

BAB V

PENUTUP

5.1 Kesimpulan

Berdasarkan hasil penelitian yang telah dilakukan, dapat ditarik kesimpulan sebagai berikut:

1. Variabel kenyamanan dalam penelitian ini tidak terbukti berpengaruh secara signifikan terhadap persepsi nilai pelanggan Grabfood. Maka hipotesis pertama dari penelitian ini ditolak.
2. Variabel desain aplikasi dalam penelitian ini tidak terbukti berpengaruh secara signifikan terhadap persepsi nilai pelanggan Grabfood. Maka hipotesis kedua dari penelitian ini ditolak.
3. Variabel kepercayaan dalam penelitian ini terbukti berpengaruh secara signifikan terhadap persepsi nilai pelanggan Grabfood. Maka hipotesis ketiga dari penelitian ini diterima.
4. Variabel harga dalam penelitian ini terbukti berpengaruh secara signifikan terhadap persepsi nilai pelanggan Grabfood. Maka hipotesis keempat dari penelitian ini diterima.
5. Variabel variasi makanan dalam penelitian ini terbukti berpengaruh secara signifikan terhadap persepsi nilai pelanggan Grabfood. Maka hipotesis kelima dari penelitian ini diterima.

5.2 Implikasi Manajerial

Penelitian ini membahas mengenai variabel-variabel yang memiliki kontribusi untuk meningkatkan persepsi nilai pelanggan. Dengan meningkatkan persepsi nilai pelanggan dari Grabfood, layanan ini dapat bersaing dengan aplikasi-aplikasi serupa dalam pasar industri pesan antar makanan di Indonesia. Dari hasil penelitian yang dilakukan terdapat 3 variabel yang berpengaruh secara signifikan terhadap persepsi nilai pelanggan Grabfood. Variabel tersebut adalah harga, kepercayaan dan variasi makanan. Berdasarkan hasil penelitian, dapat dirumuskan beberapa saran antara lain sebagai berikut:

1. Harga yang telah ditetapkan oleh Grabfood terbukti memberikan pengaruh paling besar pada persepsi nilai dari pelanggan Grabfood. Maka aplikasi Grabfood perlu menjaga harga layanan agar tetap terjangkau untuk pelanggan. Selain itu Grabfood dalam menetapkan harga juga perlu untuk memperhatikan pesaingnya yaitu aplikasi pengiriman makanan yang lain agar tetap memberikan harga yang bersaing. Selain memberikan harga yang terjangkau, Grabfood juga dapat memberikan promo-promo per bulannya untuk dapat bersaing dengan kompetitornya.
2. Kepercayaan pelanggan pada Grabfood terbukti memberikan pengaruh pada persepsi nilai dari pelanggan Grabfood. Karena kepercayaan merupakan sebuah hal yang penting pada transaksi online. Maka aplikasi dari Grabfood perlu untuk meningkatkan kepercayaan pengguna terhadap aplikasi. Misalnya, dengan meningkatkan keamanan dalam menjaga data pribadi dari pengguna Grabfood, memperbarui secara berkala menu-menu makanan serta harga makanan yang disediakan agar tidak terjadi kesalahan, selain itu Grabfood perlu secara ketat dalam menyeleksi mitra usaha untuk mencegah terjadinya penipuan atau tindakan kriminal lainnya.
3. Variasi makanan yang telah disediakan Grabfood terbukti memberikan pengaruh pada persepsi nilai dari pelanggan Grabfood. Maka aplikasi dari Grabfood perlu terus menambah variasi makanan yang tersedia dalam aplikasinya, dari makanan yang murah hingga yang mahal. Karena banyaknya pelaku usaha yang enggan mendaftarkan usahanya di Grabfood karena lamanya prosedur. Maka Grabfood dapat memudahkan dan mempercepat sistem pendaftaran untuk menjadi mitra usaha agar pelaku usaha tidak enggan mendaftarkan usahanya pada Grabfood. Sehingga dapat menambah variasi dari makanan yang terdaftar.

5.3 Keterbatasan Penelitian dan Saran

Dalam proses penelitian ini, terdapat beberapa keterbatasan dalam pelaksanaan penelitian dan dapat masukan untuk diperbaiki pada penelitian mendatang agar

lebih sempurna lagi. Berikut adalah keterbatasan-keterbatasan yang terjadi pada penelitian ini:

1. Karena sulitnya dalam mencari responden yang sesuai dengan kriteria yaitu menggunakan aplikasi Grabfood dalam kurun waktu 1 bulan terakhir.
2. Terdapat 2 variabel yaitu harga dan variasi makanan yang tidak mampu menggambarkan realita dari objek penelitian. Dimana harga dan variasi makanan lebih bergantung kepada mitra usaha dari Grabfood.

Berikut merupakan saran untuk penelitian mendatang:

1. Penelitian ini hanya terbatas kepada pengguna Grabfood, untuk penelitian selanjutnya diharapkan membahas mengenai aplikasi pengiriman yang lain seperti Gofood, Pizza Domino, McDelivery, dan masih banyak lagi.
2. Pada penelitian selanjutnya, diharapkan untuk membahas mengenai variabel relevan seperti kepuasan pelanggan, atau niat beli ulang. Selain itu bisa juga menggunakan variabel lain yang mempengaruhi dari persepsi nilai pelanggan.

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Agmasari, S. (2018). *Industri Kuliner, Penopang Tertinggi Perekonomian Kreatif di Indonesia*. Kompas.
- <https://travel.kompas.com/read/2018/02/06/185000027/industri-kuliner-penopang-tertinggi-perekonomian-kreatif-di-indonesia>
- Agustini, N. K., & Sukawati, T. G. R. (2017). Peran Perceived Quality Memediasi Price Dengan Perceived Value. *E-Jurnal Manajemen Unud*, 6(6), 3022–3050.
- Ahmed, S., & Sarma, R. (2018). Brand Performance Evaluation : Customer Perceived Value Analysis. *SCMS Journal of Indian Management*, 52–62.
- Al Dmour, H., Alshurideh, M., & Shishan, F. (2014). The influence of mobile application quality and attributes on the continuance intention of mobile shopping. *Life Science Journal*, 11(10), 172–181.
- Al rasyid, H., & Tri Indah, A. (2015). Pengaruh Inovasi Produk dan Harga Terhadap Keputusan Pembelian Sepeda Motor Yamaha di Kota Tangerang Selatan. *Perspektif*, 16(1), 39–49. <https://doi.org/2550-1178>
- Aw, E. C. X., Basha, N. K., Ng, S. I., & Sambasivan, M. (2019). To grab or not to grab? The role of trust and perceived value in on-demand ridesharing services. *Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistics*, 31(5), 1442–1465. <https://doi.org/10.1108/APJML-09-2018-0368>
- Ayu, D. P., & Sulistyawati, E. (2018). Persepsi Nilai Pelanggan Memediasi Pengaruh Kepercayaan Merek Dan Kualitas Layanan Terhadap Loyalitas Pelanggan Berbelanja Online (Studi Kasus Pada Zalora Online Shop). *E-Jurnal Manajemen Universitas Udayana*, 7(5), 2353. <https://doi.org/10.24843/ejmunud.2018.v07.i05.p02>
- Azizul, J., Albattat, A., Ahmad Shahriman, I., & Irfan, K. F. (2019). The relationship between food delivery apps attributes towards customer perceived value among young working adults in Shah Alam. *International Journal of Scientific and Technology Research*, 8(11), 2478–2482.
- Berry, L. L., Seiders, K., & Grewal, D. (2002). Understanding service convenience. *Journal of Marketing*, 66(3), 1–17.

- <https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.66.3.1.18505>
- Budiantara, M., Gunawan, H., & Utami, E. S. (2019). Perceived Usefulness, Perceived Ease of Use, Trust in Online Store, Perceived Risk Sebagai Pemicu Niat Beli Online Pada Produk UMKM "Made in Indonesia" Melalui Penggunaan E-Commerce Marketplace. *Jurnal Riset Akuntansi Mercu Buana*, 5(1), 19. <https://doi.org/10.26486/jramb.v5i1.783>
- Cho, M., Bonn, M. A., & Li, J. (Justin). (2019). Differences in perceptions about food delivery apps between single-person and multi-person households. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 77(February), 108–116. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2018.06.019>
- Cho, N., & Park, S. (2001). Development of electronic commerce user-consumer satisfaction index. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 101(8), 400–406. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1108/EUM0000000006170>
- Grøtnes, E. (2009). Standardization as open innovation: Two cases from the mobile industry. *Information Technology and People*, 22(4), 367–381. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09593840911002469>
- Hallikainen, H., & Laukkanen, T. (2019). Trustworthiness in e-commerce: A replication study of competing measures. *Journal of Business Research*, 126(December), 644–653. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.12.033>
- Herman. (2019). *Layanan Pesan-Antar Makanan Tumbuh Lebih Dari Dua Kali Lipat*. Beritasatu. <https://www.beritasatu.com/digital/578350/layanan-pesanantar-makanan-tumbuh-lebih-dari-dua-kali-lipat>
- Jatmiko, L. D. (2021). *Grab Kuasai Pasar Layanan Pesan-Antar Makanan Kalahkan Gojek*. Bisnis. <https://teknologi.bisnis.com/read/20210128/266/1349177/grab-kuasai-pasar-layanan-pesan-antar-makanan-kalahkan-gojek>
- Jiang, L. (Alice), Yang, Z., & Jun, M. (2013). Measuring consumer perceptions of online shopping convenience. *Journal of Service Management*, 24(2), 191–214. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09564231311323962>
- Kim, D. J., & Hwang, Y. (2012). A study of mobile internet user's service quality perceptions from a user's utilitarian and hedonic value tendency

- perspectives. *Information Systems Frontiers*, 14(2), 409–421.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10796-010-9267-8>
- Kotler, P. T., & Amstrong, G. (2021). *Principles of Marketing Global Edition* (18th ed.). Pearson Education Limited.
- Lee, S. J., Ahn, C., Song, K. M., & Ahn, H. (2018). Trust and distrust in e-commerce. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 10(4).
<https://doi.org/10.3390/su10041015>
- Muslim, E., & Indriani, D. R. (2014). Analisis Pengaruh Eco-Label terhadap Kesadaran Konsumen untuk Membeli Green Product. *Jurnal Manajemen Teknologi*, 13(1), 86–100. <https://doi.org/10.12695/jmt.2014.13.1.6>
- Natakusumah, K. (2019). *Food Delivery Market in Indonesia: Shifting Dynamics. The Low Down*. <https://thelowdown.momentum.asia/food-delivery-market-in-indonesia-shifting-dynamics/>
- Nilashi, M., Jannach, D., Ibrahim, O. Bin, & Ithnin, N. (2015). Clustering- and regression-based multi-criteria collaborative filtering with incremental updates. *Information Sciences*, 293, 235–250.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2014.09.012>
- Pham, Q. T., Tran, X. P., Misra, S., Maskeliunas, R., & Damaševičius, R. (2018). Relationship between convenience, perceived value, and repurchase intention in online shopping in Vietnam. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 10(1).
<https://doi.org/10.3390/su10010156>
- Pigatto, G., Machado, J. G. de C. F., Negreti, A. dos S., & Miranda Machado, L. (2017). Have you chosen your request? Analysis of online food delivery companies in Brazil. *British Food Journal*, 119(3), 639–657.
- Purnomo, R. A. (2016). Analisis Statistik Ekonomi dan Bisnis Dengan SPSS. In P. C. Ambarwati (Ed.), *Cv. Wade Group*. Wade Group. CV. Wade Group
- Riyanto, G. P. (2021). *Jumlah Pengguna Internet Indonesia 2021 Tembus 202 Juta*. Kompas. <https://tekno.kompas.com/read/2021/02/23/16100057/jumlah-pengguna-internet-indonesia-2021-tembus-202-juta>
- Saad, A. T. (2020). Factors affecting online food delivery service in Bangladesh: an empirical study. *British Food Journal*, 123(2), 535–550.

