

BAB V

KESIMPULAN

5.1 Kesimpulan

Berdasarkan hasil penelitian dan analisis mengenai pengaruh produk domestik regional atas harga konstan, upah minimum dan indeks pembangunan manusia terhadap pengangguran di Daerah Istimewa Yogyakarta tahun 2005-2020, diperoleh kesimpulan sebagai berikut ini:

1. Produk domestik regional bruto atas harga konstan berpengaruh negatif terhadap pengangguran di Lima Kabupaten/Kota Provinsi Daerah Istimewa Yogyakarta tahun 2005-2020.
2. Upah minimum Kabupaten/Kota berpengaruh negatif terhadap pengangguran di Lima Kabupaten/Kota Provinsi Daerah Istimewa Yogyakarta tahun 2005-2020.
3. Indeks pembangunan manusia tidak berpengaruh terhadap pengangguran di Daerah Istimewa Yogyakarta tahun 2005-2020.

5.2 Saran

Berdasarkan hasil penelitian diatas, maka saran yang direkomendasikan untuk penelitian ini adalah sebagai berikut:

1. Untuk pemerintah lebih meningkatkan peran PDRB diberbagi sektor ekonomi seperti disektor pertanian dan industri dengan memberikan kemudahan bagi para investor.
2. Untuk pemerintah lebih memperhatikan upah minimum di Daerah Istimewa Yogyakarta karena upah ini sangat berpengaruh
3. Penelitian berikutnya diharapkan memasukan variabel Pengangguran lain seperti kemiskinan, Pendidikan dan Investasi untuk melihat adanya pengaruh atau tidaknya terhadap pengangguran di Provinsi DIY.



DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Asmita, Fitrawaty, & Ruslan, D. (2017). Analysis of Factors Affecting the Human Development Index in North Sumatra Province. *IOSR Journal of Business and Management (IOSR-JBM)*, 19(10), 27–36. <https://doi.org/10.9790/487X-1910072736>
- Ayu, D. S. (2018). Analisis Pengaruh Produk Domestik Regional Bruto, Tingkat Pengangguran Terbuka, IPM, Jumlah Penduduk dan Upah Minimum Terhadap Kemiskinan Di Provinsi Jawa Timur. *Journal of Physical Therapy Science*, 9(1), 1–11.
- Baeti, N. (2013). Pengaruh Pengangguran, Pertumbuhan Ekonomi, Dan Pengeluaran Pemerintah Terhadap Pembangunan Manusia Kabupaten/Kota Di Provinsi Jawa Tengah Tahun 2007-2011. *Economics Development Analysis Journal*, 2(3), 85–98. <https://doi.org/10.15294/edaj.v2i3.1984>
- Dita Sekar Ayu. (2018). Analisis Pengaruh Produk Domestik Regional Bruto, Tingkat Pengangguran Terbuka, IPM, Jumlah Penduduk dan Upah Minimum Terhadap Kemiskinan Di Provinsi Jawa Timur (Tahun 2010-2015). *Universitas Islam Indonesia*, 2018.
- Febriana Nur Rahmawati. (2021). Analisa Pengaruh PDRB, UMK, dan Pengeluaran Pemerintah Daerah Terhadap Jumlah Pengangguran Terdidik di D.I Yogyakarta. *Ilmu Ekonomi Fakultas Ekonomi Universitas Islam Indonesia*, 2013–2015.
- Ikhsan Amar Jusman. (2021). The Effect of Economics Growth, Wage, And Education Level On Employment. *Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi YPUP Makassar*, 15(6), 4633–4638.
- Jusman, I. A. (2021). *The Effect Of Economic Growth, Wage, and Education Level On Employment*. 15(6), 4633–4638.
- Krisna Gita Suryani, N. W. (2021). *The Effect Of Economic Growth, HDI, District/City Minimum Wage And Unemployment On Inequality Of Income Distribution In Province Of D.I Yogyakarta (2010-2018)*. 36(2), 170–180.
- Mersiana, B. (2020). *Analysis Of The Effect Of Gross Regional Domestic Product, Education, Open Unemployment, Minimum Wages And Human Development Index On Poverty Rate Of West Nusa Tenggara Province In 2012 - 2017 (Case Study Of 10 Districts/Cities)*. 8(2).
- Mukhtar, S., Saptono, A., & Arifin, A. S. (2019). Analisis Pengaruh Indeks Pembangunan Manusia Dan Tingkat Pengangguran Terbuka Terhadap Kemiskinan Di Indonesia. *Ecoplan : Journal of Economics and Development Studies*, 2(2), 77–89. <https://doi.org/10.20527/ecoplan.v2i2.68>
- Nurcholis, M. (2014). Analisis Pengaruh Pertumbuhan Ekonomi, Upah Minimum Dan Indeks Pembangunan Manusia Terhadap Tingkat Pengangguran Di

