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Abstract

Management Accounting can be defined as management-oriented accounting or accounting in relation to management function. The rapid changing of business environment lately is significantly changing the management accounting practices and the role of management accountant in an organization. Management accounting should move from administrative level to the strategic ones, from “bean counters” to “business partners”. The main focus of management accounting has always been to improve the organization performance and profitability by providing relevant information for planning, controlling and decision making.

The research’s samples are 46 manufacturing companies throughout Jogyakarta, that consist of 30 medium-scale companies and 16 big-scale companies. This paper try to provide evidence from management accountant who work in manufacturing companies. The research also try to measure whether the management accounting practices, the role management accountant and the factors drives the changes are differ between the two groups.

By using two variables in measuring the management accounting practices, the result indicated that Budgeting was consider the most important managerial tools in management accounting practices for both medium and big-scale companies. However, there was a significantly difference in management accounting task. the most important task for management accounting for medium scale-companies was increasing profit (83,3%) but preparing the budget was for big-scale ones (93,8%). We can conclude that, in general, the management accounting practices were still on traditional perspectives.

Compare to their peers, management accountant position was perceived as the 4th rank (33,3%) among them in medium scale companies but in the 3rd rank (31,3%) for the big ones. Consistent to the first finding, this research also found that management accountant still perceived as operational managers for all respondents, 100% big-scale and 95,7% medium-scale companies perceived management accountants as the budget preparer and cost controller. The research also revealed that there was a significantly difference in perceiving the factors drives the management accountant’s role in organizations. The development of accounting information technology (accounting software) was consider the most important factor for big-scale (68,8%), whereas the market demand on product quality was perceived as the most important factor for medium scale companies (53,3%).

Key words: management accounting, management accountant, budget preprer, cost controller, strategic role.
A. Introduction

Hilton and Platt (2011) stated that management accounting is the process of identifying, measuring, analyzing, interpreting and communicating information in pursuit of organization’s goals. Management accounting is integral part of management process. Hilton and Platt (2011) also stated that management accountants are important strategic partners in an organization’s domestic and international management teams. Usually, the larger the organization is, the greater is management’s need for information. The term management accounting is consisting of two words ‘management’ and ‘accounting’. The word ‘management’ refers to all level managers in the organization. The primary purpose of management accounting in the organization is to help management doing their function by collecting, processing, and communicating information. The word ‘accounting’ not only refer to a mere record of business transaction but also cover other field of study.

Mahfar and Omar (2004) stated that management accounting form an integral part of the management process in an organization, where it provides essential information to the business in its planning, evaluating, controlling and decision making process. It is through management accounting that the managers get the tools for doing their functions. However, traditional management accounting has been criticized because they merely focus on internal process rather than dealing with external problems such as managing the competition, generating customer value and creating competitive advantages. The rapid changes of business environment recently into global, competitive and turbulence business environment give significant impact to any type of corporation, either manufacturing or non-manufacturing company, either big, medium or small company and either profit oriented or non-profit company.

According to the International Federation of Accountants (1998) in Kader and Luther (2004), there are four sequential stages that describe the evolution of management accounting orientation. The first stage was cost determination and financial control (pre 1950). The focus of management accounting in that period was calculating product costs that supplemented by budgets and financial control of production process. The second stages was information for management planning and control (pre 1965). At that time, management controls were oriented toward manufacturing and internal administrative rather than strategic consideration. The third stage was reduction of resource waste in business processes (by 1985). Kader and Luther (2004) stated that at that period the challenge for management accounting, as the primary providers of information, was to ensure that appropriate information was available to support management at all levels. The last stage was creating of value through effective resource use (by 1995) that was to be achieve by the use of technologies to examine the drivers of customer value, stakeholder value and organizational innovation.

Wiweru, Hoque and Uliana (2005) stated that there are several evidences on the changes of management accounting practices in developed countries. Libby and Waterhouse in Wiweru, Hoque and Uliana (2005) have reported that in Canada 31% management accounting system have changed in the last three years. Burns et.al (1999) in Wiweru, Hoque and Uliana (2005) argued that there have been significant changes in management account practices in the UK during the last decade. How about in developing
countries, such as Indonesia? There are several studies that try to reveal the management accounting practices in several developing countries, such as in Nigeria and Malaysia.

B. Literature Review and Research Proposition

Management Accounting Practices

Several accounting literatures stated that environment where management accounting practices are changing. Yazdifar and Tsamenyi (2005) stated that they were a flurry of books and articles aimed at developing the new (advanced) management accounting techniques. Waweru, Hoque and Uliana (2005) mentioned that the recent management accounting literature suggest that the environment in which management accounting is practiced certainly appears to have changed with advanced in information technology, highly competitive environments, economic recession. The new management accounting techniques include activity based costing, target costing, kaizen costing, balance scorecard and others. Abdel-Kader and Luther (2006) described that the most notable innovative management accounting techniques are activity based techniques, strategic management accounting and the balance scorecard.

