

**Non-Linear Analysis for Seismic Assessment and Retrofitting of  
Column using Minimum RC Jacketing Wrapped by CFRP on  
Existing Low Occupancies Restaurant Building in Indonesia**

Final Project Proposal



By:

Peter Cantona Astinaputra Johan

161316436

INTERNATIONAL CIVIL ENGINEERING PROGRAM  
DEPARTMENT OF ENGINEERING  
UNIVERSITAS ATMA JAYA YOGYAKARTA

2020

## STATEMENT

I signed below stating that the final project with the title:

**“Non-Linear Analysis for Seismic Assessment and Retrofitting of Column using Minimum RC Jacketing Wrapped by CFRP on Existing Low Occupancies Restaurant Building in Indonesia “**

Is the result of my own work and not a result of plagiarism from other people’s work, ideas, research data and quotes directly or non-directly derived from writings or ideas of other people expressly provided in this final project. If it is proven later that this final project is result of plagiarism, the graduation certificate that I received will be canceled and returned to Universitas Atma Jaya Yogyakarta.

Yogyakarta

Who made the remarks,



Peter Cantona A J

# APPROVAL

Final Project Report

**Non-Linear Analysis for Seismic Assessment and Retrofitting of  
Column using Minimum RC Jacketing Wrapped by CFRP on  
Existing Low Occupancies Restaurant Building in Indonesia**

By:

Peter Cantona Astinaputra Johan

Student number: 16 13 16436

Has been checked and approved to be presented

Yogyakarta, .....



Supervisor,

Dr.Ir Junaedi Utomo, M.Eng.

Department of Civil Engineering Chairman,



A.Y. Harijanto Setiawan, Ir., M.Eng., Ph.D.

# APPROVAL

Final Project Report

**Non-Linear Analysis for Seismic Assessment and Retrofitting of  
Column using Minimum RC Jacketing Wrapped by CFRP on  
Existing Low Occupancies Restaurant Building in Indonesia**

By:

Peter Cantona Astinaputra Johan

Student number: 16 13 16436

Has been examined and approved by:

| Name  | Signature | Date  |
|---|-----------|-------|
| Chairman: FX. Junaedi Utomo, Ir., M.Eng. Dr       | .....     | ..... |
| Member: AM. Ade Lisantono, Ir., M.Eng., Dr. Prof. | .....     | ..... |
| Member: J. Tri Hatmoko, Ir., M.Sc.                | .....     | ..... |

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First and foremost, I would like to thank God for his grace and blessing to give me the strength and courage to finish my final project report. The final project is structured to complete the requirements of Undergraduate Bachelor program in International Civil Engineering Program in Universitas Atma Jaya Yogyakarta. For the completion of this final project, I would like to express gratitude towards:

1. Dr.Ir Junaedi Utomo, M.Eng. as my advisor for his advice and counselling
2. Dr.Eng. Luky Handoko, S.T., M.Eng as the Dean of Engineering Department
3. Johan Ardianto, S.T., M.Eng as the Coordinator of International Civil Engineering Program
4. All the lecturers in the civil engineering program, especially in the International Civil Engineering Program.
5. My parents, brother and sister and all family members who support me both mentally and financially
6. My one and only loved one girlfriend Andrea
7. My best friends Rafly,Chavien,Felicia,Frisca,Amelia and other close friend that cannot be mentioned
8. All my family in Civil Engineering Program in Atma Jaya and all majors
9. All parties that cannot be mentioned, thank you for the support and help

Finally, as the author of this report realized that this report is far from perfect, there are still many flaws and mistake due to limited skills, experience, and knowledge of the author. Therefore, any suggestions and critics from the reader will be appreciated and accepted.

Thank you very much from my deepest heart.

Yogyakarta, October 26, 2020

Author

Peter Cantona A J

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| COVER .....   | i   |
| STATEMENT .....                                       | ii  |
| APPROVAL .....  | iii |
| APPROVAL OF EXAMINEE .....                            | iv  |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENT .....                                 | v   |
| TABLE OF CONTENT .....                                | vii |
| LIST OF FIGURES. ....                                 | ix  |
| LIST OF TABLES .....                                  | ix  |
| ABSTRACT.....   | x   |
| <br>  |     |
| CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION                               |     |
| 1.1. Background .....                                 | 1   |
| 1.2. Problem Statement .....                          | 3   |
| 1.3. Objective .....                                  | 3   |
| 1.4. Research Limitations .....                       | 4   |
| 1.5. Research Benefits .....                          | 4   |
| 1.6. Originality of Final Project .....               | 5   |
| <br>  |     |
| CHAPTER II: LITERATURE REVIEW                         |     |
| 2.1. Seismic Assessment .....                         | 6   |
| 2.2. Retrofitting .....                               | 7   |
| 2.3. Non-Linear Analysis .....                        | 10  |
| 2.4. Pushover Analysis .....                          | 11  |
| 2.5. Non-Linear Static Procedure .....                | 12  |
| 2.6. Performance Objectives and Seismic Hazards ..... | 13  |
| 2.7. Local Retrofitting .....                         | 14  |
| 2.8. Reinforced Concrete Jacketing .....              | 15  |
| 2.9. CFRP Wrapping .....                              | 17  |
| 2.10. Seismobuild .....                               | 18  |

