope definition not clear	0	0	6	2	2	10	36	- ,
	Change order	0	0	3	5	2	10	39	3,900
	Incomplete contract document and specifications	0	0	4	5	1	10	37	3,700
X1.4	Different interpretation in contract document and spec	0	0	0	4	6	10	46	4,600
X1.5	The difference in the construction method	0	4	5	1	0	10	27	2,700
							Averag	ge Mear	3,700
X2	Owner Factor								
	Confusing requirements of the owner	0	0	0	5	5	10	45	
	Poor system of management, supervision, and coordin	0	0	4	4	2	10	38	
	Owner is reluctant to check the project's condition	0	0	5	3	2	10	37	3,700
	Delay in making the decision and responding to proble		0	0	4	6	10	46	
	An unrealistic expectation of the owner	0	0	3	4	3	10	40	,
	Acceleration of construction work	0	0	1	5	4	10	43	4,300
	An unclear mechanism in providing information reques	0	4	4	2	0	10	28	2,800
	Late handover	0	0	2	6	2	10	40	
	Errors in budget estimation	0	0	3	5	3	10	41	,
X2.10	Delay in running bill payment by the owner	0	0	2	3		10	41	4,100
X3	Consultant Factor		$\overline{}$	Y			Averag	ge Meai	3,990
	Incompetent consultant	0	0	3	5	2	10	39	3,900
	Errors and omissions in design and specifications	0	0	1	6	3	10	42	4,200
	Incomplete design and specifications	0	0	0	6	4	10	44	
	Defective design	0	0	5	4	1	10	36	
<b>—</b>	Errors in the calculation of work progress	0	4	4	2	0	10	28	2,800
143.3	Lators in the calculation of work progress	O <sub>1</sub>	7	7 -	1 2			ge Meai	
X4	Contractor Factor						riverag	,c mean	3,700
	Incompetent contractor	0	0	2	5	3	10	41	4,100
	Poor system of management, supervision, and coordin		0	1	5	4	10	43	
	Lack of understanding in the contract document	0	2	4	4	0	10	32	3,200
<b>—</b>	Mismanagement of scheduling	0	0	0	5	5	10	45	4,500
	Work is not according to the time schedule	0	0	0	4	6	10	46	
	Delays in work progress	0	0	0	6	4	10	44	
	Errors in material use, labour, and work methods	0	0	5	4	1	10	36	3,600
X4.8	Defects in construction work	0	0	5	3	2	10	37	3,700
X4.9	The quality is not fulfilled	0	2	3	5	0	10	33	3,300
	Errors in costs estimation	0	0	1	6	3	10	42	4,200
							Averag	ge Mear	3,990
X5	Human Resources Factor								
X5.1	Employee's placement is not according to the expertise	0	0	2	5	3	10	41	4,100
X5.2	Number of employees are not in accordance with need	0	4	4	2	0	10	28	2,800
X5.3	Unavailable skilled labour	0	0	0	6	4	10	44	4,400
X5.4	Lack of communication between team members	0	1	5	4	0	10	33	3,300
X5.5	Low productivity	0	0	0	5	5	10	45	4,500
							Averag	ge Mear	3,820
X6	Project Condition Factor								
X6.1	High level of project uncertainty	0	0	4	4	2	10	38	3,800
	Equipment and material delay	0	0	2	5	3	10	41	4,100
X6.3	Complex construction work	0	0	0	6	4	10	44	4,400
	Ineffective equipment's idle time	0	0	1	5	4	10	43	4,300
X6.5	Change of site condition	0	0	2	4	4	10	42	
							Averag	ge Mear	4,160
							Δ	ttachm	ent 3.b

No	Description		P	roject :	3		n	Score	Moon
110	Description	STB	TB	KB	В	SB	11	Score	Wican
	Contract and Specification Factor								
	Project scope definition not clear	0	0	1	5	3	9	38	4,222
	Change order	0	0	0	6	3	9	39	,
	Incomplete contract document and specifications	2	3	4	0	0	9	20	2,222
X1.4	Different interpretation in contract document and spec	0	0	0	5	4	9	40	4,444
X1.5	The difference in the construction method	0	3	5	1	0	9	25	2,778
							Averag	ge Meai	3,600
	Owner Factor			.1		- 1			
	Confusing requirements of the owner	0	0	4	4	1	9	33	
	Poor system of management, supervision, and coordin	0	0	2	4	3	9	37	4,111
_	Owner is reluctant to check the project's condition	0	0	4	3	2	9	34	- ,
	Delay in making the decision and responding to proble	0	0	0	6	3	9	39	
	An unrealistic expectation of the owner	0	0	2	5	2	9	36	
	Acceleration of construction work	0	0	2	4	3	9	37	4,111
	An unclear mechanism in providing information reques	0	4	5	0	0	9	23	
_	Late handover	0	0	4	4	1	9	33	3,667
	Errors in budget estimation	0	0	2	3	4	9	38	-
X2.10	Delay in running bill payment by the owner	0	0	y 1	4	4	9	39	,
	3		$\overline{}$				Averag	ge Meai	3,878
	Consultant Factor								2
$\vdash$	Incompetent consultant	0	0	4	4	1	9	33	
	Errors and omissions in design and specifications	0	0	0	5	4	9	40	4,444
	Incomplete design and specifications	0	0	0	6	3	9	39	,
<b>—</b>	Defective design	0	0	2	4	3	9	37	4,111
X3.5	Errors in the calculation of work progress	0	4	5	0	0	9	23	2,556
37.4	Company of the France of the				H		Averag	ge Meai	3,822
X4	Contractor Factor	0	0	1	4	4	0	20	4 222
	Incompetent contractor	0	0	1	5	4	9	39 38	4,333
	Poor system of management, supervision, and coordin	0	$\frac{0}{0}$	3	4	2	9	35	
	Lack of understanding in the contract document	0	0	0	6		9	39	
	Mismanagement of scheduling	0	0	0	5	3	9	40	4,333 4,444
	Work is not according to the time schedule		0	0		5	9		- /
	Delays in work progress  Errors in material use, labour, and work methods	0	2	4	3	0	9	28	4,556 3,111
	Defects in construction work	0	0	4	5	0	9	32	3,556
-		0	3	4	2	0	9	26	
	The quality is not fulfilled Errors in costs estimation	0	0	2	4	3	9	37	2,889 4,111
Λ4.10	Extors in costs estimation	U	U	4	4			ge Meai	
X5	Human Resources Factor						Averag	c ivicai	3,744
	Employee's placement is not according to the expertise	0	0	0	6	3	9	39	4,333
	Number of employees are not in accordance with need		0	5	3	1	9	32	
	Unavailable skilled labour	0	0	2	3	4	9	38	
$\overline{}$	Lack of communication between team members	0	0	2	4	3	9	37	4,111
	Low productivity	0	0	0	5	4	9		4,444
13.3	Low productivity	U	U	U	J			ge Meai	
X6	Project Condition Factor						1 1 V CIUE	, c 1,10al	7,133
	High level of project uncertainty	0	0	2	4	3	9	37	4,111
	Equipment and material delay	0	0	0	5	4	9		4,444
	Complex construction work	0	0	5	3	1	9	32	3,556
	Ineffective equipment's idle time	0	4	4	1	0	9	24	
	Change of site condition	0	0	4	3	2	9	34	
210.5	enange of the condition	o l	J	-1	5			ge Meai	
							_	ttachm	

			P	roject 4	1				
No	Description	STB	ТВ	KB	В	SB	n	Score	Mean
X1	Contract and Specification Factor	ыы	ть	KD	ь	ъъ			
$\overline{}$	Project scope definition not clear	0	0	1	3	3	7	30	4,286
	Change order	0	0	0	5	2	7	30	4,286
	Incomplete contract document and specifications	0	0	1	4	2	7	29	4,143
	Different interpretation in contract document and spec	0	0	0	4	3	7	31	4,429
	The difference in the construction method	1	2	4	0	0	7	17	2,429
111.0		-1		· · · · ·	, ,		Averag		3,914
X2	Owner Factor							,	-,
	Confusing requirements of the owner	0	0	1	3	3	7	30	4,286
	Poor system of management, supervision, and coordin	0	0	4	3	0	7	24	3,429
	Owner is reluctant to check the project's condition	0	0	2	4	1	7	27	3,857
	Delay in making the decision and responding to proble	0	0	0	2	5	7	33	4,714
	An unrealistic expectation of the owner	0	0	2	3	2	7	28	4,000
	Acceleration of construction work	0	0	3	4	0	7	25	3,571
-	An unclear mechanism in providing information reques	1	3	3	0	0	7	16	2,286
	Late handover	0	0	0	4	3	7	31	4,429
-	Errors in budget estimation	0	0	1	4	2	7	29	4,143
	Delay in running bill payment by the owner	0	0	0	3	4	7	32	4,571
				4			Averag	e Mear	3,929
X3	Consultant Factor			Z				,	-,
	Incompetent consultant	0	4	3	0	0	7	17	2,429
	Errors and omissions in design and specifications	0	0	0	4	3	7	31	4,429
	Incomplete design and specifications	0	0	3	3	1	7	26	3,714
	Defective design	0	0	0	5	2	7	30	4,286
	Errors in the calculation of work progress	0	4	3	0	0	7	17	2,429
							Averag	ge Mear	3,457
X4	Contractor Factor								
X4.1	Incompetent contractor	0	0	3	2	2	7	27	3,857
X4.2	Poor system of management, supervision, and coordin	0	0	0	4	3	7	31	4,429
X4.3	Lack of understanding in the contract document	0	3	4	0	0	7	18	2,571
X4.4	Mismanagement of scheduling	0	0	0	5	2	7	30	4,286
X4.5	Work is not according to the time schedule	0	0	0	3	4	7	32	4,571
X4.6	Delays in work progress	0	0	3	2	2	7	27	3,857
X4.7	Errors in material use, labour, and work methods	0	3	4	0	0	7	18	2,571
X4.8	Defects in construction work	0	0	2	3	2	7	28	4,000
X4.9	The quality is not fulfilled	0	0	0	4	3	7	31	4,429
X4.10	Errors in costs estimation	0	0	2	5	0	7	26	3,714
							Averag	ge Mear	3,829
X5	Human Resources Factor								
X5.1	Employee's placement is not according to the expertise	0	0	0	4	3	7	31	4,429
X5.2	Number of employees are not in accordance with need	0	1	4	2	0	7	22	3,143
X5.3	Unavailable skilled labour	0	0	0	5	2	7	30	4,286
X5.4	Lack of communication between team members	0	0	4	3	0	7	24	3,429
X5.5	Low productivity	0	0	0	2	5	7	33	4,714
							Averag	ge Mear	4,000
X6	Project Condition Factor								
	High level of project uncertainty	0	0	3	2	2	7	27	3,857
X6.2	Equipment and material delay	0	0	0	4	3	7	31	4,429
	Complex construction work	0	0	4	3	0	7	24	3,429
X6.4	Ineffective equipment's idle time	0	4	3	0	0	7	17	2,429
X6.5	Change of site condition	0	3	3	1	0	7	19	2,714
I							Averag	e Mear	3,371