- Provinsi Jawa Timur Tahun 2008-2014. *Jurnal Ekonomi Pembangunan*, 12(1), 48. <https://doi.org/10.22219/jep.v12i1.3654>
- Oktaviani, Y., & Qurrota A'yun, I. (2021). Analysis of the Effect of Unemployment Rate, RMW, and HDI on Poverty Rates in the Special Region of Yogyakarta. *Journal of Economics Research and Social Sciences*, 5(2), Layouting. <https://doi.org/10.18196/jerss.v5i2.11339>
- Purnomo, S. D. (2021). Analysis of Labor Absorption in Central Java Province. *Ekonomis: Journal of Economics and Business*, 5(1), 240. <https://doi.org/10.33087/ekonomis.v5i1.311>
- Putri, I. R. (2015). An Analysis on Factors Influencing the Students' Writing Skill. *ELT-Lectura*, 2(2). <https://doi.org/10.31849/elt-lectura.v2i2.465>
- Rustariyuni, S. D., Aswitari, L. P., Suryawan Ratha, P. W., & Nina, G. A. (2018). Open Unemployment in The Province of Bali. *MIMBAR : Jurnal Sosial Dan Pembangunan*, 34(2), 473–485. <https://doi.org/10.29313/mimbar.v34i2.3921>
- Setyo Novianto, H. S. (2012). Analysis Of Poverty Level In District/Cities Of Central Java. *Экономика Региона*, 10(9), 32.
- Suripto, & Subayil, L. (2020). Pengaruh Tingkat Pendidikan, Pengangguran, Pertumbuhan Ekonomi dan Indeks Pembangunan Manusia Terhadap Kemiskinan di D.I. Yogyakarta Periode 2010-2017. *Ilmiah Ekonomi Pembangunan*, 1(2), 127–143.
- Tengkoe Sarimuda RB, S. (2014). *Pengaruh PDRB, UMK, Inflasi, dan Investasi Terhadap Pengangguran Terbuka Di Kab/Prov Jawa Timur Tahun 2007-2011*. 6.
- Wahyuningrum, F., & Ety Soesilowati. (2021). The Effect of Economic Growth, Population and Unemployment on HDI. *Indonesian Journal of Development Economics*, 4(2), 1217–1229.
- Arizal, M. M. (2019). Pengaruh Produk Domestik Regional Bruto dan Indeks Pembangunan Manusia Terhadap Tingkat Pengangguran Terbuka di Provinsi Sumatera Barat.
- Ghozali, I. (2017). *Anallisis Multivariat dan Ekonometrika dengan Eviews 10*. Semarang: Badan Penerbit Universitas Dipenogoro.
- Mahihody, A. Y. (2018). Pengaruh Upah Dan Indeks Pembangunan Manusia (Ipm) Terhadap Pengangguran Di Kota Manado. 24-34.
- Putra, D. A. (2016). Analisis Pengaruh Produk Domestik Regional Bruto, Upah Minimum Kota-Kabupaten, dan Indeks Pembangunan Manusia Terhadap Tingkat Pengangguran Kabupaten/Kota di Jawa Timur Tahun 2010-2014.

