## CHAPTER II UPPSTRUCTURE DESIGN

### 2.1.Introduction

The Designing of the upper structure of the Nursing Home building will start with the Designing of the roof and will be followed by the Designing of the structure underneath. This process is carried out with the aim of knowing the load that occurs on the roof and the amount of load given to the structure underneath. In this project, known data related to the initial design or preliminary design. The initial design data is the result of the initial structural design determined by the architectural design which will then be analyzed to obtain an efficient and robust design. The data in the preliminary design will later be calculated and analyzed to check the efficiency and strength of the design and changes will be made if the structural capability does not meet the expected minimum capacity.

The superstructure Designing will be based on SNI (Indonesian National Standardization) which will serve as a guide in Designing each structure. The following is the SNI guide used.
a. SNI 2847:2019 concerning Structural Concrete Requirements for Buildings and Explanations
b. SNI 1727:2020 concerning Minimum Design Load and Related Criteria for Buildings and Other Structures
c. SNI 1729:2020 concerning Procedures for Designing Steel Structures for Buildings
d. SNI 1726:2019 concerning Procedures for Designing Earthquake Resistance for Building and Non-Building Structures
e. Steel Specifications from PT. Mount Garuda

### 2.2.Used Materials

### 2.2.1. Roof

The roof plan on the building is a very important part because of the function and aesthetics of the building in question and the load that will be borne by the structure underneath. In Designing the roof, it is necessary to consider the Designing of the Purlin and the Designing of the trusses. The roof plan for the Nursing Home building can be seen in the AutoCAD Drawing Appendix and analyzed using SAP2000 to look for reactions from the existing styles.

Table 1. Designing of Roof

| Information | Purlin | Concrete | Beam <br> Reinforcement | Column <br> Reinforcement |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Material | Steel | Concrete | Steel | Steel |
| Steel Tension (fy) | 240 MPa | - | 420 MPa | 420 MPa |
| Tension ultimate (fu) | 370 MPa | - | - | - |
| Concrete strength (fc') | - | 25 MPa | - | - |
| Modulus elasticity (E) | $200,000 \mathrm{MPa}$ | $4700 \mathrm{x} \mathrm{fc} ~$ <br> $117,500 \mathrm{MPa}$ | $200,000 \mathrm{MPa}$ | $200,000 \mathrm{MPa}$ |

The Nursing Home building has 2 types of roofs, namely the Joglo roof which is used in the living room and the pyramid roof in the rooms. Both types of roofs have the same material plan, the only difference being the long span and slope of the roof. Next is the explanation below:

## Roof for Rooms (Pyramid Roof)

The span on the roof of the room is 7.8 m . The roof will use a profile of $2 \mathrm{~L} 50 \times 50 \times 5$. The upper structure used in the Nursing Home building in the rooms is a single WF profile.

## Purlin Designing

Purlin or Purlin is a roof component that is located on the truss which functions to support the load that occurs on the roof. In Designing the curtains of this building, steel material was used with curtain specifications obtained from Steel Specifications from PT. Mount Garuda with roof data in Table

Table 2. Purlin Design (Pyramid Roof)

| Know Data | Value | Unit | Information |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Roof slope ( $\alpha$ ) | 30 | Degrees | - |
| Span Length roof (L1) | 7,6 | m | - |
| Curtain spacing (a) | 120 | mm | - |
| Purlin load | 3.49 | $\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}$ | Used material steel profile C100x50x20 <br> $(2 \mathrm{~mm}$ thickness $)$ |


| Know Data | Value | Unit | Information |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Roof load | $4 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m} 2 \times 9.8 \mathrm{x}$ <br> $1000 \times 120$ <br> $=0.05133$ | $\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}$ | Used material light steel galvalume <br> because it is strong withstand loads and <br> do not rust easily |
| Ceiling load | $19 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m} 2 \times 9.8 \mathrm{x}$ <br> $1000 \times 120$ <br> $=0.22605$ | $\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}$ | PVC (Polyvinyl Chloride) material is <br> used because it is more flexible, lighter <br> and durable |
| Dead load (p) | (Calculation is <br> below) | $\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}$ | Accumulation of all fixed loads on the <br> building |
| live load (q) | 1 | kN | It's a workload |

The following are the loads that occur and are imposed on the Purlin in the Designing of the roof structure which can be seen in :
a. Live loads ( q ) are loads caused by users and/or occupants of buildings which do not include construction loads and environmental loads, such as wind loads, rain loads, earthquake loads, flood loads or dead loads. In Purlin, the live load is the work load, which is 1 kN .
b. Dead load (p), is all the loads from all parts of the building that are fixed. In Purlin, the dead loads that work are self loads, ceiling loads, and roof loads.

Table 3. Profil c Spesification

| DIMENSION | THICKNESS | SECTION AREA | WEIGHT <br> UNIT | GEOMETRICAL MOMENT OF INERTIA |  | MODULUS OF SECTION |  | RADIUS OF GYRATION |  |  | SHEAR CENTER | TORSION CONSTANT | WARPING CONSTANT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HxBxC | t | A | kg/m | Ix | ly | Zx | Zy | rx | ry | Cy | Xo | J | Cw |
| mm | mm | $\mathrm{cm}^{2}$ |  | cm ${ }^{4}$ | $\mathrm{cm}^{4}$ | $\mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | $\mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | cm | cm | cm | cm | $\mathrm{cm}^{4}$ | $\mathrm{cm}^{6}$ |
| C $100 \times 50 \times 20$ | 2.0 | 4.54 | 3.56 | 71 | 17 | 14.3 | 5.4 | 3.97 | 1.93 | 1.87 | 4.48 | 605 | 444 |
|  | 2.3 | 5.17 | 4.06 | 81 | 19 | 16.1 | 6.0 | 3.95 | 1.92 | 1.86 | 4.46 | 912 | 496 |
|  | 2.5 | 5.59 | 4.39 | 87 | 20 | 17.3 | 6.5 | 3.94 | 1.90 | 1.86 | 4.45 | 1164 | 528 |
|  | 2.8 | 6.20 | 4.87 | 95 | 22 | 19.1 | 7.1 | 3.92 | 1.89 | 1.86 | 4.42 | 1621 | 574 |
|  | 3.0 | 6.61 | 5.19 | 101 | 23 | 20.2 | 7.4 | 3.91 | 1.88 | 1.86 | 4.41 | 1982 | 603 |
|  | 3.2 | 7.01 | 5.50 | 106 | 24 | 21.3 | 7.8 | 3.90 | 1.87 | 1.86 | 4.40 | 2392 | 630 |



Figure 1. Purlin Mild Steel Profile C100x50x20

Purlin Designing will be carried out based on ULS and SLS parameters with the aim of minimizing expenses when Designing costs. In Designing Purlin, 2 pieces of checking so that the roof structure is safe are as follows.

## a. Ultimate Limit State Design(ULS)

In this check, it will be reviewed based on the bending stress and shear stress where the stress that occurs (fb) must be less than the allowable stress (fy). In this check, the LRFD design is used where the stress is reduced by 0.9 (Ф) with the section modulus in the X and Y directions and the ultimate moment of the Purlin in the X and Y directions will depend on the type of Purlin used.

Through the loads previously obtained, the calculation of the ultimate moment of the Purlin in the X direction and Y direction is carried out with each combination. The ultimate Purlin moment used is the largest ultimate moment for the X and Y directions. The ultimate Purlin moment in the $\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{M} 2, \mathrm{U})$ and $\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{M} 3, \mathrm{U})$ directions is used to obtain the resulting stress (fb) in the Purlin profile, which is then compared with the allowable steel stress (fy). The ultimate moment and steel stress use the formula in SNI 1729:2020. In Table 2.4, it is found that the applied stress, fb, is 216.785 MPa , while the allowable stress is 240 MPa so checking based on ULS is safe.

X-direction Purlin Moment Designing:


Figure 2. Moment Design in x Direction
$M_{3, D}=\frac{1}{8} \times \mathrm{q} \cos \alpha(\mathrm{L} 1)^{2}$ (Moment Calculation in x direction due to Dead Load)
$\mathrm{M}_{3, \mathrm{~L}}=+\frac{1}{4} \times \mathrm{p} \cos \alpha \mathrm{L} 1$ (Moment Calculation in x direction due to Live Load)
$\mathrm{M}_{3, \mathrm{U}}=1,4 \mathrm{M}_{3, \mathrm{D}}$ (Rumus 1 (Formula $1 \times$ Direction Moment)
$M_{3, U}=1,2 M_{3, D}+1,6 M_{3, L}$ (Formula $2 x$ Direction Moment)
*used the greatest moment between formula 1 and formula 2

Y-direction Purlin Moment Designing Formula:


Figure 3. . Moment Design in y Direction
$\mathrm{M}_{2 . \mathrm{D}}=\frac{1}{8} \times \mathrm{q} \sin \alpha\left(\frac{\mathrm{L} 1}{3}\right)^{2}$ (Moment Calculation in y direction due to Dead Load)
$M_{2 . L}=+\frac{1}{4} \times \mathrm{q} \sin \alpha \frac{\mathrm{L} 1}{3}$ (Moment Calculation in y direction due to Live Load)
$\mathrm{M}_{2 . \mathrm{U}}=1.4 \mathrm{M}_{2 . \mathrm{D}}$ (Formula 1 y Direction Moment)
$\mathrm{M}_{2 . \mathrm{U}}=1.2 \mathrm{M}_{2 . \mathrm{D}}+1.6 \mathrm{M}_{2 . \mathrm{L}}$ (Formula 2 y Direction Moment)
*used the greatest moment between formula 1 and formula 2
$f_{b}=\frac{M 3 . U}{\Phi W 3}+\frac{M 2 . U}{\Phi W 2}$ (Stress Formula for Purlin Due to Moments $x$ and $\left.y\right)$

Table 4. ULS Purlin Check

|  | Curtain | X Direction <br> Profile <br> Purlin | Y Direction <br> Thickness <br> $(\mathrm{mm})$ | Ultimate <br> Moment <br> $(\mathrm{kN})$ | Ultimate <br> Moment <br> $(\mathrm{kN})$ | Actual stress <br> $(\mathrm{MPa})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C $100 \times 50 \times 20$ | 2 | 0.52 | 0.23 | 162.51 | (fy) $)=240$ <br> MPa | Safe: <br> fyi |

ULS Purlin is considered safe if the stress on the steel $(\mathrm{Fb})$ is less than the design steel strength (fy) in this case $162.51 \mathrm{MPa}<240 \mathrm{MPa}$ so it is safe.

## b. Serviceability Limit State Design (SLS)

In this check, it will be reviewed from the deformation where the deformation that occurs must be smaller than the allowable deformation. In this plan, the deformation
under consideration is based on the Purlin deflection ( $\delta$ ). Calculation of deflection using the following formula:
$\delta \mathrm{x}=\frac{5}{384} \frac{\mathrm{q} \cos \alpha(\mathrm{L} 1)^{4}}{\mathrm{EI}}+\frac{1}{48} \frac{\mathrm{pcos} \alpha(\mathrm{L} 1)^{3}}{\mathrm{EI}}$ (Pyhtagoras Calculation x Direction)
$\delta y=\frac{5}{384} \frac{\mathrm{q} \sin \alpha(\mathrm{L} 1)^{4}}{\mathrm{EI}}+\frac{1}{48} \frac{\mathrm{p} \sin \alpha(\mathrm{L} 1)^{3}}{\mathrm{EI}}$ (Pyhtagoras Calculation y Direction)
$\delta=\sqrt{\delta_{3}^{2}+\delta_{2}^{2}}$ (Deflection : SNI 1729: 2020)
$\delta=\frac{1}{240} \times 1$ (Izi Deflection in Steel)

Table 5. Purlin SLS Check

| Purlin <br> Profile | Curtain Thickness <br> $(\mathrm{mm})$ | Actual deflection(mm) | Allowable <br> deflection(mm) | Safe: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C 100×50×20 | 2 | 5.9 | 12.5 | Safe |

The deflection that occurs is 5.9 mm smaller than the deflection limit of 12.5 mm so Steel profile C 100x50×20 with a thickness of 2 mm shows a safe number for the ULS and SLS tests can be used in the design of the Nursing Home building. The next step is to find the required sag-rod. Sag-rod is a connecting rod between one Purlin and another which serves to prevent the Purlin from curving. In the AutoCAD design drawing for the nursing home, it is found that the number of curtains under the roof is 8 lines (n) so that the area of the sag-rod can be found by combining the load of the sag-rod rods. The load combination will be used to find the minimum required sag-rod area after being reduced ( $\Phi$ ) by 0.9 . The minimum required sag-rod area is 1.049 mm 2 .
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{D}}=\mathrm{n}\left(\frac{\mathrm{L} 1}{3} \mathrm{q} \sin \alpha\right)$ (Combination of Sagrod Capacity beacause of Dead Load)
$\mathrm{Ft}, \mathrm{L}=\left(\frac{\mathrm{n}}{3} \mathrm{p} \sin \alpha\right)$ (Combination of Sagrod Capacity beacause of Live Load) $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{U}}=1,4 \mathrm{Ft}, \mathrm{D}$ (Formula 1 Load Combination of Sagrod)
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{U}}=1,2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{D}}+1,6 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{L}}$ (Formula 2 Load Combination of Sagrod)
$A_{s r}=\frac{F_{t, \mathrm{U}} \times 1000}{\Phi F_{\mathrm{y}}}$ (Area of Sagrod Load)
$A_{\text {sr }}=\frac{1}{4} \pi d^{2}$ (Capacity of Sagrod based on design materials)

Based on the calculations, the smallest sag-rod diameter of 10 mm can be used to minimize the budget

Table 6. Sag-rod Designing

| Sag rod diameter (mm) | Sag-rod area (1) (mm2) | Safe : (1) > 18.0313 mm 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 104.88 | Safe |

For wind tie rods there is usually no detailed calculation, it is usually determined directly by considering the span and spacing of the trusses. In this case, the wind tie rod will use a diameter of 16 mm .

## Designing of Main Truss

The main truss is the arrangement of the truss which functions to support the pressure on the roof truss and channel this pressure to the building structurethat's underneath. The following are the loads that occur and are imposed on the Main Trusss in the Designing of the roof structure.

Table 7. Main Truss Design

| Known data | Value | Unit | Information |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Roof slope ( $\alpha$ ) | 30 | o |  |
| span length roof (L1) | $8 \square$ | m |  |
| Roof height | 3 | m | Main Truss design |
| Structure height without roof | 12,32 | m | Structure design |
| Building height (h) | $3+12.3=15.2$ | m |  |
| Roof length(L) | 8 | m | Main Truss Design |
| h/1 | 1,9 | - | Ratio Height building and roof length |
| Distance between joints easel (a) | 120 | mm | - |
| The distance from the edge of the roof to the truss joint (b) | 120 | mm | - |
| The load of the Main Trusss | 0.5 | kN/m | It is assumed to be 0.5 $\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}$ from SNI 1729:2020 |
| Purlin load | $\begin{aligned} & 3.56 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m} \mathrm{x} 9.8 \\ & \times 1000 \\ & =0.03489 \end{aligned}$ | kN/m | Used material steel profile C100x50x20 with 2 mm thick |


| Roof load | $4 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m} 2 \times 9.8 \mathrm{x}$ <br> $1000 \times 120$ <br> $=0.05133$ | $\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}$ | Galvalume mild steel material is used <br> because it is strong enough to withstand <br> loads and not easy to rust |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ceiling load | $19 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m} 2 \times 9.8 \mathrm{x}$ <br> $1000 \times 120$ <br> $=0.22605$ | $\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}$ | PVC (Polyvinyl Chloride) material is <br> and durable |
| live load (q) | 1 | kN | It's a workload |

a. Live loads are loads caused by users and/or occupants of buildings which do not include construction loads and environmental loads, such as wind loads, rain loads, earthquake loads, flood loads or dead loads. In the trusses, the live load is the working load, which is 1 kN .
b. Dead load, is all the loads from all parts of the building that are fixed. In the trusses, the dead loads that work are the trusses themselves, the curtain loads, the ceiling loads, and the roof loads.