Attachment 3.d

			P	roject :	5				
No	Description	STB	TB	KB	В	SB	n	Score	Mean
X1	Contract and Specification Factor								
X1.1	Project scope definition not clear	0	0	3	3	1	7	26	3,714
X1.2	Change order	0	0	0	5	2	7	30	4,286
X1.3	Incomplete contract document and specifications	0	0	0	4	3	7	31	4,429
X1.4	Different interpretation in contract document and spec	0	0	1	3	3	7	30	4,286
X1.5	The difference in the construction method	1	4	2	0	0	7	15	2,143
							Averag	ge Meai	3,771
X2	Owner Factor								
X2.1	Confusing requirements of the owner	0	0	3	3	1	7	26	3,714
X2.2	Poor system of management, supervision, and coordin	0	0	0	4	3	7	31	4,429
X2.3	Owner is reluctant to check the project's condition	0	0	1	4	2	7	29	4,143
	Delay in making the decision and responding to proble	0	0	0	5	2	7	30	4,286
X2.5	An unrealistic expectation of the owner	0	3	4	0	0	7	18	2,571
X2.6	Acceleration of construction work	0	0	3	3	1	7	26	3,714
X2.7	An unclear mechanism in providing information reques	_ 2	2	3	0	0	7	15	2,143
X2.8	Late handover	0	0	2	2	3	7	29	4,143
	Errors in budget estimation	0	0	3	3	1	7	26	3,714
X2.10	Delay in running bill payment by the owner	0	0	1	4	2	7	29	4,143
	W /		$\overline{}$				Averag	ge Meai	3,700
X3	Consultant Factor								
	Incompetent consultant	0	1	3	3	0	7	23	3,286
<b>—</b>	Errors and omissions in design and specifications	0	0	0	5	2	7	30	4,286
	Incomplete design and specifications	0	0	0	3	4	7	32	4,571
-	Defective design	0	0	0	4	3	7	31	4,429
X3.5	Errors in the calculation of work progress	0	2	4	1	0	7	20	2,857
					//_		Averag	ge Meai	3,886
X4	Contractor Factor	٥	٥	2	4	٥		25	2.571
<b>—</b>	Incompetent contractor	0	0	3	4	0	7	25	3,571
	Poor system of management, supervision, and coordin	0	0	4	3 2	3	7 7	30	4,286
<b>—</b>	Lack of understanding in the contract document	0	0	0	3	1 4	7	25 32	3,571
	Mismanagement of scheduling	0	0	0	5	2	7	30	4,571 4,286
		0			3	-	7		4,000
	Delays in work progress  Errors in material use, labour, and work methods	0	0	2 4	2	0	7	28 22	3,143
	Defects in construction work	0	0	3	2	2	7	27	3,857
-		0	0	3	3	1	7	26	3,714
	The quality is not fulfilled Errors in costs estimation	0	0	1	3	3	7	30	4,286
Λ4.10	Extors in costs estimation	U	U	1	٦			ge Meai	3,929
X5	Human Resources Factor						Averag	3C IVICAI	3,727
	Employee's placement is not according to the expertise	0	0	0	5	2	7	30	4,286
	Number of employees are not in accordance with need	_	0	4	3	0	7	24	
	Unavailable skilled labour	0	0	0	4	3	7		4,429
	Lack of communication between team members	0	0	4	2	1	7	25	3,571
	Low productivity	0	0	0	2	5	7		4,714
110.0	20 producting	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	J.				ge Meai	4,086
X6	Project Condition Factor							,	.,000
	High level of project uncertainty	0	0	1	4	2	7	29	4,143
	Equipment and material delay	0	0	0	5	2	7	30	
	Complex construction work	0	0	3	4	0	7	25	3,571
	Ineffective equipment's idle time	0	0	5	2	0	7		3,286
	Change of site condition	0	0	0	4	3	7		4,429
	-							ge Meai	3,943
							Δ	ttachm	ont 3 o

Attachment 3.e

			P	roject	6				
No	Description	STB	ТВ	KB	В	SB	n	Score	Mean
X1	Contract and Specification Factor	SIB	1.0	ILD		, SD			
	Project scope definition not clear	0	0	2	3	0	5	18	3,600
	Change order	0	0	0	4	1	5	21	4,200
	Incomplete contract document and specifications	0	0	1	3	1	5	20	
	Different interpretation in contract document and spec	0	0	0	1	4	5	24	
	The difference in the construction method	0	0	3	2	0	5		
								ge Meai	
X2	Owner Factor								
X2.1	Confusing requirements of the owner	0	0	1	2	2	5	21	4,200
	Poor system of management, supervision, and coordin	0	0	2	2	1	5	19	3,800
	Owner is reluctant to check the project's condition	0	0	0	3	2	5	22	4,400
	Delay in making the decision and responding to proble	0	0	0	1	4	5	24	
	An unrealistic expectation of the owner	0	0	3	2	0	5	17	3,400
	Acceleration of construction work	0	0	1	1	3	5	22	4,400
X2.7	An unclear mechanism in providing information reques	0	0	3	2	0	5	17	3,400
	Late handover	0	0	0	2	3	5	23	4,600
X2.9	Errors in budget estimation	0	0	3	2	0	5	17	3,400
	Delay in running bill payment by the owner	0	0	0	2	3	5		
				4				ge Meai	
Х3	Consultant Factor			Z					
X3.1	Incompetent consultant	0	1	2	2	0	5	16	3,200
X3.2	Errors and omissions in design and specifications	0	0	0	2	3	5	23	4,600
	Incomplete design and specifications	0	0	1	2	2	5	21	_
	Defective design	0	0	2	3	0	5	18	3,600
X3.5	Errors in the calculation of work progress	0	0	3	2	0	5	17	3,400
							Averag	ge Meai	3,800
X4	Contractor Factor								
X4.1	Incompetent contractor	0	0	0	3	2	5	22	4,400
X4.2	Poor system of management, supervision, and coordin	0	0	2	2	1	5	19	3,800
X4.3	Lack of understanding in the contract document	0	0	1	3	1	5	20	4,000
X4.4	Mismanagement of scheduling	0	0	0	4	1	5	21	4,200
X4.5	Work is not according to the time schedule	0	0	0	3	2	5	22	4,400
X4.6	Delays in work progress	0	0	2	3	0	5	18	3,600
X4.7	Errors in material use, labour, and work methods	0	0	3	2	0	5	17	3,400
X4.8	Defects in construction work	0	1	2	2	0	5	16	3,200
X4.9	The quality is not fulfilled	0	0	3	2	0	5	17	3,400
X4.10	Errors in costs estimation	0	0	0	2	3	5	23	4,600
							Averag	ge Meai	3,900
	Human Resources Factor								
	Employee's placement is not according to the expertise	0	0	0	3	2	5	22	4,400
X5.2	Number of employees are not in accordance with need	0	0	3	2	0	5	17	3,400
X5.3	Unavailable skilled labour	0	0	0	2	3	5		4,600
X5.4	Lack of communication between team members	0	0	2	3	0	5	18	3,600
X5.5	Low productivity	0	0	0	4	1	5	21	4,200
							Averag	ge Meai	4,040
X6	Project Condition Factor								
X6.1	High level of project uncertainty	0	0	3	2	0	5		
	Equipment and material delay	0	0	0	3	2	5		4,400
	Complex construction work	0	0	3	1	1	5		3,600
	Ineffective equipment's idle time	0	1	2	2	0	5		3,200
X6.5	Change of site condition	0	0	1	2	2	5	21	4,200
_								ge Mear	

