

STRUCTURAL DESIGN OF NURSING HOME IN YOGYAKARTA

Final Project Report

As one of the requirements to get a Bachelor's degree from
University of Atma Jaya Yogyakarta



By:

I PUTU BIMO ARTADININGRAT **191317582**

PUTRA APRIYANTO HABIRUN **191317810**

INTERNATION CIVIL ENGINEERING PROGRAM
CIVIL ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT
FACULTY OF ENGINEERING
UNIVERSITY OF ATMA JAYA YOGYAKARTA
YOGYAKARTA 2022

ABSTRACT

Nursing Homeis planned to be built in Gunungkidul Regency, Special Region of Yogyakarta. The main building of the Nursing Home has a total of 3 (three) floors with an area of 2275 m² and a height of 16 m. This building has 16 (sixteen) rooms on each floor with a total of 48 rooms. This building also has two open gardens on the right and left because this garden does not use a roof covering. There are also corridors in front and beside the rooms which are used as road access for residents. Apart from residential buildings, there are also various supporting buildings such as visitor buildings, management, support and services. In this design, the author designs the main building or residential building.

The structure will support the load of the structure above it. For example, in the Gunung Kidul Nursing Home building, the roof will support the wind load and the roof itself, the 3rd floor will support the roof load and the 2nd floor will support the roof load, the 3rd floor load, and the 2nd floor itself, and so on. It can be concluded from this statement that basically, the structure will support its own load and the load on it.

Based on the precautions at a depth of 3 m it is safe from the potential for liquefaction, so the foundation used is a shallow footprint foundation with dimensions of 3x3 m. Furthermore, the Designing of foundation reinforcement can be carried out. In Designing foundation reinforcement, the planned foundation must be examined for its resistance to one-way shear failure, two-way shear failure and bending moments that occur. The Wreda Nursing Home project uses a pile foundation. Foundation dimension are of 600 x 600 cm. The design of this foundation has been analyzed to be safe from the liquefaction potential, and the amount of land subsidence is still ideal. The project will run for 8 months. with a budget of 19,601,259,921.72.

Keywords: *Nursing Home, Structure, Geotechnical, Project Management*

STATEMENT

We, the undersigned,

First Student Name : I Putu Bimo Artadiningrat

Student ID 191317582

First Student Name : Putra Apriyanto Habirun

Student ID 191317810

Truly declare that the Final Project with the title:

Structural Design of Nursing Home in Yogyakarta is an original work and is not the result of plagiarism from the work of others. We, the undersigned, contribute to this Final Project in the same proportion. Thus we make this statement as a complement to this Final Project Report.

Yogyakarta, 02nd February 2023



(I Putu Bimo Artadiningrat)



(Putra Apriyanto Habirun)

VALIDATION

Final Project Report

STRUCTURAL DESIGN OF NURSING HOME IN YOGYAKARTA

Arranged By:

I Putu Bimo Artadiningrat 191317582

Putra Apriyanto Habirun 191317810

Checked By:

Lecturer Three

Final Project 2

Lecturer Two

Final Project 2

Lecturer One

Final Project 1


Dr. Nectaria Putri Pramesti,

S.T., M.T.


William Wijaya, S.T., M.Eng.


Johan Ardianto, S.T., M.Eng.

NIDN: 0519078003

NIDN: 0529039402

NIDN: 0503069301

Approved By:
Final Report Supervisor
Yogyakarta, 20 December 2022


Johan Ardianto, S.T., M.Eng.
NIDN: 0503069301

Validated By:
Head of Civil Engineering Program


Dr. Ir. Imam Basuki, M.T.
NIDN: 0506046601

VALIDATION

Final Project Report

CONSTRUCTION OF A NURSING NURSING HOME

Arranged By:



I Putu Bimo Artadiningrat
191317582



Putra Apriyanto Habirun
191317810

Has been tested and approved by:

Name

Examiner 1 : Johan Ardianto, S.T., M.Eng.

Signature

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Johan Ardianto".

Date

17/7/23

Name

Examiner 2 : Peter F. Kaming, Ir., M.Eng

Signature

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Peter F. Kaming".

Date

17/07/2023

Name

Examiner 3 : Lulie Y., Ir., M.T.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Lulie Y.". There is also some small, illegible handwriting next to it.