Table 8. Calculation of Main Truss Dead Load

| Dead Load Location | Formula | Yield (kN) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P1 load |  |  |
| The weight of the Main $(\mathrm{a} / 2) \times$ Weight 0.375 <br> Trusss  |  |  |
| Purlin weight | L1 x Weight | 0.104 |
| roof weight | $[(\mathrm{a} / 2+\mathrm{b}) / \cos \alpha] \times \mathrm{L} 1 \times$ Weight | 0.305 |
| Ceiling weight | (a/2 + b) x L1 x Weight | 1,256 |
| Total |  | 2.107 |
| P2 load |  |  |
| The weight of the Mainax Weight Trusss |  | 0.750 |
| Purlin weight | L1 x Weight | 0.105 |
| roof weight | $[(\mathrm{a} / 2+\mathrm{b}) / \cos \alpha] \times \mathrm{L} 1 \times$ Weight | 0.204 |
| Ceiling weight | ax L1 x Weight | 0.838 |
| Total |  | 1856 |
| P3 load |  |  |
| The weight of the Mainax Weight Trusss |  | 0.750 |
| Purlin weight | $2 \times$ L1 x Weight | 0.209 |
| roof weight | (a/cos $\alpha) \times$ L1 x Weight | 0.204 |


| Dead Load Location | Formula | Yield (kN) |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Ceiling weight | ax L1 x Weight | 0.838 |
| Total |  | 1996 |



Figure 4. Main Truss Profiles 2L50x50x5


Figure 5. Projection of Main Truss Dead Load(SAP2000 Modeling)
Wind loads, are all loads acting on the building caused by air pressure. The wind load is determined by the amount of wind blowing ( Qw ), the wind coefficient ( Cti ), and the suction wind coefficient (Cis). Big gust of windassumed to be 0.25 based on SNI 1729:2002. Blowing winds are winds that go to the roof, while suction winds are winds that go away from the roof. There are six different wind loads according to their location on the truss joints, namely W1, W2, W3, W4, W5, and W6.

Table 9. Roof Pressure Coefficient
Koefisien tekanan atap, $\boldsymbol{C}_{p}$, untuk digunakan dengan $q_{h}$

| Arah Angin | Di sisi angin datang |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Di sisi angin pergi Sudut, $\theta$ (derajat) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sudut, $\theta$ (derajat) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $h / L$ | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 30 | 35 | 45 | $\geq 60^{\circ}$ | 10 | 15 | $\geq 20$ |
| Tegak lurus |  | -0,7 | -0,5 | -0,3 | -0,2 | -0,2 | 0,0 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | -0,3 | -0,5 | -0,6 |
| terhadap | $\leq 0,25$ | -0,18 | 0, $0^{\text {a }}$ | 0,2 | 0,3 | 0,3 | 0,4 | 0,4 | 0,010 | -0,3 | -0,5 | - 0,6 |
| bubungan |  | -0,9 | -0,7 | -0,4 | -0,3 | -0,2 | -0,2 | $0,0^{\text {a }}$ |  | -0,5 | -0,5 | -0,6 |
| untuk | 0,5 | -0,18 | -0,18 | 0,0 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0,2 | 0,2 | 0,3 | 0,4 | 0,010 | -0,5 | -0,5 | - 0,6 |
| $\theta_{\geq} 10^{\circ}$ |  | $-1,3^{\text {b }}$ $-0,18$ | $-1,0$ -0.18 | -0,7 $-0,18$ | $-0,5$ 0,0 | -0,3 | -0,2 | $0,0^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 0,010 | -0,7 | -0,6 | - 0,6 |
| Arah Angin | h/L | Jarak horizontal dari tepi sisi angin datang |  |  | Cp |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 10. Calculation of the Wind Load of the Main Trusss

| Wind Load Location | Formula | Yield (kN) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| W1 | $[(\mathrm{a} / 2+\mathrm{b}) / \cos \alpha] \times C t i x L 1 x Q w$ | 0.106 |
| W2 | $(\mathrm{a} / \cos \alpha) \times L 1 \times Q w$ | 0.071 |
| W3 | $(\mathrm{a} / 2 \cos \alpha) \times L 1 \times Q w$ | 0.035 |
| W4 | $(\mathrm{a} / 2 \cos \alpha) \times L 1 \times Q w$ | -0.390 |
| W5 | $(\mathrm{a} / 2 \cos \alpha) \times L 1 \times Q w$ | -0.779 |
| W6 | $[(\mathrm{a} / 2+\mathrm{b}) / \cos \alpha] \times C t i x L 1 x Q w$ | $-1,169$ |



Figure 6. Projection of Wind Load from the Right at the Joint(SAP2000 Modeling)
From the shape of the truss and the load that has been obtained, then 2D modeling is carried out with SAP2000 software to determine the design force on the truss, the output in the form of reactions at the truss joints will be included as roof reaction data on buildings to facilitate building modeling in Etabs.

Table 11. L Steel Profile Specifications

| STANDARD SECTIONAL DIMENSIONS |  |  |  | SECTIONAREA | INFORMATIVE REFERENCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\qquad$ OF GRAVITY | GEOMETRICAL MOMENT OF INERTIA |  |  | RADIUS OF GYRATION OF AREA |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MODULUS } \\ & \text { OF SECTION } \end{aligned}$ |
| $\mathrm{H} \times \mathrm{B}$ | t | r1 | r2 |  | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{y}}$ | $\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{x}}=1 \mathrm{ly}$ | Max lu | Min Iv | $\mathrm{ix}_{\mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{i} y$ | Max iu | Min iv | $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{y}}$ |
| $\mathrm{mm} \times \mathrm{mm}$ | mm | mm | mm |  | $\mathrm{cm}^{2}$ | cm | $\mathrm{cm}^{4}$ | $\mathrm{cm}^{4}$ | $\mathrm{cm}^{4}$ | cm | cm | cm | $\mathrm{cm}^{3}$ |
| $25 \times 25$ | 3 | 4 | 2 | 1.427 | 0.719 | 0.797 | 1.26 | 0.332 | 0.747 | 0.94 | 0.48 | 0.448 |
| $30 \times 30$ | 3 | 4 | 2 | 1.727 | 0.844 | 1.420 | 2.26 | 0.590 | 0.908 | 1.14 | 0.58 | 0.661 |
| $40 \times 40$ | 3 | 4.5 | 2 | 2.336 | 1.090 | 3.530 | 5.60 | 1.460 | 1.230 | 1.55 | 0.79 | 1.210 |
| $40 \times 40$ | 4 | 4.5 | 2 | 2.336 | 1.090 | 3.530 | 5.60 | 1.460 | 1.230 | 1.55 | 0.79 | 1.210 |
| $40 \times 40$ | 5 | 4.5 | 3 | 3.755 | 1.170 | 5.420 | 8.59 | 2.250 | 1.200 | 1.51 | 0.77 | 1.910 |
| $45 \times 45$ | 5 | 6.5 | 3 | 4.302 | 1.280 | 7.910 | 12.50 | 3.290 | 1.360 | 1.71 | 0.87 | 2.460 |
| $45 \times 45$ | 4 | 6.5 | 3 | 3.492 | 1.240 | 6.500 | 10.30 | 2.700 | 1.360 | 1.72 | 0.88 | 2.000 |
| $50 \times 50$ | 4 | 6.5 | 3 | 3.892 | 1.370 | 9.060 | 14.40 | 3.760 | 1.530 | 1.92 | 0.98 | 2.490 |
| $50 \times 50$ | 5 | 6.5 | 3 | 4.802 | 1.410 | 11.100 | 17.50 | 4.580 | 1.520 | 1.91 | 0.98 | 3.080 |
| $50 \times 50$ | 6 | 6.5 | 4.5 | 5.644 | 1.440 | 12.600 | 20.00 | 5.230 | 1.500 | 1.88 | 0.96 | 3.550 |

Based on calculations on Etabs, the maximum tensile force and maximum compressive force are selected. From the Designing of the stem force, 2 checks are carried out, namely as follows.

## Serviceability Limit State Design (SLS)

In this check, it will be reviewed from the deformation where the deformation that occurs must be smaller than the allowable deformation. In this design, the deformation under consideration is based on the slenderness of the tensile and compressive rods ( $\delta$ ) with the profile size affecting the radius of gravitation (r).
$\delta=L k / 2 r$ (Deflection Requirement; SNI 1729:2020)

Table 12. Terms of Slenderness of the Main Trusss

| Profile 2L | Radius of | Pull Rod |  | Press rod |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Gyration (cm) | $(1)$ | Safe: (1) <br> $<240$ | $(2)$ | Safe: (2) <br> $<200$ |
| 2L50x50x5 | 1.52 | 86,449 | Safe | 86,449 | Safe |

## Ultimate Limit State Design(ULS)

In this check, it will be reviewed based on the stress on the tensile and compressive rods where the stress that occurs must be less than the allowable stress (fy). In this check, an LRFD design is used in which the stress is reduced by $0.9(\Phi)$ for tension members and $0.85(\Phi)$ for compression members.
$f_{t}=\frac{N u}{2 \times \Phi \times A g}$, with (Tension of force for 2L Profile: SNI 1729: 2020) $\Phi=0,9$
$\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{c}}=\frac{\omega \times \mathrm{Nu}}{2 \times \Phi \times \mathrm{Ag}}$, with (Compression for 2L Profile: SNI 1729: 2020) $\Phi=0,85$
$\omega=1, \operatorname{for}_{\mathrm{c}} \leq 0,25$
$\omega=\frac{1,43}{1,6-0,67 \times \delta_{c}}$, for $0,25 \leq \delta_{c} \leq 1,2$
$\omega=1,25 \times \delta_{c}{ }^{2}$, for $\delta_{c} \geq 1,2$
$\delta_{c}=\frac{L k}{\pi r} \sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{y}}}{\mathrm{E}}}$.
Table 13. Main Truss Stress

| Cross- | Pull Rod |  |  | Press rod |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Profile 2L <br> $(\mathrm{cm} 2)$ | pull kN) | Tension <br> $(\mathrm{MPa})$ | Safe: <br> $<240$ | Style <br> Press <br> $(\mathrm{kN})$ | Tension <br> $(\mathrm{MPa})$ | Safe: < <br> 240 |
| 2L50x50x5 | 4,802 | 18,584 | 21.5 | Safe | 21.19 | 38,602 | Safe |

Based on Table, it can be concluded that by using a 2L50x50x5 truss profile it will obtain safe stresses in the tension and compression rods, as well as safe slenderness requirements. Therefore, the truss profile used is $2 \mathrm{~L} 50 \times 50 \times 5$.

## Designing of Main Truss Connections

The truss connection usually uses bolts. The number of bolts used depends on the type and size of the bolts. In Designing the truss connection of this building, type A-325 bolts are used because they have a strength almost equivalent to grade 8.8 steel bolts. The bolts used are also threaded bolts with a bolt diameter of 12 mm to strengthen the gusset and truss Slab joints.

Table 14. Known Truss Connection Known Data

| Known Data | Value | Unit | Information |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Bolt diameter (d) | 1,2 | cm | - |


| Known Data | Value | Unit | Information |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hole diameter (h) | 1,4 | cm | Hole diameter based on SNI 1729:2020 |
| Gross cross-sectional area of bol non-threaded area (Ab) | $\begin{gathered} (1 / 4 \pi 1.22) \\ =1.13 \end{gathered}$ | cm2 | The cross-sectional area of 1 bolt is 1.13 cm 2 , so for 2 bolts it is $2 \times 1.13=2.26 \mathrm{~cm} 2$ |
| Thickness of gusset Slab (tp) | 10 | mm | - |
| tensile stress <br> bolt break ( $f \mathrm{u} b$ ) | 825 | MPa | Represents the tensile stress of a type A-325 bolt with thread |
| reduction factor <br> invoice strength ( $\Phi f$ ) | 0.75 | - |  |
| Tensile breaking stress between bolts (fu) | $370$ | $\mathrm{MPa}$ | The tensile stress between bolt based on SNI 1729:2020 |
| Steel stress(fy) | 240 | MPa | Allowable steel stress |
| shear stress nominal bolt (fnv) | - 372 | MPa | Nominal shear stress of bolts type A-325 with thread |
| tensile stress nominal bolt (fnt) | 620 | MPa | Nominal tensile stress of bolts type A-325 with thread |
| rl | 0.4 or 0.5 | - | Based on SNI 1729:2020, 0.5 is used for threadless bolts, while 0.4 for threaded bolts |
| Easel Element Maximum Force <br> $(\mathrm{Nu})$ | 18,584 | kN | Got by using the maximum Main Truss-drawn design force obtained from the modeling SAP2000. |
| Gross Main Truss cross-sectional area Main Truss (Ag) | 4,802 | cm2 | The cross-sectional area of the truss profile is $2 \mathrm{~L} 50 \times 50 \times 5$ |

From the specifications of the bolts used, calculations are carried out to find the number of bolts used which is determined by comparing the smallest value between the shear strength and the bearing strength of the bolts. First of all, calculations are carried out using SNI 1729: 2020.
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{d}}=\frac{0,75 \times \mathrm{r} 1 \times \mathrm{Fubx} \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{b}}}{1000}$ (Bolt Shear Strength)
$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{d}}=\frac{\Phi_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{x} 2,4 \mathrm{xdxt}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{x} A_{\mathrm{b}}}{1000}$ (Bolt support Strength)
The bolt shear strength is 28 kN , , the bolt bearing strength is 9042.38 kN . In this case there are two shear planes, the shear strength of the bolts becomes 2 Vd , and the bearing strength of the Slab remains Rd, so the smallest values of 2 Vd and Rd are chosen to find the number of bolts used with a minimum number of 2 bolts. The number of bolts obtained from is 0.879017 so the number of bolts used is 2 pieces. With 2 bolts, the gross cross-sectional area of the bolts is 2.26 cm 2 .
$\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{Nu} / 2 \mathrm{Vd}$ or $\mathrm{Nu} / \mathrm{Rd}$ (Calculate required number of bolts)
*Choose the smallest amount of numbers


Figure 7. Easel Connection Designing Design

From the number and size of bolts used, ULS was checked by comparing the design strength with the largest design force of the truss rod elements. The reason for using the largest design style is to represent the design style of each truss element. ULS checking will be safe when all design strengths that occur are greater than the largest design force. The design strength obtained is the smallest value among the following styles:
a. Shear strength, namely the stress acting in the direction tangential to the cross section of the bolt caused by the shift between the gusset Slab and the steel profile.
b. Bearing Strength, namely the stress that occurs due to the action of the tensile force pressing the bolt in a fulcrum manner.
c. Tension on gross area, namely the stress that occurs in the gross cross-sectional area of the truss.
d. Tension on net area,namely the stress that occurs between the bolt and the crosssectional area of the truss.
e. block shear strength,that is, the allowable limiting stress combining tensile failure in plane and shear failure in the plane perpendicular which in this case is a truss profile bolt.
f. slip critical strength, namely the allowable limit stress that occurs due to friction between two elements that are connected to join two structural elements which in this case are one truss profile with another truss profile.

Table 15. Calculation of Design Strength

| Known Data | Formula | Value | Unit |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Shear Strength | $\Phi \times[($ Fnv $\times \mathrm{Ab}, 2$ bolts)/10], with $\Phi=0.75$ | 106.56 | kN |
| $\Phi R n$ |  |  |  |
| Bearing strength | $[1.2 \times(2.5-\mathrm{h} / 2) \times \mathrm{Fu}] / 10$ | 79,92 | kN |
| Rn closest hole |  |  |  |


| Known Data | Formula | Value | Unit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rn another hole | [1.2 x (4-h) x Fu] / 10 | 115,44 | kN |
| Ф2Rn | $\Phi \times 2 \times \mathrm{Rn}$, with $\Phi=0.75$ and the smallest Rn | 119.88 | kN |
| Tension on gross area |  |  |  |
| ФRn | $\Phi \times(\mathrm{Ag} \mathrm{x} \mathrm{fy} / 10)$, with $\Phi=0.9$ | 103,72 | kN |
| Tension on net area |  |  |  |
| ФRn | $\Phi \times \mathrm{Ag} / 2 \mathrm{x} \mathrm{fu} / 10$, with $\Phi=0.75$ | 66,63 | kN |
| Block shear strength |  |  |  |
| Gross area due to shear (Agv) | $2 \times 6.5 \times \Phi$, with $\Phi=0.7$ | 9,1 | cm 2 |
| Net area due to shear (Anv) | $\begin{array}{cccccccccc} 2 & \mathrm{x} & (6.5 & - & 1.5 & \mathrm{x} & 1.75) & \mathrm{x} & \Phi, & \text { with } \\ \Phi=0.7 & & & & & & & & \end{array}$ | 5,425 | cm2 |
| Net area due to tension (Ant) | $\Phi \mathrm{x}(4-1.75)$ with $\Phi=0.7$ | 1.1505 | cm2 |
| Rn1 | $(\mathrm{Fu} / 10) \times(0.6 \times$ Anv + Ant $)$ | 178.71 | kN |
| Rn2 | $(\mathrm{Fy} / 10) \times(0.6 \times A \mathrm{~g} v+A n t)$ | 7168,84 | kN |
| ФRn | $\Phi \times R n$, with $\Phi=0.75$ and Rn the smallest | 168.84* | kN |
| Slip Critical Strength |  |  |  |
| ФRn | $2 \times 0.3 \times \mathrm{Ab} \times 0.85 \times 91$ | 126.63 | kN |

It can be seen that the largest design strength in Table 2.16 is 126.63 kN . By comparing the design strength which is greater than the largest design force on the rod which is 49.23 kN , it can be concluded that the design truss connection with threaded A-325 bolts with a diameter of 10 mm is safe for each element of the rod.