Chan (2002), in Mahfar and Omar (2004) found that Singapore companies were ineffective in the use of costing tools and that the coal Singapore companies were reluctant to use advanced management accounting techniques such as Total Quality Management (TQM) and Activity Based Costing (ABC). Adelegan (2004) also in Mahfar and Omar (2004) found that management accounting practices in developing country of Nigeria was still concerned with the process of cost determination and financial control using budget. Nishimura (2002), Rahman, Tew and Omar (2002) and Omar, Rahman and Abidin (2002) in Mahfar and Omar (2004) provided the similar findings. Nishimura (2002) Mahfar and Omar (2004) revealed that advanced management techniques have almost never been used by Japanese’s companies that affiliates with Singapore, Malaysia and Thailand. Rahman, Tew and Omar (2002) in Mahfar and Omar (2004) mentioned that Small medium Industries in Klang Valley Malaysia still relying on the simple and less complicated management accounting practices, such as budget and standard costing.

The management accountants’ role in organization

There have been changes over the last 30 years in the way the management accountant’s role is characterized. From bean counters to business partners (Baldvinsdottir, et.al, 2009 and Malmie, 2001). The main focus of management accountants has always been improving organizations’ performance and profitability. Traditionally, management accountant has been invariably relegated to a role of organizational cost keeping and budgeting and on delights of process costing and budgetary variance analysis. (Misraha, 2011). According to Devie, Tarigan and Kunto (2008) management accountant is intended satisfy top level management need and to motivate in achieving organization’s objectives. Kaplan and Atkinson (1998) also stated that management accountants are no longer mere scorekeepers of past performance but become value adding members of management teams. The management accountant should be highly skilled and important members of
management team. (Cooper, 1996). Management accountants today is metamorphosing from bean counters into financial and strategic managers

Devie, Tarigan and Kunto (2008) explained that there are four types of management accountant role in an organization, as an administrator, as a doer, as a conceptor and as an actor. A management accountant play role as an administrator if he or she does the administrative or bookkeeping tasks such as recording transaction or being a cashier. Management accountant would be a doer if he or she run accounting system in day-to-day operational activities. The next role is as a conceptor. A management accountant can be classify as a conceptor if he or she has higher understanding level of accounting concept but the concept has not become important in the organization. Finally, Devi, Tarigan and Kunto (2008) mentioned that a management accountant can be an actor if he or she concern in strategy level or provide information to top-level manager in doing strategic decision planning and decision making.

Baldvinsdottir et.al. (2009) described the role of a management accountant in an organization management accountants felt differ to the non-financial managers because other managers did not fully understand and how to use the financial information. The financial data usually were held in accounting system department. At that period, management accountants feel that they have to educate their non-financial managers in using the accounting information. In the nineties, the financial data are available in all levels of business. Management accountants worked together with other managers to find out the best for the company. Management accountants were no longer distinguish themselves from the non-financial managers. They consider themselves as the member of management team. In the naughtiest, the rapid changes in Information Technology made information are available throughout organization. Management accountants should be partners with other managers. They should work together hard in hand in achieving corporate business strategies. Sometimes, it is rare to find an individual within organization with the title as “management accountant”, but there is always an individual who do the management accountant functions.

The rapid changing of business environment recently into global, competitive and turbulence business environment give significant impact to how people doing their business in any type of corporation, either manufacturing or non-manufacturing company, either big, medium or small company and either profit oriented or non-profit company. In today’s rapid changes, every organization must continuously ensure its sustainability in global market. Companies must able to compete nationally and internationally in order to sustain in the market. Management accountings practices have to assure that information provided to managers are relevant and useful in doing their jobs. In summary, this research is to address the management accounting practices today in medium and big-scale manufacturing companies, the management accountant role in the organization and the factors that drive the changing role of management accountant. Literature foresees new management accounting techniques and changes in organizational and business environments having a huge impact on management accountants’ roles, yet empirical evidence on fundamental shifts in these roles remains relatively scarce (Burns & Baldvinsdottir, 2005 in Lambert and Sponen, 2009).

In summary, our objectives are to address the following research propositions: (1) What are the management accounting practices in Yogyakarta Manufacturing companies, is
there any differences between medium-scale and big-scale companies?, (2) What are the role of management accountants in the organization and the (3) What are the factors drives the changing role of management accountants.

C. Data collection and Analysis

A questionnaire survey was used to collect the data. The samples of this research were manufacturing companies which selected by using convenience sampling. Convenience sampling is a non-probability sampling technique where subjects selected based on their convenient accessibility and proximity to the researcher. Convenience sampling involves collecting information from members of the population who are conveniently available to provide this information. (Sekaran, 2003). The subjects selected just because they are easiest to asses and they are willing to participate in this research. This sampling technique is fast, inexpensive, easy and the subjects are readily available. There are 2 respondents in this survey: Management accountant or individual who fuction as account in the organization and management accountant supervisor.

A total of 46 manufacturing companies located in Yogyakarta were participated in this research. The samples consist of 65% (30 companies) were medium-scale and 35% (16 companies) were big-scale companies. 52% (24 companies) were sold their product to other countries, whereas 48% (22 companies) sold their product locally. According to Indonesian Central Bureau of Statistic, a company can be classified as micro business if it has less than 3 employees, a small-scale if it has 3-19 employees, a medium-scale if it has 20 – 99 employees and as a big-scale company if it has more than 100 employees. This study use this classification because it is very easy to get the information on the number of employees rather information on sales revenue or profit per year. All participated companies could identified the number of their employes easily and accurately.

