## THE USE OF MAGNETORHEOLOGICAL DAMPERS AS SEMI ACTIVE DEVICE FOR STRUCTURES SUBJECTED TO EARTHQUAKE

**Final Project** 

by:

## ALDORIO PRASTYAWAN SATRIAJAYA

081313080



# INTERNATIONAL S1 PROGRAM DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING FACULTY OF ENGINEERING ATMA JAYA YOGYAKARTA UNIVERSITY

May 2013

## DECLARATION

I hereby declare this final project report with the title:

# THE USE OF MAGNETORHEOLOGICAL DAMPERS AS SEMI ACTIVE DEVICE FOR STRUCTURES SUBJECTED TO EARTHQUAKE

is my own work and not plagiarism. Idea, results or quotations which are from other sources are stated in this final report.

Yogyakarta, April 2013 METERAL 4DA0EABF701700922

AldorioPrastyawanSatriajaya

#### LEGISLATION

### **Final Project Report**

### THE USE OF MAGNETORHEOLOGICAL DAMPERS AS SEMI ACTIVE DEVICE FOR STRUCTURES SUBJECTED TO EARTHQUAKE



AldorioPrastyawanSatriajaya

Student ID: 08 13 13080

Has been examined and approved by

Name

1. Prof. Ir. Yoyong Arfiadi, M.Eng., Ph.D

2. Dr. Ir. AM. Ade Lisantono, M.Eng.

3. Ir. Pranawa Widagdo, M.T.

Signature

Date <u>13/66/2013</u> **13/66/2013** 07/6 <sup>2</sup>013

#### LEGISLATION

#### **Final Project Report**

#### THE USE OF MAGNETORHEOLOGICAL DAMPERS AS SEMI ACTIVE DEVICE FOR STRUCTURES SUBJECTED TO EARTHQUAKE

By: Aldorio Prastyawan Satriajaya Student ID: 0813 13080 Has been approved Yogyakarta, 13. JUNE 2013 Advisor Madaaa

Has been legalized by

Head of Civil Engineering Department

J. Januar Sudjati, S.T., M.T.

#### Acknowledgement

I wish to express my profound gratitude to my supervisor, Prof. Ir. YoyongArfiadi, M.Eng., Ph.D for his invaluable advice and guidance during the completion of this report. I would like also to thank to Dr. Ir. A.M Ade Lisantono, M.Eng, dean of civil engineering department at Universitas Atma Jaya Yogyakarta and all lecturers in Engineering Faculty UAJY.

I would like to thank to PCMI and Australia-Indonesia Youth Association as my youth organization for allowing me to learn and give new experience in my life.

Finally, I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my parents whose support and prayer become my strength during this completion.



# TABLE OF CONTENT

DECLARATION	ii
LEGISLATION	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	V
TABLE OF CONTENT	vi
LIST OF FIGURES	viii
LIST OF TABLES	X
NOTATIONS	xi
ABSTRACT	xiv
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 General View	1
1.2 Objective and Scope	4
1.3 Benchmark Problem	5
1.4 Problem Boundaries	6
1.5 Advantages	7
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	8
CHAPTER 3: BASIC THEORY	12
3.1 Structural Analysis	12
3.2 Static Analysis	12
3.2a. General	12
3.2b. Stiffness Matrix in terms of Global-Frame Coordinate System	14
3.2c. Static Condensation of Stiffness Matrix and Load Vector	16

3.2d. Formation of Stiffness Matrix in terms of Global Building Coordinate	17
3.3 Dynamic Analysis	20
3.4 The Bingham Viscoplastic Model	22
3.5 Lyapunov Stability Theory	23
CHAPTER 4: Analysis	24
4.1. General Description	24
4.2. Mass Matrices	24
4.2a. Column and Beam Material Properties	24
4.2b. Translational Mass	25
4.2c. Rotational Mass	26
4.3. Stiffness Matrices	27
4.4. Damping Matrices	28
4.5. Dynamic Analysis with Additional Bracing	28
4.6. Time History Analysis	29
CHAPTER 5: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	34
5.1. Conclusions	34
5.2. Recommendations	34
REFERENCES	35
<b>PPENDIX</b>	

#### LIST OF FIGURES

- Fig. 1.1. 3D view of three storey building
- Fig. 1.2. Plan view of three storey building
- Fig. 2.1.Schematic of MR Damper
- Fig. 3.1. Three-dimensional building model
- Fig. 3.2. Degree of Freedom each Nodes
- Fig. 3.3. Transformation of group b displacements in terms of Global Building Coordinate Systems, with different choice of Global Building Coordinate Systems
- Fig. 3.4. Bingham Model of a controllable Fluid Damper (Stanway, et al. 1985,1987)
- Fig. 4.1. Column-beam section