## Roof for the Living Room (Joglo)

The roof structure system in the living room, a frame with a joglo form of double angled steel is used. The joglo-shaped roof frame is used in order to adjust the shape of the architectural design given. There is one frame profile in the middle that is longer than the other inner frames. so, roof truss design can use a profile that is more uniform and more economical. Following profile of Jolglo frame are:

Table 16. Living Room Roof Design

| Data | Value | Unit |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lower roof slope | 42 | Degrees |
| Upper roof slope | 20 | Degrees |
| Roof span length | 15 | m |


| Data | Value | Unit |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Purlin distance | 1.5 | m |
| Purlin type | $\mathrm{ST}-37$ | $\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}$ |
| Purlin load | 0.034888 | $\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}$ |
| roof load | 0.0791232 | $\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}$ |
| Ceiling load | 0.2793 | $\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}$ |
| Dead load | 0.3933112 | $\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}$ |
| live load | 1 | mm |
| Purlin profile | $\mathrm{C} 100 \times 50 \times 20$ (t=2mm) |  |
| Sagrood diameter | 10 | m |
| Easel Designing | 3.5 | m |
| roof height | 12.32 | m |
| Roofless structure height | 15.82 | m |
| Building height | 2.2 | Kn |
| The joints distance of the truss | 2.09 | Kn |
| Main Truss load (P1) | 1.93 | Kn |
| Main Truss load (P2) | 2.03 | Kn |
| Main Truss load (P3) | $2 \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{x} \mathrm{50} \mathrm{x} \mathrm{50} \mathrm{(h=2mm)}$ |  |
| Easel profile | 0.106 | -0.390 |
| Wind load (W1) | -0.779 | $-1,169$ |
| Wind load (W2) | 0.035 | Kn |
| Wind load (W3) | Wind load (W4) | Wind load (W5) |

For the calculation of the capacity of the materials and profiles used, such as the consideration on the Limas Roof and all the data, it shows that the joglo roof data has been able to support the given load.

### 2.2.2. Building Structure Designing

Building structure Designing is the second stage after Designing the roof structure. The Designing of the building structure includes the Designing of stairs, Slabs, beams and columns so that it can withstand the weight of the building structure.

## Stair Designing

Stairs are one of the components in buildings found in multi-storey buildings that
function as a means of connecting between floors. In Designing stairs, things that need to be considered are safety and comfort in using stairs. Therefore, in designing a Stair, it is necessary to pay attention to the slope of the stairs and the dimensions of each step. In a Stair, the components that compose it are the steps and the landing Slab which is located in the middle of the staircase design.

Table 17. Stair Design

| Known Data | Value | Unit |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Height Between Floors (hlt) | 4 | m |
| Stair Width | 3.5 | m |
| Border Width | 1.75 | m |
| Step Height (Optrede) | 150 | mm |
| Width of Steps (Antrede) | 170 | mm |
| Number of Steps (ntg) | hlt/Optire $=22$ | piece |
| Stair Elongated Width (Ltg) | $(1 / 2 \times$ hlt/Optrede -1$)=(1 / 2 \times /-1)=3.23$ | m |
| Staircase Corner | tan $-1($ Optrede/Amtrede $)=29.54$ | mm |
| Stair Slab Thickness $(\mathrm{t})$ | 150 | mm |
| Equivalent Stair Thickness (tt) | $(0.5 \times$ Optred $\times$ Antrede $) /[($ Optrede2 + Antrede2 $) 1 / 2]=73.95$ | m |
| Total Equivalent Stair Slab $(\mathrm{t}$ ) | $[(\mathrm{t}+\mathrm{tt}) / \cos \alpha]=257$ |  |

It is known that the height between floors 1 and 2 is 4 m high and the width of the stairs to be designed is 3.5 m . From these initial data, it can be planned in advance the size of the stairs to be used. In Designing the stairs, it is necessary to determine the dimensions for the 2 components, namely, the height of the steps (Optrede) and the width of the steps (Aptrede). The provisions for optrede sizes are between 150 and 200 mm , while aptrede sizes are limited to between 280 and 300 mm . For this plan, the planned optrede size is 150 mm and the aptrede size used is 170 mm as shown in Figure 2.14. after knowing the size of the steps, the next step is to determine the number of steps needed. The number of steps can be determined by dividing the height between the floors by the height of the steps. In this plan, the number of steps to be used is 20 which will be divided into 2 segments or parts.


Figure 8. Staircase Details

From these results, it can be seen that the elongated width of the stairs to be built later is 3.5 m wide. In Figure 2.15, you can see the plan of the planned staircase. In the figure, it can be seen that the planned landing width is 1.75 m which is obtained from half the width of the planned stairs. Apart from getting the number of steps and the width of the stairs, the optrede and aptrede plan data can also be used to determine the angle of inclination of the stairs to be made. In this plan, the angle of inclination of the stairs is planned at $29.54 \square$. For the thickness of the Stair Slab, it is assumed that the minimum thickness is 150 mm . These data will later be used in calculating Stair loading and Designing Stair reinforcement.


Figure 9. Staircase Floor Plan

After obtaining the dimensions of the stairwell, the angle of inclination of the stairs and the thickness of the stair Slabs, the Designing for loading the stairs can be carried out. Loading onThe stairs themselves can be seen in 2 places, namely on the sloping stairs and on the landing of the stairs. There are 2 types of loading that work on stairs, namely dead load and live load. The calculation of loading on the stairs can be seen bellow:

Table 18. Stair Loading Calculation


It can be seen the type of loading and the amount of loading acting on the Stair. On the stairs, there are 2 types of loading that will be used to calculate the Designing of the stairs, namely as follows.
a. Loading by the Stair itself. Stair load consists of dead load which consists of Stair weight, species weight and tiles, railing weight, Stair weight and live load which consists of live load. Based on the calculations, the total dead load acting on the Stair was $8,228 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}$. Meanwhile, the live load that will be used refers to SNI 1727:2020 concerning the minimum design load for stairs in a 3-storey building, which is $1.3 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}$.
b. Bordes loading. Bordes is part of the Stair as a place to rest towards the next Stair. Bordes in the form of a flat Slab between the steps. Loading on stairs and
landings consists of a dead loadconsisting of Stair weight, specific weight and tiles, railing weight and live load consisting of live loads. From the calculation results, a dead load of $5.65 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}$ and a live load of $1.3 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}$ is obtained according to the minimum live load in SNI 1727:2020 regulations.

From the calculation of the load on the Stair, the internal force modeling on the Stair will be modeled using SAP2000. The modeling aims to determine the forces acting on the Stair due to loading such as shear forces and moments. Based on the modeling that has been done, a Shear Force Diagram (SFD) model is obtained which shows the shear force and the Bending Moment Diagram (BMD) which shows the moment due to Dead Load and Live Load. SFD Modeling and Stair BMD. Based on this modeling, the output is obtained in the form of shear forces and moments as shown in Table 2.19. The results of these forces will be used in the next step, namely to plan the reinforcement of the stairs.
. Table 19. Stair Modeling Data Using SAP2000

| Shear Force Diagrams(SFD) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Shear Force Due to Dead Load (VDL) | 24.3 | kN |
| Shear Force Due to Live Load (VLL) | 19.54 | kN |
| Bending Moment Diagrams(BMD) | 17.33 |  |
| Moment Due to Dead Load (MDL) | 5.65 | kNm |
| Moment Due to Live Load (MLL) |  | kNm |

After knowing the loading acting on the stairs and the forces generated, the next step is Designing the reinforcement of the stairs. In order to plan the reinforcement of the stairs, it is necessary to first find the design forces resulting from the combination of forces due to dead load and live load as shown in Table.

Table 20. Calculation of Stair Plane Force

| The Moment of Plan (Mu) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mu1 | $1.4 \times M D L=24.262$ | kNm |
| Mu2 | $1.2 \times M D L+1.6 \times M L L=29.836$ | kNm |
| Mur | Max $(\mathrm{Mu} 1 ; \mathrm{Mu} 2)=\mathrm{Mu} 2=29.836$ | kNm |
| Planned Shear Force $(\mathrm{Vu})$ | kNm |  |
| Vu1 | $1.4 \times V D L=1.4 \times 16.51=34.02$ |  |


| Vu2 | $1.2 \times V D L+1.6 \times V L L=60.42$ | kNm |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Vur | Max $(\mathrm{Vu} 1 ; \mathrm{Vu} 2)=\mathrm{Vu} 2=60.424$ | kNm |

There is a calculation of the design force to be used in Designing the reinforcement of the stairs. The design force consists of design moments and design shear forces obtained from a combination of moments and shear forces due to dead loads and live loads. In this calculation, there are 2 types of combinations used. Of the two combinations, the combination that has the greatest value is selected to be used as design shear force $(\mathrm{Vu})$ and design moment (Mu).

After obtaining the design style, the Designing of stair reinforcement can be carried out. On the Stair there are 2 types of reinforcement, namely reinforcement of the support Stair and reinforcement of the field Stair. This is because each stair area has a different moment so it needs to be reviewed on the support and the ground. Before the reinforcement calculation is carried out, it is necessary to know the initial data related to the stair design as shown in Table.

Table 21. Stair Reinforcement Known Data

| Known Data | Value | Unit |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Concrete Quality (f'c) | 25 | MPa |
| Steel Stress (fy) | 420 | MPa |
| Concrete Cover (ds) | 20 | mm |
| Stair Slab Thickness (t) | 150 | m |
| Viewed width (bw) | 1000 | mm |
| Diameter of Flexural Reinforcement (Dtul) | 13 | mm |
| Diameter of Shear Reinforcement (dtul) | 8 | mm |
| Effective Thickness (d) | $\mathrm{t}-(\mathrm{ds}+1 / 2+$ Dtul $)=121.5$ | mm |

In the table above, it is known that the concrete cover to be used is 20 mm thick. In addition, in this design the reinforcement to be used for flexural reinforcement is deformed reinforcement with a diameter of 13 mm (D13) and shear reinforcement uses plain reinforcement with a diameter of 8 mm (d8). Therefore, the effective thickness of the Stair Slab to be used in reinforcement Designing is 123.5 mm . Once these initial data are known, the Designing of stair reinforcement can be carried out. In this plan, first review the reinforcement of the stairs in the support area. The calculation of the
support reinforcement can be seen in Table below

Table 22. Calculation of Support Stair Reinforcement

| Main Reinforcement |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bending Moment (Mu) | $0.8 \times$ Mur $=14.918$ | kN |
| Flexural Resistance Factor (Rn) | $\mathrm{Mu} /(0.9 \mathrm{x}$ bw x d 2$)=1.12$ | MPa |
| Minimum Rebar Ratio (pmin) | $0.002$ |  |
| Maximum Reinforcement Ratio (pmax) | $0.75 \times(0.85 \times \mathrm{f}$ 'c $\times \beta / \mathrm{fy}) \times(600 / 600+\mathrm{fy})=0.019$ |  |
| Required Rebar Ratio (pneed) | $0.85 \times \mathrm{f}^{\prime} \mathrm{A} / \mathrm{fy} \times\left(1-\left(1-2 \times \mathrm{Rn} / 0.85 \times \mathrm{f}^{\prime}\right)^{\wedge} 1 / 2\right)=0.0049$ |  |
| Reinforcement Surface Area Required (As required) | $\mathrm{p} \times b w \times d=333.89$ | mm2 |
| Distance Between Rebars (s) | $b w \times 0.25 \times \pi \times$ Dtul2 $/$ As $=221.654=400$ | mm |
| Surface Area of Used <br> Reinforcement (Used As) | $b w \times 0.25 \times \pi \times D t u l 2 / \mathrm{s}=442$ | mm2 |
| Principal Reinforcement used | D13-400 |  |
| Shear Strength (Vc) | $1 / 6 \times\left(f^{\prime} c^{\wedge} 1 / 2\right) \times$ bw xd $=102916.67=102.916$ | kN |
| Shear Strength Capacity ( $\phi \mathrm{Vc}$ ) | $\phi \times V c=77187.5$ | kN |
| Safety Against Sliding | $\mathrm{Vu}<\phi \mathrm{Vc}=60.424<77.19$ (OK) |  |
| Sharing Reinforcement | , |  |
| Minimum Reinforcing Surface Area (As min) | $p \min \times b w \times t=300$ | mm2 |
| Distance Between Rebars (s) | $b w \times 0.25 \times \pi \times d t u l 2 / \mathrm{As}=167.612=150$ | mm |
| Surface area Divide (As) | $b w \times 0.25 \times \pi \times d t u l 2 / \mathrm{s}=331.241$ | mm2 |
| Reinforcement Check | As divided > As min $=331.241>300$ (OK) |  |
| Reinforcement for those used | d8-150 |  |

On Table above, there is a calculation for the reinforcement of the support Stair which is divided into main reinforcement and dividing reinforcement. In Designing the main reinforcement, the plan must be able to withstand the design bending moment that occurs at the support of the Stair which is equal to $26,173 \mathrm{kN}$. In this plan, Designing for stair reinforcement will be reviewed every 1 m wide (bw). Then, from the known bending moment, the bending resistance factor ( Rn ) will be calculated. after obtaining the value of Rn , the next step is to determine the minimum, maximum reinforcement
ratio $(\mathrm{p})$ and the required reinforcement ratio based on the initial design data.

Required reinforcement ratio (prequired) must meet the condition pmin < pneed < pmax. If the value of the reinforcement ratio needs to be less than the minimum ratio, then the minimum ratio is used. Meanwhile, if the reinforcement ratio value needs to be more than the maximum ratio, then the maximum reinforcement ratio value is used. From the required reinforcement ratio, the reinforcement surface area (As required) is calculated for every 1 m of width. The required As value will later be used in calculating the spacing of the reinforcement that will be used in the insHeightation of the main reinforcement. The reinforcement spacing obtained can be rounded off and a reinforcement spacing of 150 mm is obtained. From the reinforcement distance, the surface area of the reinforcement to be used in the insHeightation is sought.

After knowing the details of the reinforcement to be used in the form of D13-150, check the shear forces that occur. before checking, calculation of the shear strength (Vc) and shear strength capacity ( $\phi \mathrm{V} \mathrm{c}$ ) that can be supported by the design of the Stair. The shear strength capacity value $(\phi \mathrm{Vc})$ must be greater than the design shear strength value $(\mathrm{Vu})$. After the main reinforcement is obtained and the main reinforcement plan has been checked against the design forces that occur, the next step is to calculate the required reinforcement.

The calculation of the reinforcement design will use the minimum reinforcement ratio. So that in the calculation will be determined in advance the area. minimum reinforcement surface ( $\mathrm{As}_{\mathrm{min}}$ ). Through the surface area of the minimum reinforcement, it can be known the distance between reinforcement required in the insHeightation of reinforcement for. The spacing between reinforcement can be used if the design has a reinforcement surface area that is greater than the minimum reinforcement surface area. From the calculation of the reinforcement that has been carried out, it is determined that the details of the reinforcement used are d8-150. Next step is to find a plan for stair reinforcement in the field area. In the calculation of stair reinforcement in the field area, the type of calculation performed is the same as the calculation of stair reinforcement in the support area. The thing that distinguishes the Designing of the stairs of the support area and the field is the style of plan that works in each of these areas.

Table 23. Field Stair Reinforcement Calculation

| Main Reinforcement |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bending Moment (Mu) | $0.5 \times$ Mur $=16,354$ | kN |
| Flexural Resistance Factor (Rn) | $\mathrm{Mu} /(0.9 \mathrm{x}$ bw x d 2 ) $=1.23$ | MPa |
| Minimum Reinforcement Ratio (pmin) | 0.002 |  |
| Maximum Reinforcement Ratio (pmax) | $\begin{aligned} & 0.75 \times\left(0.85 \times \mathrm{f}^{\prime} \mathrm{c} \times \beta / \mathrm{fy}\right) \mathrm{x} \\ & (600 / 600+\mathrm{fy})=0.019 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Required Rebar Ratio (pneed) | 0.85 x f'c / fy x (1-(1-2 x Rn/0.85 x <br> $\left.\left.f^{\prime} c\right)^{\wedge} 1 / 2\right)=0.003$ |  |
| Reinforcement Surface Area Required (As required) | $p \times b w \times d=367.137$ | mm2 |
| Distance Between Rebars (s) | $b w \times 0.25 \times \pi \times$ Dtul2 $/$ As $=361.68=$ 350 | mm |
| Surface Area of Used Reinforcement (Used As) | $b w \times 0.25 \times \pi \times \text { Dtul2 } / \mathrm{s}=442$ | mm2 |
| Main reinforcement used | D13-150 |  |
| Shear Strength (Vc) | $1 / 6 \times\left(f^{\prime} c^{\wedge} 1 / 2\right) \times$ bw $\times \mathrm{xd}=102.92$ | kN |
| Shear Strength Capacity ( $\phi \mathrm{Vc}$ ) | $\phi \times V c=77.19$ | kN |
| Safety Against Sliding | $\mathrm{u}<\phi \mathrm{Vc}=(\mathrm{OK})$ |  |
| Sharing Reinforcement |  |  |
| Minimum Reinforcing Surface Area (As min) | $\mathrm{pmin} \times b w \times t=300$ | mm2 |
| Distance Between Rebars (s) | $b w \times 0.25 \times \pi \times d t u l 2 / A s=167.62=150$ | mm |
| Reinforcing Surface Area For (As for) | $b w \times 0.25 \times \pi \times d t u l 2 / s=300$ | mm2 |
| Reinforcement Check For | As divided > As min (OK) |  |
| Reinforcement for used | d8-150 |  |

The thing that distinguishes this calculation from the calculation of the support reinforcement is the amount of bending moment acting on the plane. Through the calculations carried out, it is obtained details of reinforcement in the field Stair in the form of main reinforcement D13150 and reinforcement for the same as in the reinforcement reinforcement, namely reinforcement d8-150.

