CHAPTER 6

CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION

6.1. Conclusion.

c. The common pattern of lot size that gives minimum makespan in this research are in lot size 10, 15 and 30.

d. Complexity of product structure (in this research is the number of parts) affects the optimum ratio. Later, it affects optimum lot size that results minimum makespan.

6.2. Suggestion

For further research, the writer suggests to evaluate the different complexity of product structure (based on long term research project of The Production System Laboratory of Universitas Atma Jaya Yogyakarta), that has differences in lot size, generation of setup and run time, or and the operation sequence in process routing.

REFERENCES

Arnold, J.R. Tony, 2001, *Introduction to Materials Management*, 4th edition, Prentice Hall International, Inc

Askin, Ronald G., Standridge Charles R., 1993, *Modeling and Analysis of Manufacturing Systems*, John Wiley & Sons, INC

Carolina, M., 2008, *The Effect Of Lot Size And Product Structure On Makespan Minimization In Multilevel Product Scheduling*, Thesis in Industrial Tecnology Faculty of Universitas Atma Jaya Yogyakarta

Chase, R.B., Aquilano, N.J., 1995, *Production and Operation Management, Manufacturing and Services*, Seventh ed, Richard D Irwin, Inc

Chase, R.B., Jacobs, F.R., Aquilano, N.J., 2004, *Operation Management for Competitive Advantage*, 10th ed, McGraw-Hill

Dervitsiotis, K.N., 1981, *Operation Management*, McGraw-Hill, Inc.

Elsayed, E.A., Boucher, T.O., 1994, *Analysis and Control of Production System*, Prentice-Hall International, Inc

http://goliath.ecnext.com/coms2/gi_0199-661999/Multi-objective-lot-splitting-for.html

http://msdn.microsoft.com/en-us/library/aa550330(AX.10).aspx

http://people.richland.edu/james/lecture/m170/ch09-pvl.html

http://people.richland.edu/james/lecture/m170/ch13-def.html

http://people.richland.edu/james/lecture/m170/ch13-f.html

http://people.richland.edu/james/lecture/m170/ch13-1wy.html

http://www.barchart.be/ganttchart.jsp

http://www.feldmanengineering.com/BoM_Glossary.htm

http://209.85.175.104/search?q=cache:t0PL8dL5WnkJ:www.bdk.rug.nl/medewerkers/j.riezebos/PDF/IJPR_Time%2520Bucket%2520Length%2520and%2520lot-splitting%2520approach.pdf+lot+splitting&hl=id&ct=cln&cd=1&gl=id

Lestianingsih, 2008, *Pengaruh Rasio Waktu Set Up - Waktu Run Terhadap Ukuran Lot Optimum Pada Penjadwalan Produk Multilevel*, Thesis in Industrial Tecnology Faculty of Universitas Atma Jaya Yogyakarta

Levin, Richard I., 1981, *Statistic for Management*, Second Edition, Prentice/Hall International, Inc., London

Nahmias, 2005, *Production and Operations Analysis*, McGraw-Hill Companies Inc.

Putro, S.S., 2005, *Penjadwalan Flow Shop dengan Mesin Paralel Menggunakan Algoritma Aslan's Frequency*,

Thesis in Industrial Tecnology Faculty of Universitas Atma Jaya Yogyakarta

Sari, Kartika A., 2008, *Pengaruh Ukuran Lot Terhadap Makespan Minimum Pada Penjadwalan Produk Multi Item (Studi terhadap Struktur Produk 2 Level dengan Jumlah Item dalam 1 Level Maksimal 5)*, Thesis in Industrial Tecnology Faculty of Universitas Atma Jaya Yogyakarta

Sari, Monikha P, 2008, *Pengaruh Ukuran Lot Terhadap Makespan Minimum dalam Penjadwalan Produk Multilevel (Studi terhadap Struktur Produk 2 Level sampai 5 Level dengan Jumlah Item Maksimal Dalam Tiap Level adalah 2 Unit)*, Thesis in Industrial Tecnology Faculty of Universitas Atma Jaya Yogyakarta

Sari, W.P., 2006, *Penjadwalan Produksi Doormat untuk Memenuhi Due Date dengan Lot Transfer Berdasarkan Kapasitas Maksimum Material Handling di PT Iprima Nusa Permata Dianmas Klaten*, Skripsi di Jurusan Teknik Industri Universitas Atma Jaya Yogyakarta, Yogyakarta

Siegel, Andrew F., 2000, *Practical Business Statistics*, Inc, McGraw-Hill Companies

Sipper, Daniel and Bulfin, Robert L, JR., 1997, *Production: Planning Control, and Integration*, McGraw-Hill International Edition., Singapore.

Supranto, M.A. J., 1986, *Pengantar Probabilita dan Statistik Induktif*, Jilid 2, Erlangga, Jakarta.

Suprapto, 2008, *Program Penjadwalan Jobshop dengan Pendekatan Theory of Constraint*, Thesis in Industrial Tecnology Faculty of Universitas Atma Jaya Yogyakarta.

