PROPOSED BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT STRATEGIES BY SWOT ANALYSIS IN CV. ASH-SHAFF OFFSET

A THESIS

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Bachelor of Engineering in Industrial Engineering



JOVITA DEVILASARI 08 14 05526

INTERNATIONAL UNDERGRADUATE PROGRAM
DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING
FACULTY OF INDUSTRIAL TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITAS ATMA JAYA YOGYAKARTA
2013

A THESIS

On

PROPOSED BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT STRATEGIES BY SWOT ANALYSIS IN CV. ASH-SHAFF OFFSET

by

Jovita Devilasari

081405526

was examined and approved on November 4, 2013

Faculty Supervisor,

Co-Faculty Supervisor,

(Ririn Diar A., D.Eng)

(Baju Bawono, S.T., M.T.)

Board of Examiners, Chair,

(Ririn Diar A., D.Eng)

Member,

Member,

(Ign. Luddy Indra P., M.Sc.)

(V. Ariyono, S.T., M.T.)

Yogyakarta, November 4, 2013

Dean of Faculty of Industrial Technology

. Universitàs Atma Jaya Yogyakarta

(IF B. Kristyanto M. Eng., Ph.D.)

DECLARATION OF ORIGINALITY OF RESEARCH

I certify that the research entitled "Proposed Business Development Strategies by SWOT Analysis in CV. Ash-shaff Offset" in this thesis has not already been submitted for any other degree.

I certify that to the best of my knowledge and belief, this thesis which I wrote does not contain the works of parts of the works of other people, except those cited in the quotations and bibliography, as a scientific paper should.

In addition, I certify that I understand and abide the rule stated by the Ministry of Education and Culture The Republic of Indonesia, subject to the provisions of Peraturan Menteri Pendidikan Nasional Republik Indonesia Nomor 17 Tahun 2010 tentang Pencegahan dan Penanggulangan Plagiat di Perguruan Tinggi.

Signature :

Student name : Jovita Devilasari

Student ID : 08 14 05526

Date : November 4, 2013

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In this thesis, the author conducted research in CV. Ash Shaff Offset which is one of *Industri Grafika* in Yogyakarta. This thesis is permitted by Universitas Atma Jaya Yogyakarta as partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of bachelor of engineering.

The author would like to express the deepest appreciation to Allah S.W.T for the guidance and blessing that let her finish the research and this thesis written. A lot of thanks to my husband, my daughter and my family for all of support and greatest love. The author also would like to thank to Mrs. Ririn Diar A., D.Eng and Mr. Baju Bawono, S.T. M.T. as faculty supervisor and co-faculty supervisor of Universitas Atma Jaya Yogyakarta. All other appreciation goes to CV. Ash Shaff Offset that have giving permission and great cooperations in conducting this research and all of my best friends in International Industrial Engineering Program. Last but not least, the author is open for suggestions and criticisms to make this report better. The author hopes this thesis will bring many advantages to the readers.

Yogyakarta, November 4, 2013

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| CHAPTER | TITLE | PAGE |
|---------|--|------|
| | Title Page | i |
| | Approval | ii |
| | Statement of Originality | iii |
| | Acknowledgement | iv |
| | Table of Contents | V |
| | List of Tables | vii |
| | List of Figures | ix |
| | List of Appendices | х |
| | Abstract | xi |
| | | |
| 1 | Introduction | |
| | 1.1. Research Background | 1 |
| | 1.2. Problem Formulation | 5 |
| | 1.3. Research Objectives | 5 |
| | 1.4. Scope and Assumptions of Research | 5 |
| | | |
| 2 | Literature Review and Theoretical Background | 6 |
| | 2.1. Literature Review | 6 |
| | 2.1.1. Previous Research of SWOT analysis | |
| | in Manufacturing Sector | 6 |
| | 2.1.2. Current Research | 7 |
| | 2.1.3. Differences Between Previous and | |
| | Current Research | 8 |
| | 2.2. Theoretical Background | 11 |
| | 2.2.1. Industri Grafika Definition | 11 |
| | 2.2.2. Customer Satisfaction | 11 |
| | 2.2.3. Questionnaires Design | 16 |
| | 2.2.4. Importance Performance Analysis | 19 |
| | 2.2.5. SWOT Analysis | 21 |
| | 2.2.6. Strategic Management | 21 |
| 3 | Research Methodology | 32 |
| | 3.1. Conducting Preliminary Research | 32 |