## Planned Bordes Beams

After the Designing of the stairs has been completed, the next plan is to plan the landing beam.

The landing beam is a beam that is located side by side with the stairs. The landing beam can help withstand the reaction force generated by the stairs and channel it to the nearest column. Before Designing the landing beams, it is necessary to know in advance the Known Data of the landing beams.

Table 24. Bordes Beam Known Data

| Known Data | Value | Unit |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Border Width (L) | 4 | m |
| Beam Height (h) | 350 | mm |
| Beam Width (b) | 500 | mm |
| Floor Height (plt) | 3.5 | m |
| Wall Thickness (td) | 0.15 | m |
| Diameter of Flexural Reinforcement | 19 | mm |
| (Dtul) | 8 | mm |
| Diameter of Shear Reinforcement |  |  |
| (dtul) | 420 | MPa |
| Flexural Reinforcement Stress (fy) | 420 | MPa |
| Shear Reinforcing Stress (fy) | 280 | mm |
| Concrete Cover (ds) | 40 | mm |
| Effective Thickness (d) | $\mathrm{h}-\mathrm{ds}-$ dreinforcement - (1/2 x Dreinforcement) | m |

It is known that the landing beam to be designed has a length of 4 m with dimensions of 350 x 500 mm . In the drilled beam, it is planned to use threaded reinforcement with a diameter of 19 mm (D19) as flexural reinforcement and plain reinforcement with a diameter of 8 mm (d8) as shear reinforcement. After knowing the design data of the landing beam, the next step is to calculate and model the loading of the landing beam. Calculation of landing beam loading can be seen

Table 25. Calculation of Bordes Beam Loading

| Dead Load(qD) |  | $h \times b \times$ vol beton $=0.3 \times 0.25 \times 24=1.8$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Self Weight | $(\mathrm{hlt} / 2-\mathrm{h}) \times \mathrm{td} \times$ Brick $\mathrm{Vol}=4.25$ | $\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}$ |
| Wall Weight | $\mathrm{VDL}=29.04$ | $\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}$ |
| Stair Reaction | 35.09 | $\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}$ |
| Total |  | $\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}$ |
| Live Load(qL) | VLL $=24.01$ | $\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}$ |
| Stair Reaction |  |  |

On the landing beam, the type of loading that occurs is the same as loading on general beams,
namely dead load and live load. In dead load loading, the type of load acting is the load due to its own weight, the weight of the wall, and the reaction of the Stair in the form of a shear force. From the calculation results, the total dead load on the landing beam is $21.935 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}$. In the live load loading, the type of load acting is the load due to the reaction of the stairs in the form of a shear force due to the live load (VLL) of $24.01 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}$. From the calculation results, the total live load on the landing beam is $10.86 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}$. After obtaining the loading acting on the landing beam, then step next is do modeling beam landing withusing SAP2000. Bordes beam modeling results using SAP2000 SFD Modeling and BMD Bordes Beams. The modeling aims to determine the shear forces and bending moments that occur due to loading on the landing beam. Based on this modeling, the output results are obtained which can be seen in Table 2.26. This value will be used in the design of flexural and shear reinforcement in bordes beam.

Table 26. Bordes Beam Modeling Data Using SAP2000

| Shear Force Diagrams(SFD) | 73,264 | kN |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Shear Force (Vu) |  |  |
| Bending Moment Diagrams(BMD) | 107,365 | kNm |
| Bending moment of support $(\mathrm{Mu})$ | 53,683 | kNm |
| Pitch bending moment $(\mathrm{Mu})$ |  |  |

After knowing the shear forces and bending moments acting on the landing beam, then proceed with the calculation of the landing beam reinforcement. Bordes reinforcement is divided into two parts, namely support reinforcement and field reinforcement. The results of the Designing calculations for the reinforcement of the landing beam supports can be seen in Table

Table 27. Calculation of Bend Support for Bordes Beams

| Flexural Reinforcement |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bending Moment (Mu) | 53,682 | kN |
| Flexural Resistance Factor (Rn) | $\mathrm{Mu} / 0.9 \mathrm{xbx} \mathrm{d} 2=1.394$ | MPa |
| Reinforcement Ratio Minimum (pmin) | 0.002 |  |
| Maximum Reinforcement Ratio (pmax) | $\begin{aligned} & 0.75 \times(0.85 \times \mathrm{f} \text { 'c } \times \beta / \mathrm{fy}) \times(600 / 600+\mathrm{fy})= \\ & 0.0189 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Required Rebar Ratio (p need) | $\begin{aligned} & \left(0.85 \times \mathrm{f}^{\prime} \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{fy}\right) \times(1-(1-(2 \mathrm{xRn} / 0.85 \mathrm{xf} \mathrm{c})= \\ & 0.0034 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Reinforcement Surface Area Required | $p \times b \times d=502,599$ |  |


| Flexural Reinforcement |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (As required) | As $/(0.25 \times \pi \times$ Dtul2 $)=1.771=2$ | mm 2 |
| Number of Reinforcement (n) | $n \times 0.25 \times \pi \times$ Dtul2 $=567.286$ | piece |
| Wide <br> (USuse $)$ | 2 D 13 | mm 2 |
| Flexural Reinforcement used | $($ As $\times \mathrm{fy} / 0.85 \times \mathrm{f}$ 'c xb $)=22.42$ |  |
| Nominal Moment Check | $\mathrm{a} / \beta=26.38$ | mm |
| a | $(0.003 \times(\mathrm{dc})) / \mathrm{c}=0.03>0.005$ | mm |
| c | $\phi \times A s \times f y \times(d-a / 2)=60.32$ | kNm |
| strain $\left(\varepsilon_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ | $\phi \mathrm{Mn}>\mathrm{Mu}=60.32>53.682(\mathrm{OK})$ |  |
| Nominal Moment Capacity $(\phi \mathrm{M} \mathrm{N})$ |  |  |
| Moment Check |  |  |

It can be seen the calculation of the field bending reinforcement of the drilled beam and checking the bending moment that occurs. The first step in the design is to determine the flexural resistance factor and reinforcement ratio. The reinforcement ratio used must be within the minimum and maximum reinforcement ratio range. From the reinforcement ratio, the required reinforcement surface area can be determined and the amount of reinforcement to be used is determined. In the support area of the landing beam, 2 pieces of threaded reinforcement with a diameter of 13 mm (3D13) are used. From the reinforcement plan, it is known that the reinforcement design has a nominal moment capacity of 60.32 kNm so that it is safe and can withstand the bending moment that occurs, which is 53.682 kNm . Besides that, Also check the strain that occurs in the reinforcement design. From this design, it is obtained that the strain that occurs is 0.03 , where this value is safe because it is greater than the strain according to the provisions, which is equal to 0.005 .

After obtaining the details of the reinforcement in the bearing area of the landing beam, the next step is to determine the reinforcement of the landing beam field. The calculation step for the field reinforcement of the bored beam is the same as for the calculation of the support area. The thing that distinguishes these calculations is the amount of bending moment that works. In the field area, the bending moment that works tends to be smaller in value than the support area. The results of the calculation of the field reinforcement of the landing beam can be seen in Table.

Table 28. Calculation of Bending Field Bending Reinforcement Bordes

| Flexural Reinforcement |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bending Moment (Mu) | 53,683 | kN |
| Flexural Resistance Factor $(\mathrm{Rn})$ | $\mathrm{Mu} / 0.9 \mathrm{xbx} \mathrm{d} 2=1.39$ | MPa |
| Reinforcement Ratio <br> Minimum (pmin) | 0.002 |  |
| Maximum Reinforcement Ratio (pmax) | $0.75 \times(0.85 \times \mathrm{f} \text { 'c } \times \beta / \text { fy }) \times(600 / 600+\mathrm{fy})=0.0189$ |  |
| Required Rebar Ratio (p need) | $\left(0.85 \times \mathrm{f}^{\prime} \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{fy}\right) \times\left(1-\left(1-\left(2 \times \mathrm{Rn} / 0.85 \mathrm{xf}^{\prime} \mathrm{c}\right)=0.003\right.\right.$ |  |
| Reinforcement Surface Area <br> Required (As required) | $p \times b \times d=502,599$ | mm2 |
| Number of Reinforcement (n) | As $/(0.25 \mathrm{x} \pi \times$ Dtul2 $)=2$ | piece |
| Wide Reinforcing SurfaceUse (USuse) | $n \times 0.25 \times \pi \times \text { Dtul2 }=567.286$ | mm2 |
| Flexural Reinforcement <br> used | 2D13 |  |
| Nominal Moment Check |  |  |
| a | (As x fy / $0.85 \times \mathrm{f}^{\prime} \mathrm{c} \times \mathrm{x}$ ) $=22.42$ | mm |
| c ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\mathrm{a} / \beta=26,382$ | mm |
| strain $\left(\varepsilon_{\mathrm{t}}\right)$ | $(0.003 \times(\mathrm{dc})) / \mathrm{c}=0.003>0.005$ |  |
| Nominal Moment Capacity ( $\phi$ M N) | $\phi \times A s \times f y \times(d-a / 2)=60.31$ | kNm |
| Moment Check | $\phi \mathrm{Mn}>\mathrm{Mu}=60.31>53.683$ (OK) |  |

In Table, the results of the calculation of the landing beam reinforcement in the field area are given. In the field area, the bending moment that occurs is smaller than the supporting bending moment, which is only $53,683 \mathrm{kNm}$. Therefore, in these calculations, the flexible reinforcement to be used is 2 pieces of reinforcement with a diameter of 13 mm (2D13). Then the Designing results are also checked nominal moment capacity. From the calculation results, it is known that the design of the reinforcement is capable of withstanding the bending moment that occurs of $53,683 \mathrm{kNm}$ because the nominal moment capacity is 60.31 kNm . In addition, the design of the reinforcement is also safe against the strain that occurs, which is equal to 0.003 .
After knowing the flexural reinforcement of the supports and the field of the landing beam, it is then necessary to calculate the required shear reinforcement to be used. This is so that the design of the landing beam is safe against shear forces that occur due to loading. The results of the calculation of shear reinforcement can be seen in Table.

Table 29. Calculation of Bordes Beam Shear Reinforcement

| Data | Calculation | Unit |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Shear Force $(\mathrm{Vu})$ | 161,048 | kN |
| Shear Strength $(\mathrm{Vc})$ | $1 / 6 \times\left(\mathrm{f}^{\prime} \mathrm{c}\right)^{\wedge} 1 / 2 \mathrm{xbxd}=121,875$ | kN |
| Shear Strength Capacity $(\phi \mathrm{Vc})$ | $\phi \times V c=91,406$ | kN |
| Safety Against Sliding | $\mathrm{Vu}<\phi \mathrm{Vc}=161.048<91.406$ (Required shear reinforcement) |  |
| Nominal Shear Strength $(\mathrm{Vs})$ | $\mathrm{Vu} / \phi-\mathrm{Vc}=92,856$ | kN |
| Distance between support <br> reinforcement $(\mathrm{s} 1)$ | $(0.25 \times \pi \times d t u l 2 \times f y \times d) / V s=146.25$ | mm |
| Distance Between Field | $(0.25 \times \pi \times d t u l 3 \times f y \times d) / \mathrm{b}=168.96$ | mm |
| Reinforcement $(\mathrm{s} 2)$ |  |  |

The calculation of the need for shear reinforcement in the bordes beam is performed. From these calculations, it is known that the landing beam requires shear reinforcement because the shear force that arises cannot be resisted by the concrete. In this shear reinforcement, plain reinforcement with a diameter of $8 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{~d} 8)$ is used. Before determining the distance between the reinforcement, it is necessary to calculate the nominal shear strength value that will be retained by the shear reinforcement. Then it can be determined the distance between the shear reinforcement for each part of the support and the landing beam field. From the results of these calculations, it was found that in the support area shear reinforcement with a spacing of 75 mm (d8-75) would be used. As for the landing beam field area, shear reinforcement with a spacing of 150 mm (d8-150) will be used.

### 2.2.3. Seismic Force Distribution Designing

The seismic force distribution is Designing of forces that occur due to earthquakes that occur in a structure, such as axial forces and lateral forces. To find out the distribution of seismic forces, the step taken is to determine the class of the soil site from the area to be built based on SPT (Soil Penetration Test) data.

From the SPT soil data, a soil site class calculation is carried out to find out the land site class in the area being built, in this case the Gunung Kidul area. To find out the class of the soil site, you can use one of the 3 parameters, which are as follows.

1. The average blow $(N)$, which is the average standard penetration resistance of the soil in layers to a depth of 40 m from the soil surface. Results based on SPT (Static Penetration Test) data.
2. The average shear strength $(S u)$, which is the average non-linear shear strength in the 40 m layerfrom the ground. Results based on CPT (Cone Penetration Test) data.
3. The average propagation velocity $(V \mathrm{~s})$, namely the average shear wave propagation speed at small shear strains in layers up to 40 m from the soil surface.

In the Gunung Kidul area, soil site classes will be based on SPT data as shown in Table 2.30. Then the data is processed to find out the average stroke of

Table 30. Soil Type Calculation Based on SPT Data


Table 31. Land Site Class Classification Based on SNI 1726:2019

| Site Class | Vs (m/sec) | N or Nch | Su(kPa) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SA (hard rock) | $>1500$ | N/A | N/A |
| SB (rock) | 750 to 1500 | N/A | N/A |
| SC (hard soil, very dense and <br> soft rock) | 350 to 750 | $>50$ | 3100 |
| SD (medium soil) | 175 to 350 | 15 to 50 | 50 to 100 |


| Site Class | Vs (m/sec) | N or Nch | $\mathrm{Su}(\mathrm{kPa})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SE (soft soil) | <175 | <15 | <50 |
|  | Or any soil profile containing more than 3 m of soil with the following characteristics: <br> a. Plasticity index, PI >20, <br> b. Moisture content, w ${ }^{3} 40 \%$ <br> c. Nilaire shear strength, $\mathrm{Su}<25 \mathrm{kPa}$ |  |  |
| SF (special soil, requiring specific geotechnical investigation and site-specific response analysis following 0 ) | Any soil profile that has one or more of the following characteristics: <br> a. Prone and has the potential to fail or collapse due to earthquake loads such as easy liquefaction, very sensitive clay, weak cemented soil <br> b. Very organic loam and/or peat (H thickness > 3m) |  |  |

It obtained $N=40 / 0.728=54.955$. By comparing the results of $\tilde{\mathrm{N}}$ with Table 2.31, the soil site class obtained is included in the SD soil site class which is medium soil because $\tilde{\mathrm{N}}$ is more than 50 which is a type of Very Dense Soil and Soft Relief. The soil site class obtained is used to determine the spectrum response of the Gunung Kidul area according to the soil site class. Based on the RSA application or Response Spectrum Application, data is obtained as shown in Table

Table 32. RSA Analyses of Gunung Kidul Regency

| Data | Value | Information |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| SDS | 0.81 | Parameter of acceleration response spectrum in period <br> short, damping5\%, defined in 0 |
| SD1 | 0.63 | Parameter response spectrum acceleration in 1 second <br> period, $5 \%$ attenuation, defined in 0 |
| To | 0.156 | - |
| Ts | 0.778 |  |
| QL | 20 | Long period transition map |

