

CHAPTER V

CONCLUSION

In this chapter, the researcher will elaborate the summary of all the findings from all of the measurement and the data analysis. The content of this chapter will include of the conclusion, managerial implications, researcher limitations, and suggestion for further research.

5.1 Conclusion

Based on the result from the data analysis that has been conduct to test the independent variable which are perceive usefulness (X1) and perceive ease of use (X2), the dependent variable which is customer behavioral intention (Y), and the moderating variable which is user acceptance of innovative technology (Z) within electronic payment users in Yogyakarta, the researcher conclude that ;

1. Based on the descriptive analysis, it can be conclude that ;
 - a. The level of perceive usefulness within electronic payment users in Yogyakarta is quite high. It shows that users thought that effectiveness and efficiency are important on using electronic payment.
 - b. The level of perceive ease of use within electronic payment users in Yogyakarta is quite high. It shows that users thought that design and tool are impactful for the ease of use value on using electronic payment.
 - c. The level of customer behavioral intention within electronic payment users in Yogyakarta is quite high.
 - d. The level of user acceptance of innovative technology within electronic payment users in Yogyakarta is quite high. It shows that the customer behavioral intention of the respondents is high, the probability for the respondents to increase customer behavioral intention while using electronic payment is also high.

2. Based on the regression analysis and moderating analysis, it can be conclude that ;
- a. The Adjusted R-Squared of hypothesis H1(a) and H2(a) indicate the value of 0.609 or equal with 60.9%. These results give a conclusion that the dependent variable (Y) gives 60.9% influence on the independent variable which is the perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use. The rest of 39.1% comes from the other variable besides both of the independent variable X1 and X2. In the other hand, for the moderating testing, it reveals that the adjusted r-square from both of the moderation analysis H1(b) and H2(b) are 0.637 or equal by 63.7%. In the other words, it can be explained that the interaction 1 (User acceptance of innovative technology x Perceived usefulness) and the interaction 2 (User acceptance of innovative technology x Perceived ease of use) moderates a high contribution of both of the variable. To conclude, there is a high value of adjusted r-square and it give a high influence for the dependent variable (behavior intention). The supporting reason is because the value Sig. F (0.000) below 0.05.
 - b. The multiple regression tests reveal that the perceived usefulness and the perceive ease of use has a positive influence over customer behavioral intention. It can be concluded that both hypothesis H1(a) and H2(a) are supported.
 - c. The test result of Moderating Regression Analysis (MRA) reveals that user acceptance of innovative technology as a moderator does not give an influence over dependent variable and the independent variable.

5.2 Managerial Implications

In this research, it reveals that the independent variables which are the perceive usefulness and the perceive ease of use will influence the dependent variable. The result emphasize that the value of adjusted r-square is highly influence the dependent variable, with score 0.609 or equal with 60.9%. The numbers explain that 60.9% of the independent variable influences the dependent variable which is the customer behavioral intention. The rest of 39,1% is influence by other independent variable besides the perceive usefulness and the perceive ease of use.

The Moderating Regression Analysis (MRA) also reveals that the moderating variables are moderated between independent variable and the dependent variable. It shows from the regression that user acceptance of innovative technology will highly moderate with the customer behavioral intention and the independent variables which are the perceive usefulness and the perceive ease of use. The hypothesis involve user acceptance of innovative technology are all not supported because both of the Significance value are above 0.05.

All of these findings are also influence by the situation of the customers' experience. Most of the customer give the neutral and maximum score while filling the perceive usefulness and the perceive ease of use. So that the probability result for the perceive usefulness and the perceive ease of use is high. The impact of perceived usefulness on electronic payment users is fairly significant. The descriptive statistic also shows that the majority of respondents rate the perceived usefulness as high. Furthermore, the majority of respondents believed that electronic payments has a favorable impact on effectiveness and efficiency. Likewise the perceive usefulness, the perceived ease of use also pretty high. The respondents had a high perception of ease of use. Also, the majority of respondents said that electronic payment had a good influence because it was simple to use.

5.3 Limitation of The Research

In this research, there are some limitations that the researcher faces. The limitation of this research is the limited number of respondents due to the distribution of the questionnaire via Google Forms, which did not reach a large number of respondents. The samples only focus on the customer that use electronic payment. And from the results, it shows that customers uses electronic payments because of the effectiveness and the efficiency of it. The customer that chooses as a respondent is from people who lives in Yogyakarta. The questionnaires are distributed by google form.

