

BAB V PENUTUP

Pada bab ini peneliti akan memaparkan mengenai kesimpulan dan implikasi manajerial dari hasil penelitian yang telah dilakukan sehingga dapat digunakan oleh pihak Ja'an Bali Restoran untuk meningkatkan kepuasan dan loyalitas pelanggan. Selain itu, peneliti juga menjelaskan keterbatasan dalam penelitian disertai dengan saran yang diperlukan untuk penelitian di masa mendatang.

5.1. Kesimpulan

Penelitian yang telah dilakukan mendapatkan hasil bahwa pelanggan dari Ja'an Bali Restoran memiliki penilaian yang baik terhadap kualitas makanan, layanan dan suasana yang berpengaruh terhadap kepuasan pelanggan hingga menghasilkan loyalitas pelanggan. Dalam penelitian ini menggunakan 190 data responden telah berhasil memenuhi kriteria dengan menggunakan survei yang dilakukan melalui Google Form. Responden dalam penelitian ini merupakan masyarakat yang pernah berkunjung, menikmati layanan fasilitas serta pernah membeli dan mengonsumsi makanan ataupun minuman pada Ja'an Bali Restoran secara *dine-in*. Kesimpulan dalam penelitian ini didapat berdasarkan dari hasil dari statistic deskriptif serta pengujian hipotesis penelitian.

5.1.1. Kesimpulan Berdasarkan Analisis Deskriptif

Pada bagian ini bagaimana peneliti mengelompokkan responden tersebut sesuai dengan pertanyaan filter sehingga peneliti mendapatkan responden yang memenuhi syarat dalam penelitian dan selanjutnya peneliti mengelompokkan berdasarkan profil dari setiap responden.

1. Responden pada penelitian ini berjumlah 218 responden. Setelah melewati tiga pertanyaan filter yang memenuhi kriteria responden, hanya tersisa 190 responden. Sehingga hanya 190 responden yang dapat melanjutkan ke bagian berikutnya.
2. Responden yang pernah datang ke Ja'an Bali Restoran minimal satu kali dalam 3 tahun terakhir berjumlah 201 responden atau setara 92,2% dari total keseluruhan.

3. Responden yang menikmati layanan dan fasilitas yang ditawarkan di Ja'an Bali Restoran (seperti desain layout dan penampilan live music) berjumlah 196 responden atau setara 90% dari total keseluruhan.
4. Responden yang pernah membeli dan mengonsumsi makanan dan minuman di Ja'an Bali Restoran secara dine-in minimal satu kali dalam 3 tahun terakhir berjumlah 190 responden atau setara 87,2% dari total keseluruhan.
5. Profil responden berdasarkan jenis kelamin perempuan lebih mendominasi dalam penelitian ini dimana berjumlah 117 orang atau sebesar 61,6% dari total keseluruhan responden.
6. Profil responden berdasarkan usia yang mendominasi penelitian ini yaitu dengan rentang usia 21-25 tahun sejumlah 121 orang atau sebesar 63,7% dari total keseluruhan responden.
7. Profil responden berdasarkan pekerjaan sebagai mahasiswa yang mendominasi yang berjumlah 117 orang atau sebesar 61,6% dari total keseluruhan responden.

5.1.2. Kesimpulan Berdasarkan Analisis Hipotesis Penelitian

Pada bagian ini, peneliti akan menjelaskan hasil analisis data pada pengujian hipotesis dalam penelitian menggunakan metode SEM-PLS. Berikut kesimpulan yang diperoleh peneliti dari penelitian ini:

1. Hasil uji hipotesis pertama menyatakan bahwa kualitas makanan memiliki pengaruh positif dan signifikan terhadap kepuasan pelanggan atau **H1 diterima**. Hal ini berarti bahwa semakin baik kualitas makanan yang disajikan oleh Ja'an Bali Restoran maka konsumen akan merasa semakin puas apabila berkunjung ke Ja'an Bali Restoran.
2. Hasil uji hipotesis kedua menyatakan bahwa kualitas layanan tidak memiliki pengaruh terhadap kepuasan pelanggan atau **H2 ditolak**. Hal ini berarti bahwa kualitas layanan tidak berpengaruh terhadap kepuasan pelanggan Ja'an Bali Restoran melainkan terdapat faktor lainnya yang tidak dibahas dalam penelitian ini.

3. Hasil uji hipotesis ketiga menyatakan bahwa kualitas suasana memiliki pengaruh positif dan signifikan terhadap kepuasan pelanggan atau **H3 diterima**. Hal ini berarti bahwa suasana yang dibangun dalam Ja'an Bali restoran merupakan salah satu faktor penting untuk menciptakan kepuasan pelanggan, semakin baik suasana yang terbangun maka pelanggan akan semakin nyaman untuk makan di restoran hingga keinginan untuk datang kembali menikmati suasana tersebut akan tercapai.
4. Hasil uji hipotesis keempat menyatakan bahwa kepuasan pelanggan memiliki pengaruh positif dan signifikan terhadap loyalitas pelanggan atau **H4 diterima**. Hal ini berarti pelanggan yang puas atas apa yang diberikan oleh Ja'an Bali Restoran berhasil memberikan kenangan yang baik sehingga dapat menjadikan pelanggan yang loyal terhadap Ja'an Bali Restoran.

5.2. Implikasi Manajerial

Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk melihat pengaruh dari kualitas makanan, kualitas suasana, dan kepuasan pelanggan yang dirasakan terhadap loyalitas pelanggan. Peneliti berharap besar pada penelitian ini sehingga dapat memberikan manfaat bagi pihak manajemen Ja'an Bali Restoran maupun pihak lainnya yang memiliki layanan yang hampir sama dengan objek dalam penelitian ini. Berikut peneliti memaparkan implikasi manajerial dalam penelitian:

1. Dalam penelitian ini kualitas suasana berpengaruh positif terhadap kepuasan pelanggan. Namun, kualitas suasana memiliki nilai yang lebih unggul dibandingkan kualitas makanan dan layanan. Saran untuk perusahaan dalam hal kualitas suasana adalah Ja'an Bali Restoran dapat mempertahankan identitasnya yaitu selalu mengadakan live music setiap hari serta mengadakan *event* dengan mengundang artis Indonesia selain itu pihak restoran juga dapat menghadirkan beberapa genre musik yang berbeda di hari-hari tertentu serta dari segi *layout* tempat duduk maupun meja dapat diubah berdasarkan periode tertentu sehingga pelanggan akan merasa nyaman dengan posisi meja yang berbeda dari sebelumnya.
2. Dalam penelitian ini kepuasan pelanggan berpengaruh positif terhadap loyalitas pelanggan, saran yang dapat peneliti berikan untuk Ja'an Bali

Restoran dimana dapat memberikan *reward* kepada pelanggan yang loyal seperti pelanggan yang melakukan transaksi lebih dari satu juta mendapatkan reward potongan harga di kunjungan selanjutnya, selain itu pelanggan yang sudah datang 5 kali akan mendapat *reward* berupa kemudahan dalam reservasi hingga *free valet parking*. Contoh lainnya pelanggan yang merayakan hari besar seperti ulang tahun atau *anniversary* mendapatkan layanan *photo booth* gratis.

5.3. Keterbatasan Penelitian

Dalam penelitian ini, peneliti merangkum beberapa keterbatasan di dalam penelitian yang dilakukan, sebagai berikut:

1. Penamaan pada variabel kualitas makanan kurang menjelaskan secara detail menu pada objek Ja'an Bali Restoran, karena didalam penelitian peneliti melakukan penelitian pada kualitas makanan dan juga minuman di Ja'an Bali Restoran.
2. Penelitian ini menggunakan teknik penyebaran kuesioner secara *online* metode ini masih memiliki bias yang cukup tinggi, sehingga responden belum tentu mengerti apa yang diinginkan oleh peneliti.
3. Jurnal acuan pada penelitian ini menggunakan analisis EFA yang menyebabkan variabel kepuasan pelanggan dan loyalitas pelanggan memiliki kedudukan atau posisi yang sama sehingga untuk membedakan kedua variabel ini diperlukan jurnal acuan lainnya yang memiliki objek yang serupa.

5.4. Saran Penelitian Ke Depan

Berdasarkan kelemahan dari penelitian yang telah dilakukan, maka peneliti memberikan saran sebagai berikut:

1. Untuk penelitian selanjutnya peneliti dapat melakukan penyesuaian pada penamaan variabel sesuai dengan objek penelitian yaitu Ja'an Bali Restoran.
2. Untuk penelitian selanjutnya dalam pengumpulan data penelitian selanjutnya dapat menggabungkan beberapa teknik pengumpulan data baik secara *online* maupun *offline* sehingga bias di antara responden dengan

peneliti dapat diminimalisir dan juga dapat menimbulkan interaksi antara peneliti dengan responden.

3. Untuk penelitian selanjutnya diharapkan peneliti dapat memeriksa terlebih dahulu kelengkapan pada setiap pertanyaan indikator penelitian pada jurnal acuan, sehingga apabila terdapat kekurangan peneliti dapat segera mengganti jurnal acuan tersebut.



DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Abdullah, O., Sufi, T., & Kumar, S. (2022). Service Quality and its Influence on Customer Satisfaction and Customer Loyalty in the Restaurants of Five-Star Hotels. *African Journal of Hospitality, Tourism and Leisure*, 11(6), 2173–2189. <https://doi.org/10.46222/ajhtl.19770720.349>
- Akpoyomare, O. Ben, Adeosun, L. P. K., & Ganiyu, R. A. (2016). Airline Service Quality Dimensions and Customer Loyalty: Empirical Evidence from Air Passengers' in Lagos State. *Czech Journal of Tourism*, 5(2), 155–171. <https://doi.org/10.1515/cjot-2016-0009>
- Andriyani, N., & Hidayat, Z. (2021). The Influence Factors on Customer Satisfaction and Loyalty in Distribution: An Empirical Study on Sushi Tei Restaurant, Jakarta. *Z. HIDAYAT / Journal of Distribution Science*, 19(6), 51–64. <https://doi.org/10.15722/jds.19.6.202106.51>
- Arikunto, S. (2011). *Prosedur Penelitian : Suatu Pendekatan Praktik*. Rineka Cipta.
- Atsnawiyah, D., Rizan, M., & Rahmi. (2022). CAFE ATMOSPHERE AND FOOD QUALITY AS THE ANTECEDENT OF CUSTOMER SATISFACTION IN BUILDING CUSTOMER LOYALTY AT MASALALU CAFÉ RAWA DOMBA JAKARTA. *JDMB*, 5(1), 113–138.
- Azmi, A. (2021). Implementation Of Ambient Condition, Spatial Layout And Functionality And Sign Symbols And Artifacts In Improving Customer Satisfaction And Customer Loyalty Janji Jiwa & Jiwa Toast. *Jurnal Mantik*, 4(1), 1184–1191.
- Berman, B., Evanc, J. R., & Chatterjee, P. (2018). *Retail Management: A Strategic Approach, 13th edition* (13 ed.). Pearson.
- Budiarno, Udayana, I. B. N., & Lukitaningsih, A. (2022). PENGARUH KUALITAS LAYANAN, KUALITAS PRODUK TERHADAP KEPUASAN PELANGGAN DALAM MEMBENTUK LOYALITAS PELANGGAN. *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan dan Ekonomi*, 19(2). <https://journal.uniku.ac.id/index.php/Equilibrium>

- Castro-Puyana, M., Pérez-Míguez, R., Montero, L., & Herrero, M. (2017). Application of mass spectrometry-based metabolomics approaches for food safety, quality and traceability. *TrAC - Trends in Analytical Chemistry*, 93, 102–118. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2017.05.004>
- Dawes, J., Stocchi, L., & Dall’Olmo-Riley, F. (2020). Over-time variation in individual’s customer satisfaction scores. *International Journal of Market Research*, 62(3), 262–271. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1470785320907538>
- Dinas Pariwisata Province Bali. (2022, Februari 3). *Banyaknya Restoran dan Rumah Makan Dirinci Menurut Kabupaten/Kota di Bali, 2012-2021*. [bli.bps.go.id](https://bali.bps.go.id).
<https://bali.bps.go.id/statictable/2018/04/13/87/banyaknya-restoran-dan-rumah-makan-dirinci-menurut-kabupaten-kota-di-bali-2012-2019.html>
- Dubey, A., & Srivastava, A. K. (2016). *Impact of Service Quality on Customer Loyalty-A Study on Telecom Sector in India*. 18(2), 45–55. <https://doi.org/10.9790/487X-18214555>
- Duman, & Feridun. (2020). Effects of Coffee Shops’ Food Quality, Service Quality and Ambience Quality on Customer Satisfaction and Loyalty in Batman, Turkey. *International Journal of Innovative Approaches in Social Sciences*, 4(3), 54–68. https://ijiasos.penpublishing.net/files/7/manuscript/manuscript_1724/ijiasos-1724-manuscript-135602.pdf
- Fraenkel, J. R., Wallen, N. E., & Hyun, H. H. (2011). *HOW TO DESIGN AND EVALUATE RESEARCH IN EDUCATION* (M. Ryan, Ed.; Eighth).
- Ganguli, S., & Roy, S. K. (2011). Generic technology-based service quality dimensions in banking: Impact on customer satisfaction and loyalty. *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, 29(2), 168–189. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02652321111107648>
- Ghozali, I., & Latan, H. (2015). *Partial Least Squares: Konsep, Teknik dan Aplikasi Menggunakan Program SmartPLS 3.0*. Badan Penerbit Universitas Diponegoro.