Attachment 3.f

No	Description		P	roject '	7			Score	Maan
NO	Description	STB	TB	KB	В	SB	n	Score	Mean
X1	Contract and Specification Factor								
	Project scope definition not clear	0	0	3	1	0	4	13	-,
	Change order	0	0	1	1	2	4	17	4,250
	Incomplete contract document and specifications	0	0	0	3	1	4	17	,
X1.4	Different interpretation in contract document and spec	0	0	0	2	2	4	18	4,500
X1.5	The difference in the construction method	0	1	3	0	0	4	11	2,750
							Averag	ge Meai	3,800
	Owner Factor			. 1		. 1			
	Confusing requirements of the owner	0	0	1	2	1	4	16	
	Poor system of management, supervision, and coordin	0	0	2	2	0	4	14	- ,
	Owner is reluctant to check the project's condition	0	0	3	1	0	4	13	
	Delay in making the decision and responding to proble		0	0	2	2	4	18	
	An unrealistic expectation of the owner	0	0	2	1	1	4	15	- ,
	Acceleration of construction work	0	2	2	0	0	4	10	
	An unclear mechanism in providing information reques	1	1	2	0	0	4	9	
_	Late handover	0	0	0	3	1	4	17	4,250
	Errors in budget estimation	0	0	0	1	3	4	19	
X2.10	Delay in running bill payment by the owner	0	0	0	1		4	19	,
X3	Consultant Factor		$\overline{}$	Y			Averag	ge Meai	3,750
	Incompetent consultant	0	0	2	1	1	4	15	3,750
	Errors and omissions in design and specifications	0	0	0	2	2	4	18	
	Incomplete design and specifications	0	0	0	1	3	4	19	,
-	Defective design	0	0	1	1	2	4	17	
	Errors in the calculation of work progress	0	1	3	0	0	4	11	2,750
713.3	Lators in the ediculation of work progress	0	1	3			•	ge Meai	
X4	Contractor Factor						riverag	,c mean	1,000
	Incompetent contractor	0	0	2	1	1	4	15	3,750
-	Poor system of management, supervision, and coordin		0	2	2	0	4	14	
	Lack of understanding in the contract document	0	0	1	2	1	4	16	
	Mismanagement of scheduling	0	0	0	2	2	4	18	
	Work is not according to the time schedule	0	0	0	3	1	4	17	
	Delays in work progress	0	0	0	3	1	4	17	
	Errors in material use, labour, and work methods	0	0	2	1	1	4	15	
X4.8	Defects in construction work	0	0	2	2	0	4	14	3,500
X4.9	The quality is not fulfilled	0	1	1	2	0	4	13	
	Errors in costs estimation	0	0	1	1	2	4	17	4,250
							Averag	ge Mear	3,900
X5	Human Resources Factor								
X5.1	Employee's placement is not according to the expertise	0	0	1	2	1	4	16	4,000
X5.2	Number of employees are not in accordance with need	0	0	0	2	2	4	18	4,500
X5.3	Unavailable skilled labour	0	1	1	2	0	4	13	3,250
X5.4	Lack of communication between team members	0	0	2	2	0	4	14	3,500
X5.5	Low productivity	0	0	0	3	1	4	17	4,250
							Averag	ge Meai	3,900
X6	Project Condition Factor								
	High level of project uncertainty	0	0	0	2	2	4		4,500
	Equipment and material delay	0	0	0	3	1	4		4,250
X6.3	Complex construction work	0	0	1	2	1	4	16	4,000
	Ineffective equipment's idle time	0	2	2	0	0	4		2,500
X6.5	Change of site condition	0	0	1	3	0	4		3,750
									3,800
							Λ	ttachm	ant 3 a

Attachment 3.g

No	Description		Total	Mean
X1	Contract and Specification Factor	n	Score	
	Contract and Specification Factor Project scope definition not clear	51	198	3,882
	Change order	51	215	4,216
	Incomplete contract document and specifications	51	188	3,686
	Different interpretation in contract document and specifications	51	_	4,510
	The difference in the construction method	51	135	2,647
X1.3	The difference in the construction method		ge Meai	3,788
X2	Owner Factor	Aveia	ge ivicai	3,700
	Confusing requirements of the owner	51	199	3,902
	Poor system of management, supervision, and coordination	51	201	3,941
	Owner is reluctant to check the project's condition	51	203	3,980
	Delay in making the decision and responding to problems	51	232	4,549
	An unrealistic expectation of the owner	51	188	3,686
	Acceleration of construction work	51	200	3,922
-	An unclear mechanism in providing information request	51	148	2,902
	Late handover	51	205	4,020
	Errors in budget estimation	51	209	4,098
	Delay in running bill payment by the owner	51	223	4,373
		Avera	ge Meai	3,937
X3	Consultant Factor		<u> </u>	- ,
X3.1	Incompetent consultant	51	177	3,471
	Errors and omissions in design and specifications	51	226	4,431
	Incomplete design and specifications	51	218	4,275
	Defective design	51	210	4,118
X3.5	Errors in the calculation of work progress	51	142	2,784
		Avera	ge Meai	3,816
X4	Contractor Factor			
X4.1	Incompetent contractor	51	205	4,020
X4.2	Poor system of management, supervision, and coordination	51	212	4,157
X4.3	Lack of understanding in the contract document	51	180	3,529
	Mismanagement of scheduling	51	222	4,353
X4.5	Work is not according to the time schedule	51	227	4,451
	Delays in work progress	51	217	4,255
X4.7	Errors in material use, labour, and work methods	51	167	3,275
X4.8	Defects in construction work	51	191	3,745
X4.9	The quality is not fulfilled	51	184	3,608
			210	4,118
X4.10	Errors in costs estimation	51		
	Errors in costs estimation		ge Meai	3,951
X5	Errors in costs estimation  Human Resources Factor	Avera	ge Meai	·
X5 X5.1	Errors in costs estimation  Human Resources Factor  Employee's placement is not according to the expertise	Avera	ge Mear 219	4,294
X5 X5.1 X5.2	Errors in costs estimation  Human Resources Factor  Employee's placement is not according to the expertise  Number of employees are not in accordance with needs	51 51	219 172	4,294 3,373
X5 X5.1 X5.2 X5.3	Errors in costs estimation  Human Resources Factor  Employee's placement is not according to the expertise  Number of employees are not in accordance with needs  Unavailable skilled labour	51 51 51	219 172 217	4,294 3,373 4,255
X5 X5.1 X5.2 X5.3 X5.4	Errors in costs estimation  Human Resources Factor  Employee's placement is not according to the expertise  Number of employees are not in accordance with needs  Unavailable skilled labour  Lack of communication between team members	51 51 51 51	219 172 217 186	4,294 3,373 4,255 3,647
X5 X5.1 X5.2 X5.3 X5.4	Errors in costs estimation  Human Resources Factor  Employee's placement is not according to the expertise  Number of employees are not in accordance with needs  Unavailable skilled labour	51 51 51 51 51	219 172 217 186 230	4,294 3,373 4,255 3,647 4,510
X5 X5.1 X5.2 X5.3 X5.4 X5.5	Errors in costs estimation  Human Resources Factor  Employee's placement is not according to the expertise  Number of employees are not in accordance with needs  Unavailable skilled labour  Lack of communication between team members  Low productivity	51 51 51 51 51	219 172 217 186	4,294 3,373 4,255 3,647 4,510
X5 X5.1 X5.2 X5.3 X5.4 X5.5	Errors in costs estimation  Human Resources Factor  Employee's placement is not according to the expertise  Number of employees are not in accordance with needs  Unavailable skilled labour  Lack of communication between team members  Low productivity  Project Condition Factor	51 51 51 51 51 Avera	219 172 217 186 230 ge Mear	4,294 3,373 4,255 3,647 4,510 4,016
X5 X5.1 X5.2 X5.3 X5.4 X5.5	Errors in costs estimation  Human Resources Factor  Employee's placement is not according to the expertise  Number of employees are not in accordance with needs  Unavailable skilled labour  Lack of communication between team members  Low productivity  Project Condition Factor  High level of project uncertainty	51 51 51 51 51 Avera	219 172 217 186 230 ge Mean	4,294 3,373 4,255 3,647 4,510 4,016
X5 X5.1 X5.2 X5.3 X5.4 X5.5 X6 X6.1 X6.2	Errors in costs estimation  Human Resources Factor  Employee's placement is not according to the expertise  Number of employees are not in accordance with needs  Unavailable skilled labour  Lack of communication between team members  Low productivity  Project Condition Factor  High level of project uncertainty  Equipment and material delay	Avera 51 51 51 51 Avera 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51	219 172 217 186 230 ge Mean 193 218	4,294 3,373 4,255 3,647 4,510 4,016 3,784 4,275
X5 X5.1 X5.2 X5.3 X5.4 X5.5 X6 X6.1 X6.2 X6.3	Errors in costs estimation  Human Resources Factor  Employee's placement is not according to the expertise  Number of employees are not in accordance with needs  Unavailable skilled labour  Lack of communication between team members  Low productivity  Project Condition Factor  High level of project uncertainty  Equipment and material delay  Complex construction work	Avera 51 51 51 51 Avera 51 51 51 51 51 51 51	219 172 217 186 230 ge Mean 193 218 185	4,294 3,373 4,255 3,647 4,510 4,016 3,784 4,275 3,627
X5 X5.1 X5.2 X5.3 X5.4 X5.5 X6 X6.1 X6.2 X6.3 X6.4	Errors in costs estimation  Human Resources Factor  Employee's placement is not according to the expertise  Number of employees are not in accordance with needs  Unavailable skilled labour  Lack of communication between team members  Low productivity  Project Condition Factor  High level of project uncertainty  Equipment and material delay  Complex construction work  Ineffective equipment's idle time	Avera 51 51 51 51 Avera 51 51 51 51 51 51 51	219 172 217 186 230 ge Mean 193 218 185 172	4,294 3,373 4,255 3,647 4,510 4,016 3,784 4,275 3,627 3,373
X5 X5.1 X5.2 X5.3 X5.4 X5.5 X6 X6.1 X6.2 X6.3 X6.4	Errors in costs estimation  Human Resources Factor  Employee's placement is not according to the expertise  Number of employees are not in accordance with needs  Unavailable skilled labour  Lack of communication between team members  Low productivity  Project Condition Factor  High level of project uncertainty  Equipment and material delay  Complex construction work	51 51 51 51 Avera 51 51 51 51	219 172 217 186 230 ge Mean 193 218 185 172	4,294 3,373 4,255 3,647 4,510 4,016 3,784 4,275 3,627 3,373 3,863