Because the survey was confined to a local area, the data were collected by using Personally Administered Questionnaires. The main advantage of this method is that the researcher or research assistances can collect all the completed responses within a short period. Another advantage is that Personally Administered Questionnaire also avoid any confusion at the spot. Any doubts that the respondents might have on any question can be clarified directly at that time. The researcher afforded the opportunity to introduce the research topic and motivate the respondents to answer the questions correctly.

The Questionnaire consisted of four parts, the first part covered the geneeral information of sample companies, the 2nd part asked to the role of management accountant from their subordinates' perception, the 3rd asked about management accounting practices and the last part asking the factors drive the changing role. There are 2 variables in measuring management accounting practices, they are management accounting tasks and management accounting tools/techniques (Yazdifar and Tsamenyi, 2005). The questionnaires asking respondents to rate either “vitaliy important” or “average important” or “Negligible” on 12 management accounting tasks and 11 management accounting tools. Using the same scale, management accountant s as respondents asked to rate the 11 factors drives their changing role. Finally, Mann whitney U test was used to test the differences between the two groups (medium scale and big-scale companies).
D. Research Findings

Management Accounting Practices

Management accounting practices are measured by two variables, management accounting tasks and management accounting tools/techniques. The analysis represented in table 1 and 2. Table 1 described the management accounting tasks and table 2 described management accounting tools.

Table 1 indicate that overall sample companies consider that preparing the budget or budgeting is the most vital management accounting tasks right now. However, the analysis also revealed that for medium-scale, the most important management accounting tasks is profit improvements, whereas preparing the budget was on the top three. For the most Mann Whitney U test also demonstrate that there are a statistically difference between two groups sample companies in perceiving two management accounting tasks (budgeting and fixed assets planning). It means that respondents in big-scale companies have stronger support of using budgeting and fixed assets planning than those in medium-scale companies. However, it can be seen that there are no significantly differences for other 10 management accounting tasks between those two groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Management accounting tasks</th>
<th>Medium-Scale (M)</th>
<th>Big-scale (B)</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>MW Test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VI</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>VI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Performance Evaluation</td>
<td>26.7%</td>
<td>70.0%</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial/Cost Control</td>
<td>73.3%</td>
<td>26.7%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>87.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profit Improvements</td>
<td>83.3%</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>68.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budgeting</td>
<td>53.3%</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
<td>93.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed Assets Investment Planning</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tactical Decision making</td>
<td>23.3%</td>
<td>43.3%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>37.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Productivity/Efficiency Improvement</td>
<td>46.7%</td>
<td>43.3%</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
<td>56.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generating Customer Value</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>46.7%</td>
<td>36.7%</td>
<td>18.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product Quality Management</td>
<td>43.3%</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuous Improvement</td>
<td>30.0%</td>
<td>63.3%</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
<td>43.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Managing customer/supplier relationships</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>46.7%</td>
<td>13.3%</td>
<td>37.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Managing Information Technology</td>
<td>26.7%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>23.3%</td>
<td>62.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: VI=Vitaly Important. AI=Average Important. N=negligible. MW=Mann Whitney

For the 2nd variable, the respondents were asked to state the perceive important of 13 management accounting tools/techniques needed in performing their tasks. The result presented in table 2 indicate that the most important tools in all sample companies was budget. This tools was perceived as the most important tool for both medium and big-scale companies. This result is in line to Adelegan (2002), Nishimura (in Mahfar and Omar (2004). All the big-companies (100%) consider the budget as the most important
management accounting tool. The top-three for medium scale were budget, Total Quality Management and Cost Variance analysis. The top-three for big-scale companies were Budget, Cost variance analysis and Standard costing. The analysis of statistical test of difference by using Mann Whitney U test show a statistically difference between two group in using Budget. It means that respondents in big-scale companies rated of budget higher than those in medium-scale companies.

Table 2 also provided information that advance management accounting tools did not consider as an important tools in managing the corporation. It indicates that medium and big-scale manufacturing companies in Jogjakarta are still rely on traditional accounting tools. Activity based costing or ABC only perceived as vitally important tools by 13.3% medium-scale and 37.5% big-scale companies, whereas the balance scorecard also gain the same perception. This result explain the same situation for others advanced management accounting tools such as balance scorecard, cost driver analysis, value added analysis and target costing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Management Accounting Tools</th>
<th>Medium-Scale (M)</th>
<th>Big-scale (B)</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>MW test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VI</td>
<td>AI</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>VI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budgets</td>
<td>56.7%</td>
<td>43.3%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost Variance Analysis</td>
<td>40.1%</td>
<td>56.6%</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Costing</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>63.3%</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity Based Costing (ABC)</td>
<td>23.3%</td>
<td>73.3%</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>37.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance Scorecard</td>
<td>26.7%</td>
<td>63.3%</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Quality Management</td>
<td>43.3%</td>
<td>56.7%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Forecasting</td>
<td>30.0%</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Just In Time</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>30.0%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>31.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost Driver analysis</td>
<td>23.3%</td>
<td>63.3%</td>
<td>13.3%</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target Costing</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>43.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value added analysis</td>
<td>10.0%</td>
<td>73.3%</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: VI=vitaly Important, AI=Average Important, N=negligible. MW=Mann Whitney