Table 33. Response Spectrum of Gunung Kidul SD Site Class Based on RSA

| Q | sa |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.000 | 0.324 |
| 0.156 | 0.810 |
| 0.778 | 0.810 |
| 0.878 | 0.718 |


|  | Q | sa |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0978 | 0.644 |
|  | 1,078 | 0.585 |
|  | 1.178 | 0.535 |
|  | 1,278 | 0.493 |
|  | 1,378 | 0.457 |
|  | 1,478 | 0.426 |
|  | 1,578 | 0.399 |
|  | 1678 | 0.375 |
|  | 1,778 | 0.354 |
|  | 1878 | 0.336 |
|  | 1978 | 0.319 |
|  | 2078 | 0.303 |
|  | 2.178 | 0.289 |
| , | 2,278 | 0.277 |
|  | 2,378 | 0.265 |
|  | 2,478 | 0.254 |
|  | 2,578 | 0.244 |
| $\leqslant$ | 2,678 | 0.235 |
| - | 2,778 | 0.227 |
|  | 2,878 | 0.219 |
|  | 2,978 | 0.212 |
|  | 3,078 | 0.205 |
|  | 3.178 | 0.198 |
|  | 3,278 | 0.192 |
|  | 3,378 | 0.187 |
|  | 3,478 | 0.18 |
|  | 3,578 | 0.176 |
|  | 3,678 | 0.171 |
|  | 3,778 | 0.167 |
|  | 3,878 | 0.162 |
|  | 3,978 | 0.158 |
|  | 4,078 | 0.154 |
|  | 4,178 | 0.151 |
|  | 4,278 | 0.147 |
|  | 4,378 | 0.144 |
|  | 4,478 | 0.141 |
|  | 4,578 | 0.138 |
|  | 4,678 | 0.135 |
|  | 4,778 | 0.132 |
|  | 4,878 | 0.129 |
|  | 4,978 | 0.127 |
|  | 5,078 | 0.124 |


| Q | sa |
| :---: | :---: |
| 5.178 | 0.122 |
| 5,278 | 0.119 |
| 5,378 | 0.117 |
| 5,478 | 0.115 |
| 5,578 | 0.113 |
| 5,678 | 0.111 |
| 5,778 | 0.109 |



Figure 10. Spectrum Response Graph of Gunung Kidul Region (Output Etabs)

The next step is to determine the seismic priority factor and seismic design category. This is done with the aim of ensuring the structural detail meets the requirements in accordance with the estimated earthquake intensity. Determination of the seismic priority factor can be determined from the building risk category in Table:

Table 34. Building Risk Category for Earthquake Loads

| Utilization Type | Risk Category |
| :--- | :---: |
| Buildings and non-buildings that pose a low risk to human life in the event of a failure, <br> including, but not limited to, among others: Agricultural, plantation, animal husbandry |  |
| and fishery facilities, Temporary facilities Warehouse Guardhouses and other small <br> structures | I |


| Utilization Type | Risk Category |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| All buildings and other structures, except those included in risk categories I, III, IV, |  |  |
| including, but not limited to: |  |  |
| a. | Housing area Home shop and home office |  |
| b. | Market |  |
| c. | Office building |  |
| d. | Apartment/flat building | II |
| e. | Shopping centers/malls |  |
| f. | Manufacturing facility |  |
| g. | Factory |  |
| Buildings and non-buildings that pose a high risk to human life in the event of a failure, |  |  |
| including, but not limited to: | III |  |
| a. | Cinema |  |
| b. | Meeting hall |  |
| c. | Stadium |  |
| d. | Health facilities that do not have surgical and emergency units |  |
| e. | Child care facilities |  |
| f. | Jail |  |
| g. | Buildings for the elderly |  |

Table 35. Priority Factor based on Building Risk Category

| Risk category | The priority factor of the earthquake, Ic |
| :---: | :---: |
| I or II | 1.0 |
| III | 1.25 |
| IV | 1.50 |

Table 36. Seismic Design Category based on Short Period Acceleration

| S Grade | Risk category |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | I or II or III | IV |
| SDS $<0.167$ | A | A |
| $0.167 \mathrm{lbsDS}<0.33$ | B | C |
| $0.33 \mathrm{lb} \mathrm{SDS}<0.50$ | C | D |
| $0.50 £$ SDS | D | D |

Table 37. Seismic Design Category based on 1 Second Period Acceleration

| S Grade | Risk category |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | I or II or III | IV |
| SDI $<0.067$ | A | A |
| 0.067 SIN $<0.133$ | B | C |
| 0.133 SIN $<0.20$ | C | D |
| 0.20 SIN | D | D |

It is found that the Nursing Home building has a building risk category of II so that the seismic priority factor (Ie) is 1.00 based on Table 2.35 . For the short period seismic design category, with an SDS of 1.119242 in the building category II, the risk category is in category D and with an SD1 of 0.49809 in the building category II, the seismic design category is also in category D. So from Therefore, it is concluded that the seismic design risk category is in category D. The seismic resisting system is taken as a special moment-bearing reinforced concrete frame system (SNI 1726: 2019, Table 12). Special moment-bearing reinforced concrete frame system is one of the methods to withstand earthquake loads and inelastic responses that require the structure to be ductile or flexible so that it can be categorized as safe. The seismic resisting system is a structural frame system that has the strength to withstand planned shear forces, axial forces, and moments.

Table 38. Special Moment Resisting Reinforced Concrete Frame System Data

| Known data | Value | Information |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| R | 8 | Response modification coefficient for bearing <br> reinforced concrete frame systems special <br> moment. |
| Cd | 5,5 | Lateral drift magnification factor for special <br> moment-bearing reinforced concrete frame <br> systems. |
| $\Omega 0$ | 3 | Strong factor is more system to system <br> Framework concrete boned bearer special moment. |
| $\mathrm{C}_{s}$ | 0.139905 | Factor response seismic with using a special <br> moment-bearing reinforced concrete frame system.. |

The next step is to calculate the planned weight of the structure, which consists of the weight of the roof and the weight of the floor, as shown

Table 39. Calculation of Loading Structure

| Data | Value | Unit | Information |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Roof Weight |  |  |  |
| Dead Load |  |  |  |
| Roof | $\begin{aligned} & (29.089 \times 16)+(29.08 \times 10) \\ & =756,314 \end{aligned}$ | kN | Multiplication of specific gravity roof and dimensions |
| Column | $\begin{aligned} & (0.6 \times 0.6) \times 82 \times 24= \\ & 708.48 \end{aligned}$ | kN | Multiplication of specific gravity concrete and dimensions |
| Primary Beam | $\begin{aligned} & (0.3 \times 0.6) \times 24 \times \\ & 114=492.74 \end{aligned}$ | kN | Multiplication of specific gravity concrete and dimensions |
| Wall | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \times 114 \times 2.55= \\ & 581.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{kN}$ | Multiplication of specific gravity concrete and dimensions |
| ceiling | $40 \times 17 \times 0.2=136.24$ | kN | Multiplication of specific gravity ceiling and dimensions |
| Total Dead Load | $2675.48$ | kN | The sum of the roof weight, column weight, wall weight, ceiling weight, and weight beam |
| Total Roof Weight (W) | 2675.48 | kN | On roof, only use dead load because there is no live load due to seismic loads |
| Floor Weight 3 |  |  |  |
| Dead Load |  |  |  |
| Column | $\begin{aligned} & (0.6 \times 0.6) \times 24 \times 82= \\ & 708.48 \end{aligned}$ | kN | Multiplication of specific gravity concrete and dimensions |
| Primary Beam | $(0.3 \times 0.6) \times 225 \times 24=972$ | kN | Multiplication of specific gravity concrete and dimensions |
| Wall | $3.5 \times 0.2=16.8$ | kN | Multiplication of specific gravity concrete and dimensions |
| Slab | $\begin{aligned} & 0.12 \times 24 \times 1505= \\ & 4334.4 \end{aligned}$ | kN | Multiplication of specific gravity concrete and dimensions |
| Tile | $\begin{aligned} & 0.03 \times 24 \times 1505= \\ & 1083.6 \end{aligned}$ | kN | Multiplication of specific gravity concrete and dimensions |
| Specs | $\begin{aligned} & 0.02 \times 24 \times 1505= \\ & 276 \end{aligned}$ | kN | Multiplication of specific gravity concrete and dimensions |
| ceilingd | 0.1862 | kN | Multiplication of specific gravity concrete and dimensions |
| Bordes | $16 \times 4=64$ | kN | Multiplication of specific gravity concrete and dimensions |


| Data | Value | Unit | Information |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Dead Load | 7180516 | kN | The sum of the loads counted dead |
| Live Load |  |  |  |
| Slab | $4.79 \times 1505=7208.95$ | kN | Multiply the weight of the bordes and dimensions |
| Bordes | $4.79 \times 4=19.16$ |  | Multiply the weight of the bordes and dimensions |
| Total Live Loads | $\begin{aligned} & 2768.62 \times 0.3= \\ & 7199.68 \end{aligned}$ | kN | The sum of Slab s and landings, then reduced 0.3 |
| Floor Weight 2 bly Mray |  |  |  |
| Dead Load |  |  |  |
| Column | 708.48 | kN | Multiplication of specific gravity concrete and dimensions |
| Primary Beam | 972.00 | kN | Multiplication of specific gravity concrete and dimensions |
| Secondary Beam | 112.32 | kN | Multiplication of specific gravity concrete and dimensions |
| Wall | 4334.40 | $\mathrm{kN}$ | Multiplication of specific gravity concrete and dimensions |
| Slab | 1.05 | kN | Multiplication of specific gravity concrete and dimensions |
| Tile | $1083.60$ | kN | Multiplication of specific gravity concrete and dimensions |
| Specs | 0.19 | kN | Multiplication of specific gravity concrete and dimensions |
| ceiling | 16.80 | kN | Multiplication of specific gravity concrete and dimensions |
| Bordes | 64.00 | kN | Multiplication of specific gravity concrete and dimensions |
| Total Dead Load | 7292.83 | kN | The sum of the loads counted dead |
| Live Load |  |  |  |
| Slab | 7208.95 | kN | Multiplication of specific gravity concrete and dimensions |
| Bordes | 19.16 | kN | Multiplication of specific gravity concrete and dimensions |


| Data | Value | Unit | Information |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Total Live Loads | 19.16 |  | kN | | The sum of Slab s and landings, then |
| :--- |
| reduced 0.3 |

After obtaining the shear force and the effective weight of the building, the seismic distribution of forces is calculated. The results of the calculation of the seismic force distribution are then inputted into the structural Designing on ETABS.
$k=0,5 \mathrm{Ts}+0,75$, with (Seismic Force Distribution) $\mathrm{Ts}=0,44502$
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{vx}}=\frac{\mathrm{W} \times \mathrm{h}^{\mathrm{k}}}{\Sigma \mathrm{W} \times \mathrm{h}^{\mathrm{k}}} \cdot$ (Seismic Force Distribution)
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{vx}} \mathrm{xV}($ Seismic Force Distribution $)$

Table 40. Seismic Distribution Force

| Stories | $\mathrm{W}(\mathrm{kN})$ | Elevation h(m) | W.hk (kNm) | Cvx | Axial Force, Fx (kN) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Roof | 2675.48 | 10.54 | 15650.64 | 0.24 | 0.00 |
| 3rd floor | 7292.84 | 7.00 | 31384.85 | 0.48 | 0.00 |
| 2nd Floor | 14492.51 | 3.50 | 37084.70 | 0.44 | 0.00 |
| Base | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Total | 24460.83 |  | 84120.18 |  | - |

The results of the seismic force distribution if projected, then each floor has its own seismic force with the load of each floor where styleseismic on the roof is 2675.48 kN , on the 3 rd floor is 7292.84 kN because it supports the roof force, the 2 nd floor is 14492.51 kN due to supporting the roof force and the 2 nd floor force while on the base (ground floor) is 0 because it touches the ground so there is no lateral force.

### 2.2.4. Slab Designing

The Slab is a floor that is not directly above the ground, it is a floor level dividing one level with another level. The floor Slab is supported by beams that rest on building columns. The floor Slab consists of field reinforcement and support reinforcement which each has an X direction and Y direction. To plan the floor Slab, Slab loads are needed. Slab loads consist of floor loads, which consist of dead loads, super dead loads and live loads. The floor load consists
of several types of loading, namely self load, sand load, tile load, species load, ceiling load, and finishing.

Table 41. Slab Design Data

| Data | Value | Unit | Information |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type | Type II Slab |  |  |
| Concrete quality (f'c) | 25 | MPa |  |
| Slab Thickness (t) | 120 | mm |  |
| The thickness of the blanket (ts) | 20 | mm |  |
| Tension <br> reinforcement (fy) | $280 \text { T1 } 1 / A$ | MPa | Tension reinforcement which <br> allowable is 280 MPa |
| Diameter reinforcement (d) | 10 | mm |  |
| Effective length X direction <br> (dx) | t-d | nm | Depends on the diameter reinforcement used |
| Effective length Y direction (dy) | t-d - ts | mm | Depends on the diameter reinforcement used |
| Press face width structure (b) | 1000 | mm | Based on SNI 1727:2020 |
| Slab /floor loads | $0.12 \times 25=3$ | kN/m2 | Because the thickness of the floor is 120 mm , while the volume load is $25 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m} 3$, the floor load is $3 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m} 2$ |
| Tile load and specs | $0.05 \times 21=1.05$ | kN/m2 | Because the thickness of the tiles and the species is 0.05 m and loads the volume is $21 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m} 3$, then the load is $1.05 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m} 2$ |
| Sand load | $0.05 \times 18=0.9$ | kN/m2 | Because the thickness of the sand in the casting is 0.05 m and the volume load is $18 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m} 3$, the sand load is is $0.9 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m} 2$ |
| Ceiling load | 0.2 | kN/m2 | Used material PVC (Polyvinyl <br> Chloride) because <br> more supple, light, and durable |
| Slab InsHeightation | $0.05 \times 22=1.1$ | kN/m2 | Is a load resulting from finishing work, namely insHeightation ceramics |


| Data | Value | Unit | Information |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dead load (DL) | $3+1.05+0.9+$ <br> $0.2+1.1=6.25$ | $\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m} 2$ | Total of floor load, sand load, tile <br> and species load, ceiling load, and <br> finishing |
| Live load (LL) | $250 \quad \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2} /$ <br> $1000 \mathrm{kN}=2.5$ | $\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m} 2$ | Burden life building <br> office is $250 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m} 2$ based on SNI <br> $1727: 2020$ |
| Planned load (qu) | 11.5 | $\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m} 2$ | Combination 1.2DL+1.6LL |

In Slab Designing, reinforcement calculation will be carried out. Slab reinforcement design can be seen in Figure. Reinforcement on the Slab consists of 2 types of reinforcement, namely as follows. Field reinforcement, is the principal reinforcement whose position is in the middle of the span. There are 2 pieces of field reinforcement on the floor Slab, namely the main field reinforcement in the X direction and the main field reinforcement in the Y direction.

Support reinforcement, is reinforcement whose position is around the support area. On the floor Slab, used 2 types of reinforcement, namely as follows.

Principal reinforcement, is the main reinforcement that extends in the direction of the length of the beam. The main reinforcement is insHeighted in the X direction and Y direction. Reinforcement for, namely reinforcement used to maintain the position or position of the main reinforcement at the time of casting so that it does not change from its original place. Reinforcement for insHeighted in a direction perpendicular to the main reinforcement. The insHeightation consists of an X direction and a Y direction.


