

BAB IV

KESIMPULAN DAN SARAN

6.1 Kesimpulan

Berdasarkan hasil penelitian maka dapat ditarik suatu kesimpulan dalam penelitian ini adalah sebagai berikut:

1. Model yang diusulkan diadopsi dari *Technology Acceptance Model 2* (TAM2) dengan variabel *Subjective Norms (SN)*, *Perceived ease of use (PEOU)*, *Perceived Usefulness (PU)*, dan *Behavioral Intention (BI)* dengan tambahan variabel *Financial Literacy (FL)* dan *Perceived Risk (PR)*. Dalam tahap analisis data dengan menggunakan *Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA)* terdapat 3 variabel yang tidak termuat untuk variabel latennya yaitu *PEOU*, *PU* dan *FL*. Setelah dilakukan analisis EFA tahap 2, variabel *FL* dan *PEOU* direduksi. Semua indikator termuat pada variabel latennya, sehingga variabel yang digunakan adalah *SN*, *PU*, *PR*, dan *BI*.
2. Usulan awal hipotesis sebanyak 9 hipotesis (7 hipotesis efek langsung dan 2 hipotesis efek tidak langsung), setelah dilakukan *data preparation*, ada variabel yang tereduksi dan mengakibatkan perubahan pada model yang diusulkan beserta hipotesisnya. Hipotesis yang bisa dianalisis lebih lanjut menjadi 5 hipotesis (4 hipotesis efek langsung dan 1 hipotesis efek tidak langsung). Setelah pengolahan data, terdapat 4 hipotesis yang diterima (3 hipotesis efek langsung dan 1 hipotesis efek tidak langsung) yaitu H2 (variabel *SN* berpengaruh signifikan terhadap variabel *PU*), H3 (variabel *PU* berpengaruh terhadap variabel *BI*), H7 (variabel *low PR* berpengaruh

terhadap BI), dan H8 (variabel SN berpengaruh tidak langsung terhadap BI, dengan PU sebagai mediator) dan 1 hipotesis yang ditolak yaitu H1 (SN tidak berpengaruh terhadap variabel BI).

6.2 Saran

Berdasarkan dari hasil penelitian yang telah dilakukan, maka saran yang dapat peneliti berikan adalah sebagai berikut:

1. Penelitian ini memiliki batasan-batasan seperti hanya menguji *behavioral intention to use* fintek untuk layanan *p2p lending* dan tidak menguji *actual use* serta hanya melihat dari layanan fintek *p2p lending* sedangkan layanan fintek mempunyai berbagai jenis model. Diharapkan penelitian selanjutnya dapat melihat faktor *actual use* dan dari sisi layanan fintek lainnya seperti *digital banking*, *crowdfunding*, *digital payment*, dan *digital finance innovation*.
2. Penelitian hanya menggunakan data kuantitatif yang berasal dari hasil kuesioner yang diperoleh secara *online*, sehingga adanya kemungkinan hasil penelitian tidak objektif. Diharapkan penelitian selanjutnya dilakukan dengan tambahan deskriptif melalui wawancara atau *depth in interview* terhadap responden, sehingga penelitian dapat lebih objektif.