Management Accountant Role

The management accountants' role were measure by subordinates' perception on (a) the rank of management accountant among their peers and (b) management accountants' role. Respondents, who were top managers, were asked to rank between 1-7, the role of management accountant compare to other managers in managing their organization. Their answer are summarize in table 3 below. The result indicate that the role of management accountants are in the 3rd rank in big-scale companies but in the 4th rank in the medium-scale companies. It seems that the role of management accountants are perceived less than other managers in the sample companies, such as: marketing managers, operational managers, Human Resources managers, Purchasing managers and Information Technology Managers etc.
Table 3
Management accountants rank Perceived by their subordinate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>All</th>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>Big</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st</td>
<td>15.2%</td>
<td>13.3%</td>
<td>18.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>23.9%</td>
<td>26.7%</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd</td>
<td>24.0%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>31.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4th</td>
<td>23.9%</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5th</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
<td>25.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 5th</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Regarding the management Role, respondent asked to state their perception on management accountants’ role. Table 4 obviously declared that medium-scale and big-scale companies have the same perception. All respondent consider management accountant more as cost controller and budget preparer. The result indicate that management accountant still treated as bean counter in some companies, especially in medium-scale ones (66.7%). The result also revealed that only few companies that have consider management accountants as business partner. From sample companies, only 26.1% of medium-scale and 23.3% of big-scale have consider their management accountants as a business partners.

Table 4
Management Accountant’s Role Perceived by their subordinate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>All</th>
<th>Medium</th>
<th>Big</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cost Controller</td>
<td>95.7%</td>
<td>93.3%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Budget Preparer</td>
<td>95.7%</td>
<td>93.3%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial analyst</td>
<td>76.1%</td>
<td>70.0%</td>
<td>87.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number Cruncher</td>
<td>67.4%</td>
<td>76.7%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bean Counters</td>
<td>66.6%</td>
<td>66.7%</td>
<td>45.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Analyst</td>
<td>45.7%</td>
<td>46.7%</td>
<td>43.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Partner</td>
<td>26.1%</td>
<td>23.3%</td>
<td>31.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Factors driving changing in Management Accounting Practices and Management accountants’ Role

Management accountants, as respondents were asked to rate to evaluate whether the 11 driving factor as ‘vitaly important’ or ‘average important’ or ‘negligible’ (Yazdifar and Tsamenyi, 2005). As is evidence, the highest rate factor is accounting software development (68.8%) for big-scale companies and Quality oriented market (53.3%) for medium-scale companies. Respondents in big-scale companies also perceived that Information technology development, the new management style and new accounting tools/techniques also have strong impact on the changes. It can be seen that there is no negligible perception on information technologies development on big-scale companies.

It Seems that medium companies did not consider technology and new management style as vital important factors that changing the management accounting practices and management accountants’ role. They perceived that quality oriented market,
economic globalization and new management accounting tools are more important factors than others. The highest rate factors that drive those changes are market demand on quality of product and services. There is a statistically significant difference (p<0.011) in the perceived impact of accounting software development and new management style (0.005) between medium-scale and big-scale companies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change driver</th>
<th>Medium Scale (M)</th>
<th>Big Scale (B)</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>MW test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VI (%)</td>
<td>AI (%)</td>
<td>N (%)</td>
<td>VI (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Technology</td>
<td>30.0%</td>
<td>63.3%</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
<td>62.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic Globalization</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>56.7%</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>31.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advanced Manufacturing Technology</td>
<td>30.0%</td>
<td>56.7%</td>
<td>13.3%</td>
<td>31.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounting Software development</td>
<td>30.0%</td>
<td>43.3%</td>
<td>26.7%</td>
<td>69.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality Oriented market</td>
<td>53.3%</td>
<td>46.7%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>43.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Regulation</td>
<td>6.7%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
<td>43.3%</td>
<td>31.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External Financial Reporting Standards</td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>26.7%</td>
<td>43.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Environment</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>60.0%</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>12.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Rights awareness</td>
<td>20.0%</td>
<td>63.3%</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>37.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New management style</td>
<td>23.3%</td>
<td>60.0%</td>
<td>16.7%</td>
<td>62.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Accounting tools/techniques</td>
<td>40.0%</td>
<td>46.7%</td>
<td>13.3%</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: VI=Vitally Important, AI=Average Important, N=Negligible, MW=Mann Whitney

E. Conclusion and Discussion

The result of the analysis revealed that management accounting practices in Yogyakarta’s manufacturing companies were dominated by traditional management accounting practices, however the most important practices was differ between medium and big-scale companies. The most vitally important management accounting practices was profit improvement for medium and budgeting for big-scale. There also significantly difference between the two groups in terms budgeting and fixed assets investment planning. Consistent to the first finding, the budgets were also consider as the most vitally important management accounting tools for those two groups. The traditional management accounting tools were perceived more important rather than the contemporary ones. This finding obviously support the use of traditional management accounting in manufacturing companies recently. The result findings were in line to research done by Rahman, Tew and Omar (2002), Adelegan (2004), Nishimura (2002), Rahman, Tew and Omar (2002) and Omar, Rahman and Abidin (2002) in Mahfizar and Omar (2004)

Management accountants in Yogyakarta must change their role become more strategic role rather than administrative or operational role. The result indicated that management accountants in Yogyakarta more emphasizing in traditional roles. Their roles were perceived less important than peers. They have to promote the use of contemporary or advanced management accounting tools in order to enhance the quality of management.
accounting information. They have considered several factors that can drive the changes, such as technology for big-scale companies and the quality demand for medium-scale.