Date

18-07-2023

FOREWORD

All Praise and Gratitude we give to God Almighty because of His blessings and abundance of grace, in the end we were able to complete the Final Infrastructure Planning Project Report smoothly. We realize that we cannot follow the Infrastructure Design Final Assignment and the preparation of this report, without the help of other parties. Therefore, in this opportunity we would also like to thank:

1. God Almighty because of His blessings and grace we were able to carry out the Practicum and prepare the Final Infrastructure Design Report properly.
2. Mr. Dr. Eng. Luky Handoko ST, M.Eng., as Dean Faculty of Engineering
3. Dr. Ir. Imam Basuki, M.T., Chair of the Civil Engineering Study Program at UNIVERSITY of Atma Jaya Yogyakarta.
4. Mr. Johan Ardianto S.T, M.Eng., as a lecturer of the Final Project of Infrastructure Design I, University of Atma Jaya Yogyakarta, Supervisor, and 1st Examiner of Final Project of Infrastructure Design II (FINAL PROJECT II)
5. Mr William Wijaya, S.T, M.Eng., as a lecturer of FINAL PROJECT II, University of Atma Jaya Yogyakarta.
6. Dr. Nectaria Putri Pramesti, S.T., M.T., as a lecturer of FINAL PROJECT II, University of Atma Jaya Yogyakarta.
7. Mr. Peter F. Kaming, Ir., M.Eng., as 2nd Examiner of FINAL PROJECT II
8. Mr. Lulie Y., Ir., M.T. as the 3rd Examiner of FINAL PROJECT II
9. Parents and friends who have supported us.
10. As well as all parties who have helped complete this Infrastructure Design Final Project Report that we cannot mention one by one.

The author is fully aware that the writing of this report is still far from being perfect.

Yogyakarta, 16th February 2023

Writer

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT.....	ii
STATEMENT.....	iii
VALIDATION.....	iv
VALIDATION.....	v
FOREWORD	vi
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
LIST OF TABLES	x
CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION	1
1.1. Background	1
1.2. Design Objectives	1
1.3. Project Overview.....	1
1.4. Final Project Systematics	2
CHAPTER II UPPSTRUCTURE DESIGN	3
2.1. Introduction	3
2.2. Used Materials	3
2.2.1. Roof	3
2.2.2. Building Structure Designing	18
2.2.3. Seismic Force Distribution Designing	31
2.2.4. Slab Designing.....	40
Conclusion.....	69
CHAPTER III BOTTOM STRUCTURE.....	72
3.1. Introduction	72
3.2. Soil Investigation	72
3.2.1. Standard Penetration Test (SPT).....	73

3.2.2.	Cone Penetration Test (CPT)	75
3.2.3.	Soil Site Classification.....	75
3.2.4.	Liquidation Potential Analysis.....	77
3.2.5.	Cyclic Stress Ratio (CSR).....	77
3.2.6.	Cyclic Resistance Ratio (CRR).....	80
3.2.7.	Liquefaction Potential.....	82
3.2.8.	Foundation Designing.....	84
3.2.9.	Conclusion.....	90
CHAPTER IV COST AND TIME DESIGNING		91
4.1.	Introduction	91
4.2.	Preparation of Work Breakdown Structure (WBS)	91
4.3.	Job description.....	92
4.4.	Job volume	93
4.5.	Analysis of Work Unit Prices (AHSP).....	93
4.6.	Job duration	94
4.7.	Job Scheduling Using MS Project.....	98
4.8.	S-Curve Creation.....	98
4.9.	Conclusion.....	99
REFERENCES		100
APPENDIX.....		101

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Purlin Mild Steel Profile C100x50x20	5
Figure 2. X Direction Purlin Load	6
Figure 3. Y Direction Purlin Load	7
Figure 4. Main Truss Profiles 2L50x50x5	11
Figure 5. Projection of Main Truss Dead Load(SAP2000 Modeling).....	11
Figure 6. Projection of Wind Load from the Right at the Joint(SAP2000 Modeling).....	12
Figure 7. Easel Connection Designing Design	16
Figure 8. Staircase Details	20
Figure 9. Staircase Floor Plan.....	20
Figure 10. Spectrum Response Graph of Gunung Kidul Region (Output Etabs).....	35
Figure 11. Cross Section of T Beam at Support Area.....	49
Figure 12. Projection of Compression on the Beam	53
Figure 13. Beam Slab Area Stress	54
Figure 14. Nomogram of Unswayed Structural Components.....	60
Figure 15. Column Interaction Diagram.....	62
Figure 16. Strong Column Concept - Weak Beam (SCWB)	63
Figure 17. The Forces Acting on Beam-Column Relationships.....	67
Figure 18. Earthquake Acceleration Map in Indonesia	78
Figure 19. Foundation Plan Location.....	84
Figure 20. Foundation Collapse Due to One Way Shear	88
Figure 21. Slide One Direction on Step Foundation	88
Figure 22. S-Curve of Nursing Home.....	98