| | 3.2. Problem and Research Objective Formulation | 32 |
|---|---|-----|
| | 3.3. Literature Review | 32 |
| | 3.4. Customer Satisfaction Measurement | 32 |
| | 3.5. Strategy Formulation | 36 |
| | 3.5.1. The Input Stage of Strategy Formulation | 38 |
| | 3.5.2. The Matching Stage of Strategy Formulation | 38 |
| | 3.5.3. The Decision Stage of Strategy Formulation | 38 |
| | | |
| 4 | Company Overview and Customer Satisfaction | |
| | Measurement | 40 |
| | 4.1. Company Overview of CV. Ash Shaff Offset | 40 |
| | 4.1.1. Company Profile | 40 |
| | 4.1.2. Organizational Structure | 41 |
| | 4.1.3. Production Process | 44 |
| | 4.2. Customer Satisfaction Measurement | 45 |
| | 4.2.1. Designing Questionnaires | 46 |
| | 4.2.2. Data Collection | 50 |
| | 4.2.3. Data Analysis | 52 |
| | | |
| 5 | Strategy Formulation | 62 |
| | 5.1. The Input Stage of Strategy Formulation | 62 |
| | 5.1.1. External Environment Analysis | 62 |
| | 5.1.2. Internal Environment Analysis | 79 |
| | 5.1.3. EFE Matrix | 94 |
| | 5.1.4. IFE Matrix | 96 |
| | 5.2. The Matching Stage of Strategy Formulation | 97 |
| | 5.2.1. Internal-External Matrix | 97 |
| | 5.2.2. SWOT Matrix | 98 |
| | 5.3. The Decision Stage of Strategy Formulation | |
| | (Quantitative Strategy Planning Matrix) | 105 |
| | | |
| 6 | Conclusion | 109 |
| | Bibliography | 112 |
| | Annendices | 116 |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table 1.1. | Total Sales of CV. Ash Shaff Offset per Year in 2010, 2011, 2012 | 2 |
|------------|--|----|
| Table 1.2. | Total Customer of CV. Ash Shaff Offset per year in 2010, 2011, 2012 (Data Processed) | 2 |
| Table 1.3. | Complaint List of CV. Ash Shaff Offset Periods 1 January 2012- 31 December 2012 | 3 |
| Table 2.1 | Differences between Previous and Current Research | 8 |
| | Types of Strategies According to David (2005) | 23 |
| | Types of Strategies According to Generic Strategies of | |
| 14510 2.0. | Michael Porter (David, 2005) | 23 |
| Table 4.1 | Customer Requirements towards Services, Product | |
| 14515 1.11 | Quality and Price | 46 |
| Table 4.2 | Validity Test Result | 49 |
| | Reliability Test Result | 50 |
| | IPA GAP Calculation | 53 |
| | IPA GAP Calculation each Quality Dimension | 54 |
| | Summary of IPA Analysis | 56 |
| | GDP Indonesia (trilliun rupiah) Berdasarkan Lapangan | |
| | Usaha | 63 |
| Table 5.2. | Perkembangan PDRB Yogyakarta Sisi Penawaran | |
| | 2012- I*2013 | 64 |
| Table 5.3. | Perkembangan PDRB Provinsi DIY Berdasarkan Sektor | |
| | Usaha (%), 2008-2011 | 65 |
| Table 5.4. | Tingkat Inflasi DIY (2012 - I*2013) | 67 |
| | Projeksi Sensus Jumlah Populasi Berdasarkan Kelompok | |
| | Umur Provinsi D.I. Yogyakarta 2011-2013 (x1000 jiwa) | 69 |
| Table 5.6. | Perubahan Harga BBM Bersubsidi (1993 - 2011) | 72 |
| | Comparison Printing Machines between New Competitors | |
| | and CV. Ash Shaff Offset | 75 |
| Table 5.8. | The Suppliers of CV.Ash Shaff Offset | 76 |
| | Comparison of Printing Machine Investment Between | |
| | CV. Ash Shaff Offset and The Competitors | 78 |
| Table 5.10 | Printing Operators Working Period (Data Processed) | 80 |