Besides that, it needs to work closely with the accounting education in preparing their students to be the qualified management accountants in the future. Accounting curriculum should be developed consistently to the changing role of accountants. Accounting Education must equip their student with capabilities in coping with the rapid changing of the business environment in order they can always provide relevant management accounting information to managers.
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ABSTRACT

Quality is a critical success factor for achieving competitive advantage in today's market. Any serious attempt to improve quality must take into account the costs associated with achieving quality. Quality-related costs represent a considerable proportion of a company's total costs and sales. Measuring and reporting these costs should be considered a critical issue for any manager who aims to achieve competitiveness in today's markets.

The purposes of this research were to identify and analyze data concerning the practices of Small Medium Enterprises (SME's) to control Costs of Quality. Mapping the costs of quality will be benefit to management for the strategic decision making, and for decision-makers in government to provide intensive assistance program in order to improve the quality of products for accelerating quality improvement program.

The data were collected from SME's throughout Yogyakarta Special Region Province, Indonesia. The research concluded that the costs of quality in all surveyed companies categorized cost of quality (CoQ) as prevention, appraisal, and failure costs. The costs of quality were allocated as prevention cost 38.79%, appraisal cost 29.93% and failure cost 24.14% (internal failure cost 15.92% and external failure cost 8.22%). The result indicated most of SME's more focus on appraisal costs than prevention costs. It proved that they are still concerning to operational activities rather than preparing the precaution of quality in the planning at the beginning process (lack of prevention in a previous stage). In a study of SME's in Yogyakarta revealed that majority all SME's spent the quality cost on controlling cost (68.72% of total quality cost) in order to decrease failure cost. It also reported that the costs of quality at 4.81 % of sales (on average).

Keywords: Quality, Costs of Quality (CoQ), Quality Cost Reporting, SME's
1. INTRODUCTION

The Local Autonomy Director General of the Ministry of State Affairs, Djohermansyah, stated that 60 percent of Indonesian products performed weak competitiveness within the ASEAN region. Meanwhile, still according to him, there were only 7 percent of Indonesian products that performed high competitiveness against Chinese products, 29 percent performed moderate competitiveness and the rest, 55 percent, performed low competitiveness (www.gatra.com, 2012). The importance of increasing product competitiveness was also emphasised by President Susilo Bambang Yudoyono in his welcome remark in the Government Awards in Industry 2011, taking place in the State Palace, on Thursday, January 1st. He stated that local products had to be cheaper and more efficient so that they were affordable by people’s purchasing power. “It does not stop there, however. We also have to keep the quality of the products (good) (www.presidenri.go.id, 2012)

Quality is, indeed, the main key of industry in Indonesia to be able to survive in the onslaught of imported goods and to penetrate the world market. Nowadays electronic appliances, clothes, foods, toys fresh vegetables and fruits are all imported. In the era of fierce competition in industry like today, product quality has been something that is highly needed to win the competition. Manufacturing products is easy to do. However, manufacturing quality products and having capability to compete with other products need extraordinary efforts, let alone in the era of globalisation and free trade where it is impossible for us to stop the influx of foreign products. One of the ways of contending against it is by increasing competitiveness of Indonesian products by offering excellent quality products.

Increasing the competitiveness of Indonesian products requires active role of all stakeholders, such as the government, business community, academicians and the public at large. The government plays an important role through its regulations that side with local products. There are numerous factors that influence the competitiveness of Indonesian manufacturing products, both external and internal ones. Other than price, quality is also a major factor that determines capability of a product to compete with its competitor. Neither does quality happen out of the blue nor comes all of a sudden. It requires considerable sacrifice, hard work and seriousness to achieve it.

Quality has been consumers’ demand in the era of global competition. Their demand of quality varies, depending on their needs, purchasing power and tastes. Quality can be judged both objectively and subjectively. It is objective if compared to other similar products and it is subjective if seen from customers’ or consumers point of view. Quality can be defined as fitness for use, durable, complying with requirement set by companies or satisfying the hope of customers and so on. In general sense, a product or service is said to have good quality if it complies with the design or specification and satisfies or exceeds the hope of customers at a competitive price that consumers are willing to pay. It is quality judgement by subjective point of view that becomes a challenge for companies to be able to win global competition.

Unlike in developed countries, the focus on quality done by companies in developing countries, including Indonesia, is still limited. Mandal et. al. (2000) stated that level of competition
happenings in developing countries was different from that in developed countries due to strong
interference of the government through various regulations it has issued.

Quality becomes prime attention of all kinds of organisation, both profit and non profit
oriented ones, manufacturing companies or services, large, medium and small scale companies.
Full attention to quality will create positive impacts on organisations through two elements,
namely: impact on the decrease of production cost and revenue increase. Quality products are only
generated through good organisation internal process, one of which is the application of quality
management systems or programmes. The choosing of quality management systems or
programmes that will be applied is influenced by level of internal needs and motivation of each
organisation (Magd and Curry, 2003).

The impact on production cost occurs through the process of manufacturing products that
has high degree of conformance of the existing standard and, hence, is free from damage that
might occur. It means prevention of excess and inefficiency of production cost that accordingly
leads to more competitive products. Quality product will have some distinctions that are able to
increase customers’ satisfaction about the products. It will increase the sale of the products, which
also means increasing market share so that eventually it will increase revenue of the company.