## CHAPTER III: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

|   |    |
|---|----|
| 3.1. Data Collection .....              | 19 |
| 3.1.1 Building Information .....        | 19 |
| 3.1.2 Material Specification .....      | 20 |
| 3.1.3 Specific Weight of Material ..... | 20 |
| 3.1.4 Loading .....                     | 20 |
| 3.1.5 Seismic Parameter .....           | 20 |
| 3.1.6 Load Combination .....            | 21 |
| 3.2. Analysis Flow Chart .....          | 22 |

## CHAPTER IV: RESULT AND DISCUSSION

|  |    |
|--|----|
| 4.1. Existing Data .....                                     | 23 |
| 4.1.1 Structural Modeling Based on Structural CAD Data ..... | 23 |
| 4.1.2 Loading Combination .....                              | 25 |
| 4.1.3 Response Spectrum .....                                | 25 |
| 4.1.4 Overall Critical Element Check .....                   | 26 |
| 4.2. Retrofitting .....                                      | 26 |
| 4.2.1 Concrete Jacketing Modeling .....                      | 26 |
| 4.2.2 CFRP .....   | 29 |
| 4.2.2 Retrofitted Model .....                                | 30 |
| 4.2.2 Overall Critical Element Check .....                   | 31 |
| 4.3. Data Analysis and Discussion .....                      | 31 |
| 4.3.1 Stress Strain Comparison .....                         | 31 |
| 4.3.2 Column Strength Analysis .....                         | 32 |

## CHAPTER V: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

|                            |    |
|----------------------------|----|
| 5.1. Conclusions .....     | 34 |
| 5.2. Recommendations ..... | 35 |

|                  |    |
|------------------|----|
| REFERENCES ..... | 36 |
|------------------|----|

|                  |    |
|------------------|----|
| ATTACHMENT ..... | 38 |
|------------------|----|



## LIST OF FIGURES

|   |    |
|---|----|
| Figure 2.1 – Retrofitting Stages .....                                      | 9  |
| Figure 2.2 – Acceptance Criteria Illustration .....                         | 13 |
| Figure 2.3 – Concrete Jacketing .....                                       | 16 |
| Figure 2.4 – CFRP Wrapping .....  | 17 |
| Figure 3.1 – Existing Structure Model in ETABS .....                        | 19 |
| Figure 3.2 – Analysis Method .....  | 22 |
| Figure 4.1 – Seisbuild Model .....  | 23 |
| Figure 4.2 – Base Floor Plan.....   | 23 |
| Figure 4.3 – 2 <sup>nd</sup> Floor Plan.....                                | 23 |
| Figure 4.4 – 3 <sup>rd</sup> Floor Plan .....                               | 24 |
| Figure 4.5 – 4 <sup>th</sup> Floor Plan .....                               | 24 |
| Figure 4.6 – Analysis Knowledge.....  | 24 |
| Figure 4.7 – Response Spectrum.....   | 25 |
| Figure 4.8 – Analyzed Existing Model .....                                  | 26 |
| Figure 4.9 – Existing column .....  | 27 |
| Figure 4.10 – RC Jacket Model and Reinforcement .....                       | 29 |
| Figure 4.11 – Retrofitted Model.....  | 30 |
| Figure 4.12 – Analyzed Retrofitted Model .....                              | 31 |
| Figure 4.13 – Before & After of Stress Strain X Direction Retrofitted ..... | 31 |
| Figure 4.14 – Before & After of Stress Strain Y Direction Retrofitted ..... | 32 |

## LIST OF TABLES

|  |    |
|--|----|
| Table 3.1 – Material Specification for Existing Building .....   | 20 |
| Table 3.2 – Specific Weight.....                                 | 20 |
| Table 4.1 – Existing Force .....                                 | 27 |
| Table 4.2 – Detailing of RC Jacket at 1 <sup>st</sup> Story..... | 29 |
| Table 4.3 – Increased Strength from Member Chord Rotation.....   | 32 |
| Table 4.4 – Increased Strength from Member Shear Force .....     | 32 |

## **ABSTRACT**

For the past decades, engineers all over the world are having a rapid progress for enhancing and reinforcing the existing structure all over the world and the technique is called retrofitting. In Indonesia, many structures are designed with the old code and even without the earthquake detailing design. This might cause a huge damage impact and loss for a crowded structure in low occupancies building such as restaurant. Seismic assessment is conducted to prevent the seismic hazard in the future.

This research is conducted to study about the applied retrofitting to an existing building and analyzed using the non-linear analysis method provided by a software. In this paper, the role of column damage and collapse in the seismic response assessment of existing reinforced concrete buildings are monitored and retrofitted using the methods of RC Jacketing with CFRP Wrapping. By analyzing the increased capacity of the member with the retrofitting by using a detailed non-linear analysis software to prevent the failure and loss in the future.

The result of this mixed retrofitting method is proven to significantly increase the capacity and performances of the column while effectively using the minimum space for the retrofitting process. By doing non-linear analysis the structure is proven to have a detailed assessment that cannot be spotted by the other modeling program.

**Keywords:** Column; Retrofitting; RC Jacketing; FRP; Non-Linear