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Designing of Roof.....	4
Table 2. Purlin Design (Pyramid Roof)	4
Table 3. Profil c Spesification.....	5
Table 4. ULS Purlin Check.....	7
Table 5. Purlin SLS Check.....	8
Table 6. Sag-rod Designing	9
Table 7. Main Truss Design.....	9
Table 8. Calculation of Main Truss Dead Load.....	10
Table 9. Roof Pressure Coefficient.....	12
Table 10. Calculation of the Wind Load of the Main Trusss.....	12
Table 11. L Steel Profile Specifications	13
Table 12. Terms of Slenderness of the Main Trusss.....	13
Table 13. Main Truss Stress.....	14
Table 14. Known Truss Connection Known Data	14
Table 15. Calculation of Design Strength.....	16
Table 16. Living Room Roof Design.....	17
Table 17. Stair Design.....	19
Table 18. Stair Loading Calculation	21
Table 19. Stair Modeling Data Using SAP2000	22
Table 20. Calculation of Stair Plane Force	22
Table 21. Stair Reinforcement Known Data.....	23
Table 22. Calculation of Support Stair Reinforcement.....	24
Table 23. Field Stair Reinforcement Calculation	26
Table 24. Bordes Beam Known Data	27
Table 25. Calculation of Bordes Beam Loading.....	27
Table 26. Bordes Beam Modeling Data Using SAP2000.....	28
Table 27. Calculation of Bend Support for Bordes Beams.....	28
Table 28. Calculation of Bending Field Bending Reinforcement Bordes	30
Table 29. Calculation of Bordes Beam Shear Reinforcement	31
Table 30. Soil Type Calculation Based on SPT Data	32
Table 31. Land Site Class Classification Based on SNI 1726:2019	32
Table 32. RSA Analyses of Gunung Kidul Regency.....	33

Table 33. Response Spectrum of Gunung Kidul SD Site Class Based on RSA	33
Table 34. Building Risk Category for Earthquake Loads.....	35
Table 35. Priority Factor based on Building Risk Category	36
Table 36. Seismic Design Category based on Short Period Acceleration	36
Table 37. Seismic Design Category based on 1 Second Period Acceleration	36
Table 38. Special Moment Resisting Reinforced Concrete Frame System Data	37
Table 39. Calculation of Loading Structure.....	38
Table 40. Seismic Distribution Force	40
Table 41. Slab Design Data	41
Table 42. Class II Slab Moment Coefficient	43
Table 43. Calculation of Planned Tension and Effective Distance of Slab 1	44
Table 44. Calculation of Area and Spacing of Main Slab Reinforcement 1.....	44
Table 45. SLS Slab Checking	46
Table 46. Known Data in Design of Beam Reinforcement	48
Table 47. Example of Beam Reinforcement Ratio Designing B266	50
Table 48. Amount of Longitudinal Reinforcement Beam B266.....	51
Table 49. ULS Checking of B266 Beams.....	51
Table 50. Column Known Data	57
Table 51. Calculation of Column Slenderness Checking Components	59
Table 52. ETABS In Column C2 floor 2	61
Table 53. SpColumn Modeling Output Results	62
Table 54. Column Longitudinal Reinforcement Calculations	62
Table 55. Checking Strong Column - Weak Beam (SCWB) Concept	63
Table 56. Column Transverse Reinforcement Designing.....	64
Table 57. Column Shear Strength Check.....	65
Table 58. Design Data of Beam-Column Relations.....	67
Table 59. Calculation of Joint Shear Force on Beam-Column Relations	68
Table 60. Bore Hole 1 Soil Data	73
Table 61. Bore Hole 2 Soil Data	74
Table 62. N-SPT Identification.....	75
Table 63. Soil Types Based on N-SPT Value.....	76
Table 64. CSR Calculation in Bore Hole 1 and 2	79
Table 65. CSR Correction Calculation	81
Table 66. Analyze Potential of Liquefaction	83

Table 67. Settlement Checking for Foundation Design.....	86
Table 68. Reinforcement Known Data	87
Table 69. Calculation of Distance Between Rebars and Nominal Moment.	89
Table 70. Budget Plan for Nursing Home	95
Table 71. Timeline of the Project	98