Quality has become a crucial competitive dimension for a manufacturing or service
company. When a company applies increase and improvement of quality programmes there will be
a need of monitoring and reporting about the progress of the programmes. Management of the
company needs to understand the meaning of costs of quality. Reporting and measuring
performance is considerably essential for the success of the quality improvement programmes that
are being executed. The basic requirement of this reporting is the measurement of costs of quality.

A system of reporting costs of quality becomes important if an organisation is serious with
improvement and quality control costs. The first step in creating the system is by reporting current
actual costs of quality. Detailed list of actual costs of quality for each category will be able to give
two kinds of information, namely (1) showing the amount spent for each category of costs of
quality and their impact on profit, (2) showing costs of quality distribution with a category that
enables managers assess the relative interests of each category.

Based on the reasons described above, this research intended to identify all elements of
costs of quality spent by companies in manufacturing industry in their efforts to manage quality
activities in their companies. It was expected that by identifying all elements of costs of quality this
research would be able to create classification and grouping that eventually would obtain a profile
of costs of quality in manufacturing industry in Indonesia, particularly in Central Java and
Yogyakarta Special Region Province.

The profiling of costs of quality would then become information for the management of
companies in managing the costs in a strategic manner as their effort to control the entire costs the
companies had to spend. In addition, the information that this costs of quality profile provide can be
used as a material for the government’s policy makers to provide mentoring programmes
intensively to increase product quality generated by manufacturing industry in their effort to accelerate quality improvement programme as well as product innovation that can compete in such a global competition.

2. THEORYTHICAL FRAMEWORK

In the last decade, the business environment in global market reflected intense competition both in price and in product variety. Lee (2004) stated that customers all over the world had become more quality conscious. Quality has become one of the most important drives in the market today. The new wave of quality awareness has given a significant influence on how to manage a business. Business success may simply be the extent to which an organization can produce a higher-quality product or service than their competitors. In many industries, quality excellence has become a standard for doing business. Quality becomes the main key to be able to win competition and consumers’ heart. It is clear that to be able to answer the challenge in the global business environment companies need to focus their attention to quality.

2.1. The Importance of Quality for Companies in Globalisation Era

Improving quality can become the key struggle of many companies. Many of them believe that quality improvement can also improve companies’ finance and their position in competition. The emphasis on quality will increment their profitability in two ways: by increasing customers’ demand and decreasing goods and service procurement costs (Suarjaya, 2010). In the present global competition, with product short cycle and rapid changes in technology as well as taste of consumers, companies can survive in a long run and generate profit only by generating products and providing services that perform good quality. In their efforts to achieve their goal of improving customers’ satisfaction companies need good quality control. It can be done by improving the quality of their products.

Consumers’ awareness of quality has brought a significant impact in organisation management. One of the main strategies that has to be done by every company in such a global competition is to improve consumers’ satisfaction through the improvement of product quality they generate. It is clear that product quality is a strategic “means” to win the competition (Magd and Curry, 2003). Product quality is something inevitable, especially when a company wish to survive and develop.

In ensuring a service to improve quality and to be able to face competition, companies need to put some efforts or activities to improve their product quality. It absolutely needs an amount of cost which is not inexpensive. High competition level demands companies to generate products that have high quality, yet cheap price, hence companies need to pay serious attention to the costs of quality (Felecia, 2004). Companies need costs of quality to obtain information useful for them, such as to know the quality level that can increase their profitability within the increasing demand of consumers and in terms of cost reduction, especially in market that has extremely tight competition.

2.2. Costs of quality
When companies apply quality increase and improvement programmes, there will be a need of monitoring and reporting of the progress of the programmes. Company management needs to understand the meaning of cost of quality. Reporting and measuring performance of quality is critical for the success of quality improvement programmes that are being implemented. Basic pre condition of reporting is measuring and analysing costs of quality. Accurate analysis of costs of quality enables companies to know sources of costs of analysis that are inefficient and, hence, companies can take a proper and suitable action to overcome them (Felecia, 2004).

Costs of quality are divided in four categories. Two categories of this division include prevention cost and appraisal cost, which are categorized as costs of control (cost of conformance). These costs are spent in effort to prevent consumers from receiving defect products. The other two categories are internal failure cost and external failure cost, which are categorized as costs of failure (cost of non-conformance). Campanella (1999) in Felecia (2004) defines Costs of quality as costs drawn from all activities that are intended to prevent, appraise and fix quality of goods and service generated by an industry. Costs of quality comprise (1) Prevention Cost, (2) Appraisal Cost, (3) Internal Failure Cost, and (4) External Failure Cost. What is meant by costs of quality does not only include costs that are spent due to quality that does not comply with the quality standard applied, but also include costs to prevent other costs that are spent due to poor quality.

2.3 Measuring and Reporting Costs of quality for Management Decision Making

In current global market competition, quality is known as one of important factors for performance of a company. One of important aspects of quality is measuring and reporting activity-related costs (Mugen and Erel, 2000). Eventually, costs of quality are reported to improve managerial planning, control and decision making. Using information on costs of quality to apply and monitor quality programme effectiveness is one of the benefits of costs of quality system. Information on costs of quality is an important input to make decision in management (Suajaya, 2010). It is important to know the amount of costs of quality because measuring costs of quality is the key of success of the entire quality management (Hadi, 2003). Tatikonda and Tatikonda (1996) in Mugan and Erel (2000) discussed that the failure in measuring costs of quality will cause managerial decision making not optimum.