- <https://doi.org/10.1108/BFJ-05-2020-0449>
- Seiders, K., Voss, G. B., Godfrey, A. L., & Grewal, D. (2007). SERVCON: Development and validation of a multidimensional service convenience scale. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 35(1), 144–156.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-006-0001-5>
- Sekaran, U., & Bougie, R. (2016). *Research Methods for Business: a skill-building approach* (Seventh). John Wiley & Sons.
- Setyowati, D. (2021). *Peta Persaingan GrabFood dan GoFood di Tengah Kehadiran ShopeeFood*. Katadata.Co.Id.
<https://katadata.co.id/desysetyowati/digital/601279c09f33d/peta-persaingan-grabfood-dan-gofood-di-tengah-kehadiran-shopeefood>
- Shankar, A. (2021). How does convenience drive consumers' webrooming intention? *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, 39(2), 312–336.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/IJBM-03-2020-0143>
- Siyoto, S., & Sodik, M. A. (2015). *DASAR METODOLOGI PENELITIAN* (Ayup (ed.)). Literasi Media Publishing.
- Wang, H. Y., & Wang, S. H. (2010). Predicting mobile hotel reservation adoption: Insight from a perceived value standpoint. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 29(4), 598–608.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2009.11.001>
- Widiartanto, Y. H. (2016). *Grab Uji Layanan Pesan-Antar Makanan, GrabFood*. Kompas.
<https://tekno.kompas.com/read/2016/05/02/06460007/Grab.Uji.Layanan.Pesan-Antar.Makanan.GrabFood>
- Wong, W. P., Tan, K., Inkgo, I., & Chiu-yiong, B. (2019). The Effect of Technology Trust on Customer E-Loyalty in Online Shopping and The Mediating Effect of Trustworthiness. *Journal of Marketing Advances and Practices*, 1(2), 38–51.

LAMPIRAN 1 KUESIONER

Kuesioner Penelitian

Pengaruh Atribut Kualitas Aplikasi Pesan Antar Makanan terhadap Persepsi Nilai Pelanggan Grabfood

Responden yang terhormat, perkenalkan saya David Christian Yudi, mahasiswa Fakultas Bisnis dan Ekonomika Universitas Atma Jaya Yogyakarta dari Prodi Manajemen. Saat ini saya sedang melakukan penelitian berkenaan tugas akhir, maka dimohon untuk kerjasama dari saudara untuk berpartisipasi sebagai responden dalam penelitian ini jika memenuhi kriteria: pernah menggunakan lebih dari aplikasi pesan antar makanan selain Grabfood dan sempat menggunakan Grabfood dalam 1 bulan terakhir. Untuk itu diharapkan saudara/saudari dapat memberikan jawaban yang sebenar-benarnya untuk membantu penelitian ini. Atas perhatiannya saya ucapan terima kasih dan semoga penelitian ini dapat bermanfaat bagi orang banyak.

Pertanyaan Filter:

Saya sempat menggunakan Grabfood dalam kurun waktu 1 bulan terakhir

- Ya
- Tidak (Berhenti)

Saya pernah menggunakan aplikasi pesan antar makanan selain Grabfood

- Ya
- Tidak (Berhenti)

Karakteristik Responden:

1. Jenis Kelamin

- Laki-Laki
- Perempuan

3. Usia:

- 15-20 tahun
- 21-25 tahun
- 26-30 tahun
- 31-35 tahun
- >35 tahun

4. Domisili Responden

_____ (kuesioner terbuka)

5. Pekerjaan:

- Pelajar/ Mahasiswa
- Karyawan swasta
- Wiraswasta
- Guru
- Lainnya

6. Pendapatan/ Uang saku per bulan

- < Rp. 1.500.000
- Rp. 1.500.000- Rp. 2.000.000
- Rp. 2.000.001- Rp. 3.000.000
- Rp. 3.000.001-Rp. 4.000.000
- > Rp. 4.000.000

7. Penggunaan Grabfood dalam 1 bulan terakhir:

- 1-2 kali
- 3-4 kali
- 5-6 kali
- >6 kali

Daftar Pernyataan

STS= Sangat Tidak Setuju ; TS= Tidak Setuju ; N= Netral; S= Setuju; SS= Sangat Setuju

Variabel Kenyamanan (Pham et al., 2018)

No	Pernyataan	STS	TS	N	S	SS
1.	Saya dapat mengakses aplikasi Grabfood dimana saja dan kapan saja					

2.	Saya dapat mencari restoran/ makanan yang saya inginkan melalui Grabfood dengan cepat					
3.	Saya merasa Grabfood menyediakan gambar dan informasi yang lengkap mengenai makanan/ restoran					
4.	Saya dapat melakukan pembayaran transaksi di Grabfood dengan mudah dan nyaman					
5.	Saya mendapatkan semua makanan sesuai dengan pesanan yang saya dalam Grabfood					

Desain aplikasi (Azizul et al., 2019)

No.	Pernyataan	STS	TS	N	S	SS
1.	Saya merasa penempatan tombol/ fitur dari aplikasi Grabfood sudah ditata dengan baik dan mudah diikuti					
2.	Saya merasa desain dari aplikasi Grabfood ringkas dan mudah untuk dimengerti					
3.	Saya merasa semua syarat dan ketentuan (diskon/ cashback, pembayaran dll) dimengerti					

Kepercayaan (Azizul et al., 2019)

No.	Pernyataan	STS	TS	N	S	SS
1.	Saya merasa aman selama menggunakan Grabfood					
2.	Saya percaya kepada layanan Grabfood					
3.	Saya merasa segala informasi yang diberikan Grabfood dapat diandalkan					

Harga (Azizul et al., 2019) (Al rasyid & Tri Indah, 2015)

No.	Pernyataan	STS	TS	N	S	SS
1.	Saya merasa harga yang diberikan oleh layanan Grabfood terjangkau					
2.	Saya merasa kualitas makanan yang saya pesan melalui Grabfood memiliki harga yang sepadan					
3.	Saya merasa Grabfood ekonomis dibanding aplikasi sejenis					
4.	Saya merasa harga dari Grabfood sepadan dengan manfaat yang saya rasakan					

Variasi makanan (Azizul et al., 2019)

No.	Pernyataan	STS	TS	N	S	SS
1.	Saya merasa aplikasi Grabfood menawarkan berbagai macam restoran					
2.	Saya merasa aplikasi Grabfood menawarkan berbagai macam pilihan menu makanan					
3.	Saya dapat memesan makanan dengan berbagai macam harga (dari yang murah hingga mahal) melalui aplikasi Grabfood					

Persepsi Nilai Pelanggan (Azizul et al., 2019)

No.	Pernyataan	STS	TS	N	S	SS
1.	Saya mendapatkan produk makanan berkualitas dan pelayanan yang baik dengan harga yang layak saat menggunakan Grabfood					
2.	Saya merasa waktu dan tenaga yang saya keluarkan untuk memesan melalui Grabfood setimpal dengan manfaat yang saya terima					
3.	Saya merasa Grabfood lebih baik dibandingkan aplikasi pesan antar makanan yang lain.					



LAMPIRAN 2 DATA JAWABAN RESPONDEN

Timestamp	Saya sempat menggunakan Grabfood dalam kurun waktu 1 bulan terakhir	Saya pernah menggunakan aplikasi pesan antar makanan selain Grabfood	Jenis kelamin	Usia	Domisili	Pekerjaan	Pendapatan per bulan	Frekuensi penggunaan Grabfood
4/8/2021 14:06:22	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21-25 tahun	Surakarta	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	< Rp. 1.500.000	1-2 kali
4/8/2021 14:41:31	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21-25 tahun	Surakarta	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	Rp. 1.500.000- Rp. 2.000.000	1-2 kali
4/8/2021 16:06:10	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21-25 tahun	Yogyakarta	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	Rp. 1.500.000- Rp. 2.000.000	1-2 kali
4/8/2021 16:16:56	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21-25 tahun	Klaten	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	< Rp. 1.500.000	1-2 kali
4/8/2021 16:18:03	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21-25 tahun	Surakarta	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	< Rp. 1.500.000	>6 kali
4/8/2021 16:22:56	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21-25 tahun	Surakarta	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	Rp. 1.500.000- Rp. 2.000.000	1-2 kali
4/8/2021 16:31:24	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	31-35 tahun	Surabaya	Karyawan Swasta	> Rp. 4.000.000	>6 kali
4/8/2021 16:33:04	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21-25 tahun	Surakarta	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	< Rp. 1.500.000	3-4 kali
4/8/2021 16:36:53	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21-25 tahun	Surakarta	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	< Rp. 1.500.000	1-2 kali

4/8/2021 16:43:34	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21-25 tahun	Surakarta	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	< Rp. 1.500.000	3-4 kali
4/8/2021 16:50:59	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21-25 tahun	Klaten	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	< Rp. 1.500.000	3-4 kali
4/8/2021 17:11:25	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21-25 tahun	Surakarta	Karyawan Swasta	Rp. 1.500.000- Rp. 2.000.000	3-4 kali
4/8/2021 17:18:08	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21-25 tahun	Surakarta	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	Rp. 1.500.000- Rp. 2.000.000	1-2 kali
4/8/2021 17:18:33	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	15-20 tahun	Yogyakarta	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	< Rp. 1.500.000	1-2 kali
4/8/2021 17:19:01	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21-25 tahun	Yogyakarta	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	< Rp. 1.500.000	1-2 kali
4/8/2021 17:43:18	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21-25 tahun	Surakarta	Karyawan Swasta	Rp. 1.500.000- Rp. 2.000.000	1-2 kali
4/8/2021 18:03:17	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21-25 tahun	Yogyakarta	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	Rp. 1.500.000- Rp. 2.000.000	>6 kali
4/8/2021 18:15:47	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21-25 tahun	Sukoharjo	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	< Rp. 1.500.000	1-2 kali
4/8/2021 18:31:38	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21-25 tahun	Surakarta	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	< Rp. 1.500.000	1-2 kali
4/8/2021 18:39:40	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21-25 tahun	Surakarta	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	< Rp. 1.500.000	5-6 kali
4/8/2021 21:24:39	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21-25 tahun	Surakarta	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	< Rp. 1.500.000	3-4 kali

4/9/2021 9:19:17	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	15-20 tahun	Yogyakarta	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	< Rp. 1.500.000	1-2 kali
4/9/2021 9:22:58	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21-25 tahun	Surakarta	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	< Rp. 1.500.000	1-2 kali
4/9/2021 12:14:12	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	26-30 tahun	Surakarta	Karyawan Swasta	Rp. 3.000.001-Rp. 4.000.000	3-4 kali
4/9/2021 21:18:53	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	31-35 tahun	Surabaya	Wiraswasta	> Rp. 4.000.000	5-6 kali
4/9/2021 22:04:34	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	26-30 tahun	Surabaya	Karyawan Swasta	Rp. 3.000.001-Rp. 4.000.000	3-4 kali
4/9/2021 22:11:29	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	>35 tahun	Surabaya	Wiraswasta	> Rp. 4.000.000	>6 kali
4/9/2021 22:15:06	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	31-35 tahun	Surabaya	Wiraswasta	> Rp. 4.000.000	5-6 kali
4/9/2021 22:21:43	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	>35 tahun	Surabaya	Wiraswasta	Rp. 3.000.001-Rp. 4.000.000	3-4 kali
4/10/2021 12:59:31	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	26-30 tahun	Surakarta	Karyawan Swasta	Rp. 2.000.001- Rp. 3.000.000	3-4 kali
4/10/2021 14:30:13	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21-25 tahun	Surakarta	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	< Rp. 1.500.000	1-2 kali
4/10/2021 14:33:39	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21-25 tahun	Surakarta	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	Rp. 1.500.000- Rp. 2.000.000	1-2 kali
4/10/2021 14:45:01	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21-25 tahun	Surakarta	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	< Rp. 1.500.000	1-2 kali