Attachment 3.h

			P	roject	1				
No	Description	TP	JR	KD	SR	SL	n	Score	Mean
X1	Contract and Specification Factor	11	JIX	ΚD	ы	SL			
	Project scope definition not clear	0	0	4	3	2	9	34	3,778
	Change order	0	0	0	5	4	9	40	4,444
	Incomplete contract document and specifications	0	3	5	1	0	9	25	2,778
	Different interpretation in contract document and spec	0	0	0	6	3	9	39	4,333
	The difference in the construction method	0	3	4	2	0	9	26	2,889
111.0		<u>~</u>		· · · · ·			Averag		3,644
X2	Owner Factor							,	-,
	Confusing requirements of the owner	0	0	2	4	3	9	37	4,111
	Poor system of management, supervision, and coordin	0	2	6	1	0	9	26	2,889
	Owner is reluctant to check the project's condition	0	3	4	2	0	9	26	2,889
	Delay in making the decision and responding to proble	0	0	0	5	4	9	40	4,444
	An unrealistic expectation of the owner	0	0	5	3	1	9	32	3,556
	Acceleration of construction work	0	0	1	3	5	9	40	4,444
$\vdash$	An unclear mechanism in providing information reques	2	4	3	0	0	9	19	2,111
	Late handover	0	4	5	0	0	9	23	2,556
-	Errors in budget estimation	0	5	3	1	0	9	23	2,556
	Delay in running bill payment by the owner	0	0	5	4	0	9	31	3,444
	W/			4			Averag	e Mear	3,300
X3	Consultant Factor	/		Z				,	-,
	Incompetent consultant	0	4	3	2	0	9	25	2,778
	Errors and omissions in design and specifications	0	0	6	3	0	9	30	3,333
	Incomplete design and specifications	0	0	2	5	2	9	36	4,000
	Defective design	0	2	5	2	0	9	27	3,000
	Errors in the calculation of work progress	0	0	3	4	2	9	35	3,889
							Averag		3,400
X4	Contractor Factor							,	
X4.1	Incompetent contractor	5	4	0	0	0	9	13	1,444
X4.2	Poor system of management, supervision, and coordin	4	5	0	0	0	9	14	1,556
X4.3	Lack of understanding in the contract document	2	4	3	0	0	9	19	2,111
X4.4	Mismanagement of scheduling	0	0	1	5	3	9	38	4,222
X4.5	Work is not according to the time schedule	0	0	2	4	3	9	37	4,111
X4.6	Delays in work progress	0	0	2	5	2	9	36	4,000
X4.7	Errors in material use, labour, and work methods	0	3	4	2	0	9	26	2,889
X4.8	Defects in construction work	0	5	4	0	0	9	22	2,444
X4.9	The quality is not fulfilled	0	3	4	2	0	9	26	2,889
	Errors in costs estimation	2	5	2	0	0	9	18	2,000
					•		Averag	ge Mear	2,767
X5	Human Resources Factor								
	Employee's placement is not according to the expertise	0	0	0	4	5	9	41	4,556
X5.2	Number of employees are not in accordance with need	0	1	4	4	0	9	30	3,333
	Unavailable skilled labour	0	0	2	6	1	9	35	3,889
X5.4	Lack of communication between team members	2	4	3	0	0	9	19	2,111
	Low productivity	0	0	2	3	4	9	38	4,222
							Averag		3,622
X6	Project Condition Factor								
	High level of project uncertainty	0	4	5	0	0	9	23	2,556
	Equipment and material delay	0	0	0	5	4	9	40	
X6.3	Complex construction work	0	2	4	3	0	9	28	3,111
	Ineffective equipment's idle time	3	5	1	0	0	9	16	1,778
	Change of site condition	4	2	3	0	0	9	17	1,889
X6.5	Change of site condition					U		1/	1,000

Attachment 4.a

			p	roject	2				
No	Description	TP	JR	KD	SR	SL	n	Score	Mean
X1	Contract and Specification Factor	1	310	KD	DIC	DL			
$\overline{}$	Project scope definition not clear	0	0	4	4	2	10	38	3,800
	Change order	0	0	2	5	3	10	41	4,100
	Incomplete contract document and specifications	0	4	4	2	0	10	28	2,800
	Different interpretation in contract document and spec	0	0	0	7	3	10	43	4,300
	The difference in the construction method	0	0	3	5	2	10	39	3,900
							Averag		3,780
X2	Owner Factor							,	- ,
X2.1	Confusing requirements of the owner	0	0	0	4	6	10	46	4,600
	Poor system of management, supervision, and coordin	0	3	4	3	0	10	30	3,000
X2.3	Owner is reluctant to check the project's condition	0	5	4	1	0	10	26	2,600
	Delay in making the decision and responding to proble	0	0	2	3	5	10	43	4,300
	An unrealistic expectation of the owner	0	0	0	6	4	10	44	4,400
X2.6	Acceleration of construction work	0	0	0	7	3	10	43	4,300
X2.7	An unclear mechanism in providing information reques	0	4	4	2	0	10	28	2,800
	Late handover	0	4	6	0	0	10	26	2,600
X2.9	Errors in budget estimation	0	-2	3	5	0	10	33	3,300
	Delay in running bill payment by the owner	0	0	5	3	2	10	37	3,700
				4			Averag	ge Mear	3,560
Х3	Consultant Factor	7	/	Z					·
X3.1	Incompetent consultant	0	0	5	4	1	10	36	3,600
	Errors and omissions in design and specifications	0	0	2	5	3	10	41	4,100
X3.3	Incomplete design and specifications	0	0	0	4	6	10	46	4,600
X3.4	Defective design	0	0	4	4	2	10	38	3,800
X3.5	Errors in the calculation of work progress	3	4	3	0	0	10	20	2,000
							Averag	ge Mear	3,620
X4	Contractor Factor								
X4.1	Incompetent contractor	0	0	4	3	3	10	39	3,900
X4.2	Poor system of management, supervision, and coordin	3	5	2	0	0	10	19	1,900
X4.3	Lack of understanding in the contract document	2	6	2	0	0	10	20	2,000
X4.4	Mismanagement of scheduling	0	0	0	6	4	10	44	4,400
X4.5	Work is not according to the time schedule	0	0	3	2	5	10	42	4,200
X4.6	Delays in work progress	0	0	3	4	3	10	40	4,000
X4.7	Errors in material use, labour, and work methods	1	4	5	0	0	10	24	2,400
X4.8	Defects in construction work	0	0	2	6	2	10	40	4,000
X4.9	The quality is not fulfilled	0	0	4	4	2	10	38	3,800
X4.10	Errors in costs estimation	2	5	3	0	0	10	21	2,100
							Averag	ge Meai	3,270
	Human Resources Factor	•							
	Employee's placement is not according to the expertise	0	0	2	5	3	10	41	4,100
X5.2	Number of employees are not in accordance with need	1	3	6	0	0	10	25	2,500
X5.3	Unavailable skilled labour	0	0	1	6	3	10	42	4,200
X5.4	Lack of communication between team members	2	4	4	0	0	10	22	2,200
X5.5	Low productivity	0	0	2	4	4	10	42	4,200
							Averag	ge Meai	3,440
	Project Condition Factor								
	High level of project uncertainty	4	3	3	0	0	10	19	1,900
	Equipment and material delay	0	0	2	5	3	10	41	4,100
	Complex construction work	0	0	6	3	1	10	35	
	Ineffective equipment's idle time	2	4	4	0	0	10	22	2,200
X6.5	Change of site condition	0	0	0	4	6	10	46	4,600
1							Averag	ge Mear	3,260