Information on costs of quality is needed to facilitate managers to control the quality of performance and input in decision making. It can be used to evaluate overall programme performance of quality improvement. It can also be used to improve diverse managerial decisions, such as in determining strategic price and analysis of new products.

The main objective of reporting costs of quality is to improve and facilitate planning, controlling and managerial decision making. The use of costs of quality information to decide programme implementation of quality improvement and to evaluate the effectiveness of the programme is only one of potential benefits of costs of quality system. Reporting and performance measuring are very important for the success of quality improvement programme that is being implemented. The basic pre condition of reporting is measuring costs of quality.
Costs of quality become an interesting study because by controlling costs of quality companies can make strategic decisions. However, calculating costs of quality of products in such a particular manner has not yet been much conducted by companies. A lot of companies have a view that collecting data and calculating costs of quality are complicated, impractical and requires a lot of energy to analyse it (Hadi, 2003).

Some researches on costs of quality had been conducted and empirical evidence explained that by implementing quality improvement programmes companies could increase their efficiency that is reflected in the reduction of production cost. Each quality activity brings out costs of quality. Costs of quality also have an important role in improving organisation’s performance (Hadi, 2003).

A result of a case study in raw material industry showed that elements of quality cost had a huge process in prevention cost (Felecia, 2004). However, it was also mentioned that that each industry had its own characteristics and costs of quality distribution varied. According to Hadi (2003), it was proven that in yarn spinning industry, cost factor lost economic and strategic opportunity. The investigation outcome of cost quality distribution in aeronautical-defence industry found that the appraisal cost absorbed the biggest portion of the total costs of quality (Mugan and Erel, 2000)

3. RESEARCH METHOD

The data used in this research includes primary data obtained from the answers of respondents collected through questionnaires and list of questions. The respondents in this research were managers, who were responsible for the quality of Small and Micro Enterprises (SME) in the Yogyakarta Special Region Province. The population of this research was manufacturing Small and Medium Enterprises in Yogyakarta. Being only 3,185.8 km2 and inhabited by about 3.5 million people, Yogyakarta is greatly suitable for the development of SMEs than the development of industry-based economic activities. This condition was supported by the potential of human resources, arts centres and educational institutions in Yogyakarta.

Manufacturing SMEs were chosen as the research subject because they have the most complete process, from material procurement to finished products. Such a long process cannot be found in SMEs engaged in trade and services. Sample was determined randomly. Questionnaire respondents were managers who were in charge of quality management area of the SMEs because the survey was confined to a local area. The data were collected by using Personally Administered Questionnaires. The advantage of this method is that the researcher or research assistants can collect all the completed responses within a short period of time and can clarify any question directly at that time. Personally Administered Questionnaire also avoids any confusion on the spot. There were 88 SMEs that were inclined to participate in this research.

4. FINDING AND DISCUSSION

From the data of costs of quality in the entire micro and small enterprises that became the
subject of this research, I made an analysis in two phases. Phase 1 looked at the average of costs of quality in each element (appraisal, prevention, internal and external failure) and also looked at two components of costs of quality, namely control cost and prevention cost. The average of costs of quality were also analysed on the basis of the scale of the company (large, medium, small). Phase 2 looked at the proportion of costs of quality allocation, seen both from each element and company scale. It looked at how much companies spent for costs of quality and on which element of cost companies focused on.

4.1. Average Costs of quality

From Table 1 we can see that the highest average costs of quality that the companies which became the subject of this research spent was prevention cost (38.79%), followed by appraisal cost (29.93%), internal failure cost (15.92%) and the smallest portion was in external failure cost (8.22%). It revealed that companies preferred putting efforts for prevention during the process of generating products and services to focusing on efforts in appraisal of product and service quality. If we compare average cost of control (68.72%) to cost of failure (24.14%), it can be concluded that companies greatly concerned about doing controlling activities in the effort to reduce failure activities. From the outcome of Table 1 we also can see that the smallest portion spent for costs of quality is for external failure cost.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Costs of quality Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Appraisal Costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevention Costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal Failure Costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External Failure Costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costs of Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costs of Failure</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If we look at the spending based on the classification of company scale (Table 2), we can see that large and medium scale companies spent the biggest portion for prevention costs (38.91% and 44.76%) while small scale companies focused more on appraisal costs, which was as much as 41.26%. Large and medium scale companies also spent more internal failure costs more than external failure costs. Only small scale companies that spent more for external failure costs than for internal failure costs. All companies, however, stated that on average they spent more for costs of control average than for costs of failure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Costs of quality Average based on Enterprise's Scale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Big</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appraisal Costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevention Costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Costs of Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal Failure Costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External Failure Costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Costs of Failure</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Implicitly it can be said that quality control activity in small scale companies was not yet optimum compared to that in large and medium scale companies. It can be seen from average failure cost spent by small scale companies, which was the highest (27.11%) compared to that spent by large and medium scale companies. This result was in line with average control cost spent by small scale company, which was also the lowest (72.62%) of all. In regards to percentage of quality cost against sales achieved by the companies, it can be said that the biggest portion spent for costs of quality was experienced by small scale companies (6.40%) whereas large scale companies spent the lowest portion (3.64%) for costs of quality.