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- [1] J. Wiwoho, “Peran Lembaga Keuangan Bank dan Lembaga Keuangan Bukan Bank dalam Memberikan Distribusi Keadilan bagi Masyarakat,” *Masal. - Masal. Huk.*, vol. 43, no. 1, pp. 87–97, 2014, doi: 10.14710/mmh.43.1.2014.87-97.
- [2] P. Schueffel, “Taming the Beast : A Scientific Definition of Fintech,” *J. Innov. Manag.*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 32–54, 2016, doi: 10.2139/ssrn.3097312.
- [3] T. A. Safitri, “The Development of Fintech in Indonesia,” *Adv. Soc. Sci. Educ. Humanit.*, vol. 436, pp. 666–670, 2020.
- [4] Otoritas Jasa Keuangan and Asian Development Bank, “Digital Finance Innovation Road Map and Action Plan 2020-2024,” 2020.
- [5] A. Iradianty and B. R. Aditya, “Indonesian Student Perception in Digital Payment,” *J. Manaj. Bisnis*, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 518–530, 2020, doi: 10.38043/jmb.v17i4.2713.
- [6] M. H. A. Sitanggang, “Memahami mekanisme crowdfunding dan motivasi berpartisipasi dalam platform Kitabisa.com,” *E J. UNDIP*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 1–11, 2018, [Online]. Available: <https://ejurnal3.undip.ac.id/index.php/interaksi-online/article/view/20859/19553>
- [7] Otoritas Jasa Keuangan, “Salinan Peraturan Otoritas Jasa Keuangan Republik Indonesia No 13/POJK.12/2018 Tentang Inovasi Keuangan Digital di Sektor Jasa Keuangan,” 2018.
- [8] Otoritas Jasa Keuangan, *Strategi Nasional Literasi Keuangan Indonesia 2021 - 2025*. 2021.
- [9] Kompas.com, “Penyelenggara Fintech Lending ‘Berguguran’, OJK Ungkap Penyebabnya,” 2021. <https://money.kompas.com/read/2021/12/28/190000626/penyelenggara-fintech-lending-berguguran-ojk-ungkap-penyebabnya?page=all> (accessed Apr. 12, 2022).
- [10] A. Rahardyan, “Wah, Penyaluran Pinjaman Industri Fintech P2P Lending Tembus Rp101 Triliun,” *Bisnis.com*, Oct. 2021.
- [11] M. Fishbein and I. Ajzen, *Belief, Attitude, Intention, and Behavior: An Introduction to Theory and Research*. MA: Addison-Wesley, 1975.
- [12] Mahyarni, “Theory of Reasoned Action dan Theory of Planned Behavior (Sebuah Kajian Historis tentang Perilaku),” *J. El-Riyasah*, vol. 4, 2013, doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.24014/jel.v4i1.17>.
- [13] V. Venkatesh, M. G. Morris, G. B. Davis, and F. D. Davis, “User Acceptance of Information Technology: Towards a Unified View,” *MIS Q.*,

- vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 425–478, 2012.
- [14] F. D. Davis, R. P. Bagozzi, and P. R. Warshaw, “User Acceptance of Computer Technology: A Comparison of Two Theoretical Models,” *Manage. Sci.*, vol. 35, no. 8, pp. 982–1003, Apr. 1989, [Online]. Available: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2632151>
 - [15] V. Venkatesh and F. D. Davis, “Theoretical extension of the Technology Acceptance Model: Four longitudinal field studies,” *Manage. Sci.*, vol. 46, no. 2, pp. 186–204, 2000, doi: 10.1287/mnsc.46.2.186.11926.
 - [16] I. D. G. R. Dwiyana Putra, “the Evolution of Technology Acceptance Model (Tam) and Recent Progress on Technology Acceptance Research in Elt: State of the Art Article,” *Yavana Bhasha J. English Lang. Educ.*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 25–37, 2019, doi: 10.25078/yb.v1i2.724.
 - [17] P. Jariyapan, S. Mattayaphutron, S. N. Gillani, and O. Shafique, “Factors Influencing the Behavioural Intention to Use Cryptocurrency in Emerging Economies During the COVID-19 Pandemic: Based on Technology Acceptance Model 3, Perceived Risk, and Financial Literacy,” *Front. Psychol.*, vol. 12, no. February, 2022, doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2021.814087.
 - [18] O. A. Stolper and A. Walter, “Financial literacy, financial advice, and financial behavior,” *J. Bus. Econ.*, vol. 87, no. 5, pp. 581–643, 2017, [Online]. Available: https://econpapers.repec.org/RePEc:spr:jbecon:v:87:y:2017:i:5:d:10.1007_s11573-017-0853-9
 - [19] M. Featherman and P. Pavlou, “Predicting E-Services Adoption: A Perceived Risk Facets Perspective,” *Int. J. Hum. Comput. Stud.*, vol. 59, pp. 451–474, Oct. 2003, doi: 10.1016/S1071-5819(03)00111-3.
 - [20] R. K. Hastuti, “Ini Daftar 105 Fintech Illegal yang Disikat OJK, Waspadalah!,” *CNBC Indonesia*, 2020. <https://www.cnbcindonesia.com/tech/20200703130417-37-169997/ini-daftar-105-fintech-illegal-yang-disikat-ojk-waspadalah> (accessed Apr. 12, 2022).
 - [21] S. Novika, “LBH Jakarta ungkap 14 dugaan pelanggaran dalam pinjaman online,” *Alinea.id*, 2018. <https://www.alinea.id/nasional/-b1UB49fQf> (accessed Apr. 12, 2022).
 - [22] R. R. Suryono, “FINANCIAL TECHNOLOGY (FINTECH) DALAM PERSPEKTIF AKSIOLOGI,” pp. 51–66, 2019.
 - [23] M. Al-Emran, V. Mezhuyev, and A. Kamaludin, “Technology Acceptance Model in M-learning context: A systematic review.,” *Comput. Educ.*, vol. 125, pp. 389–412, 2018, doi: 10.1016/j.compedu.2018.06.008.
 - [24] R. Orientani and M. Kurniawati, “Factors Influencing Intention to Use SPayLater in Indonesia,” *J. Manaj. Bisnis*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 285–294, 2021,