Based on the descriptive statistic, it can be conclude that there are several question items that have a high mean variable. These results give an impact to the regression results. The researcher realizes that respondents can clearly understand the meaning of the questions given. The researcher found that there are several questionnaire that most of the answer were answered “Disagree” and “Neutral” by the respondents.

5.4 Further Research

Based on the entire summary from the managerial implications and limitations, the research has concluded several suggestions for future research. Finance technology providers should focus on improve the user experience for electronic payment systems by emphasizing simplicity, intuitiveness, and efficiency. This could involve redesigning interfaces, streamlining processes, and providing user-friendly features to make transactions smoother and more convenient.

Emphasize the benefits and advantages of using electronic payment systems, such as convenience, security, and accessibility. Educate customers about how electronic payments can save time, reduce the risk of fraud, and offer greater flexibility in managing finances. Made to order electronic payment solutions to

align with the unique needs and preferences of the Yogyakarta population. Consider factors such as language, culture, and local payment habits when designing and implementing electronic payment systems in the region. Also gather feedback from users to identify areas for improvement and address any issues or challenges encountered. Regular updates and enhancements to electronic payment systems based on user feedback can help to maintain customer satisfaction and drive further adoption.



REFERENCES

- Abhishek, & Hemchand, S. (2016). Adoption of Sensor Based Communication for Mobile Marketing in India. *Journal of Indian Business Research*, 8(1), 65–76. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JIBR-08-2015-0091>
- Accenture. (2015). *Accenture Technology Vision 2015 Finds ‘Digital Ecosystems’ Re-Shaping Markets and Creating the “We Economy.”*
<https://newsroom.accenture.com/news/2015/digital-ecosystems-re-shaping-markets-and-creating-the-we-economy-according-to-accenture-technology-vision-2015-report>
- Accenture. (2016). *Global Fintech Investment Growth Continues in 2016 Driven by Europe and Asia, Accenture Study Finds.*
<https://newsroom.accenture.com/news/2016/global-fintech-investment-growth-continues-in-2016-driven-by-europe-and-asia-accenture-study-finds>
- Ajzen, I. (1991). The Theory of Planned Behavior. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 50(2), 179–211.
[https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-5978\(91\)90020-T](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-5978(91)90020-T)
- Al-Azawei, A., Parslow, P., & Lundqvist, K. (2017). Investigating the Effect of Learning Styles in a Blended E-Learning System: An Extension of the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM). *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology*, 33(2).
- Al-Gahtani, S. S. (2016). Empirical Investigation of E-Learning Acceptance and Assimilation: A Structural Equation Model. *Applied Computing and Informatics*, 12(1), 27–50.
- Araújo, T., & Casais, B. (2020). Customer Acceptance of Shopping-Assistant Chatbots. *Marketing and Smart Technologies: Proceedings of ICMaTech 2019*, 278–287.
- Bedregal-Alpaca, N., Cornejo-Aparicio, V., Tupacyupanqui-Jaén, D., & Flores-Silva, S. (2019). Evaluación De La Percepción Estudiantil En Relación Al

- Uso De La Plataforma Moodle Desde La Perspectiva Del TAM. *Ingeniare. Revista Chilena de Ingeniería*, 27(4), 707–718.
- Cabanillas, F. L., Slade, E. L., & Dwivedi, Y. K. (2016). Time for a Different Perspective: A Preliminary Investigation of Barriers to Merchants' Adoption of Mobile Payments. *AMCIS 2016: Surfing the IT Innovation Wave-22nd Americas Conference on Information Systems, 2015*, 1–8.
- CBInsights. (2018). *The CB Insights Year In Review: 2018 Highlights*.
<https://www.cbinsights.com/research/team-blog/cb-insights-year-in-review-2018/>
- Dahlberg, T., Guo, J., & Ondrus, J. (2015). A Critical Review of Mobile Payment Research. *Electronic Commerce Research and Applications*, 14(5), 265–284.
- Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived Usefulness, Perceived Ease of Use, and User Acceptance of Information Technology. *MIS Quarterly*, 319–340.
- Demoulin, N. T. M., & Coussement, K. (2020). Acceptance of Text-Mining Systems: The Signaling Role of Information Quality. *Information & Management*, 57(1), 103120.
- Do, N. B., & Do, H. N. T. (2020). An investigation of Generation Z's Intention to use Electronic Wallet in Vietnam. *Journal of Distribution Science*, 18, 89–99.
<https://doi.org/10.15722/jds.18.10.202010.89>
- Donovan, K. (2012). Mobile Money for Financial Inclusion. *Information and Communications for Development*, 61(1), 61–73.
- Ernst, & Young. (2017). *Global Review 2017*. https://www.ey.com/en_hu/global-review/2017
- Fonté, E. F. (2013). Mobile Payments in the United States: How Disintermediation May Affect Delivery of Payment Functions, Financial Inclusion and Anti-Money Laundering Issues. *Wash. JL Tech. & Arts*, 8, 419.