Figure 11. Slab Reinforcement Design
An example of Slab Designing will use AutoCAD Drawings. The first step is to determine the dimensions of the Slab with the shortest dimension being Lx and the longest dimension being Ly which on Slab 1, Lx and Ly are 3 m and 5 m respectively. Then do a comparison between Ly and Lx, we get 1.7 so we use a two-way Slab, which is used to get the moment coefficient. After getting the coefficient (C) for each moment, do the calculations for each field moment and the support in the X and Y directions.
$\mathrm{Mu}=0.001 \times$ qu x Lx2 x C (Calculation of Slab Moment Coefficient)

Table 42. Class II Slab Moment Coefficient


After getting the moment of support or field in each direction X and Y , this moment is used to find the design strength or design stress and is used to find the effective Slab distance. Calculation of Designing Slab stress and effective distance to the diameter of the reinforcement
to be tested can be seen in Table

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{n}}=\frac{\mathrm{M}}{\Phi \mathrm{bd}^{2}}(\text { Slab Plan Tension }) \\
\mathrm{a}=\left(1-\sqrt{1-\frac{2 \mathrm{Rn}}{0,85 \mathrm{fc}^{\prime}}}\right) \text { (Jarak Efektiv Plat) }
\end{gathered}
$$

Table 43. Calculation of Planned Tension and Effective Distance of Slab 1

| Diameter, $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{mm})$ | Reinforcement Type | Coefficient <br> Moment <br> $\mathrm{Ly} / \mathrm{Lx}=1.7$ | Moment <br> (kNm) | Design Tension (MPa) | DistanceEffective <br> Slab <br> (mm) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | X direction field | 59 | 6.107 | 0.752 | 3.43 |
|  | Y direction field | 36 | 3,726 | 0.459 | 2.03 |
|  | X direction <br> support  |  | 6.107 | $0.752$ | 3.43 |
|  | Y direction <br> support  |  | 3,726 | 0.459 | 2.03 |

From Table, it is possible to calculate the main field and support reinforcement. The first step is to find the required reinforcement area and the minimum reinforcement area because the concrete quality is less than 31.36 MPa . The calculation of the species obtained is then rounded down for every multiple of 25 mm because usually the species are insHeighted at a distance of $150 \mathrm{~mm}, 175 \mathrm{~mm}, 200 \mathrm{~mm}$, and so on. The space obtained is used to find the area of reinforcement

$$
\begin{gathered}
A_{s}=\frac{0,85 a \cdot b \cdot f c^{\prime}}{f y} .(\text { Required Reinforcement Area }) \\
A_{s, u}=\frac{1,4 b \cdot d}{f y}(\text { Minimum Reinforcement Area })
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
s_{1}=\frac{\pi D^{2} S}{4 \mathrm{As}}(\text { Reinforcement Space; SNI 1727: 2020) }
$$

$$
\mathrm{s}_{2}=2 \mathrm{~h}(\text { Reinforcement Space; SNI 1727: 2020) }
$$

$$
A_{s, \text { used }}=\frac{\pi D^{2} S}{4 s}
$$

Table 44. Calculation of Area and Spacing of Main Slab Reinforcement 1

| $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{mm})$ | Reinforceme <br> nt Type | Reinforcement <br> Area, As(mm2) | Vast Bones, <br> As,u <br> $(\mathrm{mm} 2)$ | Space, s1 <br> $(\mathrm{mm})$ | Spacing, <br> $\mathrm{s} 2(\mathrm{~mm})$ | Used <br> Spacing <br> $\mathrm{mm})$ | Area Used <br> $(\mathrm{mm} 2)$ | Reinforcement |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | Field X <br> direction | 259.74 | 475 | 165,413 | 240 | 100 | 150 | D10-150 |
| Field Y <br> direction | 157.36 | 475 | 165,413 | 240 | 125 | 180 | D10-180 |  |
| Support X <br> direction | 259.74 | 475 | 165,413 | 240 | 100 | 150 | D10-150 |  |
| Support Y <br> direction | 157.36 | 475 | 165,413 | 240 | 125 | 180 | D10-180 |  |

The type and area of reinforcement obtained based on conditional conditions will be carried out by 2 checks, namely ULS and SLS checks.

## Ultimate Limit State Design(ULS)

In this check, it will be reviewed based on the design moment where the Slab design moment must be smaller than the moment that occurs. In this check, the design moment of the Slab is obtained from the reduced nominal moment of $0.9(\Phi)$. The value of the design moment will depend on the specification of the reinforcement used, such as the diameter of the reinforcement and the stress of the reinforcement. The first step is to find the height of the compressed concrete stress block. Then, the block height is used to find the nominal moment and is reduced by 0.9 to get the Slab design moment.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a=\frac{A_{s, \text { pakai }} \times f y}{0,85 \times f^{\prime} c \times b}(\text { Concrete Block Height in Compression) } \\
& M_{n}=\frac{\text { As } \times \text { fy } \times\left(d-\frac{a}{2}\right)}{1000000}(\text { Momen Nominal Balok) } \\
& M_{d}=\Phi M_{n} \Phi=0,9
\end{aligned}
$$

## ULS Slab Checking

| Diameter | Reinforcement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(\mathrm{mm})$ | Moment <br> Type <br> $(\mathrm{kNm})$ | Area Used <br> $(\mathrm{mm} 2)$ | Reinforcement | $\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{mm})$ | Mn <br> $(\mathrm{kNm})$ | Md <br> $(\mathrm{kNm})$ | Safe(2 <br> $.35)<$ Field X | 6.107 |
| 150 | D10-150 | 3,423 | 13,427 | 12,084 | Safe |  |  |  |


| 3 | direction <br> 10 | Field Y <br> direction | 3,726 | 180 | D10-180 | 2073 | 11,259 | 10.134 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Support X <br> direction | 6.107 | 150 | D10-150 | 3,423 | 13,427 | 12,084 | Safe |  |
| Support Y <br> direction | 3,726 | 180 | D10-180 | 2073 | 11,259 | 10.134 | Safe |  |

## Serviceability Limit State Design(SLS)

It will be reviewed based on the reinforcement ratio. Reinforcement ratio is the ratio between the area of reinforcement to the concrete cross-sectional area where the reinforcement ratio is planned not to be less than the minimum reinforcement ratio and not to be greater than the maximum reinforcement ratio. The use of the reinforcement ratio will affect the deflection of the Slab because if it is smaller, the deflection will be downward, whereas if it is larger, the deflection will be upward. Therefore, the reinforcement ratio must be between the minimum and maximum reinforcement ratios.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\rho_{\min }=\frac{1,4}{\mathrm{fy}} \times 100 \%(\text { Minimum Rebar Ratio }) \text { (Maximum Reinforcement Ratio) } \\
\rho=\frac{\mathrm{As}}{\mathrm{~b} \times \mathrm{d}} \times 100 \%(\text { Ration of Design Reinforcement }) \\
\rho_{\max }=\frac{382,5 \times \beta 1 \times \mathrm{f}^{\prime \mathrm{c}}}{(600+\mathrm{fy}) \times \mathrm{fy}} \times 1
\end{gathered}
$$

Table 45. SLS Slab Checking

| $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{mm})$ | Reinforcement Type | $\boldsymbol{\rho} \min (\%)$ | $\boldsymbol{\rho}(\%)$ | $\boldsymbol{\rho} \max (\%)$ | Check |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | Field X direction | 0.500 | 0.551 | 3,241 | Safe |
|  | Field Y direction | 0.500 | 0.459 | 3,241 | Safe |
|  | Support X direction | 0.500 | 0.551 | 3,241 | Safe |
|  | Support Y direction | 0.500 | 0.459 | 3,241 | Safe |

From checking the ULS and SLS, it can be concluded that the specifications of the reinforcement used are reinforcement with a diameter of 10 mm for floor Slab Designing because it complies with the safety of the ULS and SLS.
$A_{s, b}=20 \% x A s, u($ Reduction Reinforcement Area). (Wide Face Press Structure)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{s, b}=0,002 \times x b h \\
& s 1=\frac{\pi D^{2} s}{4 A s}(\text { Take Smallest Value })
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathrm{s}_{2}=5 \mathrm{~h}($ Smallest Bar Spacing) (Planned Reinforcement Area)

$$
A_{s}=\frac{\pi D^{2} S}{4 s}
$$

Calculation of Area and Spacing of Support Reinforcement for Slab A1

| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{d} \\ (\mathrm{~mm}) \end{gathered}$ | Reinforcement Type | Reinforced <br> Area n, As, | Reinforce <br> d Area n, <br> As, b <br> (mm2) | Space, s1 <br> (mm) | Space, s2 <br> (mm) | Used Spacing( mm) | Reinforce ment Area <br> As (mm2) | Sharing Reinforceme nt |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | Field X <br> direction |  | - | - |  |  |  | - |
|  | Field Y <br> direction | - | - |  | - |  | - $>$ | - |
|  | Support X direction | 95 | 240 | 327.38 | 600 | 300 | 261.90 | d10-300 |
|  | Support Y direction | 95 | 240 | $327.38$ | 600 | 300 | 261.90 | d10-300 |

From the Designing area and reinforcement spacing, type II Slabs are used with a reinforcement diameter of 10 mm . For the use of reinforcement in one-way Slab s, only the main field reinforcement in the X direction, the main reinforcement in the X direction, and the reinforcement for the Y direction are used. Design results for other floor Slab s.

## Beam Designing

Beams are structural elements that are rigid and function to channel them to columns and be forwarded to the foundation so that beams are part of the core structure of the building apart from columns and foundations. Building beams are divided into 2 , namely primary beams and secondary beams which have their respective functions. For beam Designing, it is necessary to have pinpoint moments and beam pitch moments which are obtained from the data that has been inputted into the ETABS. In beam Designing, B266 beam will be used as an example of the design because of all the beams with the same dimensions, B266 beam has the greatest moment, namely 239.564 kNm for the support area and 235.56 kNm for the field area.

Beam design consists of Designing longitudinal reinforcement and Designing transverse reinforcement which are located in the support area and field area respectively.

Table 46. Known Data in Design of Beam Reinforcement

| Known data | Value | Unit | Information |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Concrete quality ( $\mathrm{f}^{\prime} \mathrm{c}$ ) | 25 | MPa |  |
| The thickness of the blanket (ts) | 40 | Mm |  |
| Reinforcement stress longitudinal (fyiron) | 420 - $1 / 1 /$ a | MPa | Tension reinforcement which allowable is 420 Mpa |
| Slab Tension (fysteel) | $280$ | MPa | Tension Slab which allowable is 280 Mpa |
| Diameterlongitudinal reinforcement (d1) | 19 | mm | Usually reinforcementlongitudinal diameters are $13 \mathrm{~mm}, 16 \mathrm{~mm}$, and 19 mm . In this design, a diameter of 19 mm is used |
| Diametertransverse <br> reinforcement (d2) | $10$ | mm | Usually reinforcementlongitudinal is the diameter 8 mm and 10 mm . In this design, a diameter of 10 mm is used |
| Cross-sectional area reinforcement | 283,643 | mm2 |  |
| longitudinal <br> (As,longitudinal) |  |  |  |
| Cross-sectional area of the transverse reinforcement (As,transverse) | 78.57 | mm2 | - |
| Beam length(L) | 5000 | mm | Using one of the beams as an example of Designing, i.e. beam B266 |
| Area negative moment support (Mu-) | 239,564 | kNm | Based on ETABS results |
| Area positive moment field (Mu+) | 119,782 | kNm | Based on ETABS results |
| Beam height(h) | 600 | mm | The minimum requirement for beam height is $\mathrm{L} / 12=583.33 \mathrm{~mm}$. In this plan, 600 mm high is used |


| Known data | Value | Unit | Information |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beam width(b) | 300 | mm | Condition mark wide beam is $\mathrm{h} / 2$ so the value is between 300 mm |
| Distance of compression fiber to center of tension reinforcement ( $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{S}}$ ) | 540.5 | mm | The distance from the farthest compressed fiber to center reinforcement pull longitudinal |
| Distance of compression <br> fiber to center of reinforcement press (d') | $\mathrm{hd}_{\mathrm{s}}=59.5$ | mm | The distance from the farthest compressed fiber to center reinforcement press longitudinal |
| Slab height (hf) | $100$ | mm | Height Slab which inserted into the beam according to SNI 2847:2019 |
| $\beta 1$ | 0.85 |  | Based on SNI 2847:2019 |
|  |  |  |  |

Figure 12. Cross Section of T Beam at Support Area

## Design of Longitudinal Beam Reinforcement

Longitudinal reinforcement is the main reinforcement that resists axial loads and moments. The Designing stages of Designing beam longitudinal reinforcement can be seen To calculate the longitudinal reinforcement, the first step is to know the moment in the support area and the field area. To find out this moment, it can be done by looking at the output results on ETABS, By looking at Figure 2.22 in the "Moments" or BMD (Bending Moment Design) section, the left and right sides are the support areas while the middle side is the field area. The greatest moment is chosen between the two support areas. The moment is divided into 2 sides, the upper side is a negative moment and the lower side is a positive moment. Based on the figure, the moment at the support area (Mu-) is 128.42 kNm , while the moment at the field area ( $\mathrm{Mu}+$ ) is 143.71 kNm . The positive moment of the field area used must also meet the requirements as well. To look for positive moments for the support area,
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{u}}{ }^{-}=$based on ETABS results (Moment on Beam)
$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{u}}{ }^{+}=\frac{\mathrm{Mu}_{\text {tumpuan }}^{-}}{2}$ (Moment in Beam) based on ETABS* results (Moment in Beam)

After the positive moments and negative moments in each area are obtained, the next step is to determine the design strength which is the planned strength for that area. Determination of design strength. Then, the next step is to determine the reinforcement ratio to be used. Reinforcement ratio is the ratio of concrete quality to reinforcement stress. Determination of the reinforcement ratio must comply with predetermined requirements. The results of calculating the beam reinforcement ratio can be seen in Table 2.49.


Table 47. Example of Beam Reinforcement Ratio Designing B266


From the reinforcement ratio obtained, the next step is to determine the area of the planned reinforcement. the reinforcement area is still in the form of the assumed reinforcement area. From the area of the reinforcement, then it can calculate the amount of reinforcement needed in the beam. The results obtained for the amount of reinforcement are required to be rounded up so that the amount of reinforcement used has an exact amount. By getting the exact amount of reinforcement, it means that the required reinforcement area can be known. The Designing results for the amount of longitudinal reinforcement can be seen in Table.

Table 48. Amount of Longitudinal Reinforcement Beam B266

| d1(mm) | Reinforcement Type |  | As,necessary (mm2) | Reinforcement Amount | Reinforcement used | As,total(mm2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19 | support | Mu- | 1271.01 | 5 | 5D19 | 1418.214 |
|  |  | Mu+ | 608.87 |  | 3D19 | 850,929 |
|  | Field | Mu+ | 1247.86 | 5 | 5D19 | 1418.214 |
|  |  | Mu - | 482.59 | 2 | 2D19 | 567,286 |

In the longitudinal reinforcement plan, ULS (Ultimate Limit State Design) checking will be carried out from the results of the reinforcement plan obtained. In this check, it will be reviewed based on the design moment where the beam design moment must be smaller than the moment that occurs. In this check, the design moment is obtained from the nominal moment which is reduced by 0.9 (Ф).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.a=\frac{\text { As } \times \mathrm{fy}_{\text {besi }}}{0,85 \times \mathrm{f}^{\prime} \mathrm{c} \times \mathrm{b}} \text { (Height of Beam }\right) . \\
& \mathrm{z}=\mathrm{d}-\frac{\mathrm{a}}{2}(\text { Stress of Beam in the cover }) \\
& \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{s}}=\text { As } \mathrm{x} \text { fybesi }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\Phi \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}=\Phi \times \mathrm{Ts} \times \mathrm{z} \times 10-^{6}$, with $($ Moments of Design) $\Phi=0,9$

Table 49. ULS Checking of B266 Beams

| $\mathrm{d} 1(\mathrm{~mm})$ | Reinforcement Type |  | A (mm) | Z (mm) | Ts (N) | ФMn <br> $(\mathrm{kNm})$ | Check: <br> Mu n < Design <br> Moments |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | support | Mu- | 239.56 | 93.43 | 493,782 | 595650 | 264.70 | Safe |
|  |  | Mu+ | 119.78 | 56.06 | 512,469 | 357390 | 164.83 | Safe |
|  |  | Mu- | 235.56 | 93.43 | 493,782 | 595650 | 264.70 | Safe |

From checking the ULS, it was concluded that the diameter of the longitudinal reinforcement
that met the safety requirements was 19 mm , the amount of longitudinal reinforcement in the field area and supports can be seen in Table Longitudinal reinforcement Designing is recommended to use the same reinforcement diameter so that when insHeighting it, workers do not experience confusion about the specifications of the reinforcement in each beam. Beam Reinforcement Designing, the diameter of the longitudinal reinforcement in the beam is 19 mm .

## Design of Beam Transverse Reinforcement

Transverse reinforcement is stirrup reinforcement or shear reinforcement which functions to withstand shear forces so as to prevent the occurrence and propagation of cracks so that they do not continue to the concrete compression section. The stages of transverse reinforcement work. Before determining the moment capacity of the beam, what is done is to determine the effective width of the Slab. The effective width of the Slab is taken using the smallest value. The results of the two equations are 1250 mm and 1900 mm respectively, so that the effective width of the Slab used is 1250 mm as the smallest effective width.
be $1=0.25 \mathrm{~L}$ (Effective Width of Slab )
be2= b + 16hf(Effective Width of Slab )
*Used be is the smallest between be 1 and be 2

The next step is to calculate the capacity moment. The beam capacity moment is the planned moment to determine the safety of the designed beam. There are two kinds of capacity moments in the beam, namely positive capacity moments and negative capacity moments.

## Moment of positive capacity

Positive moment capacity is the moment capacity that causes bending in the positive direction (concave downward), usually occurs in the beam support area. From Table 2.50, the area of reinforcement for the top of the beam in the support area (As, top) is $1418,214 \mathrm{~mm} 2$, while the area of reinforcement for the bottom part of the beam in the support area (As, bottom) is $850,929 \mathrm{~mm} 2$, with a Slab height (hf) of 100 mm . From these data, an analysis of B266 beams is carried out, if T is smaller than $\mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{T}<\mathrm{Ca})$, then B 266 analysis is carried out as a rectangular beam so that the effective width of the Slab (be) is equal to the width of the beam (bw) (be = bw).