- Widarjono, A. (2018). *Pengantar dan Aplikasinya Disertai Panduan EViews*. Yogyakarta: UPP STIM YKPN.
- Asmita, Fitrawaty, & Ruslan, D. (2017). Analysis of Factors Affecting the Human Development Index in North Sumatra Province. *IOSR Journal of Business and Management (IOSR-JBM)*, 19(10), 27–36. <https://doi.org/10.9790/487X-1910072736>
- Ayu, D. S. (2018). Analisis Pengaruh Produk Domestik Regional Bruto, Tingkat Pengangguran Terbuka, IPM, Jumlah Penduduk dan Upah Minimum Terhadap Kemiskinan Di Provinsi Jawa Timur. *Journal of Physical Therapy Science*, 9(1), 1–11.
- Baeti, N. (2013). Pengaruh Pengangguran, Pertumbuhan Ekonomi, Dan Pengeluaran Pemerintah Terhadap Pembangunan Manusia Kabupaten/Kota Di Provinsi Jawa Tengah Tahun 2007-2011. *Economics Development Analysis Journal*, 2(3), 85–98. <https://doi.org/10.15294/edaj.v2i3.1984>
- Dita Sekar Ayu. (2018). Analisis Pengaruh Produk Domestik Regional Bruto, Tingkat Pengangguran Terbuka, IPM, Jumlah Penduduk dan Upah Minimum Terhadap Kemiskinan Di Provinsi Jawa Timur (Tahun 2010-2015). *Universitas Islam Indonesia*, 2018.
- Febriana Nur Rahmawati. (2021). Analisa Pengaruh PDRB, UMK, dan Pengeluaran Pemerintah Daerah Terhadap Jumlah Pengangguran Terdidik di D.I Yogyakarta. *Ilmu Ekonomi Fakultas Ekonomi Universitas Islam Indonesia*, 2013–2015.
- Ikhsan Amar Jusman. (2021). The Effect of Economics Growth, Wage, And Education Level On Employment. *Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi YPUP Makassar*, 15(6), 4633–4638.
- Jusman, I. A. (2021). *The Effect Of Economic Growth, Wage, and Education Level On Employment*. 15(6), 4633–4638.
- Krisna Gita Suryani, N. W. (2021). *The Effect Of Economic Growth, HDI, District/City Minimum Wage And Unemployment On Inequality Of Income Distribution In Province Of D.I Yogyakarta (2010-2018)*. 36(2), 170–180.
- Mersiana, B. (2020). *Analysis Of The Effect Of Gross Regional Domestic Product, Education, Open Unemployment, Minimum Wages And Human Development Index On Poverty Rate Of West Nusa Tenggara Province In 2012 - 2017 (Case Study Of 10 Districts/Cities)*. 8(2).
- Mukhtar, S., Saptono, A., & Arifin, A. S. (2019). Analisis Pengaruh Indeks Pembangunan Manusia Dan Tingkat Pengangguran Terbuka Terhadap Kemiskinan Di Indonesia. *Ecoplan : Journal of Economics and Development Studies*, 2(2), 77–89. <https://doi.org/10.20527/ecoplan.v2i2.68>
- Nurcholis, M. (2014). Analisis Pengaruh Pertumbuhan Ekonomi, Upah Minimum Dan Indeks Pembangunan Manusia Terhadap Tingkat Pengangguran Di Provinsi Jawa Timur Tahun 2008-2014. *Jurnal Ekonomi Pembangunan*, 12(1), 48. <https://doi.org/10.22219/jep.v12i1.3654>

- Oktaviani, Y., & Qurrota A'yun, I. (2021). Analysis of the Effect of Unemployment Rate, RMW, and HDI on Poverty Rates in the Special Region of Yogyakarta. *Journal of Economics Research and Social Sciences*, 5(2), Layouting. <https://doi.org/10.18196/jerss.v5i2.11339>
- Purnomo, S. D. (2021). Analysis of Labor Absorption in Central Java Province. *Ekonomis: Journal of Economics and Business*, 5(1), 240. <https://doi.org/10.33087/ekonomis.v5i1.311>
- Putri, I. R. (2015). An Analysis on Factors Influencing the Students' Writing Skill. *ELT-Lectura*, 2(2). <https://doi.org/10.31849/elt-lectura.v2i2.465>
- Rustariyuni, S. D., Aswitari, L. P., Suryawan Ratha, P. W., & Nina, G. A. (2018). Open Unemployment in The Province of Bali. *MIMBAR : Jurnal Sosial Dan Pembangunan*, 34(2), 473–485. <https://doi.org/10.29313/mimbar.v34i2.3921>
- Setyo Novianto, H. S. (2012). Analysis Of Poverty Level In District/Cities Of Central Java. *Экономика Региона*, 10(9), 32.
- Suripto, & Subayil, L. (2020). Pengaruh Tingkat Pendidikan, Pengangguran, Pertumbuhan Ekonomi dan Indeks Pembangunan Manusia Terhadap Kemiskinan di D.I. Yogyakarta Periode 2010-2017. *Ilmiah Ekonomi Pembangunan*, 1(2), 127–143.
- Tengkoe Sarimuda RB, S. (2014). *Pengaruh PDRB, UMK, Inflasi, dan Investasi Terhadap Pengangguran Terbuka Di Kab/Prov Jawa Timur Tahun 2007-2011*. 6.
- Wahyuningrum, F., & Ety Soesilowati. (2021). The Effect of Economic Growth, Population and Unemployment on HDI. *Indonesian Journal of Development Economics*, 4(2), 1217–1229.