- Gobble, M. M. (2018). Digitalization, Digitization, and Innovation. *Research-Technology Management*, 61(4), 56–59.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/08956308.2018.1471280>
- Hamid, A. A., Razak, F. Z. A., Bakar, A. A., & Abdullah, W. S. W. (2016). The Effects of Perceived Usefulness and Perceived Ease of Use on Continuance Intention to Use E-Government. *Procedia Economics and Finance*, 35, 644–649.
- Hsieh, K. (2016). *TrendForce Says Global Mobile Payment Market to Reach US\$620 Billion in 2016 with Apple and Samsung Staking Large Claims in the Ecosystem*. <https://www.trendforce.com/presscenter/news/20160201-9209.html>
- Indarsin, T., & Ali, H. (2017). Attitude Toward Using M-Commerce: The Analysis of Perceived Usefulness Perceived Ease of Use, and Perceived Trust: Case Study in Ikens Wholesale Trade, Jakarta–Indonesia. *Saudi Journal of Business and Management Studies*, 2(11), 995–1007.
- Khera, P., Ogawa, M. S., & Sahay, M. R. (2021). *Is Digital Financial Inclusion Unlocking Growth?* International Monetary Fund.
- KPMG. (2018). *KPMG P/S Annual Report*.
<https://assets.kpmg.com/content/dam/kpmg/dk/pdf/DK-2019/01/Annual-report2018.pdf>
- Li, Y. (2018). The Strategic Decision on Mobile Payment: A Study on Merchants Adoption. In *Americas Conference on Information Systems 2018: Digital Disruption, AMCIS 2018*. Association for Information Systems.
- Liao, G., Li, Z., Wang, M., & Albitar, K. (2022). Measuring China's urban digital finance. *Quantitative Finance and Economics*, 6(3), 385–404.
<https://doi.org/10.3934/qfe.2022017>
- Liébana-Cabanillas, F., & Lara-Rubio, J. (2017). Predictive and Explanatory Modeling Regarding Adoption of Mobile Payment Systems. *Technological*

Forecasting and Social Change, 120, 32–40.

<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2017.04.002>

- Liébana-Cabanillas, F., Marinkovic, V., De Luna, I. R., & Kalinic, Z. (2018). Predicting the Determinants of Mobile Payment Acceptance: A Hybrid SEM-Neural Network Approach. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 129, 117–130. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2017.12.015>
- Liébana-Cabanillas, F., Ramos de Luna, I., & Montoro-Ríos, F. J. (2015). User Behaviour in QR Mobile Payment System: The QR Payment Acceptance Model. *Technology Analysis & Strategic Management*, 27(9), 1031–1049. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09537325.2015.1047757>
- Lin, L. H., Lin, F. C., Lien, C. K., Yang, T. C., Chuang, Y. K., & Hsu, Y. W. (2023). Electronic Payment Behaviors of Consumers under Digital Transformation in Finance—A Case Study of Third-Party Payments. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management*, 16(8). <https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm16080346>
- Luo, S. (2022). Digital Finance Development and the Digital Transformation of Enterprises: Based on the Perspective of Financing Constraint and Innovation Drive. *Journal of Mathematics*, 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/1607020>
- Mathieson, K. (1991). Predicting User Intentions: Comparing the Technology Acceptance Model with the Theory of Planned Behavior. *Information Systems Research*, 2(3), 173–191.
- Mention, A. L. (2019). The Future of Fintech. *Research Technology Management*, 62(4), 59–63. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08956308.2019.1613123>
- Merton, R. C. (1992). Financial Innovation and Economic Performance. *Journal of Applied Corporate Finance*, 4(4), 12–22.

