THE IMPACT OF RISK PERCEPTION AND RISK TOLERANCE ON INVESTMENT DECISION AMONG STUDENTS OF UNIVERSITAS ATMAJAYA YOGYAKARTA

THESIS

Presented as Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements of the

Degree of Sarjana Ekonomi (S1) in International Business

Management Program Faculty of Business and Economics

Universitas Atmajaya Yogyakarta



ARRANGED BY:

VALENTINUS SURYA SENATRA

STUDENT ID NUMBER: 201225284

FACULTY OF BUSINESS AND ECONOMICS
UNIVERSITAS ATMAJAYA YOGYAKARTA

APPROVAL PAGE

THE IMPACT OF RISK PERCEPTION AND RISK TOLERANCE ON INVESTMENT DECISION AMONG STUDENTS OF UNIVERSITAS ATMAJAYA YOGYAKARTA

THESIS



ARRANGED BY:

VALENTINUS SURYA SENATRA

STUDENT ID NUMBER: 201225284

has been reviewed and approved by:

Thesis Supervisor:

Elizabeth Fiesta Clara SB, S.M., M.M.

LETTER OF STATEMENT

THE IMPACT OF RISK PERCEPTION AND RISK TOLERANCE ON INVESTMENT DECISION AMONG STUDENTS OF UNIVERSITAS

ATMAJAYA YOGYAKARTA

Arranged by:

Valentinus Surya Senatra

STUDENT ID NUMBER: 20 12 25284

Has been defended and accepted in front of examiners on 12th July 2024, as partial fulfilment of the requirements of the Bachelor Degree of Management (S1) in International Business Management Program Faculty of Business and Economics Universitas Atma Jaya Yogyakarta

Examiners Committee

Head of Examiner

Member of Examiners

Prof. Dr. Sukmawati Sukamulja, MM.

Yogyakarta, July 2024

Dean of the Faculty of Business and Economics Universitas Atma Jaya Yogyakarta

Wenefrida Mahestu Noyiandra K, SE., M.Sc.IB, Ph.D.

AUTHENTICITY

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I, Valentinus Surya Senatra solemnly affirm that the work presented in this thesis titled:

THE IMPACT OF RISK PERCEPTION AND RISK TOLERANCE ON INVESTMENT DECISION AMONG STUDENTS OF UNIVERSITAS ATMAJAYA YOGYAKARTA

This work is entirely my own effort, except where otherwise acknowledged. All sources used in this thesis have been duly cited and referenced. I have not used any unauthorized assistance or resources in completing this work. Furthermore, I declare that this thesis has not been submitted for any other degree or qualification at Universitas Atmajaya Yogyakarta or any other institution.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I am deeply grateful to my supervisor, Elizabeth Fiesta Clara, for their invaluable guidance, support, and encouragement throughout this thesis. Their expertise and feedback have been pivotal in shaping the direction and quality of this work.

I also extend my thanks to the members of Business and Economics Faculty at Universitas Atmajaya Yogyakarta for providing an enriching academic environment and necessary resources for conducting this research.

My heartfelt appreciation goes to my father and my mother for their unwavering support, understanding, and motivation during this challenging journey. Their belief in me has been a constant source of strength.

Also, appreciation goes to all my friends who always support me in every situation and also giving me some advice and help in this thesis writing.

Lastly, I am thankful to all the participants who generously shared their time and insights, contributing significantly to the success of this study.

ABSTRACT

This thesis explores the intricate relationship between risk perception, risk tolerance, and investment decision-making, specifically examining the impact of hedonistic tendencies on students at Atma Jaya Yogyakarta. In the current financial landscape, grasping how individuals assess risks and make investment choices is pivotal, especially when considering the distinctive characteristics and preferences of the student.

This research using quantitative surveys to gain comprehensive insights into the risk perceptions and risk tolerance levels of Atma Jaya Yogyakarta students. The research findings contribute to the existing literature on behavioral finance by providing a nuanced understanding of the factors influencing investment decision-making within this specific demographic. This study offers valuable insights applicable to financial educators and some suggestions about how important risk perception and risk tolerance on investment decisions.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Contents ABSTRACT	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iv
TABLE OF PICTURE	vii
CHAPTER 1	1
INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 General Description of Research Objects	1
1.2 Research Background	1
1.3 Research Question	7
1.4 Objective of The Research	8
1.5 Scope of The Research	8
1.6 Benefit for the Writer	9
1.7 Benefit for the Reader	9
1.8 Benefit for Financial Theory	9
1.9 Research Outline	9
CHAPTER 2	11
LITERATURE REVIEW	11
2.1 Theory and Previous Research	11
2.1.1 Prospect Theory	11
2.1.2 Financial Behaviour	11
2.1.3 Risk Perception	12
2.1.4 Risk Tolerance	
2.2 Previous Research	14
2.3 Research Framework	21
2.4 Hypothesis Development	21
2.4.1 Risk Perception and Investment Decisions	21
2.4.2 Risk Tolerance and Investment Decisions	21
CHAPTER 3	23
METHODOLOGY	23
3.1 Research Characteristic	23
3.2 Data Collection Tools	24
3.2.1 Questionnaire	24