Attachment 4.b

	-		P	roject	3				
No	Description	TP	JR	KD	SR	SL	n	Score	Mean
X1	Contract and Specification Factor	1	310	TED	DI.	S.E.			
	Project scope definition not clear	0	0	4	5	0	9	32	3,556
	Change order	0	0	5	3	1	9	32	3,556
	Incomplete contract document and specifications	0	0	1	5	3	9	38	4,222
	Different interpretation in contract document and spec	0	0	2	3	4	9	38	4,222
X1.5	The difference in the construction method	1	4	4	0	0	9	21	2,333
							Averag	e Meai	3,578
X2	Owner Factor								
X2.1	Confusing requirements of the owner	0	0	4	4	1	9	33	3,667
	Poor system of management, supervision, and coordin	3	6	0	0	0	9	15	1,667
X2.3	Owner is reluctant to check the project's condition	0	4	5	0	0	9	23	2,556
X2.4	Delay in making the decision and responding to proble	0	0	2	5	2	9	36	4,000
X2.5	An unrealistic expectation of the owner	0	0	2	6	1	9	35	3,889
X2.6	Acceleration of construction work	0	0	3	5	1	9	34	3,778
X2.7	An unclear mechanism in providing information reques	2	4	3	0	0	9	19	2,111
X2.8	Late handover	0	5	4	0	0	9	22	2,444
X2.9	Errors in budget estimation	0	3	5	1	0	9	25	2,778
	Delay in running bill payment by the owner	0	0	2	4	3	9	37	4,111
				1		•	Averag	ge Mear	3,100
X3	Consultant Factor		/	Y					
X3.1	Incompetent consultant	1	3	5	0	0	9	22	2,444
X3.2	Errors and omissions in design and specifications	0	0	3	4	2	9	35	3,889
X3.3	Incomplete design and specifications	0	0	4	5	0	9	32	3,556
X3.4	Defective design	3	5	1	0	0	9	16	1,778
X3.5	Errors in the calculation of work progress	0	4	5	0	0	9	23	2,556
							Averag	ge Mear	2,844
X4	Contractor Factor								
X4.1	Incompetent contractor	3	4	2	0	0	9	17	1,889
X4.2	Poor system of management, supervision, and coordin	2	6	1	0	0	9	17	1,889
X4.3	Lack of understanding in the contract document	0	0	4	3	2	9	34	3,778
X4.4	Mismanagement of scheduling	0	0	2	4	3	9	37	4,111
X4.5	Work is not according to the time schedule	0	0	0	5	4	9	40	4,444
X4.6	Delays in work progress	0	0	3	4	2	9	35	3,889
X4.7	Errors in material use, labour, and work methods	0	0	2	5	2	9	36	4,000
	Defects in construction work	0	3	4	2	0	9	26	2,889
X4.9	The quality is not fulfilled	0	0	6	2	1	9	31	3,444
X4.10	Errors in costs estimation	3	4	2	0	0	9	17	1,889
							Averag	ge Mear	3,222
	Human Resources Factor								
	Employee's placement is not according to the expertise	0	0	0	4	5	9	41	4,556
	Number of employees are not in accordance with need	0	0	4	5	0	9	32	3,556
	Unavailable skilled labour	0	0	2	4	3	9	37	4,111
X5.4	Lack of communication between team members	1	4	4	0	0	9	21	2,333
X5.5	Low productivity	0	0	2	3	4	9	38	4,222
							Averag	ge Mear	3,756
	Project Condition Factor	-			-		-		
	High level of project uncertainty	3	6	0	0	0	9	15	1,667
	Equipment and material delay	0	0	5	4	0	9	31	3,444
	Complex construction work	2	3	4	0	0	9	20	2,222
	Ineffective equipment's idle time	0	0	4	4	1	9	33	3,667
X6.5	Change of site condition	0	0	5	3	1	9	32	3,556
							Averag	ge Meai	2,911

Attachment 4.c

			P	roject	4				
No	Description	TP	JR	KD	SR	SL	n	Score	Mean
X1	Contract and Specification Factor								
	Project scope definition not clear	2	4	1	0	0	7	13	1,857
	Change order	0	0	2	3	2	7	28	4,000
	Incomplete contract document and specifications	0	0	1	4	2	7	29	4,143
	Different interpretation in contract document and spec	0	0	0	3	4	7	32	4,571
	The difference in the construction method	0	2	5	0	0	7	19	2,714
		•			•		Averag	ge Meai	3,457
X2	Owner Factor								
X2.1	Confusing requirements of the owner	0	0	1	3	3	7	30	4,286
X2.2	Poor system of management, supervision, and coordin	1	2	4	0	0	7	17	2,429
	Owner is reluctant to check the project's condition	0	1	3	3	0	7	23	3,286
X2.4	Delay in making the decision and responding to proble	0	0	0	4	3	7	31	4,429
X2.5	An unrealistic expectation of the owner	0	0	3	3	1	7	26	3,714
X2.6	Acceleration of construction work	0	0	1	4	2	7	29	4,143
X2.7	An unclear mechanism in providing information reques	2	3	2	0	0	7	14	2,000
X2.8	Late handover	0	0	1	3	3	7	30	4,286
X2.9	Errors in budget estimation	0	0	0	3	4	7	32	4,571
X2.10	Delay in running bill payment by the owner	0	0	2	3	2	7	28	4,000
				1			Averag	ge Meai	3,714
X3	Consultant Factor			\ Z					
X3.1	Incompetent consultant	2	4	$\setminus$ 1	0	0	7	13	1,857
X3.2	Errors and omissions in design and specifications	0	0	1	4	2	7	29	4,143
X3.3	Incomplete design and specifications	2	4	1	0	0	7	13	1,857
X3.4	Defective design	2	5	0	0	0	7	12	1,714
X3.5	Errors in the calculation of work progress	0	2	4	1	0	7	20	2,857
					//_		Averag	ge Mear	2,486
X4	Contractor Factor								
	Incompetent contractor	0	3	4	0	0	7	18	2,571
	Poor system of management, supervision, and coordin	2	3	2	0	0	7	14	,
	Lack of understanding in the contract document	0	0	4	2	1	7	25	
	Mismanagement of scheduling	0	0	0	2	5	7	33	,
	Work is not according to the time schedule	0	0	0	4	3	7	31	
	Delays in work progress	0	0	3	2	2	7	27	3,857
	Errors in material use, labour, and work methods	0	0	2	3	2	7	28	
	Defects in construction work	0	0	4	2	1	7	25	
	The quality is not fulfilled	0	0	3	2	2	7	27	3,857
X4.10	Errors in costs estimation	0	0	1	3	3	7	30	,
							Averag	ge Meai	3,686
	Human Resources Factor		_		.1				
	Employee's placement is not according to the expertise	0	0	0	4	3	7	31	
	Number of employees are not in accordance with need	0	0	5	2	0	7	23	
	Unavailable skilled labour	0	0	2	3	2	7	28	
	Lack of communication between team members	1	4	2	0	0	7	15	
X5.5	Low productivity	0	0	1	4	2	7	29	, -
***	D. L. C. Litt. E. L.						Averag	ge Meai	3,600
	Project Condition Factor							20	4.00
	High level of project uncertainty	0	0	0	5	2	7	30	
	Equipment and material delay	0	0	3	3	1	7	26	
	Complex construction work	0	3	4	0	0	7		2,571
	Ineffective equipment's idle time	2	4	1	0	0	7		1,857
X6.5	Change of site condition	3	4	0	0	0	7 <b>A</b> v.o.		1,571 2,800
	·						Averag	e ivieai	. ∠.ŏUU