4/10/2021 14:45:43	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	15-20 tahun	Surakarta	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	< Rp. 1.500.000	3-4 kali
4/10/2021 19:10:06	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21-25 tahun	Sukoharjo	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	< Rp. 1.500.000	1-2 kali
4/10/2021 21:10:49	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21-25 tahun	Jakarta	Karyawan Swasta	> Rp. 4.000.000	>6 kali
4/10/2021 21:11:07	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	26-30 tahun	Surakarta	Karyawan Swasta	Rp. 2.000.001- Rp. 3.000.000	1-2 kali
4/10/2021 21:12:53	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	26-30 tahun	Surakarta	Karyawan Swasta	Rp. 3.000.001-Rp. 4.000.000	>6 kali
4/10/2021 21:14:10	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	26-30 tahun	Surakarta	Karyawan Swasta	Rp. 3.000.001-Rp. 4.000.000	>6 kali
4/10/2021 21:14:35	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21-25 tahun	Surakarta	Karyawan Swasta	Rp. 2.000.001- Rp. 3.000.000	3-4 kali
4/10/2021 21:18:47	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21-25 tahun	Surakarta	Lainnya	Rp. 2.000.001- Rp. 3.000.000	3-4 kali
4/10/2021 21:19:44	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	15-20 tahun	Surakarta	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	< Rp. 1.500.000	1-2 kali
4/10/2021 21:19:45	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21-25 tahun	Surakarta	Karyawan Swasta	Rp. 2.000.001- Rp. 3.000.000	1-2 kali
4/10/2021 21:37:29	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21-25 tahun	Surakarta	Karyawan Swasta	Rp. 2.000.001- Rp. 3.000.000	1-2 kali
4/10/2021 21:42:36	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	26-30 tahun	Surakarta	Guru	Rp. 1.500.000- Rp. 2.000.000	3-4 kali

4/10/2021 21:46:09	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21-25 tahun	Surabaya	Karyawan Swasta	> Rp. 4.000.000	3-4 kali
4/10/2021 21:46:17	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21-25 tahun	Magelang	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	Rp. 1.500.000- Rp. 2.000.000	>6 kali
4/10/2021 21:47:11	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21-25 tahun	Surakarta	Karyawan Swasta	Rp. 3.000.001-Rp. 4.000.000	1-2 kali
4/10/2021 22:23:46	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21-25 tahun	Tangerang	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	Rp. 2.000.001- Rp. 3.000.000	>6 kali
4/11/2021 0:51:19	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21-25 tahun	Sukoharjo	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	< Rp. 1.500.000	1-2 kali
4/11/2021 5:38:06	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21-25 tahun	Surakarta	Karyawan Swasta	Rp. 2.000.001- Rp. 3.000.000	5-6 kali
4/11/2021 15:27:38	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21-25 tahun	Tangerang	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	Rp. 1.500.000- Rp. 2.000.000	>6 kali
4/11/2021 18:55:43	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21-25 tahun	Surakarta	Karyawan Swasta	Rp. 3.000.001-Rp. 4.000.000	3-4 kali
4/11/2021 18:59:05	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21-25 tahun	Surakarta	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	Rp. 1.500.000- Rp. 2.000.000	3-4 kali
4/11/2021 19:00:46	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	26-30 tahun	Surabaya	Wiraswasta	> Rp. 4.000.000	5-6 kali
4/11/2021 19:02:21	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	26-30 tahun	Surakarta	Wiraswasta	Rp. 3.000.001-Rp. 4.000.000	3-4 kali
4/11/2021 19:04:59	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21-25 tahun	Surakarta	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	Rp. 1.500.000- Rp. 2.000.000	1-2 kali

4/11/2021 19:12:23	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21-25 tahun	Surakarta	Karyawan Swasta	Rp. 2.000.001- Rp. 3.000.000	3-4 kali
4/11/2021 19:39:40	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21-25 tahun	Surakarta	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	Rp. 1.500.000- Rp. 2.000.000	1-2 kali
4/11/2021 22:18:10	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21-25 tahun	Yogyakarta	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	< Rp. 1.500.000	1-2 kali
4/11/2021 22:46:23	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21-25 tahun	Yogyakarta	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	Rp. 1.500.000- Rp. 2.000.000	>6 kali
4/11/2021 23:25:19	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	26-30 tahun	Surakarta	Karyawan Swasta	Rp. 3.000.001-Rp. 4.000.000	>6 kali
4/12/2021 9:45:57	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21-25 tahun	Surakarta	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	< Rp. 1.500.000	5-6 kali
4/12/2021 11:03:33	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21-25 tahun	Yogyakarta	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	Rp. 3.000.001-Rp. 4.000.000	5-6 kali
4/12/2021 11:19:29	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21-25 tahun	Yogyakarta	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	Rp. 2.000.001- Rp. 3.000.000	3-4 kali
4/12/2021 11:38:44	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21-25 tahun	Klaten	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	Rp. 1.500.000- Rp. 2.000.000	1-2 kali
4/12/2021 11:53:44	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21-25 tahun	Surakarta	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	Rp. 1.500.000- Rp. 2.000.000	1-2 kali
4/12/2021 11:53:55	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21-25 tahun	Yogyakarta	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	Rp. 1.500.000- Rp. 2.000.000	>6 kali
4/12/2021 13:00:05	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	15-20 tahun	Jakarta	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	Rp. 1.500.000- Rp. 2.000.000	3-4 kali

4/12/2021 21:13:46	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21-25 tahun	Surakarta	Karyawan Swasta	Rp. 2.000.001- Rp. 3.000.000	1-2 kali
4/12/2021 21:47:24	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21-25 tahun	Surakarta	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	< Rp. 1.500.000	3-4 kali
4/12/2021 22:14:56	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21-25 tahun	Yogyakarta	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	< Rp. 1.500.000	1-2 kali
4/12/2021 22:20:13	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21-25 tahun	Yogyakarta	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	< Rp. 1.500.000	3-4 kali
4/12/2021 22:21:58	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	15-20 tahun	Surakarta	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	< Rp. 1.500.000	3-4 kali
4/12/2021 22:35:19	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21-25 tahun	Surakarta	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	Rp. 1.500.000- Rp. 2.000.000	1-2 kali
4/12/2021 22:50:17	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21-25 tahun	Surakarta	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	Rp. 1.500.000- Rp. 2.000.000	>6 kali
4/12/2021 23:23:56	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21-25 tahun	Semarang	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	Rp. 1.500.000- Rp. 2.000.000	>6 kali
4/13/2021 0:25:57	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21-25 tahun	Madiun	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	< Rp. 1.500.000	3-4 kali
4/13/2021 12:13:01	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21-25 tahun	Surakarta	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	Rp. 2.000.001- Rp. 3.000.000	1-2 kali
4/13/2021 12:14:45	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	15-20 tahun	Surakarta	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	< Rp. 1.500.000	1-2 kali
4/13/2021 12:16:40	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21-25 tahun	Surakarta	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	Rp. 1.500.000- Rp. 2.000.000	1-2 kali

4/13/2021 12:18:45	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21-25 tahun	Surakarta	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	Rp. 1.500.000- Rp. 2.000.000	1-2 kali
4/13/2021 12:27:27	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21-25 tahun	Surakarta	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	Rp. 1.500.000- Rp. 2.000.000	1-2 kali
4/13/2021 12:35:13	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21-25 tahun	Surabaya	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	Rp. 2.000.001- Rp. 3.000.000	1-2 kali
4/13/2021 13:07:27	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21-25 tahun	Surakarta	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	< Rp. 1.500.000	>6 kali
4/13/2021 13:20:08	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	>35 tahun	Surakarta	Wiraswasta	> Rp. 4.000.000	>6 kali
4/13/2021 13:30:18	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	>35 tahun	Jakarta	Wiraswasta	> Rp. 4.000.000	1-2 kali
4/13/2021 13:42:44	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	>35 tahun	Denpasar	Wiraswasta	> Rp. 4.000.000	1-2 kali
4/13/2021 13:44:29	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	26-30 tahun	Semarang	Wiraswasta	Rp. 2.000.001- Rp. 3.000.000	5-6 kali
4/13/2021 13:58:58	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21-25 tahun	Surakarta	Karyawan Swasta	Rp. 2.000.001- Rp. 3.000.000	5-6 kali
4/13/2021 14:07:11	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	31-35 tahun	Surakarta	Karyawan Swasta	> Rp. 4.000.000	1-2 kali
4/13/2021 14:33:53	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	26-30 tahun	Surakarta	Karyawan Swasta	Rp. 3.000.001-Rp. 4.000.000	1-2 kali
4/13/2021 14:44:33	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21-25 tahun	Tangerang Selatan	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	Rp. 2.000.001- Rp. 3.000.000	3-4 kali

4/13/2021 14:56:50	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21-25 tahun	Surakarta	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	Rp. 1.500.000- Rp. 2.000.000	>6 kali
4/13/2021 15:05:42	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	26-30 tahun	Surakarta	Karyawan Swasta	Rp. 2.000.001- Rp. 3.000.000	1-2 kali
4/13/2021 15:07:21	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	31-35 tahun	Yogyakarta	Wiraswasta	Rp. 3.000.001-Rp. 4.000.000	5-6 kali
4/13/2021 15:15:54	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21-25 tahun	Purworejo	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	Rp. 1.500.000- Rp. 2.000.000	1-2 kali
4/13/2021 15:24:57	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21-25 tahun	Yogyakarta	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	< Rp. 1.500.000	3-4 kali
4/13/2021 16:05:11	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	26-30 tahun	Surakarta	Karyawan Swasta	Rp. 3.000.001-Rp. 4.000.000	1-2 kali
4/13/2021 16:07:10	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	31-35 tahun	Yogyakarta	Guru	Rp. 3.000.001-Rp. 4.000.000	>6 kali
4/13/2021 17:10:28	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21-25 tahun	Yogyakarta	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	Rp. 1.500.000- Rp. 2.000.000	>6 kali
4/13/2021 17:24:57	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21-25 tahun	Klaten	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	< Rp. 1.500.000	1-2 kali
4/13/2021 17:25:15	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	>35 tahun	Surabaya	Wiraswasta	> Rp. 4.000.000	1-2 kali
4/13/2021 17:43:25	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	15-20 tahun	Surakarta	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	< Rp. 1.500.000	1-2 kali
4/13/2021 18:00:05	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21-25 tahun	Bogor	Karyawan Swasta	> Rp. 4.000.000	1-2 kali

4/13/2021 18:02:48	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	26-30 tahun	Bogor	Karyawan Swasta	> Rp. 4.000.000	1-2 kali
4/13/2021 18:09:28	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	31-35 tahun	Surakarta	Lainnya	< Rp. 1.500.000	1-2 kali
4/13/2021 18:43:05	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	>35 tahun	Surakarta	Wiraswasta	Rp. 3.000.001-Rp. 4.000.000	1-2 kali
4/13/2021 18:52:20	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	>35 tahun	Surabaya	Wiraswasta	> Rp. 4.000.000	>6 kali
4/13/2021 18:52:31	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	>35 tahun	Surabaya	Wiraswasta	> Rp. 4.000.000	>6 kali
4/13/2021 19:00:41	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	31-35 tahun	Surakarta	Wiraswasta	Rp. 3.000.001-Rp. 4.000.000	5-6 kali
4/13/2021 19:04:02	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	26-30 tahun	Surakarta	Karyawan Swasta	Rp. 2.000.001- Rp. 3.000.000	1-2 kali
4/13/2021 19:09:56	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	31-35 tahun	Surakarta	Wiraswasta	Rp. 3.000.001-Rp. 4.000.000	>6 kali
4/13/2021 19:11:26	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	15-20 tahun	Surakarta	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	< Rp. 1.500.000	1-2 kali
4/13/2021 19:11:38	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	15-20 tahun	Surakarta	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	< Rp. 1.500.000	1-2 kali
4/13/2021 19:13:07	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	31-35 tahun	Yogyakarta	Karyawan Swasta	Rp. 3.000.001-Rp. 4.000.000	>6 kali
4/13/2021 19:19:41	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	15-20 tahun	Jakarta	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	< Rp. 1.500.000	3-4 kali