- Giebelhausen, M., Chan, E., & Sirianni, N. J. (2016). EXECUTIVE SUMMARY Fitting Restaurant Service Style to Brand Image for Greater Customer Satisfaction. Dalam • *www.chr.cornell.edu* • (Vol. 16, Nomor 9). *www.chr.cornell.edu*
- Gopi, B., & Samat, N. (2020). The influence of food trucks' service quality on customer satisfaction and its impact toward customer loyalty. *British Food Journal*, 122(10), 3213–3226. <https://doi.org/10.1108/BFJ-02-2020-0110>
- Gotlieb, J. B., Grewal, D., & Brown, S. W. (1994). Consumer Satisfaction and Perceived Quality: Complementary or Divergent Constructs? *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 79(6), 875–885. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.79.6.875>
- Ha, J., & Jang, S. C. (Shawn). (2010). Effects of service quality and food quality: The moderating role of atmospherics in an ethnic restaurant segment. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 29(3), 520–529. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2009.12.005>
- Hair Jr., J. F., Hult, G. T., Ringle, C. M., Sarstedt, M., Danks, N. P., & Ray, S. (2021). *Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM) Using R* (1 ed.). Springer Cham. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-80519-7>
- Han, H., & Hyun, S. S. (2017). Impact of hotel-restaurant image and quality of physical-environment, service, and food on satisfaction and intention. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 63, 82–92. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2017.03.006>
- Handayani, R. (2020). *Metodologi Penelitian Sosial*. Trussmedia Grafika.
- Hernikasari, I., Ali, H., & Hadita, H. (2022). *MODEL CITRA MEREK MELALUI KEPUASAN PELANGGAN BEAR BRAND: HARGA DAN KUALITAS PRODUK*. 3(3). <https://doi.org/10.31933/jimt.v3i3>
- Hidayat, D., Bismo, A., & Basri, A. R. (2020). THE EFFECT OF FOOD QUALITY AND SERVICE QUALITY TOWARDS CUSTOMER SATISFACTION AND REPURCHASE INTENTION (CASE STUDY

- OF HOT PLATE RESTAURANTS). *Manajemen Bisnis*, 10(1), 01–09.
<https://doi.org/10.22219/jmb.v10i1.11913>
- Izogo, E. E., & Ogba, I. E. (2015). Service quality, customer satisfaction and loyalty in automobile repair services sector. *International Journal of Quality and Reliability Management*, 32(3), 250–269.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/IJQRM-05-2013-0075>
- Jaanbali. (2023, Maret 20). *Ja'an Bali – Restaurant, Bar & Live Music – Sing a Long With Ja'an*. jaanbali.com. <http://jaanbali.com/>
- Jin, N., Lee, S., & Huffman, L. (2012). Impact of Restaurant Experience on Brand Image and Customer Loyalty: Moderating Role of Dining Motivation. *Journal of Travel and Tourism Marketing*, 29(6), 532–551.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/10548408.2012.701552>
- Karki, D., & Panthi, A. (2018). *How Food Quality, Price, Ambiance and Service Quality Effects Customer Satisfaction: A study on Nepalese Restaurants in Finland*.
- Kencana, M. R. B. (2022, November 12). *Kemenperin Catat Industri Makanan dan Minuman Tumbuh 3,57 Persen di Kuartal III 2022 - Bisnis*. *Liputan6.com*. [liputan6.com. https://www.liputan6.com/bisnis/read/5123613/kemenperin-catat-industri-makanan-dan-minuman-tumbuh-357-persen-di-kuartal-iii-2022](https://www.liputan6.com/bisnis/read/5123613/kemenperin-catat-industri-makanan-dan-minuman-tumbuh-357-persen-di-kuartal-iii-2022)
- Keshavarz, Y., Jamshidi, D., & Bakhtazma, F. (2016). THE INFLUENCE OF SERVICE QUALITY ON RESTAURANTS' CUSTOMER LOYALTY. *Arabian Journal of Business and Management Review*, 6(4). www.arabianjbmr.com
- Kotler, P., & Armstrong, G. (2018). *Principles of Marketing*. Harlow: Pearson Education Limited. .
- Kotler, P., & Keller, K. L. (2016). *Marketing Management* (15th Edition). New Jersey: Pearson Prentice Hall, Inc.
- Levy, M., & Weitz, B. A. (2012). *Retailing Management* (8 ed.). McGraw-Hill Irwin, New York, NY, c 2012.
<https://www.worldcat.org/title/retailing-management/oclc/846163787>

- Malik, P. A. (2020, Januari 23). *Restoran di Bali dengan Hiburan Live Music Setiap Hari*. harpersbazzar.co.id.
- Marakanon, L., & Panjakajornsak, V. (2016). Perceived quality, perceived risk and customer trust affecting customer loyalty of environmentally friendly electronics products. *Kasetsart Journal of Social Sciences*, 38(1), 24–30. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.kjss.2016.08.012>
- Muhu, F. N., Sondakh, O., & Suryaputra, R. (2021). ANALYSIS OF THE EFFECT OF RESTAURANT ENVIRONMENTAL CUES AND NON-ENVIRONMENTAL CUES ON CUSTOMER SATISFACTION IN FORMING CUSTOMER LOYALTY MODERATED BY MOTIVATION OF DE MANDAILING CAFE AND EATERY JEMURSARI CUSTOMERS IN SURABAYA. *International Journal of Research Publications*, 69(1), 14–34. <https://doi.org/10.47119/ijrp100691120211646>
- Namkung, Y., & Jang, S. C. (2007). Does Food Quality Really Matter in Restaurants? Its Impact On Customer Satisfaction and Behavioral Intentions. *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Research*, 31(3), 387–409. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1096348007299924>
- Nguyen, Q., Nisar, T. M., Knox, D., & Prabhakar, G. P. (2018). Understanding customer satisfaction in the UK quick service restaurant industry: The influence of the tangible attributes of perceived service quality. *British Food Journal*, 120(6), 1207–1222. <https://doi.org/10.1108/BFJ-08-2017-0449>
- Olise, M. C., Okoli, M. I., & Ekeke, J. N. (2015). FACTORS INFLUENCING CUSTOMERS PATRONAGE OF FAST FOOD RESTAURANTS A STUDY OF SELECTED CUSTOMERS OF FAST FOOD IN ANAMBRA STATE, NIGERIA. *International Journal of Economics, Commerce and Management United Kingdom*, III(11). <http://ijecm.co.uk/>
- Peri, C. (2006). The universe of food quality. *Food Quality and Preference*, 17(1–2), 3–8. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodqual.2005.03.002>

- Rahadiansyah, C. (2022, Februari 5). *Dua Tahun Pandemi, Restoran di Bali Bertambah 1.004 Unit | DestinAsian Indonesia*. [destinasian.co.id. https://destinasian.co.id/dua-tahun-pandemi-restoran-di-bali-justru-bertambah-1-004-unit/](https://destinasian.co.id/dua-tahun-pandemi-restoran-di-bali-justru-bertambah-1-004-unit/)
- Restaurateur. (2023, Maret 19). *Ja'an Bali Restaurant, Denpasar - Restaurant menu and reviews*. [restaurantguru.com. https://restaurantguru.com/Jaan-El-Goa-Restaurant-Kuta](https://restaurantguru.com/Jaan-El-Goa-Restaurant-Kuta)
- Rizaty, M. A. (2022, Desember 21). *Industri Makanan dan Minuman Tumbuh 3,57% pada Kuartal III/2022*. [dataindonesia.id. https://dataindonesia.id/sektor-riil/detail/industri-makanan-dan-minuman-tumbuh-357-pada-kuartal-iii2022](https://dataindonesia.id/sektor-riil/detail/industri-makanan-dan-minuman-tumbuh-357-pada-kuartal-iii2022)
- Ryu, K., & Han, H. (2010). Influence of the Quality of Food, Service, and Physical Environment on Customer Satisfaction and Behavioral Intention in Quick-Casual Restaurants: Moderating Role of Perceived Price. *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Research*, 34(3), 310–329. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1096348009350624>
- Santosa, J., Mahendra, D., & Haryanto, A. T. (2020). PERAN KEPUASAN DI DALAM MEMEDIASI KUALITAS PRODUK DAN KUALITAS PELAYANAN TERHADAP LOYALITAS NASABAH BANK JATENG CABANG PURWODADI. *Jurnal Manajemen, Bisnis dan Pendidikan*, 7(1), 16–24. <https://e-journal.stie-aub.ac.id/index.php/excellent>
- Sari, A. N. (2022, Oktober 31). *Kondisi Industri Pengolahan Makanan dan Minuman di Indonesia*. [djkn.kemenkeu.go.id. https://www.djkn.kemenkeu.go.id/kanwil-suluttenggomalut/baca-artikel/15588/Kondisi-Industri-Pengolahan-Makanan-dan-Minuman-di-Indonesia.html](https://www.djkn.kemenkeu.go.id/kanwil-suluttenggomalut/baca-artikel/15588/Kondisi-Industri-Pengolahan-Makanan-dan-Minuman-di-Indonesia.html)
- Sekaran, U., & Bougie, R. (2020). *Research Method for Business* (Eighth Edition). Wiley.
- Shaharudin, M. R., Mansor, S. W., & Elias, S. J. (2011). Food Quality Attributes among Malaysia's Fast Food Customer. *International*

- Business and Management*, 2(1), 198–208.
<https://doi.org/10.3968/j.ibm.1923842820110201.015>
- Sugiyono. (2022). *Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif* (Setiyawami, Ed.; 3 ed.). Alfa Beta CV.
- Tjiptono, F. (2014). *Pemasaran Jasa: Prinsip, Penerapan, dan Penelitian* (1 ed.). Andi Offset.
- TripAdvisor. (2023, Maret 20). *Ja'an Restaurant, Seminyak - Ulasan Restoran - Tripadvisor*. TripAdvisor.co.id.
https://www.tripadvisor.co.id/Restaurant_Review-g469404-d9768017-Reviews-Ja_an_Restaurant_Bali-Seminyak_Kuta_District_Bali.html
- Triwidianti. (2022, September 2). *JA'AN Restaurant Bali, Tempat yang Cocok untuk Hangout-Nonton Live Music*. detik.com.
<https://www.detik.com/bali/wisata/d-6269767/jaan-restaurant-bali-tempat-yang-cocok-untuk-hangout-nonton-live-music>
- Uddin, M. B. (2019). Customer loyalty in the fast food restaurants of Bangladesh. *British Food Journal*, 121(11), 2791–2808.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/BFJ-02-2019-0140>
- Vencataya, L., Pudaruth, S., Juwaheer, R. T., Dirpal, G., & Sumodhee, N. M. Z. (2019). Assessing the Impact of Service Quality Dimensions on Customer Satisfaction in Commercial Banks of Mauritius. *Studies in Business and Economics*, 14(1), 259–270. <https://doi.org/10.2478/SBE-2019-0020>
- Yamit Zulian. (2010). *Manajemen Kualitas Produk dan Jasa* (1 ed.). EKONISIA, Yogyakarta.
- Yang, Z., & Peterson, R. T. (2004). Customer perceived value, satisfaction, and loyalty: The role of switching costs. *Psychology and Marketing*, 21(10), 799–822. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mar.20030>
- Zamani, N., Bahrom, N. A. B., Fadzir, N. S. B. M., Fauzy, N. S. B. M. A. M., Anua, N. F. B., Rosman, S. A. B., Sivam, S., Muthutamilselvan, K., & Krishnan, I. A. (2020). A Study on Customer Satisfaction Towards Ambiance, Service and Food Quality in Kentucky Fried Chicken

(KFC), Petaling Jaya. *Malaysian Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities (MJSSH)*, 5(4), 96. www.msocsciences.com

Zhong, Y., & Moon, H. C. (2020). What drives customer satisfaction, loyalty, and happiness in fast-food restaurants in china? Perceived price, service quality, food quality, physical environment quality, and the moderating role of gender. *Foods*, 9(4). <https://doi.org/10.3390/FOODS9040460>



LAMPIRAN

LAMPIRAN 1

DRAFT KUESIONER PENELITIAN

Kata Pengantar

Yth. Bapak/Ibu/Saudara/i

Dalam rangka untuk memenuhi persyaratan tugas akhir (skripsi), Saya Kadek Indi Putri Utari selaku Mahasiswa Jurusan Manajemen Fakultas Bisnis dan Ekonomika Universitas Atma Jaya Yogyakarta, sedang melakukan penelitian mengenai “Pengaruh Kualitas Makanan, Kualitas Layanan, dan Kualitas Suasana (*Ambience Quality*) terhadap Kepuasan Pelanggan dan Loyalitas Pelanggan di Ja’an Bali Restoran”.

Agar tercapainya tujuan penelitian ini, saya mohon kesediaan dari Bapak/Ibu/Saudara/Saudari agar dapat meluangkan waktu untuk mengisi kuesioner penelitian ini. Informasi dan jawaban yang Bapak/Ibu/Saudara/i berikan akan dijaga kerahasiaannya dan tentunya informasi tersebut sangat berarti dalam penyelesaian tugas akhir saya. Dengan itu, diharapkan penelitian ini dapat memperoleh hasil yang bermanfaat untuk kedepannya. Akhir kata, saya ucapkan terima kasih atas waktu dan ketersediannya untuk mengisi kuesioner ini dengan sejujur-jujurnya.