Attachment 4.d

			P	roject :	5				
No	Description	TP	JR	KD	SR	SL	n	Score	Mean
X1	Contract and Specification Factor		310	KD	SIC	DL			
$\overline{}$	Project scope definition not clear	0	0	4	3	0	7	24	3,429
	Change order	0	0	0	5	2	7	30	4,286
-	Incomplete contract document and specifications	0	0	3	2	2	7	27	3,857
	Different interpretation in contract document and spec	0	0	0	3	4	7	32	4,571
	The difference in the construction method	1	4	2	0	0	7	15	2,143
		•			•	•	Averag	ge Mear	3,657
X2	Owner Factor								
X2.1	Confusing requirements of the owner	0	0	3	2	2	7	27	3,857
X2.2	Poor system of management, supervision, and coordin	0	0	1	3	3	7	30	4,286
X2.3	Owner is reluctant to check the project's condition	0	0	4	3	0	7	24	3,429
X2.4	Delay in making the decision and responding to proble	0	0	1	4	2	7	29	4,143
X2.5	An unrealistic expectation of the owner	0	3	4	0	0	7	18	2,571
X2.6	Acceleration of construction work	0	0	3	3	1	7	26	3,714
X2.7	An unclear mechanism in providing information reques	2	3	2	0	0	7	14	2,000
X2.8	Late handover	0	0	3	4	0	7	25	3,571
X2.9	Errors in budget estimation	0	0	2	2	3	7	29	4,143
X2.10	Delay in running bill payment by the owner	0	0	0	5	2	7	30	4,286
				1			Averag	ge Mear	3,600
X3	Consultant Factor			X					
X3.1	Incompetent consultant	3	4	$\langle 0 \rangle$	0	0	7	11	1,571
X3.2	Errors and omissions in design and specifications	0	0	0	4	3	7	31	4,429
X3.3	Incomplete design and specifications	0	1	3	3	0	7	23	3,286
X3.4	Defective design	2	2	3	0	0	7	15	2,143
X3.5	Errors in the calculation of work progress	-0	4	3	0	0	7	17	2,429
							Averag	ge Mear	2,771
X4	Contractor Factor					-			
	Incompetent contractor	2	4	1	0	0	7	13	
	Poor system of management, supervision, and coordin	2	2	3	0	0	7	15	0 1 40
X43	I pale of understanding in the contract decument							_	2,143
11	Lack of understanding in the contract document	0	0	4	3	0	7	24	3,429
X4.4	Mismanagement of scheduling	0	0	0	5	0 2	7	24 30	3,429 4,286
X4.4			0	0	5 3	0 2 4	7 7	24	3,429 4,286 4,571
X4.4 X4.5 X4.6	Mismanagement of scheduling Work is not according to the time schedule Delays in work progress	0 0	0 0	0 0 2	5 3 3	0 2 4 2	7 7 7	24 30 32 28	3,429 4,286 4,571 4,000
X4.4 X4.5 X4.6 X4.7	Mismanagement of scheduling Work is not according to the time schedule Delays in work progress Errors in material use, labour, and work methods	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 3	0 0 2 3	5 3 3 0	0 2 4 2 0	7 7 7 7	24 30 32 28 16	3,429 4,286 4,571 4,000 2,286
X4.4 X4.5 X4.6 X4.7 X4.8	Mismanagement of scheduling Work is not according to the time schedule Delays in work progress Errors in material use, labour, and work methods Defects in construction work	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 3 2	0 0 2 3 5	5 3 3 0 0	0 2 4 2 0 0	7 7 7 7	24 30 32 28 16 19	3,429 4,286 4,571 4,000 2,286 2,714
X4.4 X4.5 X4.6 X4.7 X4.8 X4.9	Mismanagement of scheduling  Work is not according to the time schedule  Delays in work progress  Errors in material use, labour, and work methods  Defects in construction work  The quality is not fulfilled	0 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 3 2	0 0 2 3 5 4	5 3 3 0 0 2	0 2 4 2 0 0	7 7 7 7 7	24 30 32 28 16 19 25	3,429 4,286 4,571 4,000 2,286 2,714 3,571
X4.4 X4.5 X4.6 X4.7 X4.8 X4.9	Mismanagement of scheduling Work is not according to the time schedule Delays in work progress Errors in material use, labour, and work methods Defects in construction work	0 0 0 1	0 0 0 3 2	0 0 2 3 5	5 3 3 0 0	0 2 4 2 0 0 0	7 7 7 7 7 7	24 30 32 28 16 19 25 24	3,429 4,286 4,571 4,000 2,286 2,714 3,571 3,429
X4.4 X4.5 X4.6 X4.7 X4.8 X4.9	Mismanagement of scheduling  Work is not according to the time schedule  Delays in work progress  Errors in material use, labour, and work methods  Defects in construction work  The quality is not fulfilled  Errors in costs estimation	0 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 3 2	0 0 2 3 5 4	5 3 3 0 0 2	0 2 4 2 0 0 0	7 7 7 7 7 7	24 30 32 28 16 19 25	3,429 4,286 4,571 4,000 2,286 2,714 3,571
X4.4 X4.5 X4.6 X4.7 X4.8 X4.9 X4.10	Mismanagement of scheduling  Work is not according to the time schedule  Delays in work progress  Errors in material use, labour, and work methods  Defects in construction work  The quality is not fulfilled  Errors in costs estimation  Human Resources Factor	0 0 0 1 0 0	0 0 0 3 2 0 0	0 0 2 3 5 4 4	5 3 3 0 0 2 3	0 2 4 2 0 0 0 1	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 Averag	24 30 32 28 16 19 25 24 ge Mear	3,429 4,286 4,571 4,000 2,286 2,714 3,571 3,429 3,229
X4.4 X4.5 X4.6 X4.7 X4.8 X4.9 X4.10 X5 X5.1	Mismanagement of scheduling  Work is not according to the time schedule  Delays in work progress  Errors in material use, labour, and work methods  Defects in construction work  The quality is not fulfilled  Errors in costs estimation  Human Resources Factor  Employee's placement is not according to the expertise	0 0 0 1 0 0 0	0 0 0 3 2 0 0	0 0 2 3 5 4 4	5 3 3 0 0 2 3	0 2 4 2 0 0 1 0	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 Averag	24 30 32 28 16 19 25 24 ge Mear	3,429 4,286 4,571 4,000 2,286 2,714 3,571 3,429 3,229 4,143
X4.4 X4.5 X4.6 X4.7 X4.8 X4.9 X4.10 X5 X5.1	Mismanagement of scheduling  Work is not according to the time schedule  Delays in work progress  Errors in material use, labour, and work methods  Defects in construction work  The quality is not fulfilled  Errors in costs estimation  Human Resources Factor  Employee's placement is not according to the expertise  Number of employees are not in accordance with need	0 0 0 1 0 0 0	0 0 0 3 2 0 0	0 0 2 3 5 4 4	5 3 3 0 0 2 3	0 2 4 2 0 0 1 0	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 Averag	24 30 32 28 16 19 25 24 ge Meau	3,429 4,286 4,571 4,000 2,286 2,714 3,571 3,429 3,229 4,143 2,286
X4.4 X4.5 X4.6 X4.7 X4.8 X4.9 X4.10 X5 X5.1 X5.2 X5.3	Mismanagement of scheduling  Work is not according to the time schedule  Delays in work progress  Errors in material use, labour, and work methods  Defects in construction work  The quality is not fulfilled  Errors in costs estimation  Human Resources Factor  Employee's placement is not according to the expertise  Number of employees are not in accordance with need  Unavailable skilled labour	0 0 0 1 0 0 0	0 0 0 3 2 0 0	0 0 2 3 5 4 4 4	5 3 0 0 2 3 2 3	0 2 4 2 0 0 0 1 0	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 Averag	24 30 32 28 16 19 25 24 ge Meau 29 16 26	3,429 4,286 4,571 4,000 2,286 2,714 3,571 3,429 3,229 4,143 2,286 3,714
X4.4 X4.5 X4.6 X4.7 X4.8 X4.9 X4.10 X5 X5.1 X5.2 X5.3 X5.4	Mismanagement of scheduling Work is not according to the time schedule Delays in work progress Errors in material use, labour, and work methods Defects in construction work The quality is not fulfilled Errors in costs estimation  Human Resources Factor Employee's placement is not according to the expertise Number of employees are not in accordance with need Unavailable skilled labour Lack of communication between team members	0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 3 2 0 0 0	0 0 2 3 5 4 4 4 2 2 3 3 2	5 3 3 0 0 2 3 3	0 2 4 2 0 0 0 1 0 3 0 0	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 Averag	24 30 32 28 16 19 25 24 ge Mear 29 16 26	3,429 4,286 4,571 4,000 2,286 2,714 3,571 3,429 3,229 4,143 2,286 3,714 2,429
X4.4 X4.5 X4.6 X4.7 X4.8 X4.9 X4.10 X5 X5.1 X5.2 X5.3 X5.4	Mismanagement of scheduling  Work is not according to the time schedule  Delays in work progress  Errors in material use, labour, and work methods  Defects in construction work  The quality is not fulfilled  Errors in costs estimation  Human Resources Factor  Employee's placement is not according to the expertise  Number of employees are not in accordance with need  Unavailable skilled labour	0 0 0 1 0 0 0	0 0 0 3 2 0 0	0 0 2 3 5 4 4 4	5 3 0 0 2 3 2 3	0 2 4 2 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 Averag 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	24 30 32 28 16 19 25 24 ge Mear 29 16 26 17 32	3,429 4,286 4,571 4,000 2,286 2,714 3,571 3,429 3,229 4,143 2,286 3,714 2,429 4,571
X4.4 X4.5 X4.6 X4.7 X4.8 X4.9 X4.10 X5 X5.1 X5.2 X5.3 X5.4 X5.5	Mismanagement of scheduling Work is not according to the time schedule Delays in work progress Errors in material use, labour, and work methods Defects in construction work The quality is not fulfilled Errors in costs estimation  Human Resources Factor Employee's placement is not according to the expertise Number of employees are not in accordance with need Unavailable skilled labour Lack of communication between team members Low productivity	0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 3 2 0 0 0	0 0 2 3 5 4 4 4 2 2 3 3 2	5 3 3 0 0 2 3 3	0 2 4 2 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 Averag 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	24 30 32 28 16 19 25 24 ge Mear 29 16 26	3,429 4,286 4,571 4,000 2,286 2,714 3,571 3,429 3,229 4,143 2,286 3,714 2,429
X4.4 X4.5 X4.6 X4.7 X4.8 X4.9 X4.10 X5 X5.1 X5.2 X5.3 X5.4 X5.5	Mismanagement of scheduling Work is not according to the time schedule Delays in work progress Errors in material use, labour, and work methods Defects in construction work The quality is not fulfilled Errors in costs estimation  Human Resources Factor Employee's placement is not according to the expertise Number of employees are not in accordance with need Unavailable skilled labour Lack of communication between team members Low productivity  Project Condition Factor	0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0	0 0 3 2 0 0 0 3 3 0 4	0 0 2 3 5 4 4 4	5 3 0 0 2 3 2 0 5 0 0 3	0 2 4 2 0 0 1 0 1 0	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 Averag	24 30 32 28 16 19 25 24 ge Mean 29 16 26 17 32 ge Mean	3,429 4,286 4,571 4,000 2,286 2,714 3,571 3,429 3,229 4,143 2,286 3,714 2,429 4,571 3,429
X4.4 X4.5 X4.6 X4.7 X4.8 X4.9 X5.1 X5.2 X5.3 X5.4 X5.5 X6.1	Mismanagement of scheduling  Work is not according to the time schedule  Delays in work progress  Errors in material use, labour, and work methods  Defects in construction work  The quality is not fulfilled  Errors in costs estimation  Human Resources Factor  Employee's placement is not according to the expertise  Number of employees are not in accordance with need  Unavailable skilled labour  Lack of communication between team members  Low productivity  Project Condition Factor  High level of project uncertainty	0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 3 2 0 0 0	0 0 2 3 5 4 4 4 2 2 3 3 0	5 3 3 0 0 2 3 3 2 0 5 0 0 3	0 2 4 2 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 Averag 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	24 30 32 28 16 19 25 24 3e Mean 29 16 26 17 32 32 32 31	3,429 4,286 4,571 4,000 2,286 2,714 3,571 3,429 3,229 4,143 2,286 3,714 2,429 4,571 3,429
X4.4 X4.5 X4.6 X4.7 X4.8 X4.9 X5.1 X5.2 X5.1 X5.2 X5.3 X6 X6.1 X6.2	Mismanagement of scheduling Work is not according to the time schedule Delays in work progress Errors in material use, labour, and work methods Defects in construction work The quality is not fulfilled Errors in costs estimation  Human Resources Factor Employee's placement is not according to the expertise Number of employees are not in accordance with need Unavailable skilled labour Lack of communication between team members Low productivity  Project Condition Factor High level of project uncertainty Equipment and material delay	0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0	0 0 0 3 2 0 0 0 3 3 4 0 0	0 0 2 3 5 4 4 4 2 2 3 3 0	5 3 3 0 0 2 3 2 0 5 0 0 3	3 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 4	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 Averag 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	24 30 32 28 16 19 25 24 ge Mean 29 16 26 17 32 ge Mean 31 28	3,429 4,286 4,571 4,000 2,286 2,714 3,571 3,429 3,229 4,143 2,286 3,714 2,429 4,571 3,429 4,571 3,429
X4.4 X4.5 X4.6 X4.7 X4.8 X4.9 X5.1 X5.2 X5.1 X5.2 X5.3 X5.4 X5.5 X6.1 X6.2 X6.2 X6.3	Mismanagement of scheduling  Work is not according to the time schedule  Delays in work progress  Errors in material use, labour, and work methods  Defects in construction work  The quality is not fulfilled  Errors in costs estimation  Human Resources Factor  Employee's placement is not according to the expertise  Number of employees are not in accordance with need  Unavailable skilled labour  Lack of communication between team members  Low productivity  Project Condition Factor  High level of project uncertainty  Equipment and material delay  Complex construction work	0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0	0 0 0 3 2 0 0 0 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 2 3 5 4 4 4 2 2 3 3 0	5 3 0 0 2 3 2 0 5 0 3	3 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 4	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 Averag 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	24 30 32 28 16 19 25 24 32 Mean 29 16 26 17 32 32 Mean 31 28 16	3,429 4,286 4,571 4,000 2,286 2,714 3,571 3,429 3,229 4,143 2,286 3,714 2,429 4,571 3,429 4,000 2,286
X4.4 X4.5 X4.6 X4.7 X4.8 X4.9 X5.1 X5.2 X5.3 X5.4 X5.5 X6.1 X6.2 X6.3 X6.4	Mismanagement of scheduling Work is not according to the time schedule Delays in work progress Errors in material use, labour, and work methods Defects in construction work The quality is not fulfilled Errors in costs estimation  Human Resources Factor Employee's placement is not according to the expertise Number of employees are not in accordance with need Unavailable skilled labour Lack of communication between team members Low productivity  Project Condition Factor High level of project uncertainty Equipment and material delay Complex construction work Ineffective equipment's idle time	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 3 2 0 0 0 3 0 4 0 0	0 0 2 3 5 4 4 4 2 2 3 3 0	5 3 0 0 2 3 3 2 0 5 0 3 3	3 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 4	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 Averag 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	24 30 32 28 16 19 25 24 32 32 32 32 32 32 31 28 16 26 17	3,429 4,286 4,571 4,000 2,286 2,714 3,571 3,429 3,229 4,143 2,286 3,714 2,429 4,571 3,429 4,429 4,000 2,286 3,429
X4.4 X4.5 X4.6 X4.7 X4.8 X4.9 X5.1 X5.2 X5.3 X5.4 X5.5 X6.1 X6.2 X6.3 X6.4	Mismanagement of scheduling  Work is not according to the time schedule  Delays in work progress  Errors in material use, labour, and work methods  Defects in construction work  The quality is not fulfilled  Errors in costs estimation  Human Resources Factor  Employee's placement is not according to the expertise  Number of employees are not in accordance with need  Unavailable skilled labour  Lack of communication between team members  Low productivity  Project Condition Factor  High level of project uncertainty  Equipment and material delay  Complex construction work	0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0	0 0 0 3 2 0 0 0 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 2 3 5 4 4 4 2 2 3 3 0	5 3 0 0 2 3 2 0 5 0 3	3 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 4	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 Averag 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	24 30 32 28 16 19 25 24 32 32 32 32 32 32 31 28 16 26 17	3,429 4,286 4,571 4,000 2,286 2,714 3,571 3,429 4,143 2,286 3,714 2,429 4,571 3,429 4,000 2,286 3,429 2,571