LAMPIRAN I

DATA PENELITIAN

Kabupaten / Kota	Tahun	Pengangguran (%)	PDRB atas harga konstan (Juta rupiah)	Upah Minimum (Rp)	IPM (%)
Kabupaten Kulonprogo	2005	5.05	5549837.00	400000.00	71.54
	2006	6.08	6445179.00	460000.00	72
	2007	4.34	4130945.00	500000.00	72.76
	2008	3.56	4307361.00	586000.00	73.26
	2009	4.31	4460215.00	700000.00	73.77
	2010	4.18	5033073.64	680000.00	68.83
	2011	3.03	5246146.78	789000.00	69.53
	2012	3.04	5475148.20	892700.00	69.74
	2013	2.85	5741660.29	954700.00	70.14
	2014	2.88	6004316.44	1069000.00	70.68
	2015	3.72	6281795.76	1138000.00	71.52
	2016	2.78	6580676.97	1268870.00	72.38
	2017	1.99	6973405.56	1373600.00	73.23
	2018	1.81	7728409.13	1493250.00	73.76
	2019	1.49	8770750.46	1613200.00	74.44
	2020	3.71	8414754.11	1750500.00	74.46
Kabupaten Bantul	2005	4.12	3234173.00	400000.00	71.69
	2006	3.3	3299646.00	460000.00	72
	2007	5.17	3448949.00	500000.00	72.78
	2008	5.06	3618060.00	586000.00	73.38
	2009	4.76	3779948.00	700000.00	73.75
	2010	5.24	12114059.07	680000.00	75.31
	2011	4.39	12728666.29	789000.00	75.79
	2012	3.71	13407021.78	892700.00	76.13
	2013	3.36	14138719.30	997000.00	76.78
	2014	2.57	14851124.13	1125500.00	77.11
	2015	3.01	15588520.43	1163800.00	77.99
	2016	2.88	16375513.15	1297700.00	78.42
	2017	3.01	17209871.50	1404760.00	78.67
	2018	2.72	18150877.01	1572150.00	79.45

	2019	3.06	19154769.07	1649800.00	80.01
	2020	4.06	18838125.30	1790500.00	80.01
Kabupaten Gunungkidul	2005	4.18	276389.00	400000.00	68.72
	2006	3.59	2830583.00	460000.00	69.4
	2007	3.93	2941288.00	500000.00	69.68
	2008	3.29	3070298.00	586000.00	70
	2009	3.76	3197365.00	700000.00	70.16
	2010	4.04	8848037.94	680000.00	64.2
	2011	3.03	9248010.91	789000.00	64.83
	2012	1.38	9695979.84	892660.00	65.69
	2013	1.69	10177432.51	947114.00	66.1
	2014	1.61	10639792.32	988500.00	67.03
	2015	2.91	11152363.12	1108249.00	67.41
	2016	2.58	11696155.83	1235700.00	67.82
	2017	1.65	12281557.86	1337650.00	68.73
	2018	2.07	12914940.78	1454200.00	69.24
	2019	1.92	13605074.83	1571000.00	69.96
	2020	2.16	13513234.92	1705000.00	69.98
Kabupaten Sleman	2005	6.75	7669523.00	400000.00	75.82
	2006	7.23	5065935.00	460000.00	76.2
	2007	7.75	5246993.00	500000.00	76.7
	2008	6.83	5462344.00	586000.00	77.24
	2009	6.08	5651752.00	700000.00	77.7
	2010	7.17	21481644.03	680000.00	79.69
	2011	5.36	22645851.85	789000.00	80.04
	2012	5.64	23957112.76	892660.00	80.1
	2013	3.28	25367414.23	1026181.00	80.26
	2014	4.21	26713071.25	1127000.00	80.73
	2015	5.37	28098006.87	1200000.00	81.2
	2016	3.62	29563375.35	1338000.00	82.15
	2017	3.51	31140590.44	1448385.00	82.85
	2018	4.41	33138259.92	1574550.00	83.42
	2019	3.93	35286507.32	1701000.00	83.85
	2020	5.09	33906373.82	1846000.00	83.84
Kota Yogyakarta	2005	7.06	4397849.00	400000.00	76.55
	2006	8.36	4572504.00	460000.00	77.8
	2007	9.65	4776401.00	500000.00	78.14
	2008	7.85	5021149.00	586000.00	78.95
	2009	7.68	5244851.00	700000.00	79.29