4/13/2021 19:20:18	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	>35 tahun	Surakarta	Wiraswasta	> Rp. 4.000.000	3-4 kali
4/13/2021 19:25:59	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	31-35 tahun	Surabaya	Guru	> Rp. 4.000.000	>6 kali
4/13/2021 19:26:20	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	26-30 tahun	Surabaya	Karyawan Swasta	Rp. 2.000.001- Rp. 3.000.000	3-4 kali
4/13/2021 19:26:47	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	26-30 tahun	Surakarta	Karyawan Swasta	Rp. 3.000.001-Rp. 4.000.000	3-4 kali
4/13/2021 19:27:34	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	>35 tahun	Surabaya	Wiraswasta	> Rp. 4.000.000	>6 kali
4/13/2021 19:28:52	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	>35 tahun	Surabaya	Wiraswasta	> Rp. 4.000.000	>6 kali
4/13/2021 19:29:31	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	26-30 tahun	Surabaya	Karyawan Swasta	Rp. 3.000.001-Rp. 4.000.000	5-6 kali
4/13/2021 19:43:21	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21-25 tahun	Surabaya	Karyawan Swasta	Rp. 3.000.001-Rp. 4.000.000	1-2 kali
4/13/2021 19:54:24	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	>35 tahun	Surabaya	Wiraswasta	> Rp. 4.000.000	5-6 kali
4/13/2021 19:58:33	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	31-35 tahun	Surabaya	Guru	> Rp. 4.000.000	1-2 kali
4/13/2021 19:58:42	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	26-30 tahun	Surabaya	Guru	Rp. 3.000.001-Rp. 4.000.000	1-2 kali
4/13/2021 19:59:22	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	26-30 tahun	Surabaya	Karyawan Swasta	> Rp. 4.000.000	>6 kali

4/13/2021 20:01:53	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	26-30 tahun	Sukoharjo	Karyawan Swasta	Rp. 3.000.001-Rp. 4.000.000	1-2 kali
4/13/2021 20:03:37	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	26-30 tahun	Surabaya	Guru	Rp. 3.000.001-Rp. 4.000.000	5-6 kali
4/13/2021 20:05:37	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	26-30 tahun	Surabaya	Wiraswasta	> Rp. 4.000.000	>6 kali
4/13/2021 20:06:24	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	26-30 tahun	Surabaya	Karyawan Swasta	> Rp. 4.000.000	5-6 kali
4/13/2021 20:09:08	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	31-35 tahun	Yogyakarta	Guru	Rp. 3.000.001-Rp. 4.000.000	>6 kali
4/13/2021 20:11:42	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	26-30 tahun	Surakarta	Karyawan Swasta	Rp. 2.000.001- Rp. 3.000.000	1-2 kali
4/13/2021 20:15:55	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	26-30 tahun	Tangerang Selatan	Karyawan Swasta	> Rp. 4.000.000	>6 kali
4/13/2021 20:17:11	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	>35 tahun	Surabaya	Wiraswasta	Rp. 3.000.001-Rp. 4.000.000	5-6 kali
4/13/2021 20:23:43	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	26-30 tahun	Surabaya	Karyawan Swasta	Rp. 3.000.001-Rp. 4.000.000	3-4 kali
4/13/2021 20:23:49	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	26-30 tahun	Singkawang	Karyawan Swasta	Rp. 2.000.001- Rp. 3.000.000	3-4 kali
4/13/2021 20:30:58	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	26-30 tahun	Surakarta	Karyawan Swasta	Rp. 2.000.001- Rp. 3.000.000	3-4 kali
4/13/2021 20:32:05	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21-25 tahun	Surabaya	Karyawan Swasta	Rp. 3.000.001-Rp. 4.000.000	>6 kali

4/13/2021 20:32:49	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	26-30 tahun	Surakarta	Guru	Rp. 2.000.001- Rp. 3.000.000	3-4 kali
4/13/2021 20:33:27	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	31-35 tahun	Surakarta	Wiraswasta	Rp. 3.000.001-Rp. 4.000.000	5-6 kali
4/13/2021 20:48:19	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	26-30 tahun	Surabaya	Karyawan Swasta	Rp. 3.000.001-Rp. 4.000.000	1-2 kali
4/13/2021 20:56:09	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	31-35 tahun	Surabaya	Guru	> Rp. 4.000.000	3-4 kali
4/13/2021 20:58:03	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	26-30 tahun	Surabaya	Guru	> Rp. 4.000.000	1-2 kali
4/13/2021 21:19:12	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	26-30 tahun	Surakarta	Karyawan Swasta	Rp. 3.000.001-Rp. 4.000.000	>6 kali
4/13/2021 21:26:40	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	>35 tahun	Pasuruan	Wiraswasta	Rp. 3.000.001-Rp. 4.000.000	3-4 kali
4/13/2021 21:27:05	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	>35 tahun	Pasuruan	Wiraswasta	Rp. 3.000.001-Rp. 4.000.000	3-4 kali
4/13/2021 21:27:28	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	>35 tahun	Surabaya	Wiraswasta	> Rp. 4.000.000	>6 kali
4/13/2021 21:27:34	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	>35 tahun	Pasuruan	Wiraswasta	Rp. 3.000.001-Rp. 4.000.000	3-4 kali
4/13/2021 21:30:14	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21-25 tahun	Yogyakarta	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	< Rp. 1.500.000	1-2 kali
4/13/2021 21:32:00	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	15-20 tahun	Surakarta	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	< Rp. 1.500.000	3-4 kali

4/13/2021 22:16:06	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21-25 tahun	Surakarta	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	Rp. 1.500.000- Rp. 2.000.000	1-2 kali
4/13/2021 22:18:34	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	>35 tahun	Surabaya	Wiraswasta	> Rp. 4.000.000	1-2 kali
4/13/2021 22:46:31	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	>35 tahun	Surabaya	Wiraswasta	> Rp. 4.000.000	3-4 kali
4/14/2021 3:50:41	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	15-20 tahun	Malang	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	< Rp. 1.500.000	3-4 kali
4/14/2021 6:52:46	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	31-35 tahun	Surakarta	Wiraswasta	Rp. 2.000.001- Rp. 3.000.000	1-2 kali
4/14/2021 7:09:00	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	31-35 tahun	Surabaya	Guru	Rp. 3.000.001-Rp. 4.000.000	1-2 kali
4/14/2021 7:42:25	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	31-35 tahun	Surakarta	Wiraswasta	Rp. 3.000.001-Rp. 4.000.000	>6 kali
4/14/2021 8:38:01	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	26-30 tahun	Surabaya	Karyawan Swasta	Rp. 3.000.001-Rp. 4.000.000	1-2 kali
4/14/2021 10:33:54	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21-25 tahun	Surakarta	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	Rp. 2.000.001- Rp. 3.000.000	5-6 kali
4/14/2021 11:20:51	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	26-30 tahun	Semarang	Karyawan Swasta	Rp. 3.000.001-Rp. 4.000.000	3-4 kali
4/14/2021 11:25:19	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	26-30 tahun	Cirebon	Karyawan Swasta	Rp. 2.000.001- Rp. 3.000.000	1-2 kali
4/14/2021 11:28:05	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	15-20 tahun	Semarang	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	< Rp. 1.500.000	1-2 kali

4/14/2021 11:31:15	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21-25 tahun	Surakarta	Karyawan Swasta	Rp. 2.000.001- Rp. 3.000.000	3-4 kali
4/14/2021 11:36:13	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	26-30 tahun	Sidoarjo	Karyawan Swasta	Rp. 2.000.001- Rp. 3.000.000	3-4 kali
4/14/2021 11:39:11	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	>35 tahun	Bandung	Wiraswasta	Rp. 3.000.001-Rp. 4.000.000	>6 kali
4/14/2021 14:08:20	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	26-30 tahun	Surabaya	Wiraswasta	> Rp. 4.000.000	1-2 kali
4/14/2021 15:11:17	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	26-30 tahun	Jakarta	Karyawan Swasta	> Rp. 4.000.000	3-4 kali
4/14/2021 15:18:10	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	26-30 tahun	Jakarta	Karyawan Swasta	> Rp. 4.000.000	5-6 kali
4/14/2021 15:29:54	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21-25 tahun	Sukoharjo	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	< Rp. 1.500.000	1-2 kali
4/14/2021 18:06:49	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	31-35 tahun	Surakarta	Lainnya	< Rp. 1.500.000	3-4 kali
4/14/2021 18:25:50	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	26-30 tahun	Surakarta	Guru	Rp. 3.000.001-Rp. 4.000.000	>6 kali
4/14/2021 20:05:26	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21-25 tahun	Surakarta	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	< Rp. 1.500.000	>6 kali
4/14/2021 20:32:57	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	31-35 tahun	Surakarta	Wiraswasta	Rp. 2.000.001- Rp. 3.000.000	5-6 kali
4/15/2021 22:38:47	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	31-35 tahun	Surakarta	Wiraswasta	Rp. 3.000.001-Rp. 4.000.000	>6 kali

4/16/2021 18:39:34	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21-25 tahun	Yogyakarta	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	Rp. 1.500.000- Rp. 2.000.000	>6 kali
4/16/2021 19:10:00	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21-25 tahun	Surakarta	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	Rp. 1.500.000- Rp. 2.000.000	3-4 kali
4/16/2021 21:55:55	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	31-35 tahun	Mojokerto	Wiraswasta	Rp. 2.000.001- Rp. 3.000.000	1-2 kali
4/17/2021 13:03:40	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21-25 tahun	Surakarta	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	Rp. 1.500.000- Rp. 2.000.000	1-2 kali
4/17/2021 14:41:42	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	31-35 tahun	Bandung	Karyawan Swasta	Rp. 3.000.001-Rp. 4.000.000	3-4 kali
4/17/2021 17:49:37	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	31-35 tahun	Bandung	Karyawan Swasta	Rp. 3.000.001-Rp. 4.000.000	1-2 kali
4/17/2021 20:11:08	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	26-30 tahun	Bandung	Karyawan Swasta	Rp. 3.000.001-Rp. 4.000.000	5-6 kali
4/17/2021 21:25:45	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	15-20 tahun	Tegal	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	< Rp. 1.500.000	1-2 kali
4/17/2021 21:42:18	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21-25 tahun	Surakarta	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	< Rp. 1.500.000	3-4 kali
4/17/2021 21:43:03	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	31-35 tahun	Bandung	Guru	Rp. 3.000.001-Rp. 4.000.000	3-4 kali
4/17/2021 21:47:26	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	31-35 tahun	Bandung	Wiraswasta	> Rp. 4.000.000	1-2 kali
4/17/2021 23:47:19	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21-25 tahun	Sukoharjo	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	Rp. 1.500.000- Rp. 2.000.000	3-4 kali

4/18/2021 12:53:36	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21-25 tahun	Yogyakarta	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	< Rp. 1.500.000	1-2 kali
4/18/2021 13:05:41	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	15-20 tahun	Surakarta	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	< Rp. 1.500.000	1-2 kali
4/18/2021 14:25:25	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	26-30 tahun	Jambi	Karyawan Swasta	Rp. 2.000.001- Rp. 3.000.000	5-6 kali
4/18/2021 16:01:06	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21-25 tahun	Klaten	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	< Rp. 1.500.000	1-2 kali
4/20/2021 8:18:31	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21-25 tahun	Surakarta	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	Rp. 1.500.000- Rp. 2.000.000	1-2 kali
4/20/2021 9:48:50	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	26-30 tahun	Bandung	Wiraswasta	> Rp. 4.000.000	>6 kali
4/20/2021 9:54:03	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	26-30 tahun	Bandung	Wiraswasta	Rp. 3.000.001-Rp. 4.000.000	>6 kali
4/20/2021 9:59:04	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	26-30 tahun	Bandung	Karyawan Swasta	Rp. 3.000.001-Rp. 4.000.000	1-2 kali
4/20/2021 10:01:37	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	26-30 tahun	Bandung	Karyawan Swasta	> Rp. 4.000.000	1-2 kali
4/20/2021 10:03:43	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21-25 tahun	Bandung	Karyawan Swasta	Rp. 3.000.001-Rp. 4.000.000	1-2 kali
4/20/2021 10:21:13	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21-25 tahun	Bandung	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	Rp. 2.000.001- Rp. 3.000.000	>6 kali
4/20/2021 10:23:59	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	26-30 tahun	Dumai	Guru	Rp. 3.000.001-Rp. 4.000.000	>6 kali