Attachment 4.e

			P	roject	5				
No	Description	TP	JR	KD	SR	SL	n	Score	Mean
X1	Contract and Specification Factor		310	TLD	DIV.	DE			
_	Project scope definition not clear	0	2	3	0	0	5	13	2,600
X1.2	Change order	0	0	0	3	2	5	22	4,400
	Incomplete contract document and specifications	2	2	1	0	0	5	9	1,800
	Different interpretation in contract document and spec	0	0	0	4	1	5	21	4,200
X1.5	The difference in the construction method	0	3	2	0	0	5	12	2,400
11.5	The difference in the construction method	U <sub>I</sub>			U			ge Meai	3,080
X2	Owner Factor						riverag	50 IVICAI	3,000
	Confusing requirements of the owner	1	2	2	0	0	5	11	2,200
	Poor system of management, supervision, and coordin	0	3	2	0	0	5	12	2,400
	Owner is reluctant to check the project's condition	1	2	2	0	0	5	11	2,200
	Delay in making the decision and responding to proble	0	0	3	2	0	5	17	3,400
		0	0	2	2	1	5	19	3,800
	An unrealistic expectation of the owner	0			3	2	5		4,400
	Acceleration of construction work		2	0	0	0	5	22 7	
	An unclear mechanism in providing information reques	0	3	2			5	12	1,400
	Late handover		_		0	0			2,400
	Errors in budget estimation	2	2	1	0	0	5	9	1,800
X2.10	Delay in running bill payment by the owner	0	0	1	4	0	5		3,800
***			$\overline{}$				Averag	ge Meai	2,780
X3	Consultant Factor		2	6		٥			1.600
	Incompetent consultant	2	3	0	0	0	5	8	1,600
	Errors and omissions in design and specifications	0	0	2	2	1	5	19	3,800
	Incomplete design and specifications	0	0	3	2	0	5	17	3,400
	Defective design	1	3	1	0	0	5	10	2,000
X3.5	Errors in the calculation of work progress	0	2	3	0	0	5	13	2,600
					//_		Averag	ge Meai	2,680
X4	Contractor Factor								
	Incompetent contractor	0	2	3	0	0	5	13	2,600
	Poor system of management, supervision, and coordin	2	2	1	0	0	5	9	1,800
	Lack of understanding in the contract document	0	3	2	0	0	5	12	2,400
	Mismanagement of scheduling	0	2	3	0	0	5	13	2,600
X4.5	Work is not according to the time schedule	0	0	2	3	0	5	18	3,600
X4.6	Delays in work progress	0	0	1	2	2	5	21	4,200
X4.7	Errors in material use, labour, and work methods	1	2	2	0	0	5	11	2,200
X4.8	Defects in construction work	0	0	3	2	0	5	17	3,400
X4.9	The quality is not fulfilled	0	0	4	1	0	5	16	3,200
X4.10	Errors in costs estimation	0	3	2	0	0	5	12	2,400
							Averag	ge Meai	2,840
X5	Human Resources Factor								
X5.1	Employee's placement is not according to the expertise	0	0	1	4	0	5	19	3,800
X5.2	Number of employees are not in accordance with need	1	2	2	0	0	5	11	2,200
X5.3	Unavailable skilled labour	0	0	0	2	3	5	23	4,600
	Lack of communication between team members	0	2	3	0	0	5		2,600
	Low productivity	0	0	0	3	2	5	22	4,400
	•							ge Meai	3,520
X6	Project Condition Factor								
	High level of project uncertainty	2	3	0	0	0	5	8	1,600
	Equipment and material delay	0	3	2	0	0	5		2,400
	Complex construction work	1	4	0	0	0	5		
	Ineffective equipment's idle time	0	2	2	1	0	5		2,800
	Change of site condition	3	2	0	0	0	5	7	1,400
210.5	enange of the condition	٥		J	V			ge Meai	2,000
ь							_	ttachn	

Attachment 4.f

			P	roject '	7				
No	Description	TP	JR	KD	SR	SL	n	Score	Mean
X1	Contract and Specification Factor								<u>I</u>
X1.1	Project scope definition not clear	1	1	2	0	0	4	9	2,250
	Change order	0	1	3	0	0	4	11	2,750
	Incomplete contract document and specifications	0	0	1	2	1	4	16	
<b>—</b>	Different interpretation in contract document and spec	0	2	2	0	0	4	10	2,500
X1.5	The difference in the construction method	3	1	0	0	0	4	5	1,250
							Averag	ge Meai	2,550
X2	Owner Factor								
X2.1	Confusing requirements of the owner	0	2	2	0	0	4	10	2,500
X2.2	Poor system of management, supervision, and coordin	0	0	0	2	2	4	18	4,500
X2.3	Owner is reluctant to check the project's condition	0	0	0	1	3	4	19	4,750
X2.4	Delay in making the decision and responding to proble	0	0	1	1	2	4	17	4,250
X2.5	An unrealistic expectation of the owner	1	2	1	0	0	4	8	2,000
X2.6	Acceleration of construction work	0	2	2	0	0	4	10	2,500
X2.7	An unclear mechanism in providing information reques	1	3	0	0	0	4	7	1,750
X2.8	Late handover	0	0	0	1	3	4	19	4,750
X2.9	Errors in budget estimation	0	0	1	2	1	4	16	4,000
X2.10	Delay in running bill payment by the owner	0	0	0	2	2	4	18	4,500
				1			Averag	ge Meai	3,550
X3	Consultant Factor		/	\ Z					
X3.1	Incompetent consultant	1	1	2	0	0	4	9	2,250
X3.2	Errors and omissions in design and specifications	0	0	3	1	0	4	13	3,250
X3.3	Incomplete design and specifications	0	0	0	2	2	4	18	4,500
X3.4	Defective design	0	2	2	0	0	4	10	2,500
X3.5	Errors in the calculation of work progress	0	0	2	2	0	4	14	3,500
							Averag	ge Meai	3,200
X4	Contractor Factor								
X4.1	Incompetent contractor	1	3	0	0	0	4	7	1,750
X4.2	Poor system of management, supervision, and coordin	0	1	2	1	0	4	12	3,000
X4.3	Lack of understanding in the contract document	0	0	2	1	1	4	15	3,750
X4.4	Mismanagement of scheduling	0	1	3	0	0	4	11	2,750
X4.5	Work is not according to the time schedule	0	0	0	3	1	4	17	4,250
X4.6	Delays in work progress	0	0	1	2	1	4	16	4,000
X4.7	Errors in material use, labour, and work methods	0	2	2	0	0	4	10	2,500
X4.8	Defects in construction work	0	2	1	1	0	4	11	2,750
X4.9	The quality is not fulfilled	0	3	1	0	0	4	9	2,250
X4.10	Errors in costs estimation	0	0	1	2	1	4	16	4,000
							Averag	ge Meai	3,100
X5	Human Resources Factor								
	Employee's placement is not according to the expertise	0	0	3	1	0	4	13	3,250
	Number of employees are not in accordance with need	1	1	2	0	0	4	9	
	Unavailable skilled labour	0	0	1	1	2	4	17	4,250
	Lack of communication between team members	2	2	0	0	0	4	6	
X5.5	Low productivity	0	0	1	3	0	4	15	
		<u> </u>					Averag	ge Meai	
X6	Project Condition Factor								
X6.1	High level of project uncertainty	0	0	2	2	0	4	14	3,500
	Equipment and material delay	1	3	0	0	0	4	7	1,750
	Complex construction work	1	2	1	0	0	4	8	
	Ineffective equipment's idle time	0	2	2	0	0	4		2,500
	Change of site condition	1	1	2	0	0	4	9	
	_	·		- 1		- 1		ge Meai	2,400
							_	ttachm	