Hormat saya,

(Kadek Indi Putri Utari)

A. Pertanyaan Filter

1. Apakah anda pernah datang ke Ja’an Bali Restoran minimal satu kali dalam 3 tahun terakhir?
 - a. Ya
 - b. Tidak
2. Apakah anda menikmati layanan dan fasilitas yang ditawarkan di Ja’an Bali Restoran? (seperti desain *layout* dan penampilan *live music*)

- a. Ya
 - b. Tidak
3. Apakah anda pernah membeli dan mengkonsumsi makanan dan minuman di Ja'an Bali Restoran secara *dine-in* minimal satu kali dalam 3 tahun terakhir?
- a. Ya
 - b. Tidak

B. Profil Responden

- 1. Jenis Kelamin:
 - a. Laki-laki
 - b. Perempuan
- 2. Usia:
 - a. 16 – 20 tahun
 - b. 21 – 25 tahun
 - c. 26 – 30 tahun
 - d. 31 – 35 tahun
 - e. 36 – 40 tahun
 - f. 41 – 56 tahun
- 3. Pekerjaan:
 - a. Pelajar
 - b. Mahasiswa
 - c. Pegawai Swasta
 - d. Pegawai Negeri
 - e. Wiraswasta

C. Kualitas Makanan

No	Pernyataan	Skala				
		STS	TS	N	S	SS
1	Ja'an Bali Restoran memiliki makanan dan minuman yang bernutrisi.					
2	Ja'an Bali Restoran menyediakan variasi					

	makanan dan minuman yang beraneka ragam.					
3	Ja'an Bali Restoran memiliki aroma makanan yang enak.					
4	Ja'an Bali Restoran memiliki rasa makanan dan minuman yang lezat.					
5	Makanan dan minuman yang tersedia di Ja'an Bali Restoran berbahan baku <i>fresh</i> dan baru.					
6	Tampilan visual makanan dan minuman di Ja'an Bali Restoran sangat menarik.					

D. Kualitas Layanan

No	Pernyataan	Skala				
		STS	TS	N	S	SS
1	Staff Ja'an Bali Restoran menyajikan makanan dan minuman dengan cepat.					
2	Staff Ja'an Bali Restoran siap melayani dengan sigap.					
3	Staff Ja'an Bali Restoran mencatat pesanan makanan dan minuman dengan sesuai.					
4	Staff Ja'an Bali Restoran membuat konsumen merasa nyaman.					

E. Kualitas Suasana (*Ambience Quality*)

No	Pernyataan	Skala				
		STS	TS	N	S	SS
1	Desain interior dan dekorasi Ja'an Bali Restoran sangat mengesankan.					
2	Latar musik yang di putar oleh Ja'an Bali Restoran membuat konsumen puas					
3	Ja'an Bali Restoran memiliki tempat yang bersih.					

4	Staff Ja'Bali Restoran berpakaian rapi dan layak.					
---	---	--	--	--	--	--

F. Kepuasan Pelanggan

No	Pernyataan	Skala				
		STS	TS	N	S	SS
1	Saya merasa saya telah memilih Ja'an Bali Restoran sebagai restoran yang tepat.					
2	Saya merasa Ja'an Bali Restoran berhasil memberikan penawaran yang baik sesuai dengan harga yang ditawarkan.					
3	Saya merasa puas dengan Ja'an Bali restoran.					
4	Ja'an Bali Restoran menyampaikan informasi pembayaran dengan pajak secara tepat.					

G. Loyalitas Pelanggan

No	Pernyataan	Skala				
		STS	TS	N	S	SS
1	Saya akan terus mengunjungi Ja'an Bali Restoran di masa mendatang.					
2	Saya akan merekomendasikan Ja'an Bali Restoran kepada orang lain.					
3	Saya akan mengonsumsi jenis makanan dan minuman yang disebutkan dalam menu Ja'an Bali Restoran.					
4	Bagi saya, Ja'an Bali Restoran merupakan pilihan alternatif restoran terbaik.					

LAMPIRAN 2
KUESIONER *ONLINE*

Bagian 1 dari 11

Pengaruh Kualitas Makanan, Kualitas Layanan, dan Kualitas Suasana (*Ambience Quality*) terhadap Kepuasan Pelanggan dan Loyalitas Pelanggan di Ja'an Bali Restoran

Responden Yth.

Dalam rangka untuk memenuhi persyaratan tugas akhir (skripsi), Saya **Kadek Indi Putri Utari NPM 190325083** selaku Mahasiswa Jurusan Manajemen Fakultas Bisnis dan Ekonomika Universitas Atma Jaya Yogyakarta, sedang melakukan penelitian berjudul "**Pengaruh Kualitas Makanan, Kualitas Layanan, dan Kualitas Suasana (*Ambience Quality*) terhadap Kepuasan Pelanggan dan Loyalitas Pelanggan di Ja'an Bali Restoran**".

Agar tercapainya tujuan penelitian ini, saya mohon kesediaan dari Bapak/Ibu/Saudara/i agar dapat meluangkan waktu untuk mengisi kuesioner penelitian ini. Informasi dan jawaban yang Bapak/Ibu/Saudara/i berikan akan dijaga kerahasiaannya dan tentunya informasi tersebut sangat berarti dalam penyelesaian tugas akhir saya. Dengan itu, diharapkan penelitian ini dapat memperoleh hasil yang bermanfaat untuk kedepannya. Akhir kata, saya ucapkan terima kasih atas waktu dan ketersediannya untuk mengisi kuesioner ini dengan sejujur-jujurnya.

Hormat Saya,

Kadek Indi Putri Utari

Bagian 2 dari 11

Pertanyaan Filter 1

Deskripsi (opsional)

⋮

Apakah anda pernah datang ke Ja'an Bali Restoran minimal satu kali dalam 3 tahun terakhir? *

Ya

Tidak

Bagian 3 dari 11

Pertanyaan Filter 2



Deskripsi (opsional)

Apakah anda menikmati layanan dan fasilitas yang ditawarkan di Ja'an Bali Restoran? (seperti desain *layout* dan penampilan *live music*) *

- Ya
- Tidak

Bagian 4 dari 11

Pertanyaan Filter 3



Deskripsi (opsional)

Apakah anda pernah membeli dan mengonsumsi makanan dan minuman di Ja'an Bali Restoran secara *dine-in* minimal satu kali dalam 3 tahun terakhir? *

- Ya
- Tidak

Bagian 5 dari 11

Profil Responden



Deskripsi (opsional)

Jenis Kelamin *

- Laki-laki
- Perempuan

Usia *

- 16 - 20 tahun
- 21 - 25 tahun
- 26 - 30 tahun
- 31 - 35 tahun
- 36 - 40 tahun
- 41 - 56 tahun

Pekerjaan *

- Pelajar
- Mahasiswa
- Pegawai Swasta
- Pegawai Negeri
- Wiraswasta
- Lainnya

Bagian 6 dari 11

Kualitas Makanan

Responden diminta untuk memilih pilihan dibawah ini sesuai dengan pendapat responden sendiri, pilihan dibawah ini memiliki bobot sebagai berikut:

- 1 poin : Sangat Tidak Setuju**
- 2 poin : Tidak Setuju**
- 3 poin : Netral**
- 4 poin : Setuju**
- 5 poin : Sangat Setuju**

Ja'an Bali Restoran memiliki makanan dan minuman yang bernutrisi. *

- | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | |
| Sangat Tidak Setuju | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | Sangat Setuju |

⋮

Ja'an Bali Restoran menyediakan variasi makanan dan minuman yang beraneka ragam. *

	1	2	3	4	5	
Sangat Tidak Setuju	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Sangat Setuju

Ja'an Bali Restoran memiliki aroma makanan yang enak. *

	1	2	3	4	5	
Sangat Tidak Setuju	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Sangat Setuju

Ja'an Bali Restoran memiliki rasa makanan dan minuman yang lezat. *

	1	2	3	4	5	
Sangat Tidak Setuju	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Sangat Setuju

Makanan dan minuman yang tersedia di Ja'an Bali Restoran berbahan baku *fresh* dan baru. *

	1	2	3	4	5	
Sangat Tidak Setuju	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Sangat Setuju

⋮

Tampilan visual makanan dan minuman di Ja'an Bali Restoran sangat menarik. *

	1	2	3	4	5	
Sangat Tidak Setuju	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Sangat Setuju

Kualitas Layanan



Responden diminta untuk memilih pilihan dibawah ini sesuai dengan pendapat responden sendiri, pilihan dibawah ini memiliki bobot sebagai berikut:

- 1 poin : Sangat Tidak Setuju
- 2 poin : Tidak Setuju
- 3 poin : Netral
- 4 poin : Setuju
- 5 poin : Sangat Setuju

Staff Ja'an Bali Restoran menyajikan makanan dan minuman dengan cepat. *

	1	2	3	4	5	
Sangat Tidak Setuju	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Sangat Setuju

Staff Ja'an Bali Restoran siap melayani dengan sigap. *

	1	2	3	4	5	
Sangat Tidak Setuju	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Sangat Setuju

Staff Ja'an Bali Restoran mencatat pesanan makanan dan minuman dengan sesuai. *

	1	2	3	4	5	
Sangat Tidak Setuju	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Sangat Setuju

Staff Ja'an Bali Restoran membuat konsumen merasa nyaman. *

	1	2	3	4	5	
Sangat Tidak Setuju	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Sangat Setuju

Kualitas Suasana (*Ambience Quality*)



Responden diminta untuk memilih pilihan dibawah ini sesuai dengan pendapat responden sendiri, pilihan dibawah ini memiliki bobot sebagai berikut:

- 1 poin : Sangat Tidak Setuju
- 2 poin : Tidak Setuju
- 3 poin : Netral
- 4 poin : Setuju
- 5 poin : Sangat Setuju

Desain interior dan dekorasi Ja'an Bali Restoran sangat mengesankan.*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Sangat Tidak Setuju	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Sangat Setuju

Latar musik yang di putar oleh Ja'an Bali Restoran membuat konsumen puas.*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Sangat Tidak Setuju	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Sangat Setuju

Ja'an Bali Restoran memiliki tempat yang bersih.*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Sangat Tidak Setuju	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Sangat Setuju

Staff Ja'Bali Restoran berpakaian rapi dan layak.*

	1	2	3	4	5	
Sangat Tidak Setuju	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Sangat Setuju

Kepuasan Pelanggan



Responden diminta untuk memilih pilihan dibawah ini sesuai dengan pendapat responden sendiri, pilihan dibawah ini memiliki bobot sebagai berikut:

- 1 poin : Sangat Tidak Setuju
- 2 poin : Tidak Setuju
- 3 poin : Netral
- 4 poin : Setuju
- 5 poin : Sangat Setuju

Saya merasa saya telah memilih Ja'an Bali Restoran sebagai restoran yang tepat.

1 2 3 4 5

Sangat Tidak Setuju Sangat Setuju

Saya merasa Ja'an Bali Restoran berhasil memberikan penawaran yang baik sesuai dengan harga yang ditawarkan. *

1 2 3 4 5

Sangat Tidak Setuju Sangat Setuju

Saya merasa puas dengan Ja'an Bali restoran. *

1 2 3 4 5

Sangat Tidak Setuju Sangat Setuju

Ja'an Bali Restoran menyampaikan informasi pembayaran dengan pajak secara tepat. *

1 2 3 4 5

Sangat Tidak Setuju Sangat Setuju

Bagian 10 dari 11

Loyalitas Pelanggan

Responden diminta untuk memilih pilihan dibawah ini sesuai dengan pendapat responden sendiri, pilihan dibawah ini memiliki bobot sebagai berikut:

- 1 poin : Sangat Tidak Setuju
- 2 poin : Tidak Setuju
- 3 poin : Netral
- 4 poin : Setuju
- 5 poin : Sangat Setuju

Saya akan terus mengunjungi Ja'an Bali Restoran di masa mendatang. *

	1	2	3	4	5	
Sangat Tidak Setuju	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Sangat Setuju

Saya akan merekomendasikan Ja'an Bali Restoran kepada orang lain. *

	1	2	3	4	5	
Sangat Tidak Setuju	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Sangat Setuju

Saya akan mengonsumsi jenis makanan dan minuman yang disebutkan dalam menu Ja'an Bali Restoran. *

	1	2	3	4	5	
Sangat Tidak Setuju	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Sangat Setuju

Bagi saya, Ja'an Bali Restoran merupakan pilihan alternatif restoran terbaik. *

	1	2	3	4	5	
Sangat Tidak Setuju	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Sangat Setuju

Bagian 11 dari 11

Pengaruh Kualitas Makanan, Kualitas Layanan, dan Kualitas Suasana (*Ambience Quality*) terhadap Kepuasan Pelanggan dan Loyalitas Pelanggan di Ja'an Bali Restoran

Terima Kasih atas waktu dan ketersediannya untuk mengisi kuesioner ini 🙏

LAMPIRAN 3

HASIL KUESIONER *ONLINE* (GOOGLE FORM)