4/20/2021 10:27:08	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21-25 tahun	Jakarta	Karyawan Swasta	> Rp. 4.000.000	>6 kali
4/20/2021 10:29:15	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	26-30 tahun	Jakarta	Karyawan Swasta	> Rp. 4.000.000	3-4 kali
4/20/2021 10:41:45	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	26-30 tahun	Bandung	Wiraswasta	Rp. 3.000.001-Rp. 4.000.000	1-2 kali
4/20/2021 10:47:14	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	31-35 tahun	Jakarta	Wiraswasta	> Rp. 4.000.000	>6 kali
4/20/2021 17:28:11	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	31-35 tahun	Bandung	Lainnya	< Rp. 1.500.000	5-6 kali
4/21/2021 13:07:39	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21-25 tahun	Surakarta	Pelajar /Mahasiswa	Rp. 1.500.000- Rp. 2.000.000	1-2 kali
4/21/2021 13:15:16	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	31-35 tahun	Bandung	Lainnya	< Rp. 1.500.000	5-6 kali
4/21/2021 20:47:31	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	26-30 tahun	Surakarta	Karyawan Swasta	Rp. 2.000.001- Rp. 3.000.000	3-4 kali
4/24/2021 9:16:49	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	>35 tahun	Bandung	Guru	> Rp. 4.000.000	1-2 kali

Data jawaban pernyataan responden

No .	K 1	K 2	K 3	K 4	K 5	Da 1	Da 2	Da 3	Kp 1	Kp 2	Kp 3	Hg 1	Hg 2	Hg 3	Hg 4	Vm 1	Vm 2	Vm 3	Pn 1	Pn 2	Pn 3
1	5	4	4	3	4	4	3	3	5	5	3	4	4	5	5	4	4	3	5	5	4
2	4	4	5	3	3	4	3	3	4	5	4	5	4	5	5	5	4	4	4	5	4
3	5	4	2	2	4	2	2	2	3	4	4	2	2	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	3
4	5	5	5	4	5	4	4	3	4	4	4	3	4	5	4	4	5	5	5	4	3
5	5	5	3	5	4	4	5	4	4	4	3	3	3	5	4	5	5	5	4	4	3
6	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	4	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5
7	5	3	3	5	4	4	5	3	4	4	4	3	3	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
8	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	5	5
9	5	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	3	4	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	3
10	5	4	4	5	3	3	2	3	4	3	5	3	3	4	5	4	4	5	4	5	4
11	4	5	4	4	4	4	3	3	3	4	4	3	3	4	3	3	5	4	4	3	3
12	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3
13	5	4	3	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	4	3	3	4	5	4	5	5	4	5
14	5	4	4	4	4	5	5	4	4	3	3	4	3	5	4	5	5	5	4	4	3

15	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	
16	5	3	3	4	5	4	3	2	5	5	2	3	3	4	3	4	4	5	5	3	3	
17	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
18	5	4	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	4	5	4	5	5	5	5	2	2	4	5	4	2
19	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	3	4	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	4	
20	5	4	4	5	4	3	2	3	4	3	4	2	3	5	3	4	4	5	4	3	3	
21	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	
22	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
23	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
24	4	3	4	2	3	3	2	3	4	4	5	4	4	4	5	4	3	5	4	4	5	
25	5	4	4	5	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	5	4	4	4	4	5	4	3	
26	5	5	4	4	5	4	4	5	4	4	5	4	4	4	5	4	4	3	4	5	3	
27	5	4	5	5	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	3	5	5	5	4	4	5	4	
28	5	4	5	4	5	4	3	3	4	5	3	5	5	4	5	5	4	5	4	5	3	
29	4	3	4	5	4	4	3	3	5	5	3	4	5	4	5	4	3	4	4	5	4	
30	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	5	4	4	4	4	5	3	
31	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	

32	4	4	4	3	2	3	4	3	4	4	3	4	3	2	5	5	5	4	3	5	3
33	4	4	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	3
34	5	4	2	5	3	2	4	4	4	4	2	3	2	4	3	5	5	5	3	5	5
35	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	3	4	3	3	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	4	4	3
36	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
37	4	3	3	4	3	3	3	3	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	4	3	4	3
38	5	4	4	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	3	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	5
39	5	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	4
40	4	4	3	3	4	4	3	4	2	2	4	3	3	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	2
41	5	4	4	5	4	3	4	5	4	5	4	5	3	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	
42	5	5	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	4	4	5	5	5	5	3
43	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
44	5	4	4	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3
45	4	4	3	5	4	4	4	2	5	5	4	4	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	5	4
46	5	4	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	3	3	5	5	5	5	5	3
47	5	4	4	5	4	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	4	4	5	4	5	4
48	5	4	4	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	3	4	4	5	4	5	5	5	4	4	5

49	5	3	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	3	3	3	3	5	5	5	3	3	4
50	3	3	3	4	4	3	2	1	3	3	2	3	3	3	3	4	4	3	3	3	3
51	5	5	3	5	5	4	4	3	3	4	4	3	4	3	4	5	5	5	4	5	4
52	4	5	2	4	2	5	5	5	5	5	3	4	3	4	5	5	5	5	4	5	5
53	4	4	3	4	4	4	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	3	4	4
54	4	3	3	4	3	3	3	3	4	5	4	5	4	4	5	4	3	5	4	4	4
55	5	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	4	4	4
56	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	5	5	4	5	5	4
57	5	4	4	4	4	3	3	3	4	4	3	4	4	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	4
58	5	4	3	3	4	3	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	4	5	5
59	5	4	4	4	4	3	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	5
60	5	5	4	5	5	3	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	3
61	5	4	2	5	4	5	5	2	4	4	4	2	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	4
62	5	4	4	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	3	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	5
63	5	4	4	5	2	3	4	4	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	5	4	4	4
64	4	5	3	5	5	4	5	4	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	3	5	5	3
65	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5

66	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	5
67	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
68	5	5	5	5	3	3	3	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4
69	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	4	5	5	4	5	5	5	3	5	5	5	5	5	4
70	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
71	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	5	3	3	3	4	5	5	5	5	4	4	5
72	5	4	3	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
73	4	5	4	4	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	4	5	4	4
74	5	3	3	5	5	5	4	4	5	4	5	4	2	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	4
75	5	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	4	4	5	3	4	5	5	5	4	4	4
76	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
77	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	3	5	5	4	3	4	5	4	4	4	5	4	5	3
78	5	3	3	4	5	4	5	3	4	4	4	3	4	3	4	4	4	4	5	4	4
79	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5
80	4	3	3	3	3	2	2	2	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	3	3	3	4	4	4
81	4	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	4	4	3	3	4	4	4	4	3	5	3
82	4	4	3	4	4	4	4	3	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	3	4	5	4

83	4	3	4	4	4	3	3	3	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	3	4	4	4
84	4	4	4	4	4	2	2	2	3	3	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4
85	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	3
86	5	5	4	5	5	5	4	5	5	3	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5
87	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	3	3	3	3	4	3	4	4	3	3	4
88	4	4	3	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
89	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5
90	5	3	3	4	4	3	3	3	4	3	3	3	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
91	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	4
92	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	3
93	4	4	4	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	4	5	4	5	5	5	4	5	4
94	5	4	3	5	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	4	5	3	4	5	5	5	5	5	4
95	5	5	4	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	5	5	4	5	4
96	4	4	4	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	4	4	3	4	4	4
97	4	3	2	5	4	4	4	4	5	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	4
98	5	3	4	4	3	3	3	2	4	4	4	3	3	3	3	4	4	4	3	3	3
99	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5

100	5	5	4	4	4	5	5	4	5	5	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	
101	5	5	5	5	4	3	4	5	5	5	5	3	3	5	4	5	5	5	5	4	5	5
102	5	5	3	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	3	5	5	5	5	4	4	4
103	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4
104	4	4	4	4	5	3	3	3	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	4	4	4	4
105	4	5	5	5	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	4
106	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
107	5	4	4	5	5	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	5	5	5	5	4	4	4
108	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	4	5	5	5	4	4	5	4	5	4	4
109	5	5	3	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	4	4	5	4	5
110	5	5	3	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	4	4	5	
111	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	3	3	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	4
112	5	4	3	4	5	5	4	2	4	3	2	1	2	3	2	5	3	3	3	3	3	3
113	5	5	3	4	3	4	4	4	4	3	3	3	3	4	4	4	3	3	4	4	4	5
114	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	4	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5
115	5	4	2	3	5	4	4	2	4	3	2	2	2	2	3	5	2	4	4	3	4	
116	5	4	4	5	4	4	5	2	5	4	4	4	4	3	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	3

117	5	5	5	5	5	3	3	4	5	5	3	5	5	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	3
118	5	3	3	4	5	3	2	1	3	3	3	1	3	2	2	4	4	4	4	3	5	2
119	5	5	3	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	3	4	5	3	5	4	5	5	4	5	3	
120	4	4	3	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	
121	4	5	4	4	5	4	4	4	3	4	3	4	3	3	4	4	4	4	3	4	3	
122	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	
123	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
124	5	4	2	5	5	4	4	3	4	4	3	4	4	3	4	5	5	5	4	5	4	
125	5	5	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	2	2	2	3	5	5	5	3	4	2	
126	4	4	2	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	4	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	3	
127	5	4	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	4	4	5	5	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	
128	5	4	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	4	4	5	5	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	
129	4	4	2	4	4	4	4	2	4	4	4	2	2	2	4	4	4	4	2	4	2	
130	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	4	3	3	3	3	4	4	3	3	3	3	
131	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
132	5	4	2	5	4	3	4	2	4	4	2	3	4	3	4	5	5	5	4	5	3	
133	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	5	4	4	

134	5	4	4	4	5	4	5	5	4	4	4	3	4	4	4	5	4	5	5	5	5	
135	3	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4
136	4	4	2	5	3	4	4	5	3	4	2	3	3	3	4	4	3	3	3	4	4	
137	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
138	4	4	4	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	
139	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	
140	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	4	4	4	4	5	4	5	4	4
141	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	4	3	2	3	5	5	4	5	4	2	
142	5	3	3	5	3	3	3	3	5	4	3	4	3	3	3	3	4	3	3	3	5	
143	5	5	2	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	2	4	4	3	4	5	5	5	4	4	3
144	5	4	4	5	4	3	3	2	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	5	5	4	4	4	4	
145	5	5	3	5	4	4	5	4	4	4	3	3	3	2	3	4	4	4	3	3	3	
146	5	5	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	
147	5	5	5	5	5	3	4	3	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	
148	4	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	4	3	4	5	4	4	4	
149	4	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	4	3	4	5	4	4	4	
150	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	5	4	4	5	4	4	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	4	