Attachment 4.g

		Total	Total	
No	Description	n	Score	Mean
X1	Contract and Specification Factor			
X1.1	Project scope definition not clear	51	163	3,196
X1.2	Change order	51	204	4,000
X1.3	Incomplete contract document and specifications	51	172	3,373
X1.4	Different interpretation in contract document and specifications	51	215	4,216
X1.5	The difference in the construction method	51		2,686
		Averaş	ge Mear	3,494
X2	Owner Factor			
X2.1	Confusing requirements of the owner	51	194	3,804
	Poor system of management, supervision, and coordination	51	148	
X2.3	Owner is reluctant to check the project's condition	51	152	
	Delay in making the decision and responding to problems	51	213	
	An unrealistic expectation of the owner	51	182	3,569
X2.6	Acceleration of construction work	51	204	4,000
X2.7	An unclear mechanism in providing information request	51	108	2,118
	Late handover	51	157	3,078
	Errors in budget estimation	51	167	3,275
X2.10	Delay in running bill payment by the owner	51	200	3,922
		Averag	ge Meai	3,382
X3	Consultant Factor			
-	Incompetent consultant	51		2,431
	Errors and omissions in design and specifications	51	198	
	Incomplete design and specifications	51	185	
	Defective design	51	128	
X3.5	Errors in the calculation of work progress	51	142	2,784
		Averaş	ge Meai	3,047
X4	Contractor Factor		120	2.272
	Incompetent contractor	51	120	
	Poor system of management, supervision, and coordination	51	100	1,961
	Lack of understanding in the contract document	51	149	2,922
	Mismanagement of scheduling	51	206	
	Work is not according to the time schedule	51	217	4,255
	Delays in work progress	51	203	3,980
	Errors in material use, labour, and work methods	51	151	2,961
-	Defects in construction work	51	160	3,137
	The quality is not fulfilled	51 51	172	- ,
X4.10	Errors in costs estimation		138 ge Meai	2,706 3,169
W.5	II	Averaş	ge Meai	3,109
	Human Resources Factor Employee's placement is not according to the expertise	51	215	4,216
	Number of employees are not in accordance with needs	51		
	Unavailable skilled labour	51		
	Lack of communication between team members	51		2,216
	Low productivity	51		
A3.3	LOW Productivity	_		4,235 3,522
		ATTORO		3,344
¥6	Project Condition Factor	Averag	ge ivicai	
X6	Project Condition Factor High level of project uncertainty		1	2 7/15
X6.1	High level of project uncertainty	51	140	2,745 3,627
X6.1 X6.2	High level of project uncertainty Equipment and material delay	51 51	140 185	3,627
X6.1 X6.2 X6.3	High level of project uncertainty Equipment and material delay Complex construction work	51 51 51	140 185 134	3,627 2,627
X6.1 X6.2 X6.3 X6.4	High level of project uncertainty  Equipment and material delay  Complex construction work  Ineffective equipment's idle time	51 51 51 51	140 185 134 132	3,627 2,627 2,588
X6.1 X6.2 X6.3 X6.4	High level of project uncertainty Equipment and material delay Complex construction work	51 51 51 51 51	140 185 134 132	3,627 2,627 2,588 2,745

Attachment 4.h

#### ANALYSIS OF PROJECT PERFORMANCE

No	Description		P	roject			Score	Moon	
NO	Description	STS	TS	N	S	SS	n	Score	Mean
Y	Project Performance								
Y1	Accurate cost estimation	0	4	4	1	0	9	24	2,667
Y2	Construction project is completed on time	0	0	7	2	0	9	29	3,222
Y3	Work results are in accordance with the quality	0	2	6	1	0	9	26	2,889
Y4	No rework during construction work	0	0	6	3	0	9	30	3,333
Y5	No accident happened during construction work	0	2	4	3	0	9	28	3,111
Y6	All parties are satisfied with the results of the work	0	0	7	2	0	9	29	3,222
		Average Mear							3,074

No	Description		P	roject	2			Score	Maan
NO	Description	STS	TS	N	S	SS	n	Score	Mean
Y	Project Performance								
Y1	Accurate cost estimation	0	0	5	3	2	10	37	3,700
Y2	Construction project is completed on time (A)	4	5	1	0	0	10	17	1,700
Y3	Work results are in accordance with the quality	0	4	4	2	0	10	28	2,800
Y4	No rework during construction work	3	5	2	0	0	10	19	1,900
Y5	No accident happened during construction work	2	4	4	0	0	10	22	2,200
Y6	All parties are satisfied with the results of the work	0	3	5	2	0	10	29	2,900
	2			Y			Averag	ge Mear	2,533

No	Description	STS	TS	roject N	3 S	SS	n	Score	Mean
Y	Project Performance								
Y1	Accurate cost estimation	0	0	6	3	0	9	30	3,333
Y2	Construction project is completed on time	0	4	5	0	0	9	23	2,556
Y3	Work results are in accordance with the quality	0	4	4	1	0	9	24	2,667
Y4	No rework during construction work	0	4	4	1	0	9	24	2,667
Y5	No accident happened during construction work	0	1	5	3	0	9	29	3,222
Y6	All parties are satisfied with the results of the work	0	0	5	4	0	9	31	3,444
							Averag	ge Mear	2,981

No	Description		Project				n	Score	Maan
NO	Description	STS	TS	N	S	SS	11	Score	Mean
Y	Project Performance								
Y1	Accurate cost estimation	1	4	2	0	0	7	15	2,143
Y2	Construction project is completed on time	2	4	1	0	0	7	13	1,857
Y3	Work results are in accordance with the quality	0	0	3	4	0	7	25	3,571
Y4	No rework during construction work	0	0	2	3	2	7	28	4,000
Y5	No accident happened during construction work	0	0	0	4	3	7	31	4,429
Y6	All parties are satisfied with the results of the work	1	3	3	0	0	7	16	2,286
							Averag	ge Meai	3,048

#### ANALYSIS OF PROJECT PERFORMANCE

No	Description		P	roject :	5			Saora	Mean
NO	Description	STS	TS	N	S	SS	n	Score	Mean
Y	Project Performance								
Y1	Accurate cost estimation	0	2	4	1	0	7	20	2,857
Y2	Construction project is completed on time	0	4	3	0	0	7	17	2,429
Y3	Work results are in accordance with the quality	0	0	5	2	0	7	23	3,286
Y4	No rework during construction work	0	3	4	1	0	8	22	2,750
Y5	No accident happened during construction work	0	0	3	3	1	7	26	3,714
Y6	All parties are satisfied with the results of the work	0	2	4	1	0	7	20	2,857
		Average Mear							

No	Description		F	roject	6			Score	Moon
NO	Description	STS	TS	N	S	SS	n	Score	Mean
Y	Project Performance								
Y1	Accurate cost estimation	0	2	2	1	0	5	14	2,800
Y2	Construction project is completed on time (A)	0	0	1	3	1	5	20	4,000
Y3	Work results are in accordance with the quality	0	0	2	2	1	5	19	3,800
Y4	No rework during construction work	0	0	2	3	0	5	18	3,600
Y5	No accident happened during construction work	0	0	0	3	2	5	22	4,400
Y6	All parties are satisfied with the results of the work	0	0		2	2	5	21	4,200
	8			\ X			Averag	ge Mear	3,800

No	Description	STS	TS	roject N	7 S	SS	n	Score	Mean
Y	Project Performance								
Y1	Accurate cost estimation	0	0	0	2	2	4	18	4,500
Y2	Construction project is completed on time	0	0	1	3	0	4	15	3,750
Y3	Work results are in accordance with the quality	0	0	2	1	1	4	15	3,750
Y4	No rework during construction work	0	0	1	3	0	4	15	3,750
Y5	No accident happened during construction work	0	1	3	0	0	4	11	2,750
Y6	All parties are satisfied with the results of the work	0	0	1	2	1	4	16	4,000
							Averag	ge Mear	3,750

No	Description	n	Score	Mean
Y	Project Performance			
Yl	Accurate cost estimation	51	158	3,098
Y2	Construction project is completed on time	51	134	2,627
Y3	Work results are in accordance with the quality	51	160	3,137
Y4	No rework during construction work	52	156	3,000
Y5	No accident happened during construction work	51	169	3,314
Y6	All parties are satisfied with the results of the work	51	162	3,176
		Averag	ge Meai	3,059