Timestamp	Apakah anda pernah datang ke Ja'an Bali Restoran minimal satu kali dalam 3 tahun terakhir?	Apakah anda menikmati layanan dan fasilitas yang ditawarkan di Ja'an Bali Restoran? (seperti desain layout dan penampilan live music)	Apakah anda pernah membeli dan mengkonsumsi makanan dan minuman di Ja'an Bali Restoran secara dine-in minimal satu kali dalam 3 tahun terakhir?	Jenis Kelamin	Usia	Pekerjaan
5/4/2023 19.30.37	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/4/2023 19.31.52	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/4/2023 19.36.35	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/4/2023 19.38.15	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/4/2023 19.40.52	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/4/2023 19.48.02	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21 - 25 tahun	Pelajar
5/4/2023 19.49.30	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/4/2023 19.54.10	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/4/2023 20.05.44	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Pegawai Swasta

5/4/2023 20.18.54	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/4/2023 23.22.28	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Pegawai Swasta
5/5/2023 0.45.16	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/5/2023 15.40.31	Tidak					
5/5/2023 15.43.26	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Pegawai Swasta
5/5/2023 23.02.47	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	16 - 20 tahun	Pelajar
5/6/2023 14.41.54	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	26 - 30 tahun	Pegawai Negeri
5/6/2023 14.42.35	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	26 - 30 tahun	Pegawai Swasta
5/6/2023 14.43.13	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	31 - 35 tahun	Pegawai Swasta
5/6/2023 15.13.03	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	26 - 30 tahun	Pegawai Swasta
5/6/2023 16.25.48	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	26 - 30 tahun	Lainnya
5/6/2023 16.33.11	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	31 - 35 tahun	Pegawai Negeri
5/8/2023 9.54.30	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/8/2023 10.50.16	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	16 - 20 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/8/2023 10.59.53	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	16 - 20 tahun	Mahasiswa

5/8/2023 13.01.52	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	16 - 20 tahun	Pelajar
5/8/2023 13.07.38	Tidak					
5/8/2023 14.57.39	Ya	Tidak				
5/8/2023 14.58.24	Tidak					
5/8/2023 15.05.54	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21 - 25 tahun	Lainnya
5/8/2023 15.06.25	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	16 - 20 tahun	Pelajar
5/8/2023 15.06.53	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/8/2023 16.22.41	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/8/2023 16.44.42	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/8/2023 19.37.34	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/8/2023 22.04.40	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/8/2023 23.48.54	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/8/2023 23.49.03	Tidak					
5/8/2023 23.50.04	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	26 - 30 tahun	Wiraswasta
5/8/2023 23.50.47	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	26 - 30 tahun	Pegawai Swasta

5/9/2023 10.36.43	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/10/2023 14.56.46	Tidak					
5/11/2023 11.25.21	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21 - 25 tahun	Pegawai Swasta
5/11/2023 13.28.08	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/11/2023 13.52.59	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/11/2023 14.01.06	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	16 - 20 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/11/2023 14.04.13	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/11/2023 14.07.44	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/11/2023 14.09.11	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/11/2023 14.10.05	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/11/2023 14.14.23	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/11/2023 14.14.33	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/11/2023 14.14.35	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/11/2023 14.15.40	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/11/2023 14.16.25	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa

5/11/2023 14.17.47	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/11/2023 14.22.28	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	16 - 20 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/11/2023 14.22.34	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/11/2023 14.23.09	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/11/2023 14.28.17	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/11/2023 14.32.52	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/11/2023 14.33.38	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/11/2023 14.51.20	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/11/2023 14.52.59	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/11/2023 14.56.20	Tidak					
5/11/2023 14.58.35	Ya	Ya	Tidak			
5/11/2023 15.00.23	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	31 - 35 tahun	Pegawai Swasta
5/11/2023 15.00.52	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Wiraswasta
5/11/2023 15.01.46	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	16 - 20 tahun	Pelajar
5/11/2023 15.01.49	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa

5/11/2023 15.01.58	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	41 - 56 tahun	Wiraswasta
5/11/2023 15.02.50	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	26 - 30 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/11/2023 15.03.16	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	16 - 20 tahun	Pelajar
5/11/2023 15.04.24	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21 - 25 tahun	Pegawai Swasta
5/11/2023 15.04.33	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21 - 25 tahun	Pegawai Swasta
5/11/2023 15.04.35	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	26 - 30 tahun	Pegawai Negeri
5/11/2023 15.04.49	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/11/2023 15.06.31	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/11/2023 15.06.48	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	26 - 30 tahun	Wiraswasta
5/11/2023 16.34.18	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/11/2023 16.35.24	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/11/2023 16.38.10	Tidak					
5/11/2023 16.38.59	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Lainnya
5/11/2023 18.53.58	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/11/2023 19.00.43	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa

5/11/2023 19.37.20	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	16 - 20 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/11/2023 19.37.30	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	16 - 20 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/11/2023 19.37.49	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Pegawai Swasta
5/11/2023 19.39.39	Tidak					
5/11/2023 19.41.21	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	16 - 20 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/11/2023 19.41.47	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/11/2023 19.42.07	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	16 - 20 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/11/2023 19.42.58	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	16 - 20 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/11/2023 19.43.16	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/11/2023 19.44.03	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	16 - 20 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/11/2023 19.54.33	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Pegawai Swasta
5/11/2023 19.58.32	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/11/2023 21.24.07	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/11/2023 21.26.07	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21 - 25 tahun	Pegawai Negeri
5/11/2023 21.31.44	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Pegawai Swasta

5/11/2023 21.53.08	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Pegawai Swasta
5/11/2023 21.59.09	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21 - 25 tahun	Pegawai Swasta
5/11/2023 22.18.48	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/11/2023 22.20.28	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Pegawai Negeri
5/11/2023 22.49.53	Tidak					
5/12/2023 9.39.41	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	16 - 20 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/12/2023 9.51.07	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/12/2023 13.19.35	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/12/2023 13.51.36	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/12/2023 14.49.34	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/12/2023 21.44.17	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/12/2023 23.40.14	Ya	Ya	Tidak			
5/13/2023 0.10.10	Tidak					
5/13/2023 12.19.09	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/13/2023 12.22.50	Ya	Tidak				

5/13/2023 12.28.10	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/13/2023 12.41.45	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21 - 25 tahun	Pegawai Swasta
5/13/2023 12.50.07	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21 - 25 tahun	Lainnya
5/13/2023 13.02.03	Tidak					
5/13/2023 13.03.29	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	16 - 20 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/13/2023 13.06.38	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/13/2023 13.08.49	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	16 - 20 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/13/2023 13.18.01	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/13/2023 13.21.46	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/13/2023 13.25.35	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/13/2023 13.37.24	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	16 - 20 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/13/2023 13.45.54	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21 - 25 tahun	Pegawai Swasta
5/13/2023 14.19.01	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Lainnya
5/13/2023 14.57.32	Ya	Tidak				
5/13/2023 14.58.03	Ya	Tidak				

5/13/2023 15.11.42	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/13/2023 18.39.10	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21 - 25 tahun	Lainnya
5/13/2023 19.49.28	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	16 - 20 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/13/2023 22.46.54	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/14/2023 0.16.44	Tidak					
5/14/2023 11.13.22	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	16 - 20 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/14/2023 11.14.14	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	16 - 20 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/14/2023 11.14.28	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/14/2023 11.14.46	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	16 - 20 tahun	Pelajar
5/14/2023 11.15.06	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/14/2023 11.15.11	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	26 - 30 tahun	Lainnya
5/14/2023 11.17.30	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	16 - 20 tahun	Pelajar
5/14/2023 11.17.54	Tidak					
5/14/2023 11.18.12	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	16 - 20 tahun	Pelajar
5/14/2023 11.18.15	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	16 - 20 tahun	Pelajar

5/14/2023 11.18.40	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	16 - 20 tahun	Pelajar
5/14/2023 11.19.44	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	16 - 20 tahun	Pelajar
5/14/2023 11.20.30	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	16 - 20 tahun	Pelajar
5/14/2023 11.20.35	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Pegawai Swasta
5/14/2023 11.20.38	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	16 - 20 tahun	Pelajar
5/14/2023 11.26.16	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/14/2023 14.57.25	Ya	Tidak				
5/16/2023 13.36.20	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/18/2023 1.54.21	Ya	Ya	Tidak			
5/18/2023 1.56.02	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	31 - 35 tahun	Pegawai Swasta
5/18/2023 2.06.48	Tidak					
5/18/2023 8.50.55	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/18/2023 8.51.41	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	16 - 20 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/18/2023 10.40.13	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/18/2023 14.19.32	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	16 - 20 tahun	Pelajar

5/18/2023 14.19.53	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	16 - 20 tahun	Pelajar
5/18/2023 14.20.40	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	16 - 20 tahun	Pelajar
5/18/2023 14.20.45	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	16 - 20 tahun	Pelajar
5/18/2023 14.21.33	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	16 - 20 tahun	Pelajar
5/18/2023 14.23.22	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	16 - 20 tahun	Pelajar
5/18/2023 14.24.47	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	16 - 20 tahun	Pelajar
5/18/2023 14.25.26	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	16 - 20 tahun	Pelajar
5/18/2023 14.30.36	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	16 - 20 tahun	Pelajar
5/18/2023 14.31.46	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	16 - 20 tahun	Pelajar
5/18/2023 14.32.52	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	16 - 20 tahun	Pelajar
5/18/2023 14.56.40	Tidak					
5/18/2023 16.25.50	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	16 - 20 tahun	Pelajar
5/18/2023 17.33.37	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	16 - 20 tahun	Pelajar
5/18/2023 17.36.05	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	16 - 20 tahun	Pelajar
5/19/2023 0.55.46	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa

5/19/2023 14.58.24	Ya	Ya	Tidak			
5/20/2023 14.56.21	Tidak					
5/21/2023 15.10.57	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21 - 25 tahun	Lainnya
5/21/2023 15.12.03	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/22/2023 14.58.42	Ya	Ya	Tidak			
5/22/2023 21.01.44	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/22/2023 21.18.00	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/22/2023 21.26.07	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/22/2023 21.35.36	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/22/2023 21.39.12	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Pegawai Swasta
5/22/2023 21.42.09	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Lainnya
5/22/2023 21.53.29	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	26 - 30 tahun	Pegawai Swasta
5/22/2023 22.21.29	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/22/2023 22.24.01	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	16 - 20 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/22/2023 22.43.48	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa

5/22/2023 22.47.20	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Wiraswasta
5/22/2023 22.57.49	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/22/2023 23.09.58	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/22/2023 23.47.37	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	16 - 20 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/23/2023 0.28.00	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/23/2023 11.40.48	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	26 - 30 tahun	Wiraswasta
5/23/2023 11.47.13	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	16 - 20 tahun	Pelajar
5/23/2023 12.22.16	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	16 - 20 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/23/2023 12.35.22	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/23/2023 12.37.37	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/23/2023 12.50.20	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/23/2023 13.25.46	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21 - 25 tahun	Pegawai Swasta
5/23/2023 13.49.43	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/23/2023 13.53.25	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/23/2023 14.07.50	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa

5/23/2023 14.37.16	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	16 - 20 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/23/2023 14.39.02	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/23/2023 14.46.26	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/23/2023 14.59.06	Ya	Ya	Tidak			
5/23/2023 15.18.43	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	16 - 20 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/23/2023 15.50.16	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/23/2023 16.17.53	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/23/2023 17.27.15	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	16 - 20 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/23/2023 17.38.36	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21 - 25 tahun	Pegawai Swasta
5/23/2023 19.30.51	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/23/2023 19.44.30	Ya	Ya	Ya	Perempuan	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/23/2023 20.04.30	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa
5/24/2023 0.30.39	Tidak					
5/24/2023 12.18.15	Ya	Ya	Ya	Laki-laki	21 - 25 tahun	Mahasiswa

Kualitas Makanan						Kualitas Layanan				Kualitas Suasana				Kepuasan Pelanggan				Loyalitas Pelanggan				
KM 1	KM 2	KM 3	KM 4	KM 5	KM 6	KL 1	KL 2	KL 3	KL 4	KS 1	KS 2	KS 3	KS 4	KP 1	KP 2	KP 3	KP 4	LP 1	LP 2	LP 3	LP 4	
4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
4	4	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
4	5	5	5	4	5	4	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	4	4	5	4	4	5	5	
5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
4	5	4	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	5	3	3	4	5	3	4	4	3	
3	4	4	4	5	5	5	4	4	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	
3	5	5	4	3	3	3	3	4	4	4	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	3	5	3	3	
4	4	4	4	4	5	5	4	3	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	5	5	4	
5	5	5	5	4	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4
3	4	3	3	4	5	3	3	4	4	4	5	5	4	3	3	3	3	3	4	3	4	
5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	
4	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
4	5	5	4	5	5	3	3	5	4	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	
4	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	
4	5	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	
4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	
4	4	4	3	4	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	
4	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	
4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	
5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	
3	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	