Cc $=0.85 \mathrm{xf}^{\prime} \mathrm{c} \mathrm{xhf} \mathrm{x}$ be (Block Compression Force)
$\mathrm{fs}^{\prime}=600\left(1-\beta 1^{d^{\prime}}\right)($ Tension 10 Blocks $)$
Cs' = As,topx fs'(Beam Body Stress)
$\mathrm{Ca}=\mathrm{C}+\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ (Total Compressive Force)
T = fyconcretex Axles (Force Balance)


Figure 13. Projection of Compression on the Beam
Before performing the analysis, the T-beam cross-section is separated into 2 parts, namely the flange section and the beam cross-section. On the wing, we will look for the compressive force which will get the compressive force on the wing side of $2,656,250 \mathrm{~N}$. On the body, the calculation is done in stages. it is obtained that the stress on the body of the beam is 296.55 MPa so that the compressive force on the body of the beam is $252,342.9 \mathrm{~N}$. Add up the results and you will get a total compressive force of 420571.4464 N .

Then, check whether the reinforcement has yielded or not. If the reinforcement has yielded, then the section is under tension control, whereas if the reinforcement has not yielded, then a neutral axis is needed where the line that intersects the beam section has no stresses at any point on the line. Checking complaints is done by using
$\frac{A_{s, \text { bawah }}-A_{s, a t a s}}{b_{e} \times d_{s}^{2}}<\beta 1 \frac{0,85 f^{\prime} c}{f_{\text {besi }}}\left(\frac{600}{600-\text { fy }_{\text {besi }}}\right) \frac{d^{\prime}}{d_{s}}$. (Complaint Check)
By checkingyield yield, the result is $-1.55346 \mathrm{E}-06<0.0158$ so that the reinforcement is not yet yielded. Due to the unyielding condition of the reinforcement, a neutral line is required as the capacity moment area. Then do the calculation of the stress towards the Slab area projected in Figure 2.25.


Figure 14. Beam Slab Area Stress

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{c}=\frac{\mathrm{a}}{0,8} \text { (Obtain Neutral Line) } \\
& \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{\prime}=600\left(\frac{\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{d}^{\prime}}{\mathrm{c}}\right) \text { (Stress on Slab Area) }
\end{aligned}
$$

By calculating the height of the stress block (a) is 56.061 mm , the neutral axis is obtained at 65.954 mm so that the Slab area stress is 58.716 MPa . By comparing the Slab area stress with the steel stress, the Slab area stress is used because the Slab area stress is smaller than the steel stress. Furthermore, it can be calculated nominal moment and design moment. If the ULS (Ultimate Limit State Design) check turns out to be safe, then you can proceed with calculating the moment of capacity.
$\mathrm{Md}=\Phi \times \mathrm{Mn}$, where $\Phi=0.8$ (Bam Design Moment)
Mpr= $1.25 \times \mathrm{Mn}$ (Capacity Moment)
Obtained nominal moment is 803.185 kNm , then reduced by 0.8 to get the design moment so that it is obtained at 642.55 kNm . By checking the ULS (Ultimate Limit State Design), by comparing the design moment with the positive ultimate moment, namely $642.55 \mathrm{kNm}>$ $119,782 \mathrm{kNm}$, it can be said that it is safe. Because the ULS is safe, it can be continued with the calculation of the capacity moment so that a positive capacity moment of 822.56 kNm is obtained.

## Negative capacity moment

Negative moment capacity is the moment capacity that causes bending in the negative direction, usually occurs in the field area of the beam. In this plan, the effective stress width of the Slab uses a beam width (bw) of 300 mm . From Table 2.50, the area of reinforcement for the upper part of the beam in the field area (As, above) is 1418.214 mm 2 , while the area of
reinforcement for the lower part of the beam in the field area (As, below) is 850.93 mm 2 , with a Slab height (hf) of 100 mm .

For the work stage, it is almost the same as working on positive capacity moments, but with a note that the effective Slab width (be) is replaced with beam width (bw) because at negative capacity moments the beam width parameter is used. On the wing, you will find the compressive force, where you will get the compressive force on the wing side which is equal to 637500 N . In the body, the calculation is done in stages. it is obtained that the stress of the beam body is 296.55 MPa so that the compressive force of the beam body is 252342.8679 N . The balance of forces in the T beam is 595650 N .

In Designing the transverse reinforcement in the support area, a shear force is needed in that area, which in this case is the B266 beam. The shear force obtained from the ETABS output is the basis for the design of the transverse reinforcement. Then, calculations are carried out to see the shear forces that occur in the projected beam sections in calculated Ve1 and Ve2 and with the beam clear span parameter $(\mathrm{Ln})$ with the planned column dimensions of $300 \times 600$ mm.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{kolom}} \\
& \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{e} 1}=\frac{\mathrm{Mpr}^{+}+\mathrm{Mpr}^{-}}{\mathrm{Ln}}+\mathrm{Vg} . \\
& \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{e} 2}=\frac{\mathrm{Mpr}^{+}+\mathrm{Mpr}^{-}}{\mathrm{Ln}}-\mathrm{Vg} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The result of the shear force Ve 1 and shear force Ve 2 are 349.1526868 kN and 308.4326868 kN respectively. The theoretical calculation of shear forces in plastic hinges is carried out. To maintain the safety of the transverse reinforcement, the shear force is divided by 0.8 because there is an assumption that the shear force that occurs can be greater.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{e}}=\left\{\frac{\mathrm{Ln}-\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{Ln}}(\mathrm{Ve} 1-\mathrm{Ve} 2)\right\}+\mathrm{Ve} 2 \\
& \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{s}}=\frac{\mathrm{Ve}}{\Phi}, \text { dengan } \Phi=0,8
\end{aligned}
$$

it is found that the shear force that occurs theoretically is 344.3680868 kN , but again that the shear force that occurs in reality can be greater, so it is divided again by the reduction factor of 0.8 . Thus, the planned shear force that can occur is 459.1574491 kN . Calculation of the use of transverse reinforcement in the support area is carried out by using 2 pieces of reinforcement
with a diameter of 10 mm because it is a standard amount, but the amount of reinforcement can be added as needed. The use of reinforcement spacing used is usually a multiple of 25 mm , such as $25 \mathrm{~mm}, 50 \mathrm{~mm}, 75 \mathrm{~mm}$, and so on.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{\text {vtotal }}=n \times A_{v} \\
& S=\frac{\text { As total } \times \text { fy steel } \times \mathrm{d}}{\text { Vs }}
\end{aligned}
$$

The total area of reinforcement for transverse reinforcement in the support area is 157.14 mm 2 with a spacing of 132 mm . Again, the reinforcement spacing is every multiple of 25 mm , so the spacing for transverse reinforcement is 125 mm rounded down.

## Field area (outside plastic hinge)

The design of transverse reinforcement uses quite different parameters in calculating the shear forces because the ETABS output does not display shear forces in the field area. Therefore, the shear force in the field area is theoretically calculated based on the obtained shear force of 135.25 kN . The calculation of the shear force outside the plastic hinge is also similar to the calculation of the plastic hinge, the difference is the length of the field area. the shear force that occurs is -287.6300781 kN . Assuming that the shear force is still theoretical, then the shear force is divided by the reduction factor and reduced by the field area so that the shear force that can occur is -518.6317708 kN .

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{c}}=\frac{1}{6} \sqrt{\mathrm{f}^{\prime} \mathrm{c}} \times \mathrm{bw} \times \mathrm{d} \\
& \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{s} 1}=\frac{\mathrm{Ve}}{\Phi}-\mathrm{Vc}, \text { with } \Phi=0,8 \\
& \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{s} 2}=\frac{1}{3} \sqrt{\mathrm{f}^{\prime} \mathrm{c}} \times \mathrm{bw} \times \mathrm{d}
\end{aligned}
$$

* the largest shear force is selected

Calculation of the use of transverse reinforcement in the field area is carried out using 2 pieces of reinforcement with a diameter of 10 mm because it is the standard amount in the field area, but the amount of reinforcement can be added as needed. The total area of reinforcement for transverse reinforcement in the support area is 157.14 mm 2 with a spacing of 132 mm . Again, the reinforcement spacing is every multiple of 25 mm , so the spacing for transverse reinforcement should be 125 mm rounded down, but with a note that the spacing of stirrup
reinforcement in the field area should not be more than spaced in the support area, then the transverse reinforcement spacing for the field area is 100 mm .

## Column Designing

Column is a structural component of a building whose function is to support loads from beams and Slab s and transmit them to the ground through the foundation. The strength of the column in carrying loads is based on its ability to carry a combination of axial load $(\mathrm{Pu})$ and moment $(\mathrm{Mu})$ simultaneously. Therefore, the column design of a building structure is based on the strength and stiffness of the cross section against axial load action and bending moment.

Table 50. Column Known Data

| Known Data | Value | Unit | Information |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Concrete Quality (f'c) | 25 | MPa |  |
| Concrete Cover (ds) | 40 | mm | \% |
| Reinforcing Stress (fy) | $420$ | MPa | Used reinforcement screw so Steel Tension is 420 MPa |
| Column Width (b) | 600 | mm | Dimensions assumeddepends on condition cross-sectional dimensions SNI 2847:2019 |
| Column Length (h) | 600 | mm | Dimensions assumeddepends on condition cross-sectional dimensions in SNI 2847:2019 |
| Column Height (1) | 3500 | mm | In accordance height between floor building design |
| Reinforcement Diameter <br> Longitudinal (d1) | 18 | mm | Selection based on the most suitable size of the spColumn application |
| Reinforcement Diameter Transverse (d2) | 11 | mm | Selection based on the most suitable size of the spColumn application |

It is known that the dimensions of the column Designing to be used are $600 \times 600 \mathrm{~mm}$. In this plan, the column dimensions used only use 1 type of dimension because the planned building has 3 floors. From the initial assumption of the column dimensions, the column dimensions will be checked according to the column dimension requirements in SNI 2847:2019 Article 18.7.2.1. In these regulations, it is required that the column cross-sectional dimension is not
less than $300 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{~b}>300 \mathrm{~mm})$ and the ratio of the smallest cross-sectional dimension to the perpendicular dimension is not less than $0.4(\mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{h}>0.4)$. Based on the planned column dimensions of $600 \times 600 \mathrm{~mm}$, the initial column dimensions have met these requirements.

After obtaining the column dimensions that will be used in Designing, the next step is to check the column slenderness requirements. Column slenderness check is an inspection carried out to check the possibility that the column design will experience buckling before reaching the limit state of material failure. Because the Designing of this column uses only 1 type of column dimension, the slenderness check can be carried out only on one of the columns. This inspection will review column C 2 which is located on the 2nd floor. The first step is to find the value of the elastic modulus of concrete (Ec). Then look for the value of the moment of inertia in the x (Ix) and y (Iy) planes of the column section. Because the dimensions of the column section are square, then the value of the moment of inertia for the x field is the same as the y field. From the value of Ec and the moment of inertia of the column cross-section, it can be calculated the flexural stiffness of the compressed structure (EI). In addition to the column structural components, the moment of inertia and the bending stiffness of the beam structure related to the column under consideration can also be calculated. In column C 2 , it is found that there is Block B12 on the left and Block B325 on the right. The value of the moment of inertia of the beam can be found and the bending stiffness. From this value, the ratio of the stiffness of the beam and column $(\Psi)$ will be calculated. The results of the calculation of these components can be seen in Table 2.53. It is found that there is a B12 beam on the left and a B325 beam on the right. The value of the moment of inertia of the beam can be found and the bending stiffness. From this value, the ratio of the stiffness of the beam and column ( $\Psi$ ) will be calculated. The results of the calculation of these components can be seen in. It is found that there is a B12 beam on the left and a B325 beam on the right. The value of the moment of inertia of the beam can be found and the bending stiffness. From this value, the ratio of the stiffness of the beam and column ( $\Psi$ ) will be calculated. The results of the calculation of these components can be seen in

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}=4700 \times \sqrt{\mathrm{f}^{\prime} \mathrm{c}} \\
& \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{x}}=0,7\left(\frac{1}{12} \times \mathrm{b} \times \mathrm{h}^{3}\right) \\
& \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{I}}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}} \times \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{x}} \\
& \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{X}}=0,35\left(\frac{1}{12} \times \mathrm{b} \times \mathrm{h}^{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 51. Calculation of Column Slenderness Checking Components

| Data | Column C2 | Beam B12 | B325 beam | Unit |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Length(L) | 3500 | 3000 | 5000 | mm |
| Column Net Length (Lu) | 2900 | - | - | mm |
| Concrete Elastic Modulus <br> (Ec) | 23500 | 23500 | 23500 | MPa |
| The moment of inertia (Ix) | 7560000000 | 1890000000 | 1890000000 | mm 4 |
| Flexural Stiffness |  |  |  |  |
| Press Structure (EI) | $1.7766 \mathrm{E}+14$ | $4.4415 \mathrm{E}+13$ | $4.4415 \mathrm{E}+13$ | mm 2 |
| Beam and Column Stiffness <br> Ratio (Y) | 6.42857 |  |  |  |

From the calculation, the stiffness ratio is 6.42857 . The stiffness ratio will be used to find the value of the column length factor (k) using the help of the nomogram obtained from SNI 2847:2019. In this design, structural components are assumed to be immovable structural components, so to find the value of k , the nomogram of immovable structural components can be used. Because the planned building only has 3 floors, the other stiffness ratios are considered to be 0 . The results of the k value analysis using the nomogram can be seen in Figure.


Figure 15. Nomogram of Unswayed Structural Components
In the nomogram image, input the value of the stiffness ratio that has been obtained before. As for the other stiffness ratios, they are considered to be of value. Then the two points are connected by a line and the intersection of the lines is sought with the k value scale in the middle.
$\frac{\mathrm{k} \times \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{u}}}{\mathrm{r}} \leq 34-12 \times\left(\frac{\mathrm{M}_{1}}{\mathrm{M}_{2}}\right)$

## Column Longitudinal Reinforcement Design

After checking the effect of the slenderness of the column, the next step is to plan the longitudinal reinforcement in the column. The longitudinal reinforcement serves to withstand axial loads and bending moments that occur due to loading. The Designing stage of the column longitudinal reinforcement can be seen in Figure

The load acting on the column is usually a combination of axial load and bending moment. The magnitude of the axial load and bending moment that the column can withstand depends on the dimensions of the foundation and the amount of reinforcement insHeighted. The relationship between axial load and bending moment is described in a diagram called a column interaction diagram which can provide an overview of the strength of the designed column. Column interaction diagrams are usually made by taking into account the strength of the column based on the 6 load conditions on the column cross section which are obtained from the column ETABS output results as shown

Table 52. ETABS In Column C2 floor 2

| Data | P(kN) | M2 $(\mathrm{kNm})$ | M3(kNm) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| P max | -350.1 | $-93,298$ | -106.14 |
| P min | 18.8995 | 1.5866 | 90.7897 |
| M2max | -182.4955 | 146.6785 | 81.2177 |
| M2min | 18.8995 | 1.5866 | 90.7897 |
| M3max | -350.0965 | -93.2976 | -106.1445 |
| M3min | -18.9901 | 3.8959 | $-1,141$ |

The load and moment conditions are given which will be used in modeling the column interaction diagram. These conditions are at the minimum and maximum loading, at the minimum and maximum x-plane moments and at the minimum and maximum y-plane moments. In this plan, the column interaction diagram is modeled with the help of the spColumn application. In the spColumn, input the column dimensions of $600 \times 600 \mathrm{~mm}$ and the assumption that the diameter of the flexural reinforcement is 25 mm as many as 8 pieces. From the modeling results, the column interaction diagram shown in Fig


Figure 16. Column Interaction Diagram

Table 53. SpColumn Modeling Output Results

| $\mathrm{Pu}(\mathrm{kN})$ | $\operatorname{Mux}(\mathrm{kNm})$ | Muy(kNm) | $\left.\phi \mathrm{Mnx}^{(k N m}\right)$ | $\phi \mathrm{MMrs}$ <br> (kNm) | $\phi \mathrm{Mn}_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{u}}$ | $\varepsilon \varepsilon_{\text {t }}$ | $\phi$ | Mnx <br> (kNm) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Mny } \\ (\mathrm{kNm}) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 350.1 | 93,298 | 106.1 | 1652.2 | 1652.2 | 17.77 | 0.0055 | 0.9 | 1835.8 | 1835 |
| 18.89 | 1.5866 | 90.78 | 1680.9 | 1681.0 | 18.68 | 0.0051 | 0.9 | 1867.7 | 1867 |
| 182.4 | 146.67 | 81.21 | 1665.2 | 1665.3 | 11.33 | 0.0054 | 0.9 | 1850.3 | 1850 |
| 18.89 | 1.5866 | 90.78 | 1681.0 | 1678.0 | 840.51 | 0.0051 | 0.9 | 1867.8 | 1864 |
| 350.0 | 93,297 | 106.1 | 1652.2 | 1652.2 | 17.77 | 0.0055 | 0.9 | 1835.8 | 1835 |
| 18.99 | 3.8959 | 1,141 | 1678.0 | 1681.0 | 419.52 | 0.0052 | 0.9 | 1864.5 | 1867 |

In the table, it can be seen that the ratio of the nominal moment capacity ( $\phi \mathrm{Mn}$ ) to the bending moment that occurs $(\mathrm{Mu})$ is more than 1 . This indicates that the planned column design is safe in resisting the moment that occurs.