- Ming-Yen Teoh, W., Choy Chong, S., Lin, B., & Wei Chua, J. (2013). Factors Affecting Consumers' Perception of Electronic Payment: An Empirical Analysis. *Internet Research*, 23(4), 465–485.
- Muchran, M., & Ahmar, A. S. (2019). Application of TAM Model to the Use of Information Technology. In *arXiv preprint arXiv:1901.11358*.
- Mufarih, M., Jayadi, R., & Sugandi, Y. (2020). Factors Influencing Customers to Use Digital Banking Application in Yogyakarta, Indonesia. *The Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business*, 7(10), 897–907.
- Ni Luh, D. C., Cahyadi, R., & Pradnyani, L. P. S. P. (2020). Digitalisasi Umkm dengan Menggunakan Pendekatan Toe Model. *E-Jurnal Ekonomi Dan Bisnis Universitas Udayana*, 1132. <https://doi.org/10.24843/eeb.2022.v11.i09.p10>
- Nugraha, D. P., Setiawan, B., Nathan, R. J., & Fekete-Farkas, M. (2022). Fintech Adoption Drivers for Innovation for SMEs in Indonesia. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity*, 8(4), 208.
- Ozturk, I., & Ullah, S. (2022). Does Digital Financial Inclusion Matter for Economic Growth and Environmental Sustainability in OBRI Economies? An Empirical Analysis. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 185, 106489.
- Perkasa, A. T., & Rustam. (2016). Pengaruh Persepsi Kebermanfaatan, Persepsi Kemudahan Penggunaan dan Persepsi Kepercayaan Wajib Pajak terhadap Minat Menggunakan E-Filing sebagai Sarana Pelaporan Pajak (Study Kasus di PT Pelabuhan Indonesia III Cabang Benoa). *Jurnal Ilmiah Mahasiswa FEB*, 4(2).
- Puriwat, W., & Tripopsakul, S. (2021). Explaining an Adoption and Continuance Intention to Use Contactless Payment Technologies: During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Emerging Science Journal*, 5(1), 85–95.
<https://doi.org/10.28991/esj-2021-01260>

- Purwanto, A., Purba, J. T., Bernarto, I., & Sijabat, R. (2021). Effect of Management Innovation, Transformational Leadership, and Knowledge Sharing on Market Performance of Indonesian Consumer Goods Company. *Journal of Applied Management (JAM)*, 19(2).
<https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.21776/ub.jam.2021.019.02.18>
- Rahi, S., Ghani, M. A., & Ngah, A. H. (2020). Factors Propelling the Adoption of Internet Banking: The Role of E-Customer Service, Website Design, Brand Image and Customer Satisfaction. *International Journal of Business Information Systems*, 33(4), 549–569.
- Raza, U., Kulkarni, P., & Sooriyabandara, M. (2017). Low Power Wide Area Networks: An Overview. *Ieee Communications Surveys & Tutorials*, 19(2), 855–873.
- Rogers, E. M. (1983). The Diffusion of Innovations Perspective. *Taking Care: Understanding and Encouraging Self-Protective Behavior*, 79–94.
- Rouibah, K., Al-Qirim, N., Hwang, Y., & Pouri, S. G. (2021). The Determinants of eWoM in Social Commerce: The Role of Perceived Value, Perceived Enjoyment, Trust, Risks, and Satisfaction. *Journal of Global Information Management (JGIM)*, 29(3), 75–102.
- Saputra, I. A. G., Yusuf, A., Hakim, L., & Rohayati, S. (2019). Determination Of Generation Z's Intention in Using E-Wallet for Payment Transactions. *E-Jurnal Akuntansi*, 33, 73.
- Schmidhuber, L., Maresch, D., & Ginner, M. (2020). Disruptive Technologies and Abundance in the Service Sector-Toward a Refined Technology Acceptance Model. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 155, 119328.
- Sekaran, U., & Bougie, R. (2019). *Research Methods for Business: A Skill Building Approach*. John Wiley & Sons.