3.2.2 Scale	24
3.3 Research Stages	28
3.4 Population and Sample	29
3.4.1 Population	29
3.4.2 Sample	29
3.5 Data Collection and Data Source	31
3.5.1 Type of Data	31
3.5.2 Source of Data	31
3.6 Validity and Reliability Test	31
3.6.1 Validity Test	31
3.6.2 Reliability Test	32
3.7 Data Analysis Technique and Hypothesis Test	33
3.7.1 Descriptive Analysis	33
3.8 Classic Assumption Test	34
3.8.1 Normality Test	34
3.8.2 Multicollinear Test	35
3.8.3 Heteroscedasticity Test	36
3.8.4 Multi regression Analysis	36
3.9 Hypothesis Test	36
CHAPTER 4	38
RESULT AND ANALYSIS	38
4.1 Respondents Characteristic	38
4.1.1 Respondents Characteristic Based on Gender	38
4.1.2 Respondents Characteristic Based on Age	39
4.1.3 Respondents Characteristic Based on Educational Level	40
4.1.4 Respondents Characteristic Based on Faculty	40
4.1.5 Respondents Characteristic Based on Their Investment Spending	41
4.2 Result of The Research	41
4.2.1 Descriptive Analysis	41
4.2.1.1 Respondents' Responses to Risk Perception	41
4.2.1.2 Respondents' Responses to Risk Tolerance	44
4.2.1.3 Respondents' Responses to Investment Decisions	46
4.3 Classic Assumption Test	49
4.3.1 Normality Test	49

4.3.2 Multicollinear Test	50
4.3.3 Heteroscedasticity Test	51
4.4 Simple Linear Regression and Multi regression Linear Test	51
4.4.1 Simple Linear Regression of Risk Perception Towards Investment Decisions	52
4.4.2 Simple Linear Regression of Risk Tolerance Towards Investment Decisions	52
4.5 Analysis	52
CHAPTER 5	56
CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	56
5.1 Conclusions	56
5.2 Recommendations	56
5.3 Limitations of The Study	56
5.4 Managerial Implication	57
ATTACHMENT	59
RESOURCE	71

TABLE OF PICTURE

Table 1.1 Data Investment in Indonesia	4
Table 2.1 Previous Research	15
Table 2.1 Previous Research	15
Table 3.1 Research Characteristic	23
Table 3. 2 Assessment Weight	25
Table 3. 3 Data Collection Tools	26
Table 3. 4 Research Stages	28
Table 3. 5 Validity Test	32
Table 3. 6 Assessment Criteria	34
Table 4. 1 Distribution of Overall Risk Perception Responses	42
Table 4. 2 Distribution of Overall Risk Tolerance Responses	45
Table 4. 3 Distribution of Overall Investment Decisions Responses	47
Picture 2. 1 Proposed Research Model	21
Picture 3. 1 Reliability Testa	32
Picture 3. 2 Assessment Continuum Line	34
Picture 4. 1 Respondent Characteristic Based on Gender	39
Picture 4. 2 Table of respondents based on age	39
Picture 4. 3 Table of Respondents Based on Educational Level	40
Picture 4. 4 Table of Respondents Based on Faculty	40
Picture 4. 5 Table of Respondents Based on Income	41
Picture 4. 6 Continuum Line Risk Perception	44
Picture 4. 7Continuum Line Risk Tolerance	46
Picture 4. 8 Continuum Line Investment Decisions	48
Picture 4. 9 Graph Test Result	49
Picture 4. 10 Statistic Test Result	49
Picture 4. 11 Multicollinear Test Result	50
Picture 4. 12 Rank Spearman's Rho Test Result	51
Picture 4. 13 Simple Linear Regression of Risk Perception	52
Picture 4. 14 Simple Linear Regression of Risk Tolerance	52