	2010	7.41	17202154.00	680000.00	82.72
	2011	6.71	18206089.70	789000.00	82.98
	2012	5.33	19189074.80	892700.00	83.29
	2013	6.45	20239557.70	1065247.00	83.61
	2014	6.35	21307763.60	1173300.00	83.78
	2015	5.52	22393012.20	1302500.00	84.56
	2016	5.42	23536288.10	1452400.00	85.32
	2017	5.08	24768426.10	1572200.00	85.49
	2018	6.22	26127217.13	1709150.00	86.11
	2019	4.81	27685286.45	1848400.00	86.65
	2020	9.16	27015491.16	2004000.00	86.61



LAMPIRAN II

Hasil Output Eviews Pemilihan Model Panel

1. Common Effect Model

Dependent Variable: PENGANGGURAN
 Method: Panel Least Squares
 Date: 08/08/22 Time: 15:07
 Sample: 2005 2020
 Periods included: 16
 Cross-sections included: 5
 Total panel (balanced) observations: 80

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
C	-16.16464	2.303597	-7.017129	0.0000
PDRB	-6.15E-08	2.60E-08	-2.360776	0.0208
UMK	-2.22E-06	3.92E-07	-5.666061	0.0000
IPM	0.311676	0.032139	9.697625	0.0000
R-squared	0.671349	Mean dependent var	4.429000	
Adjusted R-squared	0.658376	S.D. dependent var	1.907664	
S.E. of regression	1.115003	Akaike info criterion	3.104298	
Sum squared resid	94.48563	Schwarz criterion	3.223399	
Log likelihood	-120.1719	Hannan-Quinn criter.	3.152049	
F-statistic	51.74945	Durbin-Watson stat	1.057088	
Prob(F-statistic)	0.000000			

2. Fixed Effect Model

Dependent Variable: PENGANGGURAN
 Method: Panel Least Squares
 Date: 08/08/22 Time: 15:09
 Sample: 2005 2020
 Periods included: 16
 Cross-sections included: 5
 Total panel (balanced) observations: 80

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
C	-0.959714	5.056672	-0.189792	0.8500
PDRB	-8.15E-08	2.79E-08	-2.921161	0.0047
UMK	-1.07E-06	4.28E-07	-2.490225	0.0151
IPM	0.099155	0.070685	1.402763	0.1650

Effects Specification

Cross-section fixed (dummy variables)

R-squared	0.790897	Mean dependent var	4.429000
Adjusted R-squared	0.770568	S.D. dependent var	1.907664
S.E. of regression	0.913754	Akaike info criterion	2.752128
Sum squared resid	60.11611	Schwarz criterion	2.990331
Log likelihood	-102.0851	Hannan-Quinn criter.	2.847631
F-statistic	38.90401	Durbin-Watson stat	1.546816
Prob(F-statistic)	0.000000		

3. Random Effect Model

Dependent Variable: PENGANGGURAN
Method: Panel EGLS (Cross-section random effects)
Date: 08/08/22 Time: 15:08
Sample: 2005 2020
Periods included: 16
Cross-sections included: 5
Total panel (balanced) observations: 80
Swamy and Arora estimator of component variances

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
C	-13.33109	3.067641	-4.345712	0.0000
PDRB	-8.16E-08	2.59E-08	-3.154096	0.0023
UMK	-1.81E-06	3.69E-07	-4.894152	0.0000
IPM	0.272185	0.042758	6.365643	0.0000
Effects Specification				
			S.D.	Rho
Cross-section random			0.435126	0.1848
Idiosyncratic random			0.913754	0.8152
Weighted Statistics				
R-squared	0.507111	Mean dependent var	2.058730	
Adjusted R-squared	0.487654	S.D. dependent var	1.380583	
S.E. of regression	0.988198	Sum squared resid	74.21666	
F-statistic	26.06426	Durbin-Watson stat	1.332522	
Prob(F-statistic)	0.000000			
Unweighted Statistics				
R-squared	0.642855	Mean dependent var	4.429000	
Sum squared resid	102.6776	Durbin-Watson stat	0.963164	

4. Uji Chow

Redundant Fixed Effects Tests
Equation: Untitled
Test cross-section fixed effects

Effects Test	Statistic	d.f.	Prob.
Cross-section F	10.290941	(4,72)	0.0000
Cross-section Chi-square	36.173592	4	0.0000