- Sharma, M., Luthra, S., Joshi, S., & Kumar, A. (2022). Developing a Framework for Enhancing Survivability of Sustainable Supply Chains During and Post-COVID-19 Pandemic. *International Journal of Logistics Research and Applications*, 25(4–5), 433–453.
- Singh, N. (2016). *Electronic Transport Theories: From Weakly to Strongly Correlated Materials*. CRC Press.
- Singh, N., Sinha, N., & Liébana-Cabanillas, F. J. (2020). Determining factors in the adoption and recommendation of mobile wallet services in India: Analysis of the effect of innovativeness, stress to use and social influence. *International Journal of Information Management*, 50, 191–205.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2019.05.022>
- Singh, S., Sahni, M. M., & Kovid, R. K. (2020). What Drives Fintech Adoption? A Multi-Method Evaluation Using an Adapted Technology Acceptance Model. *Management Decision*, 58(8), 1675–1697.
- Singh, S., & Srivastava, R. K. (2018). Predicting the Intention to Use Mobile Banking in India. *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, 36(2), 357–378.
- Sugiyono. (2017). *Metode Penelitian Bisnis: Pendekatan Kuantitatif, Kualitatif, Kombinasi, dan R&D*. Alfabeta.
- Talwar, S., Dhir, A., Khalil, A., Mohan, G., & Islam, A. K. M. N. (2020). Point of Adoption and Beyond. Initial Trust and Mobile-Payment Continuation Intention. *Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services*, 55, 102086.
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2020.102086>
- Tecnocom. (2015). *Tendencias En Medios de Pago 2015*. Telecomunicaciones y Energía.
- Unal, E., & Uzun, A. M. (2021). Understanding University Students' Behavioral Intention to Use Edmodo Through the Lens of an Extended Technology Acceptance Model. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 52(2), 619–637.

- Varma Citrin, A., Sprott, D. E., Silverman, S. N., & Stem Jr, D. E. (2000). Adoption of Internet Shopping: The Role of Consumer Innovativeness. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 100(7), 294–300.
- Venkatesh, V., & Davis, F. D. (1996). A Model of the Antecedents of Perceived Ease of Use: Development and Test. *Decision Sciences*, 27(3), 451–481.
- Venkatesh, V., Thong, J. Y. L., & Xu, X. (2012). Consumer Acceptance and Use of Information Technology: Extending the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology. *MIS Quarterly*, 157–178.
<https://doi.org/10.2307/41410412>
- Yan, M., Filieri, R., & Gorton, M. (2021). Continuance Intention of Online Technologies: A Systematic Literature Review. *International Journal of Information Management*, 58, 102315.
- Yang, F., & Masron, T. A. (2023). Does financial inclusion moderate the effect of digital transformation on banks' performance in China? *Cogent Economics and Finance*, 11(2). <https://doi.org/10.1080/23322039.2023.2267270>
- Zuo, L., Li, H., & Xia, X. (2023). An Empirical Analysis of the Impact of Digital Finance on the Efficiency of Commercial Banks. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 15(5), 1–25. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15054667>

APPENDIX

No	Question
1	You are often the first among your friends to seek out and try new technical products or services.
2	You respond well when confronted with new technical products or services that you are unfamiliar with.
3	You tend to try using new technical products or services without hesitation.
4	You enjoy the challenges that arise when you have to use new technical products or services that you are unfamiliar with.
5	You believe that electronic payment systems are stable and trustworthy.
6	You feel free and without specific concerns when using electronic payment systems.
7	You are someone who is willing to try new things, including electronic payment systems.
8	You often think about whether there are new ways to do things, including payments.
9	You believe that electronic payment systems have strong protection mechanisms, allowing users to feel free in making transactions.
10	You believe that existing legal and regulatory protections are sufficient to safeguard transactions within electronic payment systems.
11	You are confident that encryption technology and other technologies can keep your transactions secure when using electronic payment systems.
12	You feel capable of requesting useful transaction records in an electronic payment system.
13	You believe that electronic payment systems can withstand external attacks, such as hacker attacks.
14	You consider electronic payment systems to be a strong and secure transaction environment.
15	You find the use of electronic payments very beneficial to your current life.
16	You believe that using electronic payments can increase efficiency in financial transactions or related transactions.
17	You feel that electronic payments allow you to conduct financial transactions more conveniently compared to other methods.
18	You believe that others can clearly and easily use electronic payments once you show them how.
19	Overall, you find using electronic payments easy.
20	You would recommend others to use electronic payment services.
21	You are willing to continue using electronic payment services in the future.
22	You believe that the use of electronic payment services will become the primary payment method in the future, replacing cash payments.