doi: 10.33096/jmb.v8i2.842.

- [25] R. Sunardi, H. Hamidah, A. Dharmawan BUCHDADI, and D. Purwana, “Factors Determining Adoption of Fintech Peer-to-Peer Lending Platform: An Empirical Study in Indonesia,” *J. Asian Financ. Econ. Bus.*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 43–51, 2022, doi: 10.13106/jafeb.2022.vol9.no1.0043.
- [26] V. H. Hoang, P. M. Nguyen, T. M. N. Luu, and T. M. H. Vu, “Determinants of Intention to Borrow Consumer Credit in Vietnam: Application and Extension of Technology Acceptance Model,” *J. Asian Financ. Econ. Bus.*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 885–895, 2021, doi: 10.13106/jafeb.2021.vol8.no4.0885.
- [27] P. K. Teng, “Understanding Customer Intention to Use Mobile Payment Services in Nanjing, China,” *Int. J. Community Dev. Manag. Stud.*, vol. 2, pp. 049–060, 2018, doi: 10.31355/22.
- [28] M. Meyliana, E. Fernando, and S. Surjandy, “The Influence of Perceived Risk and Trust in Adoption of FinTech Services in Indonesia,” *CommIT (Communication Inf. Technol. J.)*, vol. 13, no. 1, p. 31, 2019, doi: 10.21512/commit.v13i1.5708.
- [29] B. Setiawan, D. P. Nugraha, A. Irawan, R. J. Nathan, and Z. Zoltan, “User innovativeness and fintech adoption in indonesia,” *J. Open Innov. Technol. Mark. Complex.*, vol. 7, no. 3, 2021, doi: 10.3390/joitmc7030188.
- [30] Y. D. Handarkho and Y. Harjoseputro, “Intention to adopt mobile payment in physical stores: Individual switching behavior perspective based on Push–Pull–Mooring (PPM) theory,” *J. Enterp. Inf. Manag.*, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 285–308, 2020, doi: 10.1108/JEIM-06-2019-0179.
- [31] C. C. Jin, L. C. Seong, and A. A. Khin, “Factors Affecting the Consumer Acceptance towards Fintech Products and Services in Malaysia,” *Int. J. Asian Soc. Sci.*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 59–65, 2019, doi: 10.18488/journal.1.2019.91.59.65.
- [32] R. Susanti, Z. Dalimunthe, and R. A. Triono, “What Factors Affect the Intention to Borrow Through Peer to Peer Lending in Indonesia ?,” *Educ. Excell. Innov. Manag. A 2025 Vis. to Sustain Econ. Dev. Glob. Challenges*, no. 2020, pp. 521–530, 2020.
- [33] T. Zakiyah, K. Trifyanti, and W. Windasari, “Fintech Based Peer-to-peer (P2P) Lending : A Perspective of MSMEs In the New Normal Era of Pandemic Covid-19,” *Indones. J. Account. Gov.*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 26–46, 2021, doi: 10.36766/ijag.v5i1.132.
- [34] L. Zavolokina, M. Dolata, and G. Schwabe, *FinTech – What’s in a Name?* 2016.
- [35] P. Gomber, J.-A. Koch, and M. Siering, “Digital Finance and FinTech: current research and future research directions,” *J. Bus. Econ.*, vol. 87, no.