4	3	4	5	4	4	5	5	4	5	4	4	3	5	4	4	5	5	5	4	5	5
5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
2	5	5	5	5	4	2	4	5	4	4	5	4	4	2	4	4	5	4	5	5	4
3	4	4	4	4	5	3	4	5	5	4	5	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	3	4
4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
2	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	5
4	4	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	4	5	5	5
5	5	5	5	4	4	4	5	5	4	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	4	5	5	4	5
5	5	5	5	4	4	5	4	5	5	4	5	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	5
5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
4	4	3	4	4	4	3	4	4	4	3	4	4	5	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
3	4	3	4	4	3	4	3	3	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	1	3	5	4
5	5	5	5	5	5	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
4	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	5	4	4	4	3	4	4	3	4
4	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	3	5	5	5	5
4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
5	5	5	4	3	4	4	5	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	5	4	4
4	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	5	4	4	5	4	5	4	4	5	5	5	4	5	5
4	4	3	5	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	3	5	4	4	4	4	4	4
5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
4	5	5	5	4	5	3	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4

4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	2	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	4	4
4	4	4	4	4	4	3	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
3	4	3	3	3	4	3	3	4	3	3	3	3	3	2	3	3	3	1	3	4	2
3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	4	3	3	4	3	3	3	3	3
3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
1	5	1	2	5	5	5	5	1	2	1	2	1	5	2	4	4	2	2	1	2	1
4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	5	5	4	4	4	4	5	5
4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
4	5	4	4	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	4	4	5	4	5	4	4	5	5	5
2	1	2	1	2	5	1	2	1	5	2	2	1	2	4	2	2	1	1	1	2	2
4	4	4	4	5	4	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	5	4	5	4	4	5	4	5
2	2	2	2	2	2	5	5	1	2	2	1	2	1	1	2	1	1	2	2	2	2
4	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	5	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4
4	4	4	4	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	4	5	4	5
4	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	4	4	3	4	4	5	4	5	5	5	5	4	5	4
1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	4	4	2	2	5	2	5	5	4	2	2
4	4	4	5	4	5	5	3	5	4	5	5	5	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	5
4	4	5	4	4	4	4	5	4	5	5	4	5	4	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	5
3	4	4	4	3	4	4	4	4	5	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
4	5	4	4	4	5	4	4	5	4	5	4	4	5	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4
5	4	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	4	5
3	4	4	4	5	4	4	3	4	4	4	5	4	3	5	5	4	4	5	4	4	3
3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4


3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5
4	5	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	5	5	5
5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
1	5	4	4	4	3	4	4	4	4	3	5	4	5	3	3	3	3	1	4	5	5
5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	4	5	5	5
4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
5	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5
4	4	5	4	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	4	5	4	5	5	4	5	5	5	4	4
4	5	4	3	4	5	4	4	3	5	4	5	3	5	4	4	4	5	3	4	5	5
4	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
4	5	5	4	4	5	4	4	4	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	5	4	4
4	4	5	4	5	4	4	3	4	5	4	4	5	3	4	4	5	5	4	5	5	3
4	4	5	5	4	5	5	4	5	5	4	5	4	5	4	4	5	4	4	5	5	4
4	4	4	4	3	4	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	3	4	4	4	4
4	5	5	4	4	2	4	4	4	5	5	3	4	4	4	4	5	4	5	5	5	4
4	3	5	4	5	3	3	4	3	5	5	4	4	3	3	4	3	5	4	4	5	3
5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
4	4	4	4	4	4	3	5	5	5	5	3	5	5	5	4	5	5	4	4	4	5
3	4	4	4	5	5	5	4	5	4	5	5	4	5	4	4	5	3	5	5	4	4
5	5	4	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	4	4
4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
3	4	5	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	3	5	5	5	4	2	4	4	4	3	2	4

4	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	4	5	4	5	5	4	4
3	5	2	4	3	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	4	5	5	4	4	5	4
3	4	4	3	4	4	3	3	4	4	3	5	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	4	4	3
4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
4	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	4	4	4	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	4
5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
4	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	5	4	4	5	5	5	4	3	5	4	4	5	4	4
4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	4	4	4	5
5	4	5	5	4	5	5	4	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	4	5	4	5	5	5	5
3	5	4	4	3	3	4	4	5	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3
4	3	4	5	4	5	3	3	4	4	5	5	4	5	5	4	5	3	5	5	3	3
3	3	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	2	2	2	2	2
5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
4	4	3	4	4	3	3	4	3	3	4	3	3	4	3	4	4	3	3	4	3	4
5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
4	5	4	4	4	4	3	4	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	4	4	5
5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	5	4	5	5	4	5	5	4	4
4	4	5	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	4
5	5	5	5	4	5	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	5
2	2	1	4	2	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4

5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
4	4	4	5	4	5	4	3	4	4	4	5	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	4
4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
4	5	5	4	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	4	4	5	4	5	5	5	4	5	4	5
4	5	5	4	5	4	4	4	5	4	4	5	4	4	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	4
5	4	4	5	4	5	5	5	4	5	4	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	4	4	4	5
4	4	4	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	4	4	5	5	4	5	4	4
3	4	3	4	3	2	3	2	3	4	2	3	3	3	3	3	4	4	3	4	3	2
4	3	5	5	3	4	5	4	4	3	4	3	3	5	4	4	4	3	5	5	5	5
5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	5	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	4	4	4
5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
4	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	4	4	4	5	5	5	4	4	5	4	5	5	5
4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
5	5	4	4	4	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	4	5	5	5	5
5	4	5	4	5	4	4	5	4	5	5	4	5	4	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	5
4	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5
5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
5	5	4	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5
4	4	5	4	4	4	5	4	4	5	5	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5

4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
4	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	4	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
4	5	4	4	5	5	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	4	5	5	5	5	4
5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4
4	5	4	4	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
4	5	4	5	4	5	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
4	4	5	4	4	4	3	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	4	4
5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	4	5	4	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	4	4	5
3	4	3	4	3	4	4	4	4	3	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	3	3	3	3
4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
4	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	4	5	3	4	4	5	5	5	5	3	5	5	5	5
5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
4	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	5	4	5
3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	3	3	2
4	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
3	4	2	4	4	4	3	3	5	4	3	3	4	4	3	4	4	3	3	3	4	3
5	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	4	4	5	4	5
5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
4	4	5	5	4	4	4	4	5	5	4	5	5	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	5
4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
4	5	4	5	4	5	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	4
4	4	5	5	4	4	5	5	4	4	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	5

4	4	4	3	3	4	4	3	4	3	5	4	4	5	4	3	4	5	3	3	4	3
4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
4	5	4	4	5	5	4	5	5	5	4	4	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
4	4	4	4	5	5	5	4	4	5	4	5	4	5	5	5	4	5	4	5	4	5
3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	2	4	4	4
4	5	4	5	5	5	4	3	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	4
3	5	4	4	3	4	4	5	5	1	1	2	5	5	4	4	3	4	3	4	3	2
4	5	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
3	3	3	3	4	3	4	4	4	5	3	3	3	5	3	3	4	5	4	4	3	3
4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
5	5	4	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
4	5	4	5	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	4	4	5	5	4	5	4	5	4	5	5
3	4	4	4	4	5	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	4	4	3	4	4	4
4	4	5	5	4	5	4	4	5	5	4	4	5	4	4	4	5	5	4	5	4	4



LAMPIRAN 4

HASIL OLAH DATA SMART-PLS 4.0

Nilai Min, Max, Mean, Standard Deviation

RAW DATA KUESIONER

22 Indicators with 190 cases and 0 missing values Zoom (62%) Copy to Excel

Name	No.	Type	Missings	Mean	Median	Scale min	Scale max	Observed min	Observed max	Standard deviation	Excess kurtosis	Skewness	Cramér-von Mises p value
KM 1	1	MET	0	4.016	4.000	1.000	5.000	1.000	5.000	0.817	1.908	-1.020	0.000
KM 2	2	MET	0	4.379	4.000	1.000	5.000	1.000	5.000	0.713	4.646	-1.582	0.000
KM 3	3	MET	0	4.258	4.000	1.000	5.000	1.000	5.000	0.816	3.337	-1.507	0.000
KM 4	4	MET	0	4.305	4.000	1.000	5.000	1.000	5.000	0.727	4.105	-1.456	0.000
KM 5	5	MET	0	4.274	4.000	1.000	5.000	1.000	5.000	0.760	2.973	-1.305	0.000
KM 6	6	MET	0	4.389	5.000	1.000	5.000	1.000	5.000	0.751	3.986	-1.808	0.000
KL 1	7	MET	0	4.137	4.000	1.000	5.000	1.000	5.000	0.769	2.897	-1.150	0.000
KL 2	8	MET	0	4.242	4.000	1.000	5.000	1.000	5.000	0.729	1.814	-0.987	0.000
KL 3	9	MET	0	4.321	4.000	1.000	5.000	1.000	5.000	0.793	5.909	-1.920	0.000
KL 4	10	MET	0	4.353	4.000	1.000	5.000	1.000	5.000	0.745	5.393	-1.757	0.000
KS 1	11	MET	0	4.263	4.000	1.000	5.000	1.000	5.000	0.830	3.704	-1.585	0.000
KS 2	12	MET	0	4.353	4.000	1.000	5.000	1.000	5.000	0.752	3.695	-1.510	0.000
KS 3	13	MET	0	4.321	4.000	1.000	5.000	1.000	5.000	0.766	4.425	-1.614	0.000
KS 4	14	MET	0	4.442	5.000	1.000	5.000	1.000	5.000	0.707	5.420	-1.778	0.000
KP 1	15	MET	0	4.247	4.000	1.000	5.000	1.000	5.000	0.752	2.020	-1.118	0.000
KP 2	16	MET	0	4.274	4.000	1.000	5.000	1.000	5.000	0.703	2.508	-1.085	0.000
KP 3	17	MET	0	4.368	4.000	1.000	5.000	1.000	5.000	0.712	4.626	-1.558	0.000
KP 4	18	MET	0	4.295	4.000	1.000	5.000	1.000	5.000	0.773	2.498	-1.255	0.000
LP 1	19	MET	0	4.195	4.000	1.000	5.000	1.000	5.000	0.852	3.060	-1.468	0.000
LP 2	20	MET	0	4.384	4.000	1.000	5.000	1.000	5.000	0.729	4.204	-1.563	0.000
LP 3	21	MET	0	4.274	4.000	2.000	5.000	2.000	5.000	0.739	0.980	-0.961	0.000
LP 4	22	MET	0	4.288	4.000	1.000	5.000	1.000	5.000	0.819	1.641	-1.230	0.000

Nilai Outer Loadings

JAAAN BALI - PLS results

RAW DATA KUESIONER

Outer loadings - Matrix Zoom (97%) Copy to Excel Copy to R

	Kepuasan Pelanggan	Kualitas Layanan	Kualitas Makanan	Kualitas Suasana	Loyalitas Pelanggan
KL 1		0.762			
KL 2		0.827			
KL 3		0.830			
KL 4		0.784			
KM 2			0.796		
KM 3			0.830		
KM 4			0.851		
KM 5			0.839		
KM 6			0.745		
KP 1	0.848				
KP 2	0.863				
KP 3	0.883				
KP 4	0.801				
KS 1				0.831	
KS 2				0.852	
KS 3				0.853	
KS 4				0.784	
LP 1					0.845
LP 2					0.898
LP 3					0.852
LP 4					0.877
KM 1			0.775		

Nilai Construct Reliability and Validity

JAAN BALI - PLS results
RAW DATA KUESIONER

Construct reliability and validity - Overview Zoom (82%) Copy to Excel Copy to R

	Cronbach's alpha	Composite reliability (rho_a)	Composite reliability (rho_c)	Average variance extracted (AVE)
Kepuasan Pelanggan	0.871	0.874	0.912	0.722
Kualitas Layanan	0.814	0.819	0.877	0.642
Kualitas Makanan	0.892	0.894	0.918	0.651
Kualitas Suasana	0.850	0.850	0.899	0.690
Loyalitas Pelanggan	0.891	0.892	0.925	0.754

Graphical
 Graphical output
 Final results
 Path coefficients
 Indirect effects
 Total effects

Nilai Discriminant Validity - Fornell Larcker

JAAN BALI - PLS results
RAW DATA KUESIONER

Discriminant validity - Fornell-Larcker criterion Zoom (82%) Copy to Excel Copy to R

	Kepuasan Pelanggan	Kualitas Layanan	Kualitas Makanan	Kualitas Suasana	Loyalitas Pelanggan
Kepuasan Pelanggan	0.849				
Kualitas Layanan	0.766	0.801			
Kualitas Makanan	0.804	0.713	0.807		
Kualitas Suasana	0.819	0.728	0.786	0.831	
Loyalitas Pelanggan	0.833	0.774	0.790	0.827	0.868

Graphical
 Graphical output
 Final results
 Path coefficients
 Indirect effects
 Total effects

