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ABSTRACT


This research will examine the process of achieving quality foreman representing contractors, and project quality management which consists of quality planning, quality control and quality assurance, which is used in the evaluation process. After reading this research, the reader gain insight into the process of achieving quality foreman and quality management of construction projects.

This research was conducted using questionnaires and observation method, a data distribution measurements with descriptive analysis, to describe the level of ability of the foreman.

This research reveals that the relationship between the foreman and the ability of education, experience, motivation and discipline in applying quality management in construction projects are very low and not significant. Therefore, the foreman are encouraged to receive training in education, as well as the discipline to implement and improve the performance of foremen and quality management in construction projects.

Keywords: foreman performance and quality management.
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