151	4	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	4	3	4	5	4	4	4
152	5	4	5	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	5	4	5	4	5
153	5	4	3	5	3	3	4	4	3	3	3	2	4	3	3	4	4	5	4	5	3
154	5	4	3	3	3	4	4	4	3	4	4	3	4	3	4	4	4	4	3	3	4
155	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	4	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	4
156	5	5	3	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	1	3	3	3	4	4	3	3	4	4
157	5	5	3	5	5	3	4	4	5	5	5	3	4	4	3	4	5	4	4	4	4
158	5	4	5	5	3	4	4	3	4	4	4	2	4	2	3	4	5	4	4	4	3
159	5	4	3	5	4	4	4	3	4	4	3	3	3	2	3	5	5	5	4	4	3
160	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	3	5	5	4	3	4	3	5	4	4	5	5	5	4
161	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
162	4	4	3	5	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	3	4	5	5	5	5	5	3
163	5	4	4	4	4	5	5	3	3	4	3	4	4	4	5	3	4	4	4	4	5
164	4	4	4	5	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	4	4	4	5
165	5	5	3	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	4	5	3	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5
166	4	5	3	5	4	4	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5
167	4	4	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	4	3	3	3

168	5	5	4	5	5	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	4
169	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	3	3	4	3	3	3	3	4	4	3	3	4	3	3
170	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	5	4	4	3	4	3	3	4	4	4	4	3	3
171	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
172	5	4	3	4	4	3	3	3	4	4	3	3	3	3	4	4	4	4	3	3	3
173	3	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
174	4	4	3	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	3	3	4	4
175	5	5	3	5	5	4	4	3	4	3	3	3	3	3	4	5	5	5	4	4	4
176	4	4	5	4	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
177	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
178	4	4	5	5	4	4	4	3	4	4	5	5	4	5	4	5	5	5	4	4	4
179	5	4	3	4	4	4	4	3	4	4	4	3	4	3	3	5	5	5	4	4	3
180	4	3	2	3	2	3	3	2	3	3	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
181	3	4	2	5	5	4	4	4	5	4	5	3	3	3	3	5	5	5	3	4	4
182	5	4	4	5	4	5	5	4	3	3	3	3	3	2	3	4	4	4	4	5	4
183	5	4	3	5	4	4	4	2	3	4	3	2	2	2	2	4	4	3	3	4	3
184	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	4

185	3	4	4	5	3	3	3	4	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	4	3	3	3	4	2	3
186	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	3	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	4
187	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
188	5	5	3	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	2	4	4	3	3
189	5	4	4	4	5	4	3	3	3	3	4	2	3	2	3	3	3	3	4	4	3	3
190	5	4	3	5	5	3	3	3	4	5	4	3	4	3	4	5	4	4	4	5	3	
191	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	3	3	3	3	5	5	5	4	4	4	
192	5	4	3	5	5	4	4	4	5	5	5	4	4	3	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	
193	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	4	5	5	5	4	4	3	4	5	5	5	4	4	3	
194	5	4	4	4	2	4	4	4	3	3	4	3	4	2	3	4	4	4	3	3	2	
195	5	5	4	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	4	3	3	4	4	5	4	4	4	5	5	
196	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	4	
197	4	4	3	4	3	4	5	4	3	3	4	3	3	3	3	4	4	3	3	2	3	
198	3	3	2	3	4	4	3	3	2	3	3	3	2	3	3	3	3	2	2	2	2	
199	4	4	4	5	4	4	5	4	5	5	5	4	5	4	4	5	5	5	4	5	4	
200	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	
201	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	3	4	4	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	4	4	4	

202	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	
203	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	5
204	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	4	4	4
205	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	4	4	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4
206	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	4	4	3	4	3	3	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	3
207	5	4	3	5	5	4	4	5	4	4	3	5	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4
208	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	4	4	3	4	3	3	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	3
209	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	4	5	5	4	3	4	3	4	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4
210	5	5	4	5	5	4	4	4	4	5	3	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	4

LAMPIRAN 3 HASIL OLAH DATA IBM SPSS Statistics 25

DATA PERSEPSI RESPONDEN

Kenyamanan

		Statistics				
		X1.1	X1.2	X1.3	X1.4	X1.5
N	Valid	210	210	210	210	210
	Missing	0	0	0	0	0
Mean		4.66	4.31	3.89	4.58	1.00
Std. Deviation		0.533	0.645	0.905	0.646	0.760

Desain aplikasi

		Statistics		
		X2.1	X2.2	X2.3
N	Valid	210	210	210
	Missing	0	0	0
Mean		4.10	4.11	3.89
Std. Deviation		0.767	0.820	0.940

Kepercayaan

		Statistics		
		x3.1	X3.2	X3.3
N	Valid	210	210	210
	Missing	0	0	0
Mean		4.25	4.26	3.99
Std. Deviation		0.709	0.707	0.833

Harga

		Statistics			
		x4.1	x4.2	x4.3	X4.4
N	Valid	210	210	210	210
	Missing	0	0	0	0
Mean		3.80	3.88	3.78	4.01
Std. Deviation		0.889	0.815	0.912	0.770

Variasi Makanan

		Statistics		
		X5.1	X5.2	X5.3
N	Valid	210	210	210
	Missing	0	0	0
Mean		4.39	4.38	4.36
Std. Deviation		0.663	0.683	0.707

Persepsi Nilai Pelanggan

		Statistics		
		Y1.1	Y1.2	Y1.3
N	Valid	210	210	210
	Missing	0	0	0
Mean		4.17	4.27	3.87
Std. Deviation		0.712	0.730	0.819

UJI VALIDITAS VARIABEL KENYAMANAN

Correlations							
		X1.1	X1.2	X1.3	X1.4	X1.5	totalX1
X1.1	Pearson Correlation	1	.338**	.236**	.396**	.326**	.603**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000
	N	210	210	210	210	210	210
X1.2	Pearson Correlation	.338**	1	.413**	.431**	.404**	.734**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.000		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
	N	210	210	210	210	210	210
X1.3	Pearson Correlation	.236**	.413**	1	.293**	.291**	.702**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.001	0.000		0.000	0.000	0.000
	N	210	210	210	210	210	210
X1.4	Pearson Correlation	.396**	.431**	.293**	1	.433**	.711**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.000	0.000	0.000		0.000	0.000
	N	210	210	210	210	210	210
X1.5	Pearson Correlation	.326**	.404**	.291**	.433**	1	.714**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000		0.000
	N	210	210	210	210	210	210
totalX1	Pearson Correlation	.603**	.734**	.702**	.711**	.714**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
	N	210	210	210	210	210	210

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

UJI RELIABILITAS VARIABEL KENYAMANAN

Reliability Statistics	
Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
0.719	5

Item-Total Statistics				
	Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item-Total Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted
X1.1	17.06	4.638	0.434	0.692
X1.2	17.40	4.032	0.568	0.639
X1.3	17.83	3.645	0.420	0.713
X1.4	17.14	4.100	0.535	0.651
X1.5	17.43	3.854	0.498	0.663

UJI VALIDITAS VARIABEL DESAIN APLIKASI

Correlations					
		X2.1	X2.2	X2.3	totalX2
X2.1	Pearson Correlation	1	.789**	.566**	.880**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		0.000	0.000	0.000
	N	210	210	210	210
X2.2	Pearson Correlation	.789**	1	.612**	.904**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.000		0.000	0.000
	N	210	210	210	210
X2.3	Pearson Correlation	.566**	.612**	1	.848**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.000	0.000		0.000
	N	210	210	210	210
totalX2	Pearson Correlation	.880**	.904**	.848**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.000	0.000	0.000	
	N	210	210	210	210

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

UJI RELIABILITAS DESAIN APLIKASI

Reliability Statistics	
Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
0.843	3

Item-Total Statistics				
	Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item-Total Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted
X2.1	8.00	2.498	0.746	0.755
X2.2	7.99	2.287	0.780	0.714
X2.3	8.21	2.253	0.624	0.881

UJI VALIDITAS KEPERCAYAAN

Correlations					
		x3.1	X3.2	X3.3	totalX3
x3.1	Pearson Correlation	1	.776**	.468**	.874**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		0.000	0.000	0.000
	N	210	210	210	210
X3.2	Pearson Correlation	.776**	1	.445**	.864**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.000		0.000	0.000
	N	210	210	210	210
X3.3	Pearson Correlation	.468**	.445**	1	.785**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.000	0.000		0.000
	N	210	210	210	210
totalX3	Pearson Correlation	.874**	.864**	.785**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.000	0.000	0.000	
	N	210	210	210	210

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

UJI RELIABILITAS KEPERCAYAAN

Reliability Statistics	
Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
0.783	3

Item-Total Statistics				
	Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item-Total Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted
x3.1	8.25	1.718	0.716	0.610
X3.2	8.23	1.749	0.696	0.632
X3.3	8.51	1.782	0.484	0.874

UJI VALIDITAS HARGA

Correlations						
		x4.1	x4.2	x4.3	X4.4	totalX4
x4.1	Pearson Correlation	1	.654**	.537**	.646**	.853**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
	N	210	210	210	210	210
x4.2	Pearson Correlation	.654**	1	.523**	.604**	.828**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.000		0.000	0.000	0.000
	N	210	210	210	210	210
x4.3	Pearson Correlation	.537**	.523**	1	.609**	.809**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.000	0.000		0.000	0.000
	N	210	210	210	210	210
X4.4	Pearson Correlation	.646**	.604**	.609**	1	.847**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.000	0.000	0.000		0.000
	N	210	210	210	210	210
totalX4	Pearson Correlation	.853**	.828**	.809**	.847**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
	N	210	210	210	210	210

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

UJI RELIABILITAS HARGA

Reliability Statistics	
Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
0.852	4

Item-Total Statistics				
	Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item-Total Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted
x4.1	11.67	4.482	0.718	0.801
x4.2	11.60	4.826	0.694	0.812
x4.3	11.69	4.636	0.638	0.838
X4.4	11.46	4.881	0.734	0.798

UJI VALIDITAS VARIASI MAKANAN

Correlations					
		X5.1	X5.2	X5.3	totalX5
X5.1	Pearson Correlation	1	.731**	.558**	.867**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		0.000	0.000	0.000
	N	210	210	210	210
X5.2	Pearson Correlation	.731**	1	.654**	.908**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.000		0.000	0.000
	N	210	210	210	210
X5.3	Pearson Correlation	.558**	.654**	1	.849**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.000	0.000		0.000
	N	210	210	210	210
totalX5	Pearson Correlation	.867**	.908**	.849**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.000	0.000	0.000	
	N	210	210	210	210

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

UJI RELIABILITAS VARIASI MAKANAN

Reliability Statistics	
Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
0.846	3

Item-Total Statistics				
	Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item-Total Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted
X5.1	8.74	1.599	0.707	0.791
X5.2	8.75	1.462	0.783	0.716
X5.3	8.77	1.567	0.653	0.844

UJI VALIDITAS PERSEPSI NILAI PELANGGAN

Correlations					
		Y1.1	Y1.2	Y1.3	TotalY
Y1.1	Pearson Correlation	1	.564**	.442**	.813**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		0.000	0.000	0.000
	N	210	210	210	210
Y1.2	Pearson Correlation	.564**	1	.437**	.816**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.000		0.000	0.000
	N	210	210	210	210
Y1.3	Pearson Correlation	.442**	.437**	1	.795**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.000	0.000		0.000
	N	210	210	210	210
TotalY	Pearson Correlation	.813**	.816**	.795**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.000	0.000	0.000	
	N	210	210	210	210

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

UJI RELIABILITAS PERSEPSI NILAI PELANGGAN

Reliability Statistics	
Cronbach's Alpha	N of Items
0.730	3

Item-Total Statistics				
	Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item-Total Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted
Y1.1	8.14	1.727	0.589	0.605
Y1.2	8.04	1.692	0.583	0.608
Y1.3	8.44	1.626	0.497	0.721



HASIL ANALISIS REGRESI BERGANDA

Model Summary				
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.782 ^a	0.612	0.603	1.151
a. Predictors: (Constant), totalX5, totalX4, totalX2, totalX1, totalX3				

ANOVA ^a					
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F
1	Regression	426.641	5	85.328	64.413
	Residual	270.240	204	1.325	
	Total	696.881	209		

a. Dependent Variable: TotalY

b. Predictors: (Constant), totalX5, totalX4, totalX2, totalX1, totalX3

Coefficients ^a					
Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Beta	t
		B	Std. Error		
1	(Constant)	1.492	0.770		1.937
	totalX1	0.041	0.048	0.055	0.860
	totalX2	0.032	0.051	0.039	0.631
	totalX3	0.215	0.063	0.222	3.416
	totalX4	0.292	0.038	0.452	7.726
	totalX5	0.177	0.055	0.174	3.219

a. Dependent Variable: totally



LAMPIRAN 4 JURNAL ACUAN

The Relationship Between Food Delivery Apps Attributes Towards Customer Perceived Value Among Young Working Adults In Shah Alam

Azizul, Jamaludin; Albattat, Ahmad; Ahmad Shahriman, Ismail; Irfan, Kamal Fitri

Abstract: The development in sustenance delivery application is a huge reason to attribute to the customer in an incentive in Shah Alam, Malaysia. Mobile application had gathered this chance adjusting to restaurant and food delivery services, as an alternative to increase income and for customer to receive product and services. This research was designed to specifically explore five quality attributes representing conveniences, design, trustworthiness, price and various food choices associated with food delivery apps with consideration to their impact upon customer perceived value among young working adult. Result determined that price is the most important quality attribute of the food delivery app among young working adults as at this stage of age they are still in a process of career building. Plus, their monthly income also is below than RM2,000. Through a quantitative method using paper-based survey, a total of 276 surveys were collected. The paper-based survey or questionnaire was at Seksyen 7, Seksyen 9, Seksyen 13 and Seksyen 15 Shah Alam where the data collection of respondents is conducted.