We can see from Table 2 that the average failure cost of costs of quality spent by medium and large scale companies was more for internal failure. In general, companies spent internal failure cost due to product defect that required reparation activities. It can also be said that medium and large scale companies performed quite strict inspection to detect product defect before their product were at customers' hands. On the other hand, however, it was a sign that the companies lacked of attention to things that could prevent product defect. One of the ways that could have been done to push down the failure cost was by doing product development activities in accordance with the standard applied.

Unlike medium and large scale companies, small scale ones spent their average failure cost of cost of quality for external failure cost. Most probably it was due to their not knowing how to handle customers' complaints properly or their not having adequate warranty system in handling replacement or reparation of defected products which were still in their warranty period. It needs understanding or fixing up of procedure as well as monitoring and evaluation performed by external parties to guarantee that the companies have committed to good quality improvement.

4.2. Costs of quality Proportion

From the proportion calculation of costs of quality, Table 3 shows that there were 55 companies (67.07%) which spent prevention cost more than appraisal cost; and there were 58 companies (70.73%) which spent more proportion for internal failure cost more than external failure cost. Table 3 also shows that some large scale companies (96.34%) spent more proportion for control cost than failure cost.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3. Costs of quality Proportion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Appraisal Costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevention Costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costs of Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal Failure Costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External Failure Costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costs of Failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The research findings above were in line with the analysis that looked at company scale (Table 4). Most companies showed that cost of quality elements of companies, that was chosen as the subject
of this research, held a great deal of portion spent for prevention cost since no matter little the failure was, it would bring fatal impact when it reached customers’ hands. It would be better, therefore, if prevention was done in a very earlier stage. However, this condition would be different if the analysis was done by looking at the characteristics and distribution of costs of quality in each different type of industry.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4. Costs of quality Proportion based on Enterprise’s Scale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Big</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appraisal Costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevention Costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal Failure Costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External Failure Costs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costs of Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costs of Failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;2.5% of Sales</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By analysing cost of quality above, a picture of items included in costs of quality as well as each allocation for each element of costs of quality can be obtained. The result of the analysis indicated that the company management was able to know what costs that needed to be added to reach optimum costs of quality. However, it was a process that the companies had to do continuously to achieve a better result. To reach optimum costs of quality, the companies had to put their efforts to optimise each element of costs of quality. A strategy that a company can do is reducing failure cost by solving problems related to quality by employing the existing quality tools. The companies also needed to properly consider both short-term and long-term investment for prevention activities and put their efforts to reduce appraisal cost to a relevant limit.

In case of medium and large scale companies, it was possible for them to do the strategy more continuously and in a structured manner because they were supported by sufficient resources. Attention should be paid more to small scale companies which could not yet put their concern on quality control activities because they lacked of financial resources, physical infrastructure and human resources. It can be seen from the cost of quality proportion spent by small scale companies, which was the lowest one. The government or through its related agencies can mentor them by providing training on analysing control of the companies upon cost of quality, including identification of activities related to quality, cost bearing and reporting. Support from the government can also be given in a form of grant or subsidiary for quality improvement among small scale companies. This way, internal financial resources of the companies could be shifted to another focus, which were operational and strategic activities.
5. CONCLUSION

The research concluded that the costs of quality in all surveyed companies categorized costs of quality (CoQ) as prevention, appraisal, and failure costs. The costs of quality were allocated as prevention cost 38.79%, appraisal cost 29.93% and failure cost 24.14% (internal failure cost 15.92% and external failure cost 8.22%). The result indicated that most of SME’s more focused on prevention costs than appraisal costs. It proved that they were concerned with preparing the precaution of quality in the planning at the initial process. A study of SME’s in Yogyakarta revealed that majority of SME’s spent the costs of quality on controlling cost (68.72% of total costs of quality) in order to decrease failure cost. It also reported that the costs of quality 4.81 % of sales (on average).

From the analysis above, it can be concluded that most companies preferred putting efforts for prevention during the process of generating products and services to focusing on efforts in appraisal of product and service quality. Companies also put great concern about doing control activities in their effort to reduce failure activities. Based on these facts, it can be concluded that companies were already on the right track in their effort to control costs of quality. Their understanding on spending not too much money on appraisal cost was relatively good since appraisal activities tended to be those that had no added value and the money spending for these activities needed to be reduced. The smallest portion of spending was for external failure cost, which was enabled due to tracking and loading external failure cost that was difficult to be measured accurately and, instead, was based on prediction and rough estimation.

Reporting cost of quality was also very significant to help the management of the companies make decisions to set steps of improvement that would be done to be able to produce good quality products without spending too much money allocated for cost. The management of the companies could also make long-term decision based on the reporting of by minimising costs and improving quality. Quality improvement would generate impacts on consumers’ loyalty since they felt that their needs were satisfied as they expected. The long-term impact was that the companies would be able to increase their profit and the trust of the consumers to the products or services the companies generated, which eventually could affect competitiveness among companies.

In order that the progress occurring in cost of quality can be immediately noticed and evaluated, the companies should make cost of quality report that is adequate and accompanied with analysis of cost of quality analysis made regularly. Other than measuring quality financially, the companies should also measure quality based on non-financial matters since product failure also generates non-financial loss that have long-term impacts, such as the reputation of the companies.
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