Table 54. Column Longitudinal Reinforcement Calculations

| Known Data | Value | Unit | Information |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RatioRepetition (p) | 0.0106 |  | Results modelingcolumn using spColumn |
| Check reinforcement ratio configuration | $\begin{aligned} & 0.01<\mathrm{p}<0.06= \\ & 0.01<0.0106<0.06 \end{aligned}$ |  | The reinforcement ratio should be within the range 0.01 to 0.06 |
| Total Column Crosssectional Area $(\mathrm{Ag})$ | $b \times h=600 \times 600=360000$ | mm2 |  |


| Known Data | Value | Unit | Information |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total Reinforcing Surface <br> Area (As total) | $\mathrm{p} \times A g=3808.8$ | mm 2 |  |
| WideReinforcement <br> Surface (As tul) | $1 / 4 \times \pi \times d 2=490.87$ | mm 2 | - |
| Number of Reinforcement <br> (n) | As Total/As Reinforcement $=$ <br> $7,759=8$ | piece | - |
| Used Reinforcing Surface <br> Area (As use) | $8 \times 1 / 4 \times \pi \times d 2=3927$ <br> - | mm 2 | - |
| Reinforcement <br> Longitudinal | 8 D 25 |  |  |

From the calculations and the results of the spColumn modeling, the longitudinal reinforcement in the column uses 8D25 reinforcement. The next step is to check the nominal moment of the column using the Strong Column - Weak Beam (SCWB) concept. This concept is the concept of a structural system, namely a special moment-bearing frame system. In this system, the column is planned to be stronger than the beam connected to the column. An overview of the SCWB concept can be seen in Figure


Figure 17. Strong Column Concept - Weak Beam (SCWB)

From the results of these checks, it is known that the planned column meets the requirements in the SCWB concept, namely Mnc > 1.2 Mnb. Therefore,

Table 55. Checking Strong Column - Weak Beam (SCWB) Concept

| Data | Value | Unit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nominal Moment <br> Column C2 (Mnb) | 32.97 | kNm |
| Nominal Moment | 43.33 | kNm |


| Data | Value | Unit |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B12 beam (Mnb) |  |  |
| Nominal Moment | 1835.8 | kNm |
| B325 beam (Mnc) | $\sum M n c>1.2 \sum M n b$ |  |
| Check | $2 \times 1835.8>1.2 \times(32.97+43.33)$ |  |
|  | $3671.6>91.56(\mathrm{OK})$ | kNm |

## Column Transverse Reinforcement Design

After obtaining the details of the longitudinal reinforcement to be used, the next step is to plan the transverse reinforcement of the column. Transverse reinforcement is useful to help concrete withstand shear forces that occur due to loading. In columnar structures, transverse reinforcement is divided into reinforcement in the plastic hinge area and outside the plastic hinge area. The column plastic hinge area is located at the end of the column in contact with the beam. Meanwhile, the column length between plastic hinges is referred to as the area outside the plastic hinge. In Designing for transverse column reinforcement, it is necessary to calculate and check the requirements in accordance with those contained in SNI 2847:2019. The Designing of column transverse reinforcement in the plastic hinge area and outside the plastic hinge can be seen in table below.

Table 56. Column Transverse Reinforcement Designing

| Transverse Reinforcement of Plastic Joint Regions |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Length of the Plastic Joint <br> Zone (Lo) | $\mathrm{h}=600$ | mm |
|  | $\mathrm{Lu} / 6=483.33$ | mm |
|  | Spacing Between Rebars <br> Longitudinal (px) | 450 |
| Distance of Transverse |  |  |
| Reinforcement (s) | $\mathrm{b}-2(\mathrm{ds}+\mathrm{d} 2)-\mathrm{d} 1) / 2=236.5$ | mm |
|  | $\mathrm{~b} / 4=150$ | mm |
| Effective Column Cross- |  |  |
| sectional Width (bc) |  |  |$\quad$| $6 \mathrm{~d} 1=6 \times 25=150$ |
| :---: |
| Cross-sectional area <br> Effective (Ach) |
| $\mathrm{b}-2(\mathrm{ds}+\mathrm{d} 2 / 2)=509$ |



In examining the shear strength, the inspection procedure will use the provisions contained in SNI 2847:2019 article 18.7.6.1.1. In these provisions, the shear force (Ve) to be used must be determined from a review of the maximum forces that occur at the face of the joint at each end of the column. The shear force at the end of the column (Ve1) must be determined from the maximum flexural strength (Mpr) that occurs assuming the tensile strength of the longitudinal reinforcement is 1.25 fy . The shear force at the end of the column (Ve1) need not exceed the value of the shear force at the beam joint (Ve2) based on the bending moment of the beam. In addition, the value of Ve cannot be less than the factored shear force (Ve3) based on the results of structural analysis using ETABS.

Table 57. Column Shear Strength Check

| Shear Strength in Plastic Joint Areas | 146.6785 | kNm |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Column Maximum Moment Strength <br> (Mprc) | 0.5 | - |
| Distribution Factor (DF) | 22.7512 | kNm |
| Maximum Moment Strength of Left <br> Beam (Mprb1) |  | kNm |
| Maximum Moment Strength of Right <br> Beam (Mprb2) | 28,297 |  |


| Shear Strength in Plastic Joint Areas |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Column End Shear Force (Ve1) | $(\mathrm{Mprc}$ Top +Mprc Bottom1)/Lu $=58.42$ | kN |
| Shear Force At Joint Beam (Ve2) | $(\mathrm{Mprc}$ Top +Mprc Bottom2)/Lu $=60.34$ | kN |
| Factored Shear Force (Ve3) | 39.9866 | kN |
| Effective Thickness (d) | $\mathrm{b}-\mathrm{ds}-\mathrm{d} 2-\mathrm{d} 1 / 2=600-40-11-18 / 2=540$ | mm |
| Shear Strength of Reinforcement (Vs) | $\mathrm{Ve} / \phi=60.34 / 0.75=80.453$ | kN |
| Minimum area Shear Reinforcement (Av) | $\begin{aligned} & (\text { Vs x S }) /(\text { fy xd })=(80.453 \times 150) /(420 \mathrm{x} \\ & 540)=0.0532 \end{aligned}$ | mm 2 |
| Shear Strength in the Outer Area of Plastic Joints |  |  |
| Maximum Load on Column ( Nu ) | $90.79$ | kN |
| Shear Strength of Concrete $\left(\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.17 \times(1+\mathrm{Nu} / 14 \mathrm{Ag}) \lambda \sqrt{ } c \mathrm{x} b \times d=0.17 \times(1+ \\ & 90.79 / 14 \times 360000) \times 1 \times\left(25^{\wedge} 1 / 2\right) \times 600 \times 540 \\ & =1.60737 \mathrm{E}+12 \end{aligned}$ | kN |

In the table above, the column moment strength (Mprc) is obtained from the spColumn assuming the tensile strength of the reinforcement is $1.25 f y$. The distribution factor used in the calculation is 0.5 because the top and bottom column dimensions are the same. The maximum moment strength value of the beam (Mprb) is obtained from the nominal moment value of the beam connected to the end of the column assuming the tensile strength of the reinforcement is 1.25 fy. From this value, the value of the design shear force will be sought in accordance with the provisions contained in SNI 2847:2019 article 18.7.6.1.1. In these provisions there are 3 design shear force values that need to be reviewed, namely column end shear force (Ve1), shear force at beam joints (Ve2) and factored shear force (Ve3). Of the three values, the value of Ve1 does not need to exceed Ve2, but must not be less than the value of Ve 3 , so the value $\mathrm{Ve} 2=$ 60.34 kN is used. After obtaining the value of the shear force, it can be calculated the shear strength of the reinforcement and the minimum area of shear reinforcement required in the plastic hinge area. As for the shear force in the area outside the plastic hinge, the shear strength under consideration is the concrete shear strength (Vc).

From the calculation and Design of the shear forces in the table above, it is found that the minimum area of shear reinforcement is still below the surface area of the transverse reinforcement that has been used in plastic joints. So that the 3D13-100 transverse
reinforcement can still be used in plastic hinges. As for the area outside the plastic hinge, it is known that the concrete shear strength $(\mathrm{Vc})$ is able to withstand the shear force resulting from structural analysis (Ve3). Therefore, the transverse reinforcement in the outer region of the plastic hinge, namely 3D13-150, can still be used. Based on these inspections, it was concluded that the designed transverse reinforcement was safe against the shear forces that occurred.

## Beam-Column Relations

The beam-column relationship is the meeting area between the column and the beam which must be well detailed. This meeting area is a critical area in a reinforced concrete frame structure. This is because the consequences arising from the moment of the column above and below it and the moment originating from the beam will cause the area to experience a large shear force. In the area of the beam-column connection, there will be a tensile force (T) and also a compressive force


Figure 18. The Forces Acting on Beam-Column Relationships
In the figure, it can be seen that the beams stretching on the column will cause a compressive force and a tensile force on the joint. These forces arise due to the longitudinal reinforcement in the beam. Therefore, the force in the longitudinal reinforcement of the beam in front of the beam-column connection must be determined assuming that the flexural tension reinforcement stress is 1.25 fy

Table 58. Design Data of Beam-Column Relations

| Data | Value | Unit | Information |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Distribution Factor (DF) | 0.5 |  | Used 0.5 due to dimensions columnon <br> and below the same |


| Data | Value | Unit | Information |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Left Beam Moment Capacity (Mpr) | 32.97 | kNm | The moment used in Beam B12 is obtained by assuming the stress 1.25 fy steel |
| Left Beam Reinforcement | 4D19 (As= 1253mm2) |  | On beam left,the reinforcement being reviewed is the top reinforcement of the beam |
| Right Beam Moment Capacity (Mpr) | $43.33$ | kNm | The moment used in the B325 beam is obtained by assuming the stress 1.25 fy steel |
| Right Beam Reinforcement | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \mathrm{D} 19(\mathrm{As}= \\ & 630.21 \mathrm{~mm} 2) \end{aligned}$ |  | In the right beam, the reinforcement under consideration is the bottom reinforcement beam |
| Joint Width (b) | 300 | mm | Obtained from wide beam cross section |
| Joint Height (h) | 400 | mm | Obtained from dimensions column cross section |
| Width outside <br> Joints (x) | $(\mathrm{hb}) / 2=(400-300) / 2=$ | mm | The remaining column widths are not connected beam |
| Effective Joint Width (bj) | $\begin{aligned} & b+h=300+400= \\ & 700 \\ & b+2(x)=300+2(50) \\ & =400 \end{aligned}$ |  | Based on SNI 2847:2019 chapter 18.8.4.3, the smallest value is 400 mm |
| Effective joint area ( $\mathrm{Aj}_{\mathrm{j}}$ ) | $b j \times b j=600 \times 600=$ $360000$ | mm2 |  |

In this plan, column C 2 will be reviewed with beams that frame the column, namely beam B12 (left) and beam B325 (right). Because the amount of reinforcement in the right and left beams is the same, the compressive and tensile forces on both sides will have the same value. From these data will be calculated the shear force at the joints of the beam-column connection.

Table 59. Calculation of Joint Shear Force on Beam-Column Relations

| Data | Value | Unit | Information |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Beam Joint Shear | $\sum M_{p r b}$ atas $\times D F+\sum$ |  |  |
| Force (Vu) | Mrb bawah $\times D F / L u=$ <br> 29,883 | kN | Shear force due to beam moment |



From the calculation resultsFrom this, the value of the joint shear force in the beam-column connection is $958,803 \mathrm{kN}$. Meanwhile, the value of the shear strength capacity of the beamcolumn connection is 1728 kN . Because the value of $\phi \mathrm{Vn}>\mathrm{Vj}$, the design of transverse reinforcement can be used in beam-column joints. So that in the area of the beam-column connection, stirrup reinforcement 3D13-100 will be used, the same as in the transverse reinforcement column in the plastic hinge area.

## Conclusion

In Designing the superstructure of a building, the first step is to plan the superstructure because the load of the structure will support the load of the structure above it. For example, in the

Gunung Kidul Nursing Home building, the roof will support the wind load and the roof itself, the 3 rd floor will support the roof load and the 2 nd floor will support the roof load, the 3rd floor load, and the 2 nd floor itself, and so on. It can be concluded from this statement that basically, the structure will support its own load and the load on it. Each design of the structure will be checked for safety with the Ultimate Limit State Design (ULS) and/or Serviceability Limit State Design (SLS) parameters. The ULS parameter will compare the stress that occurs to the stress of the structural material,

In buildings, the first step is to plan the roof, be it wind loads, live loads and dead loads on the roof. Roof Designing consists of Designing Purlin and truss Designing. Based on the Designing that has been done, the Purlin used is a C100x50x20 profile with a thickness of 2 mm because besides being safe based on ULS and SLS parameters, the costs incurred are cheaper because it has a thinner thickness than the profiles that have been tested before. The required sag-rod used is a diameter of 10 mm and a diameter for wind rods is 16 mm . For truss Designing, the truss profile used is a $2 \mathrm{~L} 50 \times 50 \times 5$ profile with 2 threaded bolts of type A- 325 with a diameter of 12 mm for each connection. After the roof Designing has been completed, structural Designing can be carried out, the first is the Designing of stairs in the form of Designing of stairs and Designing of landing beams. Based on the Designing results, it was found that the height of the steps is 170 mm and the width is 350 mm with the number of steps being 20. In the areas of support and field, the principal reinforcement and reinforcement used are D13-150 and d8-150. For the design of landing beams, after checking the ULS, flexural reinforcement 3D13 is used in the support area and 2D13 in the field area. For shear reinforcement it takes $\mathrm{d} 8-75$ in the support area and d8-150 in the field area. it was found that the height of the steps is 170 mm and the width is 350 mm with the number of steps being 20 units. In the areas of support and field, the principal reinforcement and reinforcement used are D13-150 and d8-150. For the design of landing beams, after checking the ULS, flexural reinforcement 3D13 is used in the support area and 2D13 in the field area. For shear reinforcement it takes d8-75 in the support area and d8-150 in the field area. it was found that the height of the steps is 170 mm and the width is 350 mm with the number of steps being 20 units. In the areas of support and field, the principal reinforcement and reinforcement used are D13-150 and d8-150. For the design of landing beams, after checking the ULS, flexural reinforcement 3D13 is used in the support area and 2D13 in the field area. For shear reinforcement it takes d8-75 in the support area and d8-150 in the field area.

Then, an analysis of the distribution of seismic forces was carried out in the Gunung Kidul area as a construction site to determine the lateral forces that occur on each floor. The loading results for each floor are inputted into the ETABS. After that, Slab Designing is carried out on the reinforcement in the support area and the field area. As a reference, use the Designing on Slab 1 by using class II Slabs with their respective moment coefficients. Based on the analysis of ULS and SLS, it was found that the main reinforcement and the main reinforcement were used. Next is beam Designing because it is one of the structures that supports the floor load that occurs. Beam Designing will use B266 beams as a reference. Beam design consists of transverse reinforcement and longitudinal reinforcement. Longitudinal reinforcement Designing found that the diameter of the reinforcement used was 19 mm with a different amount of reinforcement according to the needs of the support or field area. In the transverse reinforcement, the diameter of the reinforcement used is 10 mm with a number of needs that vary according to the area of support or field.

For column Designing, column C2 is used as Designing reference. Column design also consists of transverse reinforcement and longitudinal reinforcement. For longitudinal reinforcement, 8D25 reinforcement is used which is safe after ULS checking based on the Strong Column Weak Beam (SCWB) concept. For transverse reinforcement, in the area of abutments or plastic hinges, the reinforcement used is 3D13-100, while in the field area or outside the plastic hinges is 3D13-150.

To connect beams and columns, beam-column relationship Designing is carried out. The columns used in this plan are Column C2, while the beams used are Beams B12 and B18 because they are one unified structure. To connect the beams and columns, based on the design, transverse reinforcement 3D13-100 is used because the value of the shear strength capacity is greater than the joint shear force between the beams and columns.