Nilai Discriminant Validity – Cross Loadings

JAAN BALI - PLS results
RAW DATA KUESIONER

Discriminant validity - Cross loadings Zoom (92%) Copy to Excel Copy to R

	Kepuasan Pelanggan	Kualitas Layanan	Kualitas Makanan	Kualitas Suasana	Loyalitas Pelanggan
KL 1	0.554	0.762	0.615	0.552	0.580
KL 2	0.591	0.827	0.632	0.584	0.600
KL 3	0.681	0.830	0.755	0.777	0.667
KL 4	0.619	0.784	0.638	0.670	0.625
KM 2	0.603	0.644	0.796	0.633	0.544
KM 3	0.656	0.651	0.830	0.682	0.704
KM 4	0.693	0.741	0.851	0.731	0.734
KM 5	0.705	0.707	0.839	0.688	0.635
KM 6	0.630	0.626	0.745	0.680	0.529
KP 1	0.848	0.660	0.721	0.737	0.729
KP 2	0.863	0.597	0.663	0.650	0.717
KP 3	0.883	0.744	0.777	0.764	0.756
KP 4	0.801	0.592	0.612	0.673	0.695
KS 1	0.695	0.703	0.737	0.831	0.739
KS 2	0.691	0.624	0.665	0.852	0.711
KS 3	0.702	0.667	0.670	0.853	0.697
KS 4	0.678	0.707	0.699	0.784	0.598
LP 1	0.757	0.624	0.646	0.680	0.845
LP 2	0.757	0.656	0.697	0.748	0.898
LP 3	0.712	0.674	0.687	0.692	0.852
LP 4	0.735	0.735	0.716	0.751	0.877
KM 1	0.670	0.630	0.775	0.620	0.664

Final results
 Path coefficients
 Indirect effects
 Total effects
 Outer loadings
 Matrix
 List
 Outer weights
 Latent variables
 Residuals
 Quality criteria
 R-square
 f-square
 Construct reliability and validity
 Overview
 Cronbach's alpha - Beta
 Composite reliability (rho_a)
 Composite reliability (rho_c)
 Average variance extracted (AVE)
 Discriminant validity
 Heterotrait-monotrait (HTMT)
 Heterotrait-monotrait (HTMT)
 Heterotrait-monotrait (HTMT)
 Fornell-Larcker criterion
 Cross loadings

Nilai *R-Square*

JAAN BALI - PLS results

RAW DATA KUESIONER

- Outer weights
- Latent variables
- Residuals
- Quality criteria
 - R-square
 - Overview
 - R-square - Bar chart
 - R-square adjusted - B

R-square - Overview

Zoom (92%) Copy to Excel

	R-square	R-square adjusted
Kepuasan Pelanggan	0.748	0.744
Loyalitas Pelanggan	0.728	0.726

Nilai *Q-Square*

JAAN BALI - PLSpredict / CVPAT results

RAW DATA KUESIONER

- Final results
 - MV prediction summary
 - Overview
 - PLS-SEM prediction e
 - PLS-SEM predictions
 - LV prediction summary
 - PLS-SEM

LV prediction summary - PLS-SEM

	Q ² predict	RMSE	MAE
Kepuasan Pelanggan	0.714	0.548	0.371
Loyalitas Pelanggan	0.692	0.568	0.397

Nilai Path Coefficients

JAAN BALI - BT results

RAW DATA KUESIONER

- Graphical
 - Graphical output
- Final results
 - Path coefficients
 - Mean, STDEV, T values
 - Confidence intervals
 - Confidence intervals bia
 - Samples

Path coefficients - Mean, STDEV, T values, p values


Zoom (77%) Copy to Excel Copy to R

	Original sample (O)	Sample mean (M)	Standard deviation (STDEV)	T statistics (O/STDEV)	P values
Kepuasan Pelanggan -> Loyalitas Pelanggan	0.853	0.854	0.026	32.499	0.000
Kualitas Layanan -> Kepuasan Pelanggan	0.106	0.121	0.104	1.013	0.311
Kualitas Makanan -> Kepuasan Pelanggan	0.358	0.372	0.111	3.241	0.001
Kualitas Suasana -> Kepuasan Pelanggan	0.448	0.421	0.141	3.188	0.001


LAMPIRAN 5

STRUKTUR KERANGKA SMART-PLS 4.0


Struktur Awal Penelitian



Struktur Calculation PLS Algorithm



Struktur Calculation PLS Bootstrapping



LAMPIRAN 6

JURNAL ACUAN

Uluslararası Sosyal Bilimlerde Yenilikçi Yaklaşımlar Dergisi
International Journal of Innovative Approaches in Social Sciences 2020, Vol. 4 (3), 54-68
<https://doi.org/10.29329/ijiasos.2020.278.1>
Copyright © 2020. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND



Original article

Effects of Coffee Shops' Food Quality, Service Quality and Ambience Quality on Customer Satisfaction and Loyalty in Batman, Turkey **Kafeteryaların Yemek Kalitesi, Hizmet Kalitesi ve Ortam Kalitesinin Müşteri Memnuniyeti ve Bağlılığına Etkileri (Batman)**

Feridun Duman *

Department of Tourism Management and Hospitality, Faculty of Tourism, Batman University, Batman, Turkey

Abstract

Customer satisfaction covers both tangible and intangible elements of product offerings. In these product offerings, perceived service quality and value are found to be significant in customer satisfaction in service firms e.g. coffee shops, restaurants and hotels as being service providers. Therefore, the overall aim of this study was to specifically investigate the effects of food quality, service quality and ambience quality on customer satisfaction and loyalty in a chain coffee shop. With this main aim of the study, a questionnaire survey was used to collect data from the customers of a chain coffee shop in Batman, Turkey. The questionnaire consisted of two main parts. The first part required the demographic information about the coffee shop customers. The second part of the questionnaire had the questions about the qualities of food, service and ambience. A seven-point Likert scale with items comprising the survey questions was presented to the coffee shop customers, where 1 represented "strongly disagree" and 7 represented "strongly agree". With this questionnaire, the study survey produced 404 questionnaires from the sample from March 15 2019 to May 15 2019. For the data analysis, the reliability of the study was first tested. Then, the exploratory factor analysis and regression analysis were applied to find out the effects of food quality, service quality and ambience quality on customer satisfaction and loyalty. The findings presented that food quality ($p: .000$ and $\beta: .383$), service quality ($p: .000$ and $\beta: .240$) and ambience quality ($p: .000$ and $\beta: .215$) had a significant and positive effect on customer satisfaction of the coffee shop customers. However, customer satisfaction did not create any loyalty on the coffee shop customers.

Keywords: Food quality, service quality, ambience quality, customer satisfaction and loyalty, coffee shops, Batman, Turkey.

Özet

Müşteri memnuniyeti, ürünlerin hem somut hem de soyut unsurlarını kapsar. Hizmet işletmeleri, özellikle kafeteryalar, restoranlar ve otel işletmeleri, ürün sunumlarında algılanan hizmet kalitesi müşteri memnuniyetini etkilemektedir. Bu nedenle, bu çalışmanın temel amacı, yiyecek kalitesi, hizmet kalitesi ve ambiyans kalitesinin müşteri memnuniyeti ve sadakati üzerindeki etkilerini araştırmaktır. Araştırmanın amacı doğrultusunda, Batman'da bulunan bir zincir kafeterya müşterilerinden anket çalışmasıyla birlikte veri toplanmıştır. Anket iki ana bölüme ayrılmıştır. İlk bölümde, kafeterya müşterileri hakkında demografik bilgileri sorulmuştur. Anketin ikinci bölümünde ise; yemek, hizmet ve ambiyansın nitelikleri ile ilgili sorular bulunmaktadır. Anket soruları için yedili Likert ölçeği kullanılmıştır. Ankette, 1 "kesinlikle katılmıyorum" ve 7 "kesinlikle katılıyorum" ifadesini temsil etmektedir. Bu anket çalışması 15 Mart 2019 ila 15 Mayıs 2019 tarihleri arasında 404 anket doldurularak veri toplanmıştır. Veri analizi için

* Corresponding author:

Feridun Duman, Department of Tourism Management and Hospitality, Faculty of Tourism, Batman University, Batman, Turkey.
Email: feridun_duman@hotmail.com

öncelikle çalışmanın güvenilirliği test edildi. Ardından, yiyecek kalitesi, hizmet kalitesi ve ambiyans kalitesinin müşteri memnuniyeti ve sadakati üzerindeki etkilerini ortaya çıkarmak için açılımlı faktör analizi ve regresyon analizi uygulanmıştır. Bulgular, yiyecek kalitesinin ($p<.000$ ve β ; 383), hizmet kalitesinin (p ; 000 ve β ; 240) ve ambiyans kalitesinin (p ; 000 ve β ; 215) anlamlı ve pozitif olarak müşteri memnuniyetine etkisi görülmüştür. Ancak kafeterya müşterileri için müşteri memnuniyetinin herhangi bir sadakat yaratmadığı görülmüştür.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Yemek kalitesi, hizmet kalitesi, ambiyans kalitesi, müşteri memnuniyeti ve sadakati, kafeteryalar, Batman, Türkiye..

Received: 03 October 2020 * Accepted: 21 October 2020 * DOI: <https://doi.org/10.29329/ijiasos.2020.278.1>

INTRODUCTION

Coffee drinking has become a popular socialising culture around the world. Especially, the post-modern culture dictates coffee drinking in every aspect of life. Thus, drinking coffees in socialising has become more than a cup of coffee, which represents itself a space in people's free time or in the business meetings etc. So, the coffee shop businesses have become the attractive investments in the business market. However, the new openings of the coffee shops have mushroomed in the market and this situation creates a severe competition in the coffee shop business. Within this competitive context, the coffee shops compete with each other locally, nationally and internationally. Due to this competition, customer satisfaction has become utmost important to sustain their businesses. Moreover, the changing nature of the consumers' wants and needs makes this competition even more severe. Thus, the coffee shop businesses are obliged to follow how their customers' wants and needs change over time. Because, this changing trends directly affect customer satisfaction leading to customer loyalty resulting in an increase in sales, business growth and word-of-mouth (Ladhari, Brun ve Morales, 2008).

Customers purchasing a product or service in a coffee shop could be described as an experience based on face-to-face contact along with the news or comments about the coffee shop business on social media. For instance, a customer who goes to a coffee shop pays attention its ambience from the moment s/he sees the interior design and lightings. Then, receiving a good service is considered to finalise a customer's experience complete. Having all together leads to customer satisfaction, and its sustainability creates a customer loyalty over time.

Therefore, the study fills the gap by investigating the effects of food quality, service quality and ambience quality on customer satisfaction resulting in customer loyalty in a chain coffee shop in Batman, Turkey.

The Literature Review

This section specifically looked at the related literature regarding food quality, service quality, ambience in relation to customer satisfaction and loyalty in order to construct the hypotheses for this study.

Food Quality

Food quality is one of the main factors in dining experience (Namkung and Jang, 2007). Various studies have looked at the effects of food quality in restaurants (Clark and Wood, 1999; Susskind 2002; Sulek and Hensley, 2004; Namkung and Jang, 2007). For instance, Clark and Wood (1999) said that one of the main factors affecting to choose a restaurant was the food quality. Moreover, Susskind (2002) emphasized that the food quality in determining a restaurant to dine is one of the main component from a customer perspective. Sulek and Hensley (2004) also found the effects of food and service quality on customer satisfaction. They further emphasized on the relationship between the environment and customer satisfaction. Jang and Namkung (2009) in their study included restaurant-specific stimuli and restaurant-specific emotion measures to contribute toward the understanding of the restaurant quality. They specifically looked at product, service quality, and ambience, which might affect the emotions of customers positively or negatively. In return, these positive or negative emotions will lead to behavioural loyalty. They further concluded that product characteristics such as food quality alleviate negative emotions, and ambience and service quality are a stimulus to increase positive emotions. With this conclusion they suggested that the positive emotions mediate the relationship between ambience/service quality and future behavioural intentions, which could turn into loyalty.

In the literature, food quality has been examined by a numerous studies (Kivela, Inbakaran & Reece 2000; Raajpoot, 2002; Sulek and Hensley, 2004; Namkung and Jang, 2007; Ha and Jang, 2010). Kivela et al. (2000) especially mentioned about the elements of food quality as food taste, menu and nutritious of food to manage the customer satisfaction. On the other hand, Raajpoot (2002) focused more on the food presentation, portion sizes and menu design as the elements of food quality. Moreover, Sulek and Hensley (2004) approached the elements of food quality as safety, appeal and dietary. Especially, the element of appeal covered the appearance and texture of the food quality. The recent studies of Namkung and Jang (2007) and Ha and Jang (2010) deployed to examine the food quality with the main elements of menu variety, health options and taste. Based upon the above literature, the following hypothesis (H) is proposed:

H₁: Food quality has a significant and positive effect on customer satisfaction in a coffee shop.

Service Quality

Service quality reflects a concept of a customer's expectation and perception from a service or product they purchase. The very notion of service quality is perceived by customers simply comparing

a service offered with their expectations. If customers' perceptions are beyond their expectations, then the service quality is excellent. If the perceptions and expectations are equal, the service quality is good or sufficient. If the customers' perceptions are below their expectations, the service quality is bad, weak or inadequate (Vazquez, Bosque, Rodringuez-Del., Diaz, & Ruiz, 2001). Thus, the expectations should be met or exceeded by the coffee shop businesses in order to have satisfied customers.

The customers' perceptions equally determine service quality and the performances of businesses. Therefore, the F&B businesses in general are required to identify the factors affecting the customers' expectations and perceptions in order to maintain service quality and to create superior services leading to competitive advantage. By determining which factors are important in customer satisfaction will give the F&B businesses to better evaluate their deficiencies in providing service quality (Chen, 2016).