Index Terms: Convenience, Customer Perceived Value, Design, Food Delivery Apps, Price, Trustworthiness, Various Food Choices, Young Working Adult

1. INTRODUCTION

THE food delivery app has expanded in fame, the focused elements of the food delivery advertise have expended. The food delivery apps have increased in demand, the competitive dynamic of the food delivery apps market have growth in worldwide [12]. The mobile apps developers and retailers can use the overall characteristic and techniques of mobile apps as external inspiration to influence customers characteristic, which in turn, operate to the online purchasing behavior [13]. There are a few food delivery apps provides in Malaysia. The food delivery companies like Food Panda, Dah makan, Grab Food, Honest Bee, Delivery Eat, Shogun2u, mammam, and Running Man Delivery. Online food ordering and food delivery apps satisfied the need of busy individuals living in the cities that place their order online and receive the service within few minutes. A study regarding the food delivery apps and services has investigated the determinants of trust, satisfaction and loyalty mostly in the offline consumer environments [17]. In e-commerce environments, the time of the delivery service plays a crucial role in satisfying and retaining customers [30]. Ordering can fulfil the variable, particularly on-time delivery services, dominate the effects of overall customers satisfaction and evaluations. Base on urban dictionary, young working adult is a person between age 18 to mid-late twenties, which are 29 years old who is in the existence phase of finding where they fit throughout everyday life. These people are in the process of getting higher education, colleagues, graduating, seeking for jobs, maintaining their jobs, and building stable careers [1]. Prior to 1963, Shah Alam known as Sungai Renggam, it was an oil palm plantation area located between Petaling Jaya and Royal Klang City and it was linked to the only highway called as Federal Highway. City of Shah Alam was opened in 1963 aimed at being the centre of

Selangor administration after Kuala Lumpur was made as Federal Territory in February 1974. On 7 December 1978 on the consent of DTMM Sultan of Selangor, 8th, the late Sultan Salahuddin Abdul Aziz Shah Al-Haj, has declared Shah Alam as the capital of Selangor.

2 LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Convenience

Convenience is no longer an alternative, it's imperative. Customers want convenience and won't settle for bad, complicated or cumbersome experiences. Convenience is a universal draw and a motivator for customers to choose one company over another, as well as being a salient indicator of how your organization has committed to easing the lives of those customers. In a study about customer choice, 97 percent of participants stated that convenience was the primary criteria motivating their decision about where they spend their time and money [26].

2.2 Design

Grøtnes (2009) noticed that the most supported explanation behind mobile apps is comfortable and easy to use base of the structure of the apps to peruse and buy items [9]. Helpfulness and viability of convenient applications have been comprehensively perceived as essential structure segments in the past writing [19]. In this manner, it very well may be settled upon that versatile application ought to be intended for simplicity of requesting, and ought to be accessible whenever and at wherever. Nonetheless, it ought not be disregarded that buyers hate to sustenance conveyance applications to arrange 'food' uniquely in contrast to their do contrasted with when buying the product.

2.3 Trustworthiness

Given its certain significance to showcasing connections, it is unsurprising the trust issue got extensive consideration in past studies and is viewed as the supporting various communications both of the non-business and business consideration [2]. Nevertheless, while comprehension of the way by which trust impacts on exhibiting associations and

- Azizul Jamaludin is currently the Dean of School of Hospitality & Creative Arts in Management & Science University, Malaysia, PH+60132023021. E-mail: azizul_jamaludin@msu.edu.my
- Albattat, Ahmad is currently a Senior Lecturer in Postgraduate Centre, Management & Science University, Malaysia, PH+60195954499. E-mail: ahmad_rasmi@msu.edu.my

relationship quality is all around made, there is altogether less in the technique for organizing observational research to address the progenitors of trust, in spite of that trust decision must be established on past rousing parts. We realize that trust is a forerunner of responsibility in a relationship [25] and that a decent, solid relationship can affect decidedly on a scope of result factors including offer of business, client backing and benefit [3].

2.4 Price

Price is a yield of business sectors or value setting (evaluating) choices. Taxes and different contracts can be seen as capacities with numerous information sources the yield is a present or future price. Price is likewise a contribution to business sectors, for example request reaction offer cost. Price is significant data. Given the present cost and some feeling of history, or both present and future costs, office the board frameworks can settle on mechanical choices on vitality utilization, timing of the procedure. Some buyers requested food delivery apps can be adequately returned, however sustenance order can't be the way they want it [5].

2.5 Various of Food Choice

The quality and sorts of sustenance's and refreshment assumed a vital job in assuring and framing consumer loyalty. Quality characteristics of nourishment and refreshment, for example smell, taste, quality, and other appearances are reliable and desire consequently [8]. In food delivery app industry, product has been chosen and displayed by caterers are selected for their colour and appearance attributes. These attributes help to draw consumer attention and later impact the decisions on whether they are buying or not buying the product. Taste and other appearance features are the consumer first impression of the food delivery apps services. Food and beverage quality is categorized as one of the essential elements of customer satisfaction and is an effective indicator of customer intention to return again to a particular restaurant.

2.6 Customer Perceived Value

Researchers and specialists will in general concur that recognizing proper quality characteristics of portable applications ought to be completely founded on the client assessment and have started to examine what versatile application quality ascribes would be imperative to drive introduces, help appropriation and addition client dedication [10]. Identified five essential quality attributes that included 'conveniences', 'trustworthiness', 'information quality', 'scope quality' and 'aesthetics' it based on user rating health and well-being mobile apps. A different study found that assessed mobile app quality is associated with user satisfaction.

2.7 Research Framework




Fig. 1. Research framework and the hypothesis for convenience, design, trustworthiness, price and various food choices towards customer perceived value.

3 METHODOLOGY

The research design is plan of the research projects that can be a guideline for the research to collect data and analyse their research. Research design can be defined as a guideline to ensure the information collected will be relevant and useful [33]. The proper research design will help to ensure the research on conducting effective. Quantitative research is the approach used in this study to obtain data and analysis of the research. Quantitative research focuses on statistical analysis of numerical data collected through the use of large-scale survey research, use method such as the questionnaires [27]. These studies frequently involve large sample size to have a more arithmetical controller for generalization of the findings. In quantitative approach, we primarily distribute to answer some of the research questions. The specific target population for this research was the consumer in Shah Alam area. The amount of population in Shah Alam estimated around 650,000 people [34]. The researchers' sample criteria are among young working adults, which is between 18 years old and 29 years old that used service of food delivery app. Proper selection of sample criteria will optimize the external and internal validity of the study. The sample size is the number of the target respondents that are selected among the population while distributing the survey questionnaire. The number of population of Shah Alam is estimated around 650,000 after estimating who use the food delivery apps services by using Krejcie & Morgan table [18] based on population, the number of questionnaires needed to be collected is 271 however we manage to collect 276 questionnaires to avoid rejected questionnaires.

3 DATA ANALYSIS, RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

4.1 Reliability Test

The reliability test (Cronbach's Alpha) was undertaken for the pilot test on Section B, C, and D all together. Cronbach's Alpha given a score for reliability or internal consistency of a scale and it is stated that as a number from zero to one with adequate values being at least 0.7 [21]. There for the result of Cronbach's Alpha value shown that is higher than 0.7 are considered acceptable and reliable.

TABLE 1
RELIABILITY STATISTIC FOR ALL VARIABLES

Cronbach's Alpha	Cronbach's Alpha Based on Standardized Items	N of Items
.820	.823	18

4.2 Demographic

TABLE 2
DEMOGRAPHIC

Item	Frequency	Percentage %
Gender		
Male	96	34.8
Female	180	65.2
Age		
18 – 20 Years Old	42	15.2
21 – 24 Years Old	203	73.6
25 – 29 Years Old	31	11.2
The most used application		
Food Panda	203	73.6
Grab Food	143	51.8
Dah Makan	62	22.5
Honest Bee	10	3.6
Delivery Eat	4	1.4

Monthly usage frequency	Mammam	2	0.7	Trustworthiness							
	Running Man	9	3.3	I trust the food delivery apps							
	1-2 Times	173	62.7	I felt secure in ordering food through the food delivery app							
	3-4 Times	82	29.7	The information provided the delivery app is reliable							
	5-6 Times	15	5.4								
	7-8 Times	4	1.4								
	9 Times	2	.7								
	< RM2,000	223	80.8	Price							
Monthly income	RM2,000	—	12.7	When I order through the food delivery app, the food is valuable based on the price							
	RM3,999	—	12	When I order food through the delivery app, the food is economical							
	RM4,000	—	4.3	When I order food through the delivery app, the food is reasonably priced							
	RM5,999	—	4								
	RM6,000	—	1.4								
	RM8,999	—	2								
	>RM9,000	—	7								
Various Food Choices											
The food delivery app offers a variety of restaurant choices											
The food delivery app offers a variety of food choices											
I can order food while a wide range of prices through the food delivery app											
Customer Perceived Value											
I feel I am getting good food product with a reasonable price when I use the food delivery app											
Using the food delivery app is worthy for me to devote my time and efforts											
Compared with conventional food purchasing ways, it is wise to use the food delivery app											

Based on the table 2, female respondents are the highest compared to female respondents with 65.2% and 34.8% respectively. This shows that female frequently uses food delivery services compared to their counterpart. This is because women are fully aware that they are busy and had limited access of the services, their vulnerability rate to use the service is increased, especially after a lot of cases happened among women during working hours and during night time. The majority of the respondents are between 21 to 24 years old, representing 73.6% of the whole respondents, while the least respondents come from the age of 25 to 29 with 11.2%. Based on this data, this can be concluded that respondents who usually use this service are from 21 to 24 years old young working adults who used the services of food delivery apps because as they have a busy work schedule. The table above shows the frequency of respondent's chosen application to purchase the services. 73.6% of 203 respondents usually use this service from Food Panda, followed by 143 respondents (51.8%) who use Grab Food. Respondents Mammam are the least respondents with 0.8%. This shows that most respondents use this service by using Food Panda. The frequency of respondents using the food delivery apps services per week. 62.7% of 173 respondents usually use this service 1-2 times monthly, followed by 82 respondents (29.7%) who use it 3-4 times monthly. Respondents more than 9 times or more a month are the least respondents with 0.7%. This shows that most respondents use these services 1-2 times only in emergency cases and as a way to avoid traffic jam during the peak hours.