Fig. 4.2. Center Mass

- Fig. 4.3. Model with additional dampers
- Fig. 4.4. Displacement of 3<sup>rd</sup> floor due to El Centro1940 NS excitation, dashed = uncontrolled, solid = passive off
- Fig. 4.5. Displacement of 3<sup>rd</sup> floor due to El Centro1940 NS excitation, dashed = uncontrolled, solid = passive on (3 V)
- Fig. 4.6. Displacement of 3<sup>rd</sup> floor due to El Centro1940 NS excitation, dashed = uncontrolled, solid = Lyapunov Stability Control
- Fig 4.7 Semi-Active System Using MR Damper
- Fig 4.8 MR damper System

Fig 4.9 Lyapunov Controller System

Fig 5.0 Subsystem in Lyapunov Controller

Fig 5.1 Building Model System



## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1. Summary of the Physical Characteristic of MR and ER Fluids

Table 1.2. Natural Frequency Comparison MATLAB and ETABS

Table 1.3. Comparison of Peak Response



# NOTATIONS

$a_k$	= proportional constant that relates damping and stiffness
A	
B	= system matrices in state space equation $\dot{\mathbf{Z}} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{Z} + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{E}\mathbf{w}$
E	
A <sub>b</sub>	= area of the beam
A <sub>c</sub>	= area of the column
b <sub>i</sub>	= vector representing the location of the ith control force
b <sub>s</sub>	= control force location matrix
c	= damping
<b>C</b> <sub>3D</sub>	= damping matrix of three-dimensional building
Cs	= damping matrix
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{y}}$	= measurement matrix
d <sub>C</sub>	= displacement to be condensed
$\mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{R}}$	= displacement to be retained
Ε	= modulus of elasticity
f	= external force
I	= matrix identity
ID	= destination vector
$I_x$	= second moment area of the floor with respect to X axis

$I_{yb}$	= second moment area of the beam with respect to $y_m$ axis
$I_{yc}$	= second moment area of the column with respect to $y_m$ axis
$I_{zb}$	= second moment area of the beam with respect to $z_m$ axis
$I_{zc}$	= second moment area of the column with respect to $z_m$ axis
$I_y$	= second moment area of the floor with respect to Y axis
J	= torsional constant
k	= stiffness
K <sub>3D</sub>	= stiffness matrix of three-dimensional building
K <sub>CC</sub>	
K <sub>CR</sub>	= partition of the stiffness matrix
<b>K</b> <sub>RC</sub>	
K <sub>RR</sub>	
K <sub>C</sub>	= element stiffness in terms of Global Frame Coordinate Systems
Ks	= stiffness matrix
m	= mass
Μ	= total mass of the floor
$\mathbf{M}_{3\mathrm{D}}$	= mass matrix of three-dimensional building
$\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{s}}$	= mass matrix
MMI	= mass moment of inertia of the floor
n	= total degrees of freedom
N	= total number of floors

NRJ	= total number of restrained joints
NTF	= total number of joints at the floor
NTJ	= total number of joints of the structure
T <sub>R</sub>	= transformation matrix
u	= control force vector
V	= Lyapunov function
$\mathbf{X}_{3D}$	= displacement vector of three-dimensional structures
X <sub>s</sub>	= displacement vector
X <sub>s</sub>	= velocity vector
Х <sub>s</sub>	= acceleration vector
у	= storey drift
у	= measurement vector
Z	= state vector
$\Delta_{\mathrm{F}}$	= displacement of the structure in terms of Global Frame Coordinate Systems
$\Delta_{ m FC}$	= displacement of the structure to be condensed out
$\Delta_{ m FR}$	= displacement of the structure to be retained

#### ABSTRACT

Awareness of engineers in designing earthquake resistant building is arising nowadays. Many strategies have been developing by the researchers to get high performance in reducing earthquake responses. Semi-active control strategy under earthquake loading is discussed in this report will be applied in three dimensionalthree-storeybuilding. In addition, the device has been added with magnetorheological fluid which will act as the liquid damper.

Firstly is to fulfill all parameters in non-linear control strategy based on the building properties. Furthermore, theforce of the device in semi-active control strategy will be analyzed with MATLAB to get displacement response by comparing passive on, passive off control and Lyapunov method

The results of the simulation comparing the uncontrolled building result with semi-active control strategies (uncontrolled-passive off; uncontrolled-passive on; uncontrolled-Lyapunov method) show satisfactory result with displacement response reduction on the first floor are31.5%, 37.5% and 48.35%, the results on the second floor are 30.7%, 30.5% and 40.2% and the results on the third floor are 26.5%, 29.8% and 40%.

Keywords : semi-active control strategy, magnetorheological fluid, passive on, passive off, Lyapunov method, MATLAB