Wonnacott, R.J., Wonnacott, T.H., 1989, *Pengantar Statistika*, ed. 4, Erlangga, Jakarta

Appendices:

F distribution table for α = 0.05 (Levin, 1981)

Degrees of freedom for numerator

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	12	15	20	24	30	40	60	120	∞
1	161	200	216	225	230	234	237	239	241	242	244	246	248	249	250	251	252	253	254
2	18.5	19.0	19.2	19.2	19.3	19.3	19.4	19.4	19.4	19.4	19.4	19.4	19.4	19.5	19.5	19.5	19.5	19.5	19.5
3	10.1	9.55	9.28	9.12	9.01	8.94	8.89	8.85	8.81	8.79	8.74	8.70	8.66	8.64	8.62	8.59	8.57	8.55	8.53
4	7.71	6.94	6.59	6.39	6.26	6.16	6.09	6.04	6.00	5.96	5.91	5.86	5.80	5.77	5.75	5.72	5.69	5.66	5.63
5	6.61	5.79	5.41	5.19	5.05	4.95	4.88	4.82	4.77	4.74	4.68	4.62	4.56	4.53	4.50	4.46	4.43	4.40	4.37
6	5.99	5.14	4.76	4.53	4.39	4.28	4.21	4.15	4.10	4.06	4.00	3.94	3.87	3.84	3.81	3.77	3.74	3.70	3.67
7	5.59	4.74	4.35	4.12	3.97	3.87	3.79	3.73	3.68	3.64	3.57	3.51	3.44	3.41	3.38	3.34	3.30	3.27	3.23
8	5.32	4.46	4.07	3.84	3.69	3.58	3.50	3.44	3.39	3.35	3.28	3.22	3.15	3.12	3.08	3.04	3.01	2.97	2.93
9	5.12	4.26	3.86	3.63	3.48	3.37	3.29	3.23	3.18	3.14	3.07	3.01	2.94	2.90	2.86	2.83	2.79	2.75	2.71
10	4.96	4.10	3.71	3.48	3.33	3.22	3.14	3.07	3.02	2.98	2.91	2.85	2.77	2.74	2.70	2.66	2.62	2.58	2.54
11	4.84	3.98	3.59	3.36	3.20	3.09	3.01	2.95	2.90	2.85	2.79	2.72	2.65	2.61	2.57	2.53	2.49	2.45	2.40
12	4.75	3.89	3.49	3.26	3.11	3.00	2.91	2.85	2.80	2.75	2.69	2.62	2.54	2.51	2.47	2.43	2.38	2.34	2.30
13	4.67	3.81	3.41	3.18	3.03	2.92	2.83	2.77	2.71	2.67	2.60	2.53	2.46	2.42	2.38	2.34	2.30	2.25	2.21
14	4.60	3.74	3.34	3.11	2.96	2.85	2.76	2.70	2.65	2.60	2.53	2.46	2.39	2.35	2.31	2.27	2.22	2.18	2.13
15	4.54	3.68	3.29	3.06	2.90	2.79	2.71	2.64	2.59	2.54	2.48	2.40	2.33	2.29	2.25	2.20	2.16	2.11	2.07
16	4.49	3.63	3.24	3.01	2.85	2.74	2.66	2.59	2.54	2.49	2.42	2.35	2.28	2.24	2.19	2.15	2.11	2.06	2.01
17	4.45	3.59	3.20	2.96	2.81	2.70	2.61	2.55	2.49	2.45	2.38	2.31	2.23	2.19	2.15	2.10	2.06	2.01	1.96
18	4.41	3.55	3.16	2.93	2.77	2.66	2.58	2.51	2.46	2.41	2.34	2.27	2.19	2.15	2.11	2.06	2.02	1.97	1.92
19	4.38	3.52	3.13	2.90	2.74	2.63	2.54	2.48	2.42	2.38	2.31	2.23	2.16	2.11	2.07	2.03	1.98	1.93	1.88
20	4.35	3.49	3.10	2.87	2.71	2.60	2.51	2.45	2.39	2.35	2.28	2.20	2.12	2.08	2.04	1.99	1.95	1.90	1.84
21	4.32	3.47	3.07	2.84	2.68	2.57	2.49	2.42	2.37	2.32	2.25	2.18	2.10	2.05	2.01	1.96	1.92	1.87	1.81
22	4.30	3.44	3.05	2.82	2.66	2.55	2.46	2.40	2.34	2.30	2.23	2.15	2.07	2.03	1.98	1.94	1.89	1.84	1.78
23	4.28	3.42	3.03	2.80	2.64	2.53	2.44	2.37	2.32	2.27	2.20	2.13	2.05	2.01	1.96	1.91	1.86	1.81	1.76
24	4.26	3.40	3.01	2.78	2.62	2.51	2.42	2.36	2.30	2.25	2.18	2.11	2.03	1.98	1.94	1.89	1.84	1.79	1.73
25	4.24	3.39	2.99	2.76	2.60	2.49	2.40	2.34	2.28	2.24	2.16	2.09	2.01	1.96	1.92	1.87	1.82	1.77	1.71
30	4.17	3.32	2.92	2.69	2.53	2.42	2.33	2.27	2.21	2.16	2.09	2.01	1.93	1.89	1.84	1.79	1.74	1.68	
40	4.08	3.23	2.84	2.61	2.45	2.34	2.25	2.18	2.12	2.08	2.00	1.92	1.84	1.79	1.74	1.69	1.64	1.58	
60	4.00	3.15	2.76	2.53	2.37	2.25	2.17	2.10	2.04	1.99	1.92	1.84	1.75	1.70	1.65	1.59	1.53	1.47	
120	3.92	3.07	2.68	2.45	2.29	2.18	2.09	2.02	1.96	1.91	1.83	1.75	1.66	1.61	1.55	1.50	1.43	1.35	
∞	3.84	3.00	2.60	2.37	2.21	2.10	2.01	1.94	1.88	1.83	1.75	1.67	1.57	1.52	1.46	1.39	1.32	1.22	

Degrees of freedom for denominator

*Source: M. Merrington and C. M. Thompson, *Biometrika*, vol. 33(1943).