Cross-section fixed effects test equation:
Dependent Variable: PENGANGGURAN
Method: Panel Least Squares
Date: 08/18/22 Time: 16:40
Sample: 2005 2020
Periods included: 16
Cross-sections included: 5

Total panel (balanced) observations: 80

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
C	-16.16464	2.303597	-7.017129	0.0000
PDRB	-6.15E-08	2.60E-08	-2.360776	0.0208
UMK	-2.22E-06	3.92E-07	-5.666061	0.0000
IPM	0.311676	0.032139	9.697625	0.0000
R-squared	0.671349	Mean dependent var	4.429000	
Adjusted R-squared	0.658376	S.D. dependent var	1.907664	
S.E. of regression	1.115003	Akaike info criterion	3.104298	
Sum squared resid	94.48563	Schwarz criterion	3.223399	
Log likelihood	-120.1719	Hannan-Quinn criter.	3.152049	
F-statistic	51.74945	Durbin-Watson stat	1.057088	
Prob(F-statistic)	0.000000			

5. Uji Hausman

Correlated Random Effects - Hausman Test
Equation: Untitled
Test cross-section random effects

Test Summary	Chi-Sq. Statistic	Chi-Sq. d.f.	Prob.
Cross-section random	15.887979	3	0.0012

6. Uji Kausalitas

Pairwise Granger Causality Tests
Date: 08/08/22 Time: 19:43
Sample: 2005 2020
Lags: 2

Null Hypothesis:	Obs	F-Statistic	Prob.
IPM does not Granger Cause PENGANGGURAN	70	3.37785	0.0402
PENGANGGURAN does not Granger Cause IPM		0.83777	0.4373

7. Uji Autokorelasi

Breusch-Godfrey Serial Correlation LM Test:

F-statistic	1.819773	Prob. F(16,60)	0.0493
Obs*R-squared	26.13784	Prob. Chi-Square(16)	0.0521

8. Uji Statistik

Dependent Variable: PENGANGGURAN

Method: Panel Least Squares

Date: 08/08/22 Time: 18:22

Sample: 2005 2020

Periods included: 16

Cross-sections included: 5

Total panel (balanced) observations: 80

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
C	-0.959714	5.056672	-0.189792	0.8500
PDRB	-8.15E-08	2.79E-08	-2.921161	0.0047
UMK	-1.07E-06	4.28E-07	-2.490225	0.0151
IPM	0.099155	0.070685	1.402763	0.1650

Effects Specification

Cross-section fixed (dummy variables)

R-squared	0.790897	Mean dependent var	4.429000
Adjusted R-squared	0.770568	S.D. dependent var	1.907664
S.E. of regression	0.913754	Akaike info criterion	2.752128
Sum squared resid	60.11611	Schwarz criterion	2.990331
Log likelihood	-102.0851	Hannan-Quinn criter.	2.847631
F-statistic	38.90401	Durbin-Watson stat	1.546816
Prob(F-statistic)	0.000000		

LAMPIRAN III

Hasil Uji Asumsi Klasik

1. Multikolonearitas

	PDRB	UMK	IPM
PDRB	1	0.68150547 70010715	0.73676403 53777
UMK	0.68150547 70010715	1	0.40297989 59599563
IPM	0.73676403 53777	0.40297989 59599563	1

2. Heteroskedastisitas

Heteroskedasticity Test: Glejser

F-statistic	0.960288	Prob. F(3,76)	0.4160
Obs*R-squared	2.921737	Prob. Chi-Square(3)	0.4038
Scaled explained SS	3.173815	Prob. Chi-Square(3)	0.3656

Test Equation:
Dependent Variable: ARESID
Method: Least Squares
Date: 08/08/22 Time: 18:20
Sample: 1 80
Included observations: 80

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
C	-1.070521	1.457527	-0.734478	0.4649
PDRB	-1.82E-08	1.65E-08	-1.105967	0.2722
UMK	3.33E-07	2.48E-07	1.340996	0.1839
IPM	0.023779	0.020335	1.169342	0.2459
R-squared	0.036522	Mean dependent var	0.830859	
Adjusted R-squared	-0.001510	S.D. dependent var	0.704950	
S.E. of regression	0.705482	Akaike info criterion	2.188837	
Sum squared resid	37.82561	Schwarz criterion	2.307938	
Log likelihood	-83.55347	Hannan-Quinn criter.	2.236588	
F-statistic	0.960288	Durbin-Watson stat	1.541804	
Prob(F-statistic)	0.415976			