4.3 Descriptive Statistics

TABLE 3
DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS

Variables	N	Mean	Std. Deviation				
Convenience							
Using the food delivery app would be convenient for me	276	4.07	.692				
The food delivery app would allow me to order food anytime	276	4.09	.750				
The food delivery app would allow me to order food at any place	276	3.93	.824				
Design							
The food delivery app structure is logical and easy to follow	276	3.97	.654				
The food delivery app design is concise and easy to understand	276	3.99	.758				
All the terms and conditions (e.g., payment, warranty) of the delivery app are easy to read/understand	276	4.03	.735				

4.4 Correlation

TABLE 4
CORRELATION

Hypothesis	Remarks	Pearson Correlation	Strength
H1: There is a positive relationship between convenience and customer perceived value	Supported	.392	Small but definite relationship
H2: There is a positive relationship between design and customer perceived value	Supported	.306	Small but definite relationship
H3: There is a positive relationship between trustworthiness and customer perceived value	Supported	.439	Moderate
H4: There is a positive relationship between price and customer perceived value	Supported	.595	Moderate
H5: There is a positive relationship between various food choices and customer perceived value	Supported	.545	Moderate

4.4 Discussion

Objective 1: To Identify the Relationship between Food Delivery Apps Attributes towards Customer Perceived Value among Young Working Adult in Shah Alam, Selangor, Malaysia. From the research findings and to identify the relationship between food delivery apps attributes towards customer perceived value among young working adult in Shah Alam, Selangor, Malaysia. From the analysis, all the

relationship was supported, but in different strength. There is a positive relationship between convenience and customer perceived value and has a moderate contribution to the customer perceived value. Trustworthiness was the highest result of respondents where they are concerned about the services trust on the product and services, the up-to-date equipment to feel comfort when using the services of the food delivery apps. Meanwhile, there is a positive relationship between design and customer perceived value, has a small but definite relationship. The majority of respondents are young working adult age between 21 and 24 years old. The reliability in the context of the service quality is defined as offering the right services to the respondents at the first time so that the respondents get more satisfied. Besides, it is important for food delivery apps to keep to their promises on doing something at a certain time, so that respondents won't be disappointed looking forward to it. There is a positive relationship between trustworthiness and customer perceived value, but has small definite relationship. Other than that although the customer services provided by food delivery apps company staffs is below satisfactory so the food delivery apps customer services should meet the needs of respondents to get customer retention. There is a positive relationship between design and perceived value, it's because respondent wants the apps of food delivery to be more simple and not complicated to use. Respondent prefers each staff to individually pay attention to each customer. Respondent also wants staff with better understanding. There is a positive relationship between various food choices and customer perceived value, has a small but definite relationship. Respondent wants the food delivery apps to provide information in an easy way so that it wouldn't be hard for them looking for the information they want such as always inform respondents by social media. Objective 2: To Identify the Most Influences Attribution of Food Delivery Apps towards Customer Perceived Value among Young Working Adult. From the research findings and from the answers to the research open questions. Price was the highest relationship towards customer perceived value as most of the respondents are young working adults. They are in the stage of building their career. Furthermore, most of the respondents' monthly income are below than RM2,000 (80.8%). Therefore, they are more concern about the price charged by the food delivery app. From the analysis shows, indeed, food delivery apps in Shah Alam were practicing service quality practices in the processes of service delivery to a moderate extend which had a positive effect on the relationship between service quality among young working adult towards customer retention. According to Parasuraman et al (1985), is how the service provider is able to provide service to a respondent as promised, dependable as promised, dependable in handling respondent service problems, performs service right at the first time, provide services as promised time and keep respondents informed about the services performed. The study further concluded that the adoption of food delivery apps service quality in Shah Alam, Selangor had a positive relationship of customer perceived value in term of trustworthiness. This relationship, if properly harnessed, could be used to ensure efficient and timely service of food delivery apps. Food delivery apps should be aware and improve in term of the value that reliable. Based on analysis found that respondent prefers a reliable service rather than having a problem with the apps or late food delivery problems. Providers first and best efforts are better to

be on spending making service reliable.

5 CONCLUSION

From the research findings and the answers to the research open-ended questions, some conclusions can be made about the study. We conclude that price has the highest relationship towards customer perceived, because from the analysis, it shows that the respondent's concern about the services by the food delivery app that is convenience, design, trustworthiness and various food choices are positively related to customer perceived value but with a small contribution. The recommendation for the food delivery app companies is to improve their price of the food in the food delivery app as mentioned from the respondent in open-ended question. They can have various food prices from the entire various restaurants that they have listed in the food delivery app. They can list from the reasonable to the expensive food for the young working adult to choose from. Additionally, the food delivery app companies assure the food from the restaurants has to be economical for the young working adult so that will keep on using the food delivery app. Other than that, food delivery app companies also have to assure that the restaurant that are listed under their app has various food choices of the young working adults to choose from for their meals. The food delivery app companies also could give the options for the young working adult to choose the food from the budget that they have for them to purchase their meals.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to extend their appreciation to Management & Science University for the opportunity and financial support which made this study and paper possible.

REFERENCES

- [1] Abu Bakar, N., Subramaniam, K., & Samsul Anwar, N. (2018). The relationship between service quality towards customer retention, a case study among young adults passenger at KTM komuter KL Sentral, Kuala Lumpur.
- [2] Ben-Ner, A., & Halldorsson, F. (2010). Trusting and trustworthiness: What are they, how to measure them, and what affects them. *Journal Of Economic Psychology*, 31(1), 64-79.
- [3] Berry, J. (2008). Sub-regional Economic Development–Consolidation and Culture, *Local Economy*, 23(2), 184-189
- [4] Chandrasekhar, N., Gupta, S., & Nanda, N. (2019). Food Delivery Services and Customer Preference: A Comparative Analysis. *Journal of Foodservice Business Research*, 1-12.
- [5] Cho, M., Bonn, M. A., & Li, J. J. (2019). Differences in perceptions about food delivery apps between single-person and multi-person households. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 77, 108-116.
- [6] Correa, J. C., Garzón, W., Brooker, P., Sakarkar, G., Carranza, S. A., Yunado, L., & Rincón, A. (2019). Evaluation of collaborative consumption of food delivery services through web mining techniques. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 46, 45-50.
- [7] De Silva, A. D. A., Khatibi, A., & Azam, S. F. (2018). Do the demographic differences manifest in motivation to learn science and impact on science performance? Evidence from Sri Lanka. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 16(1), 47-67.
- [8] Dollah, SN, Mansor, N. & Mohamed, M. (2012). Exploring

- the major determinants of student satisfaction on university cafeteria food services: A Malaysian case. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Research in Business*
- [9] Grøtnes, E. (2009). Standardization as open innovation: two cases from the mobile industry. *Information Technology & People*, Vol. 22(4), 367-381
- [10] Handel, M. (2011). mHealth (Mobile Health)—Using Apps for Health and Wellness. *Explore*, 7(4), 256-261
- [11] He, Z., Han, G., Cheng, T. C. E., Fan, B., & Dong, J. (2019). Evolutionary food quality and location strategies for restaurants in competitive online-to-offline food ordering and delivery markets: An agent-based approach. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 215, 61-72.
- [12] Jeong, S. W. (2016). Food delivery gets its apps. Retrieved from <https://koreana.or.kr/home/homeIndex.do>
- [13] Jiang, Z., Chan, J., Tan, Bernard C.Y. & Chua, W. S. (2010). Effects of Interactivity on Website Involvement and Purchase Intention. *Journal of the Association for Information Systems*: 1(1)
- [14] Kang, J. W., & Namkung, Y. (2019). The information quality and source credibility matter in customers' evaluation toward food O2O commerce. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 78, 189-198.
- [15] Katukurunda, K. G. W. K., Yajid, M. S. A., Khatibi, A., & Azam, S. F. (2018). Students' satisfaction Towards Biosystems Technology; Does Programme Quality Matters? (Evidence From Sri Lankan Perspectives). *European Journal of Open Education and E-learning Studies*.
- [16] Katukurunda, K. G. W. K., Yajid, M. S. A., Khatibi, A., & Ferdous Azam, S. M. (2018). Programme Quality, Students' Satisfaction and Their Word-of-Mouth: Is There any Relationship. *International Journal of Recent Innovations in Academic Research*, 2(8), 246-265.
- [17] Kedah, Z., Ismail, Y., Haque, A. A., & Ahmed, S. (2015). Key success factors of online food ordering services: An empirical study. *Malaysian Management Review*, 50(2), 19-36.
- [18] Krejcie, R. V & Morgan, D. W. (1970). Determining Sample Size for Research Activities," *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 30, 607-610.
- [19] Lee, S. H. (2011). Efficient, and Secure Content Distribution in Heterogeneous Mobile Wireless Networks
- [20] Martinez, D., & Kreynin, D. (2019). U.S. Patent Application No. 15/954,881.
- [21] McNeely, H. L., Thomason, K. K., & Tong, S. (2018). Pediatric fall risk assessment tool comparison and validation study. *Journal of pediatric nursing*, 41, 96-103.
- [22] Mohamad, H. A. D., Ab Yazid, M. S., Khatibi, A., & Azam, S. F. (2017). Measuring the mediating role of customer satisfaction between service quality and customer loyalty in UAE hotel industry. *European Journal of Management and Marketing Studies*.
- [23] Mohamad, H. A. D., Ab Yazid, M. S., Khatibi, A., & Azam, S. F. (2017). Service Quality, Customer Satisfaction and Customer Loyalty of The Hotel Industry in United Arab Emirates (UAE): A Measurement Model. *European Journal of Management and Marketing Studies*
- [24] Mohd Yusof, Z., Mohd Yusof, F., & Mohd Yusof, Y. (2016). Determinants towards Food Delivery Service through E-Commerce in Pasir Gudang Area. *Journal of Modern Education Review*, 6(9), 622-631. doi: 10.15341/jmer(2155-7993)/09.06.2016/006
- [25] Morgan, R., & Hunt, S. (1994). The Commitment-Trust Theory of Relationship Marketing. *Journal Of Marketing*, 58(3), 20.
- [26] Packard, J. and Hyken, S. (2018). The Convenience Revolution. Retrieved from <https://hyken.com/online-store/the-convenience-revolution/>
- [27] Ponto, J. (2015). Understanding and evaluating survey research. *Journal of the advanced practitioner in oncology*, 6(2), 168.
- [28] Prabowo, G. T., & Nugroho, A. (2019, March). Factors that Influence the Attitude and Behavioral Intention of Indonesian Users toward Online Food Delivery Service by the Go-Food Application. In 12th International Conference on Business and Management Research (ICBMR 2018). Atlantis Press.
- [29] Roy Dholakia, R., & Zhao, M. (2010). Effects of online store attributes on customer satisfaction and repurchase intentions. *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*, 38(7), 482-496.
- [30] Sadoff, S., & Samek, A. (2019). Can interventions affect commitment demand? A field experiment on food choice. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, 158, 90-109.
- [31] Suhartanto, D., Helmi Ali, M., Tan, K. H., Sjahroeddin, F., & Kusdibyo, L. (2019). Loyalty toward online food delivery service: the role of e-service quality and food quality. *Journal of foodservice business research*, 22(1), 81-97.
- [32] Sutton, J., & Austin, Z. (2015). Qualitative research: Data collection, analysis, and management. *The Canadian journal of hospital pharmacy*, 68(3), 226.
- [33] Vignesh, G., & Arun, B. (2019). A study on online food delivery service market (swiggy). *ZENITH International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research*, 9(4), 370-376.
- [34] World Population Review. Retrieved from: <http://worldpopulationreview.com>
- [35] Yap Peng Lok, S., Vui, C. N., Chuen, S. K., & Wei, L. H. (2019). Modelling Perceived Value, Trust, Satisfaction and Consumer Commitment: An Analysis of Fast Moving Consumer Goods in Malaysia. *Global Business & Management Research*, 11(2).