- 5, pp. 537–580, 2017, doi: 10.1007/s11573-017-0852-x.
- [36] J. S. Hastings, B. C. Madrian, and W. L. Skimmyhorn, “FINANCIAL LITERACY, FINANCIAL EDUCATION AND ECONOMIC OUTCOMES,” *Annu. Rev. Econom.*, vol. 5, pp. 347–373, May 2013, doi: 10.1146/annurev-economics-082312-125807.
 - [37] V. Pathak and A. Pathak, “Understanding Perceived Risk: A Case Study of Green Electronic Consumer Products,” *Manag. Insight - J. Incisive Anal.*, vol. 13, Mar. 2017, doi: 10.21844/mijia.v13i01.8367.
 - [38] E. U. N. Sholiha and M. Salamah, “Structural Equation Modeling-Partial Least Square,” *J. Sains dan Seni ITS*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 169–174, 2015.
 - [39] Z. M. Sari and P. P. Wulandari, “PENGARUH NORMA SUBJEKTIF, PERCEIVED BEHAVIORAL CONTROL DAN PERSEPSI KEAMANAN TERHADAP MINAT MASYARAKAT DALAM MENGGUNAKAN PEER-TO-PEER LENDING (Studi Kasus pada Kota Malang),” *J. Ilm. Mhs. Fak. Ekon. dan Bisnis*, vol. 7, no. 2, 2019, [Online]. Available: <https://jimfeb.ub.ac.id/index.php/jimfeb/article/view/5831>
 - [40] A. W. Perwitasari, “The Effect of Perceived Usefulness and Perceived Easiness towards Behavioral Intention to Use of Fintech by Indonesia MSMEs,” *The Winners*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 1–9, 2022, doi: 10.21512/tw.v23i1.7078.
 - [41] E.-Z. D. Perkins and J. Annan, “Factors affecting the Adoption of Online Banking in Ghana : Implications for Bank Managers,” *Int. J. Bus. Soc. Res. (IJBSR)*, vol. Volume-3, no. No.-6, pp. 94–108, 2013.
 - [42] L. Kurniasih, “Pengaruh Financial Literacy, Financial Attitude, Income, Indeks Prestasi Kumulatif dan Pendidikan Orang Tua terhadap Financial Satisfaction pada Mahasiswa Fakultas Ekonomi dan Bisnis Universitas Sumatera Utara,” 2017.
 - [43] I. Ramos-de-Luna, F. Montoro-Ríos, and F. Liébana-Cabanillas, “Determinants of the intention to use NFC technology as a payment system: an acceptance model approach,” *Inf. Syst. E-bus. Manag.*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 293–314, 2016, doi: 10.1007/s10257-015-0284-5.
 - [44] Syahrum and Salim, *Metodologi Penelitian Kuantitatif*. Bandung: Citapustaka Media, 2012.
 - [45] R. B. Kline, *Principles and practice of structural equation modeling*, 3rd ed. New York, NY, US: Guilford Press, 2011.
 - [46] R. W. Emerson, “Exploratory factor analysis,” *J. Vis. Impair. Blind.*, vol. 111, no. 3, pp. 301–302, 2017, doi: 10.1177/0145482x1711100313.
 - [47] I. Ghazali and H. Latan, *Partial Least Squares Konsep, Teknik dan Aplikasi Menggunakan Program SmartPLS 3.0 Untuk Penelitian Empiris*.