Customer expectations are gained by the customers' past experiences, opinions of their friends and colleagues, and information and promises given by competitors. The subject of customer satisfaction presents a conundrum such a way that if customers keep the level of their expectations too high, they would then be most probably disappointed. On the other hand, if the customers keep their expectation level low, a sufficient number of customers will not be attracted to a service or product offered. Therefore, service quality is seen one of the important factors in customer satisfaction leading to loyalty (Gotlieb, Grewal, & Brown, 1994). The difference between the expectations of customers and the actual performances of service providers is attributed to service quality (Gronroos, 1984; Parasuraman, Zeithaml and Berry, 1985, 1988). In other words, qualifying a service as quality refers to meeting or exceeding customers' expectations (Parasuraman, et al., 1985). As the quality of services provided creates satisfied customers, the disposition of these satisfied customers toward repeat purchasing will occur again and again. This understanding of meeting or exceeding customers' expectations strengthens the position of the F&B business against its competitors in the market. Within this understanding, a number of service quality models for the F&B businesses, especially for restaurants, have been developed such as SERVQUAL (Parasuraman, et al., 1988), SERVPERV (Cronin & Taylor, 1992), SERVICESCAPE (Bitner, 1992), DINESERV (Stevens, Knutson & Patton, 1995), TANGSERV (Raajpoot, 2002) and DINESPACE (Ryu & Jang 2008). These models mentioned above have been characterised for the purpose to measure in service quality. Especially, the models of SERVQUAL, SERVPERV and DINESERV examined the service quality regarding the service dimensions of tangibles, reliability, responsiveness, assurance and empathy. While the model of SERVICESCAPE focused upon operations of the businesses, the models of TANGSERV and DINESPACE measured the physical aspects e.g. interior design of the businesses. Although these models are widely used to measure service quality in restaurant businesses, they are not fully sufficient to measure service quality in restaurant businesses, in this case, coffee shop businesses (Fu and Parks, 2001; Namkung & Jang, 2007; Ryu & Jang, 2008; Hwang & Ok, 2013).

All the models mentioned above try to show a positive relationship between service quality and customer satisfaction. To be more specific, the studies on service quality had a positive effect on customer satisfaction in fast food restaurants in China and Africa (Tan, Oriade and Fallon, 2014; Petzer and Mackay, 2014). Any improvement in service quality in fast food restaurants attracts new customers and helps the organization keep their current customers (Zeithaml, Berry and Parasuraman, 1996). In conclusion, service quality affects perceived customer value, satisfaction and loyalty (Oliva, Oliver & MacMillan, 1992; Zeithaml et al., 1996; Meuter, Ostrom, Roundtree & Bitner, 2000; Lai, 2015). Based upon the above literature, the following hypothesis is proposed:

H₂: Service quality has a significant and positive effect on customer satisfaction in a coffee shop.

Ambience Quality

Ambience in the F&B businesses is essential in creating experience, especially a positive one! F&B and hotel industry experts state that they constantly sell “experience” and that “sensory experiences” are sold, especially in restaurants. The core product of a restaurant is undoubtedly food and drink that will appeal to consumers’ sense of taste. The expanded product of a restaurant is a very broad concept that covers service, interaction between customers and employees, and the ambience of eating place that attracts some of the other four senses (Heung and Gu 2012: 1167). Kotler (1973: 50) defined the ambience as “an effort to design a purchasing environment to produce certain emotional effects in the buyer whose prospect can be increased”. Today, restaurant customers look not only for good food, but also for excellent service and a pleasant dining environment. The saying of “You sell sizzles, not steaks” supports this (Heung and Gu 2012: 1167). When people drink or eat their food in a coffee shop, they often feel the ambience first. Thus, feelings or emotions are created through the ambience affecting the customers’ reaction (Ha and Jang 2010: 520). In short, the internal environment creates positive or negative emotions in the minds of the customers that are affected by their own impression, which might lead to customer satisfaction (Ha and Jang 2010).

The restaurant owners/managers strategically use ambience to satisfy the customers’ expectations from a dining experience. To do this, they use decor, sound level, temperature, cleanliness, odour, lighting, colour and music to build a physical environment in which a customer pleasantly consumes his/her food or drink. For instance, a special attention should be given to comfortable furniture in restaurants as well as in waiting rooms for restaurants, which affect customers positively (Sulek and Hensley, 2004). Therefore, a number of studies have looked at the dimension of ambience to provide a better understanding in customer satisfaction. Bitner (1992) determined three dimensions of the ambience named as “servicescape”. These dimensions were included as environmental conditions, spatial order-functionality-signs, symbols-artifacts. On the other hand, Han and Ryu (2009) examined the dimensions of ambience and stated that the most suitable dimensions for the physical environment are grouped in three groups as decoration-works, spatial order and environmental conditions. Ha and

Jang (2010) examined the mediating role of ambience in ethnic restaurants. They used the ambience elements as interior design, music, mood and order / facility aesthetics. Furthermore, Heung and Gu (2012) grouped the ambience dimension into four sub-dimensions for restaurants. These dimensions were included as facility aesthetics, ambience, spatial layout and employees. They also found out that the view or scenery of a restaurant was an important factor for a restaurant to be competitive when compared with the other ones. The main reason for it was that the view in particular contributes greatly toward eating experiences of customers. Based upon the above literature, the following hypothesis is proposed:

H₃: Ambience quality has a significant and positive effect on customer satisfaction in a coffee shop.

Customer Satisfaction and Loyalty

The F&B companies face severe competition to survive in the markets. Thus, their primary objective is to create customer satisfaction to sustain their businesses and then, to turn the customer satisfaction into loyalty to survive in the long term. The sustainability of the companies requires the loyal customers' repeat purchase within increased consumer awareness in the market competition. The importance of the loyal customers for companies has a significant impact on company profits. Kotler (1973) simply described customer satisfaction as the happiness or disappointment of a person by comparing the perceived product or service performance with the product or service expectations. On the other hand, loyalty is explained as the customer's intention to re-purchase the products or services produced by companies. This repeat purchase intention in question should also be supported by customers recommending the companies' products or services to others as well as paying more on the products or services signals loyalty to these companies (Ha and Jang, 2010).

A number of the academic studies has examined the effect of satisfaction on loyalty in the F&B businesses (Oh, 2000; Weiss, Feinstein & Dalbor, 2004; Homburg, Koschate, & Hoyer, 2005; Namkung and Jang, 2007; Ladhari et al. 2008; Ryu & Han, 2010). Oh (2000) studied customer satisfaction in restaurants. He found that satisfaction was an important factor of the customer's intention to purchase and recommend to others. Weiss et al. (2004), more specifically, suggested that food quality and ambience create customer satisfaction and an intention to return to a restaurant for a repeat purchase. Homburg et al. (2005) revealed in their study that customer satisfaction has a strong and positive effect on "willingness to pay", which is a reflection of post-restaurant experience. While Namkung and Jang (2007) emphasized on the food quality significantly affecting customer satisfaction and loyalty, Ladhari et al. (2008) emphasized more on the restaurant services significantly affecting the intention to recommend the restaurant to others. Moreover, Ryu and Han (2010) stated that satisfaction is one of the important determinants of behavioral loyalty in fast-casual restaurants. Based upon the above literature, the following hypothesis is proposed:

H4: Customer satisfaction has a significant and positive effect on customer loyalty in a coffee shop.

Having examined the related literature above, the study perused the theoretical frameworks in order to establish a model to be proposed for the study (see Figure 1 below).



Figure 1. Proposed Model for Study

Research Methodology

The overall aim of the study was to determine whether food quality, service quality and ambience in coffee shops have an effect on customer satisfaction leading to customer loyalty. Thus, the study determined its scope in line with its overall aim. With this aim in hand, a centrally located chain coffee shop was selected in the city centre of Batman, Turkey. The main reason behind selecting a chain coffee shop was that the coffee shop had proper food and drink menu, service staff and interior design, which reflected its ambience to its customers.

The Sample of the study, which was limited to the respondents that visited the specified chain coffee shop, consisted of the population by including the adults aged 18 years old and over. The sampling method also saved the cost and time for the study (Creswell, 2014). This sampling method further provided a homogenous sample as the coffee shop customers show similar characteristics of interest. Thus, the convenience sampling method was preferred among the non-random sampling methods. 420 questionnaires were distributed at the chain coffee shop from March 15 2019 to May 15 2019. The process of controlling the questionnaires for possible defects occurred during the completion of the questionnaires eliminated 16 questionnaires. A total of 404 questionnaires were included for the analysis of the study. This number of sampling was deemed appropriate at a significance level of 0.05 at a 95% confidence interval (Altunışık, Çoşkun, Bayraktaroğlu & Yıldırım, 2012).

To be more specific on the questionnaire, a pilot study was conducted with 30 people in order to check the questionnaire understood by the study sample before beginning the data collection. By doing this, the potential incompatibilities were eliminated. The respondents were asked to indicate the appropriateness and comprehensibility of the Likert scales from 1 to 7 by giving the coffee shop food

quality, service quality, ambience quality, customer satisfaction and customer loyalty. Some expressions were changed according to the respondent comments, and then the questionnaire form was finalized.

Data Collection Method

The data for the study were collected by using the questionnaire method. The questionnaires were filled up by the respondents on a voluntary basis. Two groups of the statements were included in the questionnaire form. In the first group, five statements were included in order to determine the demographic characteristics of the respondents. In the second group, 22 expressions were used about the chain coffee shop' food quality, service quality and ambience quality in relation to customer satisfaction and loyalty by using the 7-point Likert scale (7 = Strongly agree; 1 = Strongly disagree).

Data Analysis and Findings

This section of the study consisted of two parts. The first part presented the sample's descriptive characteristics. Then, the second part comprised the data analysis covering Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA) and Regression Analysis through SPSS 21 programme. The second part aimed to investigate the theoretical constructs and their connection with the latent variables. Finally, the regression analysis presented the constructs of the quality dimensions on the customer satisfaction.

The characteristics of the respondents for this study were examined under the headings of gender, marital status, age, education and occupation (see Table 1 below). The gender distribution was almost equal. 53.7 percent of the customers were the male ones. The rest of the sample was represented by the female customers. Interestingly, the majority of the coffee shop goers were the single ones reflecting 73.5 percent of the sample respondents. On the other hand, the married respondents were represented by 26.5 percent of the sample. The characteristics of age displayed that 72.6 percent of the coffee shop customers in this study was ranged between 18 years old and 29 years old. The next majority group was followed by the group of "30-39" years old (21%). Surprisingly, the groups of "40-49" and "50 and over" represented the very small portion of the study sample with 5.5 percent. Education of the study sample was distributed from "primary school" to "post graduate" degrees. However, the majority was represented by the group of "undergraduate" (54.9%). The employment status of the respondents showed that the group of "employee" was 59.7 percent. On the other hand, the group of "unemployment" was the second largest group of the respondents (33.4%). The reason might be that the students were accounted for in the group as being "unemployment".

Table 1. Descriptive Characteristics of Respondents

	Frequency	Percentage (%)		Frequency	Percentage (%)
Gender			Education		
Male	217	53.7	Primary School	25	6.2
Female	187	46.3	High School	108	26.8
Marital Status			College	24	5.9
Single	297	73.5	Undergraduate	222	54.9
Married	107	26.5	Post Graduate	25	6.2
Age			Occupation		
18-29	293	72.6	Employer	12	3.0
30-39	85	21.0	Employee	241	59.7
40-49	22	5.4	Self-employed	13	3.2
50 and over	4	0.1	Unemployed	135	33.4
			Retired	3	0.7

Results of Exploratory Factor Analysis

Having examined the descriptive characteristics of the respondents, this study used the identified dimensions (food quality, service quality, ambience quality, customer satisfaction and customer loyalty) based upon the F&B business literature. Then, EFA was deployed to find out the latent factors, which had been initially adopted from the literature (See Table 2 below). By looking at Table 2, one would notice that the dimension of “customer loyalty” was merged under the dimension of “customer satisfaction”. The other dimensions of “food quality”, service quality” and “ambience quality” were produced exactly with the same statements within the same dimensions initially identified from the literature. To sum up, EFA produced four factors named as “food quality”, service quality”, “ambience quality” and “customer satisfaction”. All the statements had their factor loadings above ,550, except that the statement of “4. Staff looked neat and well dressed.” was loaded as being ,375. The overall indication showed that the factor loadings were statistically significant (Creswell, 2014). Moreover, the cumulative variance (62,6%) for the latent factors was satisfactory (see Table 2).