| Table 5.11. IP Analysis in Performance Quality Dimension of | |
|--|-----|
| Product Quality | 80 |
| Table 5.12. IP Analysis in Features and Aesthetics Quality Dimension | |
| of Product Quality | 81 |
| Table 5.13. IP Analysis in Reliability Quality Dimension of Services | 82 |
| Table 5.14. IP Analysis in Conformity Quality Dimension of Product | |
| Quality | 83 |
| Table 5.15. Machines and Equipments Facilities of CV. Ash Shaff | |
| Offset | 84 |
| Table 5.16. IP Analysis in Price Section | 85 |
| Table 5.17. IP Analysis in Tangible Quality Dimension of Services | 86 |
| Table 5.18. IP Analysis in Performance, Reliability, Durability, | |
| Perceived Quality Quality Dimension of Product Quality | 87 |
| Table 5.19. Top 5 Loyal Customer Obtained by Marketing Staff | 88 |
| Table 5.20. IP Analysis in Services Quality Dimension | 89 |
| Table 5.21. IP Analysis in Services Section | 89 |
| Table 5.22. Paper Stock Prevail 29 July 2013 | 91 |
| Table 5.23. Waiting List of Laminating and Hot Print Machines | |
| Prevail 29 July 2013. | 93 |
| Table 5.24. EFE Matrix for CV. Ash Shaff Offset | 95 |
| Table 5.25. IFE Matrix for CV. Ash Shaff Offset | 96 |
| Table 5.26. Sum Total Attractiveness Score for each Alternative | |
| Strategies | 107 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure 1.1. Sales Graph of CV. Ash Shaff Offset in 2010, 2011, 2012 | 2 |
|---|----|
| Figure 2.1. Importance Performance Matrix | |
| (Yang, Chou,and Ding, 2011) | 21 |
| Figure 2.2. Strategy-Formulation Analytical Framework (David, 2005) | 25 |
| Figure.2.3. Porter's Value Chain Model (Lauridsen, 2011) | 27 |
| Figure 2.4. SWOT Matrix (David, 2005) | 29 |
| Figure 2.7. IE Matrix (David, 2005) | 30 |
| Figure 2.8. QSP Matrix (David, 2005) | 31 |
| Figure 3.1. Research Methodology | 39 |
| Figure 4.1. Organizational Structure of CV. Ash Shaff Offset | 43 |
| Figure 4.2. The Job of CV. Ash Shaff Offset Customer | 51 |
| Figure 4.3. The Interest of CV. Ash Shaff Offset Customer | 51 |
| Figure 4.4. The Information Source of CV. Ash Shaff Offset Customer | 51 |
| Figure 4.5. The Consideration of CV. Ash Shaff Offset Customer | 52 |
| Figure 4.6. IPA Map | 55 |
| Figure 5.1. Pertumbuhan Ekonomi ASEAN, China, India (2002-2012) | 63 |
| Figure 5.2. Pertumbuhan Ekonomi Provinsi DIY (%), 2006-2011 | 64 |
| Figure 5.3. Tingkat Inflasi Indonesia (2008-2012) | 66 |
| Figure 5.4. Tingkat Inflasi Indonesia (Oktober,2012 - Juni,2013) | 66 |
| Figure 5.5. USD-IDR Exchange Rate 60 Day History | 68 |
| Figure 5.6. Kepadatan Penduduk Berdasarkan Wilayah Yogyakarta | |
| (2010) | 69 |
| Figure 5.7. Comparison of Private Colleges, Hospitals, And Home | |
| Scale Publisher Number in Yogyakarta | 71 |
| Figure 5.8. Tarif Daftar Listrik untuk Industri Berlaku Mulai | |
| 1 Oktober 2013 | 73 |
| Figure 5.9. The Website of New Competitor | 75 |
| Figure 5.10.The Website of Competitor | 78 |
| Figure 5.11. Production Schedule of CV. Ash Shaff Offset | 81 |
| Figure 5.12. Printing Order Form of CV. Ash Shaff Offset | 83 |
| Figure 5.13. Worker Working Period Chart | 93 |
| Figure 5.14. IE Matrix for CV. Ash Shaff Offset | 98 |

LIST OF APPENDICES

| Appendix 1 | Questionnaire design of customer satisfaction measurement | |
|------------|---|--|
| Appendix 2 | Pilot test data of customer satisfaction measurement | |
| Appendix 3 | Correlation tabel of r Pearson | |
| Appendix 4 | Importance and performace rating of customer satisfaction | |
| | measurement | |
| Appendix 5 | EFE weighting calculation | |
| Appendix 6 | IFE weighting calculation | |
| Appendix 7 | SWOT matrix | |
| Appendix 8 | QSP matrix | |

ABSTRACT

Recently, positives growth of *industri grafika* is followed by intense competitions between companies of this industry. CV. Ash Shaff Offset is one of *industri grafika* that is facing intense competition. In addition, there are several dissatisfaction of customers towards the performance of this company. These conditions lead failure in achieving income target of this company due to high customer turnover and customer replacement cost. This company has not action to overcome the problems and develop strategy which concern to the problem yet. Mean while, the company have to develop the existing business which relates to the improvement of machines investment and another resources.

In this research was proposed several strategies by conducting Strengths Weaknesses Opportunities Threats (SWOT) Analysis. In addition, Importance Performance Analysis (IPA) method is used to this research as a basis to evaluate the dissatisfaction of customers (services and product quality) and price as a sensitive factor in the middle of intense competition. The result of IPA was included to internal analysis during the input stage of strategic formulation.

The strategy formulation was conducted through analysis of internal and external key factors of the company, constructing several matrixs including: External Factor Evaluation (EFE), Internal Factor Evaluation (IFE), Internal-External (IE), SWOT and Quantitative Strategy Planning (QSP). Several strategies that can be proposed based on the prioritity were market penetration strategy, horizontal integration strategy, focus strategy, product development strategy, cost leadership strategy, human resource development strategy, partnering in the form of Customer Relationship Management (CRM) information sharing, and develop the office strategy.