- Semarang: Badan Penerbit Universitas Diponegoro, 2014.
- [48] H. M. Jogiyanto, *Konsep Dan Aplikasi Structural Equation Modeling Berbasis Varian Dalam Penelitian Bisnis*. Yogyakarta: Unit Penerbit dan Percetakan STIM YKPN Yogyakarta, 2011.
 - [49] K. S. Taber, “The Use of Cronbach’s Alpha When Developing and Reporting Research Instruments in Science Education,” *Res. Sci. Educ.*, vol. 48, no. 6, pp. 1273–1296, 2018, doi: 10.1007/s11165-016-9602-2.
 - [50] N. Kock, “Harman’s single factor test in PLS-SEM: Checking for common method bias,” *Data Anal. Perspect. J.*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 1–6, 2020.
 - [51] Kock and Lynn, “Lateral Collinearity in SEM,” *J. Assoc. Inf. Syst.*, vol. 13, no. 7, pp. 546–580, 2012.
 - [52] Nuryadi, T. D. Astuti, E. S. Utami, and M. Budiantara, *Dasar-Dasar Statistik Penelitian*. Yogyakarta: SIBUKU MEDIA, 2017.
 - [53] R. B. Kline, *Principles and practice of structural equation modeling, 4th ed.* New York, NY, US: Guilford Press, 2016.
 - [54] J. Cohen, *Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences*, 2nd ed. Routledge, 1988. doi: doi.org/10.4324/9780203771587.
 - [55] J. F. Hair, W. C. Black, B. J. Babin, and R. E. Anderson, *Multivariate Data Analysis*, 7th ed. Harlow: Pearson Education Limited, 2014.
 - [56] C. Fornell and D. F. Larcker, “Evaluating structural equation models with unobservable variables and measurement error,” *J. Mark. Res.*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 39–50, 1981, doi: doi.org/10.2307/3151312.
 - [57] D. Eko Supriyadi, “Perbandingan Metode Partial Least Square (PLS) dan Principal Component Regression (PCR) Untuk Mengatasi Multikolinieritas Pada Model Regresi Linear Berganda.,” *UNNES J. Math.*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 117–128, 2017, [Online]. Available: <http://journal.unnes.ac.id/sju/index.php/ujm>
 - [58] A. C. Ayudya and A. Wibowo, “The Intention to Use E-Money using Theory of Planned Behavior and Locus of Control,” *J. Keuang. dan Perbank.*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 335–349, 2018, doi: 10.26905/jkdp.v22i2.1691.
 - [59] Eastspring, “#MoneyParenting Do it right together,” no. Money Parenting in Asia, Asia Edition, pp. 1–15, 2020.
 - [60] D. P. Crowne and D. Marlowe, “A new scale of social desirability independent of psychopathology,” *J. Consult. Psychol.*, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 349–354, 1960, doi: 10.1037/h0047358.
 - [61] N. L. Kelly, “Examining Social Desirability Bias in Measures of Financial Behavior,” 2015, [Online]. Available:

<http://ir.library.illinoisstate.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1463&context=etd>

- [62] M. Misissaifi and J. Sriyana, “FAKTOR-FAKTOR YANG MEMPENGARUHI MINAT,” *IQTISHADUNA J. Ilm. Ekon. Kita*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 109–124, 2021.
- [63] S. N. A. Annisa, “Faktor-Faktor Yang Mempengaruhi Minat Individu Terhadap Financial Technology (Fintech) Syariah (Paytren) Sebagai Salah Satu Alat Transaksi Pembayaran (PendekatanTechnology Acceptance Model (TAM) dan Theory Of Planned Behavior (TPB),” *IQTISHADUNA*, vol. 9, no. 1 SE-Articles, pp. 64–79, Jun. 2018, doi: 10.20414/iqtishaduna.v9i1.687.
- [64] R. Sunardi, U. Suduh, D. Purwana, and Hamidah, “Examining the Factors Contributing to Fintech Peer-to-peer Lending Adoption,” *J. Inf. Syst. Eng. Bus. Intell.*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 91–101, 2021.
- [65] H. M. Aji, “The effects of subjective norm and knowledge about riba on intention to use e-money in Indonesia,” *J. Islam. Mark.*, 2020, doi: 10.1108/JIMA-10-2019-0203.
- [66] W. A. Winarno, I. M. A. S. Ud, and T. W. Palupi, “Perceived Enjoyment , Application Self-efficacy , and Subjective Norms as Determinants of Behavior Intention in Using OVO Applications,” *J. Asian Financ. Econ. Bus.*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 1189–1200, 2021, doi: 10.13106/jafeb.2021.vol8.no2.1189.