Table 2. Results of Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA)

Measured Dimensions/Statements	Factor 1 Customer Satisfaction	Factor 2 Food Quality	Factor 3 Service Quality	Factor 4 Ambience Quality	Cronbach's Alpha
Factor Loadings					
Factor 1: Customer Satisfaction	,883				
21. I could say good things about this coffee shop.	,780				
19. I think I will return to this coffee shop.	,780				

18. I will come back to this coffee shop.	,764				
20. I will recommend this coffee shop to my friends.	,753				
16. I feel good at this coffee shop.	,732				
22. I will encourage others to come to this coffee shop.	,746				
17. I am satisfied with food and drinks at this coffee shop.	,619				
15. I am happy with service experience.	,550				
Factor 2: Food Quality					,843
6. The food is nutritious at this coffee shop.		,761			
7. The menu has a good variety of food.		,697			
9. The smell of food was good.		,672			
5. The food was delicious.		,664			
8. The food was fresh.		,647			
10. The visual look of food was attractive.		,609			
Factor 3: Service Quality					,859
12. Staff served the food fast.			,826		
13. Staff were willing to serve.			,778		
11. Staff took food order properly.			,749		
14. Staff made us feel comfortable.			,733		
Factor 4: Ambience Quality					,656
1. The interior design and decor of coffee shop was impressive.				,756	
2. We were satisfied with the background music.				,704	
3. The coffee shop was clean.				,661	
4. Staff looked neat and well dressed.				,375	
Eigenvalue	10,267	2,150	1,964	1,280	
Variance explained %	24,403	15,419	14,470	8,354	
Cumulative variance %	62,646				
Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO)	,923				
p	,000				

Testing the study's hypotheses

After establishing the latent factors by conducting EFA for the study, a further analysis was deployed to find out the effects of food quality, service quality and ambience quality on customer satisfaction by conducting the regression analysis for the study (see Table 3 below). The analysis tested three hypotheses constructed for the study. First of all, the regression model tested H1 stating positive effect of food quality on customer satisfaction ($\beta = ,383$; $t = 7,271$; $p = ,000$). Thus, H1 was accepted. Secondly, the regression model supported the positive effect of service quality on customer satisfaction ($\beta = ,245$; $t = 4,936$; $p = ,000$). Therefore, H2 was accepted. Thirdly, the regression model tested H3 about the positive effect of ambience quality on customer satisfaction ($\beta = ,215$; $t = 4,224$; $p = ,000$). Thus, H3 was accepted. Finally, H4 stating the effect of customer satisfaction on customer loyalty was not able to be tested as EFA did not produce the dimension of customer loyalty through EFA. Thus, H4 was not supported for this study (see Table 3 below).

Table 3. Effects of Food Quality, Service Quality and Ambience Quality on Customer Satisfaction

Dimension	B	Std. Error	β	t	Sig. p
Constant	,894	,307		2,911	,004
Food Quality	,377	,052	,383	7,271	,000
Service Quality	,241	,049	,245	4,936	,000
Ambience Quality	,220	,052	,215	4,224	,000

Note: Dependent variable: Customer Satisfaction N=404, p=,000

DISCUSSION and CONCLUSION

The coffee shop customers prefer satisfying food and drinks in trendy coffee shops. Therefore, the element of food and drink is an important component of having a good time while they are socialising in their spare time in the coffee shops. Moreover, eating and drinking in a pleasant environment with good service are also very important (Sulek & Hensley, 2004). Because eating and drinking in the coffee shops with a good ambience provides customers satisfied experiences. Due to these experiences, the customers' intentions return back to the same coffee shops again and recommending them to their family and friends sustains loyalty for the coffee shops.

More specifically, food and drinks are the main products offered to the customers in the coffee shops. Thus, the food quality signifies the main determinant of the coffee shop customer. Within this context, the food quality includes such aspects in terms of nutritious food, variety of food types in menus, the presentation and texture of food and drinks and the taste of food and drinks finalising toward the customer satisfaction (Clark and Wood, 1999; Kivela et al., 2000). This study's findings are consistent with the literature mentioned above. More specifically, the food quality in the coffee shops affects 38%

toward customer satisfaction. Thus, H¹ had the statistically significant and positive effect of food quality on customer satisfaction. This shows that how the customers are inclined toward the food quality. This finding will further help the coffee shop owners/managers to distinguish their food quality resulting in a better customer satisfaction, which would give the coffee shops a better edge in the competitive market.

The service quality in the coffee shops is simply compared by the perceptions and expectations of the customers. Thus the service quality determines the operating performances of the coffee shops, which is one of the main factors affecting the customer satisfaction (Gotlieb et al., 1994). This study's finding justified that the respondents placed an important emphasis on the service quality, which resulted in a statistically significant and positive effect on the customer satisfaction (H^2 ; $\beta = .245$; $p = .000$). This finding is also consistent with works of Fu and Parks, 2001; Namkung & Jang, 2007; Ryu & Jang, 2008; Hwang & Ok, 2013. This finding further requires that a special attention should be given on the service quality that reflects staff serving food and drinks in a correct and friendly manner in the coffee shops.

The ambience quality in the coffee shops initially creates a positive effect on the customers' emotions. The works of Ryu and Jang 2008; Jang and Namkung 2009 support that the ambience quality provides a stimuli to develop positive emotions and have a significant effect on alleviating negative emotions. Within this context, the interior design, décor and background music should be pleasing in the eyes of the coffee shop customers. The works on the ambience quality in the literature suggest that there is a significant and positive relationship between the ambience quality and customer satisfaction (Oh, 2000; Weiss et al., 2004; Homburg et al., 2005; Namkung and Jang, 2007; Ladhari et al. 2008; Ryu and Han, 2010). In this regard, this study' finding ($\beta = .215$; $p = .000$) was consistent with the literature mentioned above. With this finding, the importance of the ambience quality should be well understood by the coffee shop owners/managers that the future behaviours of the customers and the issue of loyalty are significantly affected by a well-designed ambience. For instance, choosing a suitable lighting will make customers feel more comfortable. Furthermore, the wall colours and decors, paintings, plants, music etc. complete the whole picture of the ambience quality. Thus, the owners/managers should keep their coffee shop ambiances to create a unique atmosphere for their customers creating satisfaction.

This study also examined the effects of customer satisfaction on customer loyalty in the coffee shop businesses. In the literature, the F&B businesses that are to increase their market share and to sustain their businesses in the long term perceive this significant relationship between customer satisfaction and customer loyalty (Ha and Jang, 2010). However, this study did not produce the dimension of customer loyalty as a separate entity. Thus, the concept of customer loyalty was emerged under the heading of customer satisfaction in EFA. This finding signals that the coffee shop owners/managers must do everything right in the first instance wherever and whenever have a contact with their customers.

In conclusion, this study showed a clearer picture that “food quality”, “service quality” and “ambience quality” significantly and positively affected the customer satisfaction in the coffee shop business. However, the study also showed that the customer loyalty is elusive as the coffee shop customers more focused upon their satisfaction. Therefore. The coffee shop owners/managers should be constantly on the look-out to satisfy their customers to sustain their business.

Limitations and further research: This study was conducted in a chain coffee shop. Hence, the findings of the study cannot be generalized to other types of coffee shops i.e. the independent coffee shops. Further studies are required to conduct the same dimensions in a larger sample as well as different types of coffee shop businesses.

REFERENCES

- Altunışık, R., Coşkun, R., Bayraktaroğlu, S. & Yıldırım, E. (2012). Sosyal Bilimlerde Araştırma Yöntemleri SPSS Uygulamalı, Geliştirilmiş 7. Baskı, Sakarya Yayıncılık.
- Bitner, M. J. (1992). Servicescapes: The impact of physical surroundings on customers and employees. *Journal of Marketing*, 56(2), 57-71.
- Chen, J. L. (2016). The relationship among service quality, relationship quality, and customer loyalty for chain restaurant industry. *International Journal of Marketing Studies*, 8(3), 33-42.
- Clark, M. A. & Wood, R. C. (1998). Consumer loyalty in the restaurant industry-a preliminary exploration of the issues. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 10(4), 139-144.
- Creswell, J. W. (2014). Research design: qualitative, quantitative and mixed method approaches. Lincoln: University of Nebraska, USA.
- Cronin, J.J. & Taylor, S.A. (1992). Measuring service quality: a re-examination and extension. *Journal of Marketing*, 55-68.
- Fu, Y. Y. & Parks, S. C. (2001). The relationship between restaurant service quality and consumer loyalty among the elderly. *Journal of Hospitality & Tourism Research*, 25(3), 320-336.
- Gotlieb, J.B., Grewal, D. & Brown, S.W. (1994). Consumer satisfaction and perceived quality: complementary or divergent constructs? *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 79(6), 875-883
- Gronroos, C. (1984). A service quality model and its marketing implications. *European Journal of Marketing*, 18(4), 36-44.
- Ha, J. & Jang, S.C. (2010). Effects of service quality and food quality: the moderating role of atmospherics in an ethnic restaurant segment. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 29, 520-529.
- Han, H. & Ryu, K. (2009). The roles of the physical environment, price perception, and customer satisfaction in determining customer loyalty in the restaurant industry. *Journal of Hospitality & Tourism Research*, 33, 487-510.
- Heung, V. C. S. & Gu, T. (2012). Influence of restaurant atmospherics on patron satisfaction and behavioral intentions. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 31, 1167-1177.

- Homburg, C., Koschate, N. & Hoyer, W. D. (2005). Do satisfied customers really pay more? a study of the relationship between customer satisfaction and willingness to pay. *The Journal of Marketing*, 69(2), 84-96.
- Hwang, J. & Ok, C. (2013). The antecedents and consequence of consumer attitudes toward restaurant brandk: a comparative study between casual and fine dining restaurants. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 32, 121-131.
- Jang, S.C. & Namkung, Y. (2009). Perceived quality, emotions, and behavioral intentions: application of an extended mehribian-russell model to restaurants. *Journal of Business Research*, 62, 451-460.
- Jones, D. L., Mak, B. & Sim, J. (2007). A new look at the antecedents and consequences of relationship quality in the hotel service environment. *Services Marketing Quarterly*, 28(3), 15-31.
- Kivela, J., Inbakaran, R. & Reece, J. (2000). Consumer research in the restaurant environment. Part 3. Analysis, findings and conclusions. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 12 (1), 13-30.
- Kotler, P. (1973). Atmospherics as a Marketing Tool. *Journal of Retailing*, 49(4), 48-64.
- Ladhari R., Brun I., Morales M. (2008). Determinants of Dining Satisfaction and Post-Dining Behavioral Intentions. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 27, 563-573.
- Lai, I. W. (2015). The roles of value, satisfaction, and commitment in the effect of service quality on customer loyalty in Hong Kong-style tea restaurants. *Cornell Hospitality Quarterly*, 56(1), 118-138.
- Meuter, M.L., Ostrom, A.L., Roundtree, R.I. & Bitner, M.J. (2000). Self-service technologies: understanding customer satisfaction with technology-based service encounters. *Journal of Marketing*, 64 (3), 50-64.
- Namkung, Y. & Jang, S. (2007). Does food quality really matter in restaurant: its impact of customer satisfaction and behavioral intentions? *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Research*, 31 (3), 387-410.
- Namkung, Y. & Jang, S.C. (2010). Effects of Perceived Service Fairness on Emotions, and Behavioral Intentions in Restaurants, *European Journal of Marketing*, 44(9), 1233 - 1259.
- Oh, H. (1999). Service Quality, Customer Satisfaction, and Customer Value: A Holistic Perspective. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 18, 67-82.
- Oh, H. (2000). Diners' perceptions of quality, value, and satisfaction: a practical viewpoint. *Cornell Hotel and Restaurant Administration Quarterly*, 41(3), 58-66.
- Oliva, T.A., Oliver, R.L. & MacMillan, I.C. (1992). A catastrophe model for developing service satisfaction strategies. *Journal of Marketing*, 83-95.
- Parasuraman, A., Zeithaml, V. A. & Berry, L. L. (1985). A conceptual model of service quality and its implications for future research. *Journal of Marketing*, 49(3), 41-50.
- Parasuraman, A., Zeithaml, V. A. & Berry, L. L. (1988). SERVQUAL: A multiple-item scale for measuring consumer perceptions of service quality. *Journal of Retailing*, 64(1), 14-40.
- Petzer, D. & Mackay, N. (2014). Dining atmospherics and food and service quality as predictors of customer satisfaction at sit-down restaurants. *African Journal of Hospitality, Tourism and Leisure*, 3(2), 1-14.
- Raajpoot, N. A. (2002). TANGSERV: A multiple item scale for measuring tangible quality in foodservice industry. *Journal of Foodservice Business Research*, 5(2), 109-127.

- Ryu, K. & Jang S. S. (2008). DINESCAPE: a scale for customers' perception of dining environments. *Journal of Foodservice Business Research*, 11(1), 2-22.
- Stevens, P., Knutson, B. & Patton, M. (1995). DINESERV: A tool for measuring service quality in restaurants. *Journal of Hospitality & Leisure Marketing*, 3(2), 35-44.
- Sulek, J.M. & Hensley, R.L. (2004). The relative importance of food, atmosphere, and fairness of wait. *Cornell Hotel and Restaurant Administration Quarterly*, 45 (3), 235-247.
- Susskind, A. M. (2002). I told you so! restaurant customers' word-of mouth communication patterns. *Cornell Hotel and Restaurant Administration Quarterly*, 43 (2), 75-85.
- Tan, Q., Oriade, A. & Fallon, P. (2014). Service quality and customer satisfaction in chinese fast food sector: a proposal for CFFRSERV. *Advances in Hospitality and Tourism Research*, 2(1), 30-53.
- Vazquez, R., Bosque, I. A. Rodriguez-Del., Diaz, A. M., ve Ruiz, A. V. (2001). Service quality in supermarket retailing: identifying critical service experiences. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 8, 1-14.
- Weiss, R., Feinstein, A. H. & Dalbor, M. (2004). Customer satisfaction of theme restaurant attributes and their influence on return intent. *Journal of Foodservice Business Research*, 7(1), 23-41.
- Zeithaml, V.A., Berry, L.L., Parasuraman, A., 1996. The behavioral consequences of service quality. *Journal of Marketing*, 31-46.

